1	JAG NO: 2015-0665A			
2	DEPOSITION OF: TRACY MURPHY - July 20, 2015			
4	IN RE THE ARBITRATION OF:			
5	MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS,			
6	Claimants,			
7	and			
8	LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT,			
9	Respondent.			
0				
1	DIDCHANT TO MOTICE the deposition of			
2	PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of TRACY MURPHY was taken on behalf of the Claimants at 950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202, on July 20, 2015, at 9:27 a.m., before Ashley D. Mahe, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado.			
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
0				
1				
2	H+G			
3				
4	Hunter+Geist, Inc.			
5	303.832.5966			

Your Partner in Making the Record

1 3 JAG NO: 2015-0665A INDEX EXAMINATION OF TRACY MURPHY: PAGE July 20, 2015 DEPOSITION OF: TRACY MURPHY - July 20, 2015 By Mr. Roche IN RE THE ARBITRATION OF: MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS, INITIAL DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: Claimants, REFERENCE Exhibit 32 Suspension Form, Arapahoe High 48 School, 3/15/13 LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT, Respondent. Exhibit 33 Progress Report 57 Exhibit 34 60 Progress Report Exhibit 35 Threat Assessment & Action Plan 135 PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of TRACY MURPHY was taken on behalf of the Claimants at DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Previously marked) 950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202, Exhibit 11 Littleton Public School District's 46 on July 20, 2015, at 9:27 a.m., before Ashley D. Mahe, Answers and Responses to Claimants' Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents within Colorado. Exhibit 13 Federal Bureau of Investigation, 161 12/18/13 Exhibit 14 Letter to our Arapahoe County 23 Citizens from Walcher, with attachment Exhibit 19 Contact Log 17 Exhibit 20 Progress Report 132

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

APPEARANCES

For the Claimants:

MICHAEL J. ROCHE, ESQ.

Lathrop & Gage, LLP

950 17th Street

Suite 2400

Denver, Colorado 80202

For the Respondent:

STEVE EVERALL, ESQ.
Semple, Farrington & Everall, P.C.
1120 Lincoln Street
Suite 1308
Denver, Colorado 80203

For Tracy Murphy:

CHARLES KAISER, ESQ.

Colorado Education Association 1500 Grant Street

Denver, Colorado 80203

Also Present:

Michael Davis Desiree Davis Carol Lembke William Woodward Michael Jones WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were taken pursuant to the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

* * * *

TRACY MURPHY,

having been first duly sworn to state the whole truth, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROCHE:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Murphy. Thank you for coming in for this deposition. We just met a few minutes ago. My name is Michael Roche, and as you know, I represent Mr. and Mrs. Davis in connection with the arbitration that we have agreed to undertake with the Littleton Public School District. I wanted to let you know first and foremost what the purpose of the arbitration is, and just as importantly what it is not.

A. Okay.

Q. So the purpose of the arbitration, as you may have seen or read or heard, is to investigate what happened and what circumstances led up to the shooting that occurred at Arapahoe High School on December 13, 2013, and to find out what lessons can be learned from that shooting and what recommendations can be made to

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

2.2

- improve school safety.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Is that consistent with your understanding and what you've heard about this arbitration?
 - A. It is.
- Q. Good. And what will come out of this arbitration is a report that is going to be prepared -- or potentially more than one report with recommendations about what can be done to help prevent tragedies like the one that the Davises and you endured 18 months ago. The purpose of this arbitration is not, and this is important to bear in mind, is not to point fingers or assess blame on specific people or the school or anything like that. We all know who is at fault and who is responsible for what happened on December 13, and that's Karl Pierson.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. So understand with the questions that I'm asking, all of them are going to be asked with the true purpose of this arbitration in mind and not as a blame-finding effort.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. We're not trying to prove negligence or anything like that. A couple of things. First, I

- A. Okay. Thanks.
- Q. A couple rules of the road that I go through in every deposition. First, Ashley, who you met, is going to be taking down everything that everybody in the room says. All of my questions, all of your answers, any objections from Mr. Kaiser or Mr. Everall. The one thing that's important, as Ashley does her job, is that we not speak over one another. Another one is it's very hard for Ashley to take down head shakes or uh-huhs. So verbal responses are important. Okay?
 - A. Okay. Absolutely.
- Q. And then the last rule of the road is there will come a time -- there has been multiple -in every deposition I've taken, frankly, in my entire career, but certainly in connection with this case -where I ask a question that doesn't make sense to you.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. So if you don't understand a question, will you let me know, and I'll rephrase it as best as I can to make it comprehensible?
 - A. I will.
- Q. Perfect. Any questions of me before we get started?
 - A. Not really.

want you to know I'm very mindful that you are one of the victims of this shooting and that this is very difficult for you to talk about.

- A. I appreciate that. Thank you.
- Q. I mean, that's a very real thing. So I say that because I am going to try to be mindful and respectful of that. I'm sure there will be times when things could get emotional, and that's okay. If that happens and you want to take a break, just say so, and we will.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I've got a conference room set up downstairs for you and Mr. Kaiser if you need it. So feel free to do that. If it's every ten minutes, it's every ten minutes. That's okay.
 - A. Okav. Thanks.
- Q. In the meantime, generally speaking, in a deposition, we'll break every hour to hour and a half. We'll take a lunch break as well --
 - A. That's good.
- Q. -- for people to be able to stretch their legs, use the restroom, get coffee, water, all of that. So we'll be doing that anyway, but if you need breaks at any other time, just say the word, and we'll do that.

- Q. Well, let's just jump right into it then. Obviously we're here to talk about what happened on December 13, and, you know, I think the first thing to find out is what is your job and how long have you been doing it at Arapahoe High School?
- A. I'm the librarian. This will be my 10th year. So I've completed nine years.
- Q. And will you be going back to the school in August?
 - A. That's my plans, yes.
- Q. And in addition to being the librarian, do you have any other responsibilities at the school? I understand you were the debate coach or are the debate coach?
- A. I was. Not at this time. I was the debate coach for three years.
 - Q. And when did that start?
- A. School year 2011-'12.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement in the debate or forensic team prior to 2011-2012?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. As the librarian at Arapahoe, who did you report to? Who was your supervisor, if you will?
- A. Well, my evaluating administrator would potentially change from year to year. So, you know,

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

2.2

2.5

that's kind of a hard question to answer just directly, if that makes sense. Ultimately my supervisor would be the principal, but I might be evaluated by one of the assistant principals.

- Q. So I guess what I'm trying to figure out is, was there a direct chain of command, or did you have a direct report, for instance, in the 2013-2014 school year?
- A. Oh, gosh, let's see here. I hadn't really thought about that. Well, each year we do have an administrator that is typically kind of a department liaison. And then we will -- it will sometimes be that same person, sometimes be a different administrator as your evaluating administrator. And right at this moment, I'm drawing a blank as to who that was that year. I apologize. I'm not being evasive.

Q. I understand that.

A. I guess I wasn't even thinking about that line of questioning.

Q. Sure. And I'm just trying to figure out if you had a problem, who did you go to back then?

A. Okay. Part of that, I guess, depends on what the problem was. If it was, say, a building maintenance problem, I would seek out that -- the

and the debate coach during the 2013-2014 school year, you didn't teach classes, did you?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. That's what I thought. When did you first meet Karl Pierson?
- A. Definitely it would have been the '11-'12 school year. I may have had interaction with him the previous year when he was a freshman through just daily interactions in the library, but nothing specific or official kind of thing, if that makes sense.
- Q. It does. You may have encountered him, but certainly doesn't stand out in your memory?
 - A. Not really, no.
- Q. During the 2010-2011 school year, that would have been Karl's freshman year of school, right?
 - A. Yes
- Q. You didn't have any role in the debate team at that time?
 - A. No.
- Q. When you took over as -- well, strike that.

The '11-'12 school year, you took over as the debate coach, is that right, as opposed to an assistant coach or a helper?

assistant principal that was overseeing the building maintenance, you know, custodial tasks, that type of thing. If it was something with a -- I'd say a freshman student or a senior student. I would

freshman student or a senior student, I would typically try to seek out the administrator assigned to that particular grade level. So it would depend.

- Q. And that makes perfect sense, but generally speaking, if you had an issue with a student, you would go to the grade level assistant principal --
 - A. Sure.
 - O. -- for that student?
 - A. Typically, yes.
- Q. Okay. You mentioned something about a department liaison?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. I guess, I don't know, what department were you in?
 - A. The library.
 - O. You had your own department, right?
- A. Yeah, pretty much, you know, with one clerical staff person.
 - O. And that was Carla?
 - A. Uh-huh. Carla Brachtenbach.
 - Q. And in addition to being the librarian

- A. Yeah, I was the head coach. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And who did you replace as the debate coach?
 - A. Jared Robinson.
 - O. And he left the school at the time?
 - A. He did.
- Q. Okay. When you took over as the head debate coach in the '11-'12 school year, did you have a sit-down with Mr. Robinson?
- A. Briefly. I think we met for about 45 minutes one day just to kind of talk about -- just kind of things having to do with speech and debate. I can't really tell you specifics, but it wasn't a very thorough conversation. Jared was pretty busy.
- Q. And I guess one of the questions I have about that sort of transition meeting, if I can call it that, is did you have any discussions about which kids were the stars and which kids were the problems?
- A. No. No.
- Q. Was there any discussion, as you recall, of Karl Pierson in that transition meeting with Mr. Robinson?
 - A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. And then you started as the head debate coach in the late summer, early fall of 2011?

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1.0

2.4

A. Yes.

2.2

Q. And what can you tell me about your first interactions with Karl Pierson during that time period?

A. At the very beginning kind of thing?

Q. Right.

A. He struck me as awkward. Kind of the stereotypical kind of nerdy kind of kid, lacking self-confidence, would be probably a good way I would describe him.

Q. And this is during the sophomore year of Karl's high school career?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Did anything -- other than that he was kind of socially awkward, nerdy kid, and lack of confidence, did anything else stand out about him?

A. I was made aware by his mother that he had attended a summer camp that focused on the event he competed in which was extemporaneous speaking, and she told me that Karl didn't want other kids to know that he had attended this camp, that he wanted to surprise people with how much better he would be this year.

Q. I take it then -- did you have a phone call with her or a sit-down with Barbara Pierson that

kind of trying to get a leg up on his teammates a little bit. And I didn't see any necessarily harm in it, so . . .

Q. Okay. During that '11-'12 school year, how often would the debate team -- would you meet with the debate team?

A. Probably twice a week. Yeah, probably on a -- you know, maybe not the entire team, but the entire team at least once a week. And then members who were competing in a competition coming up soon, probably another one or two times that week.

Q. Okay. And was Karl competing regularly that sophomore year?

A. He was.

Q. Okay. So you were meeting with him once, twice, maybe even three times a week during the competition season?

A. Possibly.

Q. Would you review -- I guess it's, so I guess it's a little different. But would you review with Karl and the other debate team members what they were going to be saying? Would you see drafts of their work before the competitions?

A. No, not really because of the nature of the -- of that particular event. See, unlike debate

sophomore year?

A. You know, at the beginning of the year, one of the things we did was Jan Lewellyn was the assistant coach, and it was her first year also. We had a meeting with as many parents as we could at the start of the year. And then early into the competition season, I guess, in October, one of the competitions had some, what's called, judges training for -- in particular for parents, so that they would have an idea how to judge different events because you need a lot of judges at speech and debate meets because of all of the different events and students that are competing. So I would have interacted with her at the parent meeting and then, you know, at this competition, yeah.

Q. Okay. And did it strike you as odd in any way that she and Karl didn't want the other members of the debate team to know that he had gone to this camp and was going to come back new and improved?

A. Yeah, a little bit and -- yeah, I guess I'm trying to think of the best way to describe it. I guess I saw a mom trying to -- the word isn't really like to protect her kid, but kind of build her kid up a little bit. And at the same time, you know, I saw in the student, you know, somebody who was, I guess,

where the debaters know the topic in advance, I guess as the word implies, extemporaneous, the competitors would be given questions that they would select the day of the competition to prepare. They would have about a half an hour to prepare a speech up to seven minutes at length.

Q. Okay.

A. We would have an idea that competitors that were competing say in national extemp would be topics focusing on current U.S. news and then international extemp. It could be anything almost.

Q. Sure. Sure. During Karl Pierson's sophomore year, did you ever have any concerns about his mental or emotional well-being?

A. Not so much I guess other than, like I said, he just struck me as unsure of himself, awkward, socially awkward I would -- I would venture to describe him as a bit on the socially inept side, a bit.

Q. Okay. And how did that manifest itself?

A. I think he was easily embarrassed at times if he didn't come across in the most positive way. He -- boy, I'm trying to think how to describe this. How would that manifest itself? I guess I'm having trouble thinking about how to describe it. I

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

17 19 1 1 apologize. Price? 2 2 Q. That's okay. Were there any particular A. Not that I recall. Not that I'm aware 3 3 incidents that you can recall where it struck you that of, no. 4 4 he was being socially awkward or easily embarrassed or Q. And this isn't an incident that you would 5 5 have heard about from any other kids on the debate socially inept? 6 6 A. Yeah, I can't think of a specific team, anything like that? 7 7 instance right at the moment. Again, I apologize. A. I don't remember hearing about this at 8 8 Q. That's okay. Did you have any behavioral all. 9 problems involving Karl Pierson during his sophomore 9 O. You can see farther down in the 10 10 description of this incident in Jackie Price's class, 11 11 that Kelly Talen wrote, "Karl was extremely angry A. Not really that I recall. Yeah, not so 12 12 much, no. during our meeting. He didn't understand why he can 13 13 Q. Did he strike you as having any kind of get picked on all the time and the one time he picks 14 14 anger issues or problems during his sophomore year, on someone, he is the one to get in trouble." Do you 15 15 this 2011-2012 year? see that? 16 16 A. I don't recall anger. I think maybe A. I do. 17 17 sometimes frustration, and I imagine that you might Q. Do you recall any instances in the fall 18 ask me a specific instance, and I can't really come up 18 of 2011 where Karl got extremely angry? 19 19 with a specific instance. I may think of something A. I don't. 20 20 Q. What about -- was his emotional reaction later. 21 21 Q. Understood. You'll see in front of you to whether he won or lost a debate competition in the 22 2.2 there are a couple of books of documents that have fall of 2011 disproportionate to what you thought it 23 23 been produced in this case. I want to direct your should be? 24 24 attention to this book, if I could, and specifically A. Yeah, I -- you know, it's funny. I don't 25 to a document that's labeled Exhibit 19. And you can 25 recall anger. Extreme disappointment at times. Karl, 18 20 1 1 see this is a contact log for Karl Pierson at Arapahoe even with the -- attending the camp that summer, he 2 High School. Do you see that? 2 did well. He did okay, but he didn't do as well as 3 3 A. Okay. Uh-huh. say another member of the team in the same event. He 4 4 Q. Is this a document that you have access always seemed to kind of come up short. Not saying 5 5 that he wasn't successful, but not, I think, the level to as a librarian? 6 A. No. I mean, Infinite Campus I do, but 6 of success that he was hoping to attain. 7 7 not this aspect of it. Q. Okay. And I guess -- and I know I'm 8 8 going back several years now, but do you recall being Q. Not this part? 9 9 concerned that Karl's reaction to the results that he A. No. 10 10 Q. I want to direct your attention, if I did achieve in debate were disproportionate to what 11 could, to page 2 of Karl Pierson's contact log, which 11 they should have been in your view? 12 12 A. Yeah, I -- yes. Yes. is the very next page there. And you'll see there is 13 13 Q. And do you recall ever talking with Karl an incident there reported by Kelly Talen in late 14 14 or his parents about that? November of 2011. And Ms. Talen describes an incident 15 15 in Jackie Price's class where Karl Pierson told a kid A. I may have. I don't recall a specific 16 incident where I did. 16 just go gut himself or cut himself, and that he had 17 17 Q. Okay. always been someone's bitch and that other kids are 18 18 A. Probably it would have been encouraging mean to him. Do you see that? 19 19 A. I do. him. 20 2.0 Q. My first question is, were you aware of Q. Okay. 21 21 MR. EVERALL: Would have been what? that incident in November of 2011? 22 22 THE DEPONENT: Encouraging. A. I was not. 23 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) A couple of other issues 23 Q. Did you ever discuss Karl Pierson or any 24 that pop out of this description of the incident in 24 behavioral problems that he may have been having in 25 2011 in Jackie Price's class are Karl essentially 25

the fall of 2011 with either Kelly Talen or Jackie

2.0

making another kid his bitch or bullying another kid at least verbally. Is that something that you ever observed in Karl Pierson?

- A. Maybe not to that extreme. I never saw Karl being bullied. If anything, it was probably more the other direction. Maybe belittling kids, picking on them.
 - Q. So if anybody was a bully, it was Karl?
 - A. Yeah, attempting to show his superiority.
- Q. Sure. And was that a common occurrence or was that pretty infrequent?
 - A. Probably something in between.
- Q. And did you see any of that his sophomore year?
 - A. Probably not so much I don't think.
- Q. That's something that either developed or exacerbated into his junior or senior year?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I know there was an incident in the 2012-2013 school year where Karl said something inappropriate in a debate, and we're certainly going to get to that. But before we do, do you recall any other speeches he gave during his debate career that gave you concern about his mental or emotional well-being?

news that even though he was running unopposed, he didn't have the team's full support?

- A. You know, he took it -- he listened to me almost dismissively I guess would be a way to describe it. As if, yeah, that didn't really matter if that makes sense.
- Q. So he didn't seem to care what either you thought or what his teammates thought?
- A. That would be a good way to describe it, yes.
- Q. Okay. And I'm going to make you switch books for a minute and take a look at Exhibit 14, which is in this book, and that's the sheriff's report. I take it you've seen the report that the sheriff's office did on the shooting?
 - A. I've read it multiple times.
- Q. I want to direct your attention to page 4, which is where some of the information that you provided to the sheriff is described. Do you got it?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Down at the bottom there's a mention of some reservations that you had about Karl being promoted to the captain of the extemporaneous team. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.

A. Well, at the end of the '12-'13 -2012-2013 school year, kids that wanted to be officers
on the team were expected to give short speeches.
Yeah, all I was asking of them is a couple -- like a
two-minute speech letting the team know how they were
qualified and why they should be selected for that
position. All of the kids pretty much took it

Q. Okay. What happened with Karl?

seriously, sincerely, except for Karl. He didn't.

A. He approached it a bit like a joke and for me to be -- it's funny. I'm pretty good at times of remembering things and other times it's like, you know, what specifically did he say. But I recall that he just clowned around and made a joke of it. And I spoke with him afterwards about that, about my concerns, about him not taking that seriously that everybody else had.

And, in fact, the kids still voted even if the students were running unopposed, and he was, he didn't receive a full vote of confidence from the team, he didn't get a unanimous vote, and I let him know that even the team was -- that that was even an indicator that the team was, I guess, maybe not completely supportive of him and what he was doing.

Q. And how did he react or respond to the

Q. Tell me as best as you can can what you recall about the reservations you had about Karl being made captain of the extemporaneous team.

A. I guess my reservations were that we could continue -- we would be able to work together in a cooperative way, in a way that would best meet the needs of all the team members that were competing in that event.

Q. Okay. Did you have -- and I guess I've jumped ahead to the 2012-2013 school year, obviously. So before I do that, let me just circle back for a second. Is there anything else that you recall about the 2011-2012 school year or debate season and your dealings with Karl Pierson that stand out in your mind?

A. Okay. At the end of the school year, we revamped kind of the descriptions of the officer positions, the expectations of the descriptions of that, and Karl was a part of that kind of student committee we all worked together on to develop those. When it came time to then, in fact, start to select officers, I found it -- I'm trying to think of a better word other than to say surprisingly cocky that he and another student, (THE STUDENT), had made what I would best call -- well, I think they said it to me, a

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

303-832-5966/800-525-8490

2.2

2.5

gentleman's agreement that Karl would run this next year for the captain position, which was a newly created position, hadn't existed before, and then (THE STUDENT) would have that opportunity the following year. Of course I'm thinking, Then what happens senior year? You know, I found that an interesting kind of behind-the-scenes development.

- Q. Okay. And what, if anything, can you recall about this transition from Karl being an unself-confident kid to a cocky student? It seems like a fairly dramatic transformation.
 - A. I'm sorry. Could you --
- Q. Sure. That's exactly what I want you to do is say that was a bad question, so fix it.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. So let me break it into multiple pieces.
 - A. Okay. Thanks.
- Q. You had mentioned that when you started as the debate coach in the '11-'12 school year, Karl struck you as a student who lacked confidence, right?
 - A. Absolutely. Yes. I'm sorry, yes.
- Q. And what you just described to me was Karl being cocky, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And would you agree that's a pretty

him come out of his shell. But this was way over the top. We were starting to see that.

- Q. I'm getting the sense that he had sort of turned into a narcissist or ego maniac?
 - A. A bit, yes.
- Q. And that transition, at least as you observed it, happened --
- A. Between sophomore and junior year, I would say.
- Q. Okay. And was that the basis for the statement that you had reservations about Karl being promoted to captain of the extemp team?
- A. Or continuing in this case, I guess. It would have been his second year doing that, yes.
- MR. EVERALL: Could I ask a point of clarification?

MR. ROCHE: Sure.

MR. EVERALL: What time of year was he selected as for the captain the first time? Was that at the end of the sophomore year?

THE DEPONENT: Yes.

MR. EVERALL: Not the beginning of the junior year?

THE DEPONENT: No.

MR. EVERALL: So then he went off to the

substantial transformation for a student to make to go from being unself-confident to cocky?

A. Yes.

Q. And to what, if anything, do you attribute that change in Karl Pierson?

A. I'm not exactly sure. I know that he -if I keep my school years straight, between his
sophomore and junior year, he attended a camp again.
And I know he came back that year exceedingly
confident, almost arrogant, and it was -- part of the
process of students being in leadership positions is
for hopefully the students themselves to grow and to
help others grow in leadership skills and in I guess
just skills in general and maturity.

I was starting to see that -- and this is probably an -- this is maybe a bit of an exaggeration, but I was beginning to get a bit concerned that maybe -- I was starting to see a -- him going over the top. You know, I don't know that I would want to use the word "monster" necessarily, but all of a sudden it's like, Wow, where did this come from?

Because even, you know, talking with the assistant coach in the first year and Ginger Glodowske the next couple of years, you know, we agreed -- we all wanted to see Karl grow and we all wanted to see

second camp that summer?

THE DEPONENT: Yes.

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Were there any other issues or concerns that you had that gave you reservations about Karl being promoted to captain of the extemporaneous team?
 - A. In that spring of 2013?
- Q. Or the spring of 2012 when he was first promoted captain.
- A. Not -- probably not -- actually, no, not in 2012. As a matter of fact, if anything, my hope was that this would help him grow, help him mature, and it would be good for the team.
 - Q. And did it?
- A. Not really. Not really at all. It was, I would say, in a lot of ways strikingly disappointing.

Q. In what ways was it strikingly disappointing to you?

A. In, I guess, Karl's unwillingness to accept guidance from me as a coach, to accept constructive criticism when it came to working with the kids at practice. It was a bit of a -- kind of a push me/pull you kind of battle throughout the school year. And, yeah, that was -- like I said, that was

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

TRACY MURPHY

disconcerting.

2.2

- Q. I take it from what you just said that your working relationship with Karl deteriorated during that junior year?
 - A. I would say so, yes.
- Q. He wouldn't listen to you, wouldn't take your criticism, wouldn't take criticism from other students?
- A. Yeah. I would say yes, and it was even witnessed sometimes, my interactions with Karl; and I would talk to him after practice or say before practice, just he and I, and Carla Brachtenbach, my library clerk, often would either witness or hear the conversation. I mean, it's pretty close quarters right there.

Q. Sure.

A. I mean, we try to be reasonably private, but there is only so much I can do sometimes, you know, and --

Q. Can you give me -- go ahead.

- A. I was going to say, and often Carla would comment to me after the interaction how argumentative and disrespectful Karl had been to me.
- Q. Can you give me some examples of an example of that?

A. Maybe the discussion would go something along the lines of use of the time -- we have a limited amount of time for practice. Karl would often want to run the practices a bit like a college professor, where he is lecturing to the kids, either about topics -- in particular about topics, kind of spouting his knowledge about politics or economics or whatever. And we really just didn't have that much time.

You know, it was -- I could see conversations and discussions like that on occasion, but what the kids really needed to do was take some opportunities to work with practice questions, take a few minutes -- take a question, take a few minutes, deliver an introduction, deliver the three main points they would give in a speech, those kinds of things. Give them some opportunity to develop the skills that they were going to use that coming Saturday or in the future.

Q. Okay. Do you recall, did you voice any of the concerns that you had about Karl at this time to anyone within the Arapahoe administration?

A. Let me see. I don't recall having any conversations with any -- let me see. Did we meet with the administrators? You know, I'm just thinking,

because it would have been -- Brian Jesse would have been the assistant principal for activities. I don't -- I don't remember having a specific conversation to an administrator that year about Karl, no.

Q. Okay. What about -- strike that.

Again, we're in this 2012, spring of 2013, time frame. Did any of the other students on the debate team voice any concerns to you about Karl?

- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. If you'll flip to the next page of the sheriff's report. At the top you can see that you refer to your discussions with Karl as being argumentative. Is that what you just told me about?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The next sentence in the sheriff's report mentions that "Karl displayed poor decision making at the tournaments." Do you see that? It's in that very top paragraph.
 - A. I do, yes.
- Q. Okay. Was that a frequent occurrence that Karl displayed poor decision making at tournaments?
- A. I can think of -- and, again, it's, you know, outlined in the report the specific example is one that particularly comes to mind.

1 O Rio

A. I'm trying to think of other instances.

Q. And that's what I was trying to get at, because I know about the one incident. Were there others as well?

A. Yeah, I'm trying to recall if there were. I mean, this is all I remember right now.

- Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about the incident that's described in the next paragraph of the sheriff's report where Karl apparently announced in a debate that, I woke up this morning and realized that my penis has fallen off. Probably not something you should say at a debate tournament?
 - A. No.
- Q. So the other kids on the debate team came and told you about that?
 - A. They wouldn't give me details.
- Q. What did you hear from the other students about that incident?
- A. I knew that -- okay. He had been in the finals of that tournament. I think it was a Green Mountain tournament. I wasn't able to observe it or witness it and neither was Ginger because we were both judging other events. It's one of the things that happens at speech and debate teams, we get pulled in

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

36

7/20/2015

different directions. A number of kids on our team did sit in the audience and hear Karl's speech, and I remember even Ginger commenting to me that she had heard there was something up with his speech, but she didn't know what it was either. And the kids wouldn't tell her and the kids wouldn't tell me.

I always met with the officers the Monday of each week with the idea that we would review the previous tournament successes, maybe, you know, areas where we could improve, those kind of things, and then try to plan out the upcoming weeks, what the focus would be, what things would need to be addressed in the meetings, and that kind of stuff. And to be honest with you, I had no clue what this was about because had I, I would not have asked him about it in front of the other officers, especially based upon his response to me because he seemed pretty proud of himself.

I said, you know, Karl, I'm hearing about this, can you tell me what is going on? And he said, Sure, here, I'll just do it right now. And I go, Okay. And he got up in front of me and the other officers and proceeded to give this instruction, which I guess was maybe like lyrics from a song or something, I don't know. But the point was as soon as say or get away with. He wanted to create kind of a shock value to stand out in the competition. And I said he found it.

And then I said, you know, I think -- I said something to the effect of, You found the line and you crossed it, and you can't even see where the line is right now. And he's telling me that he gets it. He understood. I said, Well, I hope so. I also said to him, you know, this isn't like open mic night at Comedy Works. This isn't -- this is -- you know, he's representing Arapahoe High School, you know, he's representing our team, his school, and that absolutely that was way beyond acceptable.

- Q. And did he seem at all remorseful?
- A. No.
- Q. And when Karl told you that he was trying to find where the boundary was, did that concern you?
- A. Yes, that I -- that given the fact that he wouldn't see that that was beyond the boundary.
- Q. After this incident at the Green Mountain tournament occurred, did you discuss it with anybody from the Arapahoe administration?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. Did you discuss it with any of the other teachers at Arapahoe?

34

there's no way I could make that leap from whatever

Q. Sure.

topic --

A. -- to this, to this introduction, and I stopped him. And I said, you know, We need to talk after -- him and I separately after the officers' meeting.

I heard it, I was shocked because I couldn't --

Q. All right. And now let's jump to that. You -- this is all on the Monday after the debate?

- Q. And that Monday Karl gives his rehash of what he had said at the Green Mountain tournament and then you met with him after the other officers had left?
- Q. Okay. And as best as you can recall, tell me what you said and what he said.

A. Well, I mean, I asked him something to the effect of what was he thinking. I asked him if there were female students in the audience, did he have any understanding of what sexual harassment is, how inappropriate this was. I told him I was very concerned. And his response to me was that he was trying to find the boundaries of what he could kind of

A. I talked with Ginger Glodowske, my assistant coach. I don't remember having a conversation with other teachers about this, no.

Q. Was Karl disciplined in any way for this incident?

A. Other than warned that he had crossed a line.

- Q. It's my understanding that Karl's parents divorced sometime in the 2012-2013 time period?
 - A. That's my understanding too, yes.
- Q. Were you aware of the fact that Karl's parents were going through a divorce at the time it was going on?
- A. His mother told me at the fall parent-teacher conferences.
 - O. Fall of 2013?
- A. '12-'13, yeah, 2012.
- Q. Okay. So the fall of 2012 you learned that Karl's parents were going through a divorce?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you make any connection between this change in behavior that you saw in Karl and his parents going through a divorce, or was that something you were even looking for?
 - A. I can't say I necessarily was looking for

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

2.0

2.3

2.4

that. I had an awareness and I'm -- I don't mean to sound callous, a lot of kids' parents go through divorce. I don't know what the percentage is at Arapahoe, but I imagine it's significant. So I wasn't dismissing it, per se, but I wasn't placing that as a catalyst, I guess, for his behavior.

- Q. Okay. Did you ever have any kind of conversations with Karl about how he was doing with his parents getting divorced, anything like that?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. And I understand from -- well, let me ask the question more generically. Describe as best as you can what your working relationship with Karl was in the 2012-2013 school year.
- A. Okay. So you mean in terms of like friendly, warm?

Q. Yes.

A. Okay. I would say almost more business like probably. Karl didn't -- it wasn't necessarily easy for me to get close to -- he didn't, I guess, provide those types of openings. You know, sometimes students do, sometimes they don't and -- so it was pretty much on a -- kind of a -- it sounds weird to say it this way, almost a professional kind of interaction in that sense. It wasn't like overly

a close, close relationship, but I think a reasonably good relationship with Karen Gerlich. I believe she was his freshman U.S. history teacher and I believe AP teacher his senior year. Brad Meyer possibly because I believe he took AP gov. You know, Karl ran cross-country, so he may have been close to the coaches there.

- Q. Okay. It sounds like you had some conversations with Karen Gerlich after the shooting about Karl?
 - A. And before.
- Q. Okay. Tell me about the conversations with Karen Gerlich about Karl Pierson. Let's start with the before-the-shooting discussions.
- A. Karen's office is in the library. She's the instructional coach, and sometime over the years that office kind of became transition from being the librarian's office to the instructional coach's office. In the 2013-2014 school year, in that November, we had a faculty meeting that focused on safety and security. By this point, I had already had some extreme negative interactions with Karl Pierson. I was very concerned and kept it mostly to myself out of privacy concerns for him.

After that meeting -- and I had gotten to

friendly.

Q. Okay.

A. I mean, it wasn't antagonistic necessarily or at least it wasn't -- I try not to make it that way, at least not from my direction, if that makes sense.

Q. Okay. No, I appreciate that. From what I can see -- and I guess I want your opinion on this. Was there any teacher or faculty member at Arapahoe who knew Karl Pierson better than you did given that you were his coach?

A. Boy, that's a hard one to call.

Possibly. And, you know, I really -- because I -- the reason I say that is, you know, sometimes some of the students and teachers do get fairly close, especially if they're in advance classes or -- you know, like AP American history, AP gov, those type of classes, just because of the level of skills and content, materials. So it is possible that there were others that were as close.

- Q. Based on your dealings with Karl Pierson, are you aware of any teachers or administrators that he had that kind of close relationship with at Arapahoe?
 - A. After the fact, I don't know that he had

know Karen better that year. That was her first year she officed in the library as the instructional coach. We had had, you know, just collegial conversations and

had gotten to know each other fairly well. And I
 wasn't sure -- I kept thinking that she had Karl as a
 student, but I also knew that I was concerned that if
 Karl became violent, that he would become violent in

the library. So after that meeting, I talked to her and told her my concerns.

Q. This is that meeting on November 20 after the faculty meeting?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And we're definitely going to get to that meeting and talk through that, but as background to that, what do you recall saying to Karen Gerlich after that November 20 faculty meeting?

A. That I had some real concerns about Karl doing something violent, becoming violent, and that it was based on an interaction I had with him and his mother, and I gave her some brief background to that. And that I was hesitant to talk to her about it but felt that -- I was more concerned about her safety than his privacy.

Q. Okay.

A. And I wanted her to be aware of my

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

concerns.

- Q. Okay. And what was Karen's reaction to your comments to her that you were still concerned that Karl might do something violent, and if he did, it was likely to be in the library?
 - A. She took me seriously.
 - O. Okav.

Davis v. Littleton Public Schools

- A. In other words, she believed what I was saying. I think more based on her knowledge of me and interactions with me versus interactions with Karl, because I think her interactions with Karl had been generally positive, but she did take me seriously. She didn't dismiss me. She didn't say, Tracy, I don't think that's going to happen. That's not what I'm seeing. You know, I'm taking what you're saying seriously.
- Q. And I want to explore also your comment that you were more concerned about her safety than Karl's privacy. I've heard from other witnesses that there was either a policy or a culture of teachers not discussing behavioral problems with students among themselves. Was that your impression at Arapahoe High School as well?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me what you can about that policy or

A. Boy, I'm trying to think of specific instances where that was happening. Again, it would likely to have been during a faculty meeting.

- Q. Okay. But in broad strokes, those guidelines and expectations were, Don't talk about problems with the students among yourselves?
 - A. I would say yes.
- Q. Okay. Has that changed since the shooting, those guidelines and expectations about not talking about behavioral or emotional problems that students may be having among the faculty and administration?
 - A. Yes, to some degree.
 - Q. Explain that, if you would.
- A. All right. Initially in meetings, faculty meetings, early -- after December 13 and January/February, I know that Natalie talked about her concerns with FERPA, our interpretation of FERPA. And the faculty brought that up during the meetings. Initially the idea was to try to have student records more open to most any staff member that felt there was a -- they had a need to know. That became -- that was quickly restricted after -- within a short time by central office administration with the idea that -- couldn't approach it quite so openly. It would have

culture.

A. Well, it's commonly referred to as -- based on FERPA. I'm trying to think of what that stands for now, but kind of like HIPAA in the health field.

Q. Sure.

- A. Trying to protect students' privacy, and so generally there were not conversations that I was aware of necessarily between, you know, faculty about situations involving student privacy issues, whether it's grades or behavioral issues or whatever. And the same went between administration and the faculty too. The idea was on an as-needed basis.
- Q. Okay. And were you -- did you receive any training or handouts or documents from the school or from the district that instructed you about the restrictions on discussing student behavioral issues among the faculty and the administration?
- A. Not aware of any handouts. It may have become a point of discussion from time to time in faculty meetings reminding teachers or staff, you know, guidelines, expectations.
- Q. Okay. And those guidelines or expectations were told to you by the administration at Arapahoe?

to be really, again, those -- maybe those teachers that had -- you know, had a student or were working specifically with a student, but even then it had to be more or less on a case-by-case basis.

O. Let me --

A. I guess -- I know I'm sounding like I'm not being very clear, and I'm not sure that a hard rule has been necessarily established with expectations as to what can be shared and what can't be shared.

Q. But --

- A. I think there has been an approach to try to open things up a bit.
- Q. Okay. And it sounds to me, and I want to make sure I get this right, very shortly after the shooting, Natalie Pramenko proposed opening the student behavioral records to essentially any faculty or staff member?
- A. Maybe not quite exactly like that, but more so, yes.
- Q. Okay. And was that done in response to complaints or concerns that the teachers and faculty had voiced to Ms. Pramenko?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. And in response to Ms. Pramenko's

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

proposal to open those records up more fully, you said central office restricted that. When you say "central office," my question is who are you referring to?

- A. Nate Thompson, Brian Jesse were at these meetings, Melissa Cooper, and they were basically saying, you know, there's still some limitations in regards to the laws to what can be made more readily available to staff members.
- Q. Okay. And now -- and I understand there may not be a hard and fast policy in place. Now, currently, what is your understanding of what a faculty member would have to do in order to get access to a student's behavioral records?
- A. If I understand correctly, some information would be available through Infinite Campus in a -- oh, shoot, under a tab that a teacher could put brief comments or brief information about an interaction with a student. If I understand correctly, teachers can see what other teachers have commented about a student or interactions.

However, there's a counseling administrative counterpart to this that, again, if I understand, is not available freely to teachers who say they have a student in class and they wanted to see that, they wouldn't be able to see that directly.

Q. Okay. That is actually a good lead into the next set of questions that I had of you. I'll direct your attention to Exhibit 11, if I could, and specifically to page 5. And I will tell you Exhibit 11 is a series of written questions that I asked of LPS and their written answers to those questions, which is part of the arbitration process. And you'll see on page 5, LPS has listed in chronological order those individuals who expressed concerns to the administration about Karl Pierson prior to December 13, 2013. Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. And we've talked about the first incident, the November 2011 Jackie Price/Kelly Talen incident, right?

A. Okay. Yes.

Q. The next incident is something that happened in March of 2013 in Dan Swomley's class. Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. Was that an incident that you were made aware of in the spring of 2013, or was this an incident that was one of these that teachers aren't supposed to talk about it amongst themselves situations?

A. The second. I was aware that there had been -- I heard kind of a rumor through the kids that there had been something with Karl in one of his classes. Again, out of respect for his privacy and, I guess, just out of respect for him, I didn't pursue that specifically because I didn't have the details. I didn't know what teacher. I didn't know specifics about it. I just was aware that something had happened. I found out later more specifically. Yeah, so, again, kind of following the idea of respecting student privacy, I left it alone.

Q. Okay. And you can see that in the discovery responses, there's a discussion of Kevin Kolasa suggesting to Karl's parents that he undergo some anger management therapy. Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Do you recall, did Kevin Kolasa ever come back to you as Karl's coach about whether or not Karl was having anger management issues in your -- on the debate team?

A. No, he never did.

Q. Did Mr. Kolasa ever come talk to you as Karl's debate coach at all about the incident that is described related to the suspension that came from Karl's outburst in Mr. Swomley's class?

A. No, he never did.(Deposition Exhibit 32 was marked.)

Q. Mr. Murphy, have you seen Exhibit 32 pefore?

A. I don't believe so. Boy, in the release of the 2300 pages, I looked through a lot of that. Is this in that?

Q. It is. So let me ask the question more precisely.

A. So I may have seen it then, but I didn't see it before that, which would have been a month ago or so.

Q. And that was -- I was going to be more precise. This is not a document you had seen prior to the shooting?

A. Absolutely not. No, sir.

Q. Okay. Now, I want to direct your attention to -- you'll see in the bottom right there page numbers ACSO and then a dash. There is one that's 191.

A. Okay.

Q. It's a handwritten student statement.

A. Yes

Q. Is that Karl's handwriting?

A. Sure.

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

49 51 1 1 Q. Okay. Q. Do you know from any source what Karl's 2 2 A. You know, I would say so. That looks reputation around the school was among the students by 3 3 like his signature. the spring of 2013, essentially the end of his junior 4 Q. Okay. Does it surprise you that Karl 4 year? 5 5 Pierson was suspended formally from school for yelling A. I'm sorry, say that again. 6 6 fuck in class? Q. Sure. Do you have any sense -- and I'm 7 7 A. Does it surprise me now? Does it tying this to the end of his junior year. Do you have 8 8 surprise me -any sense from any source what Karl's reputation among 9 9 O. Sure. Now. the students at the school was? 1.0 10 A. No. A. I'm trying to recall if I had any 11 11 specific conversations with other students about Karl Q. Okay. And you'll see down below that 12 12 Karl has written, "My peers have often pushed me, and or if they brought him up to me. I can conjecture, 13 13 while I have put it away, one outburst for a decade of you know, based on my own interactions that he did not 14 14 hell is unfair," just above his signature. Do you see have a good reputation. Can -- okay. When I demoted 15 15 that? him in the fall of 2013-2014, again, out of concern 16 A. I do see that. for his privacy that students would ask me on the team 17 17 Q. Does it surprise you to see that Karl what was going on, what happened, I didn't go into 18 perceived that he had been, in March of 2013, 18 details, but I recall (THE STUDENT) saying to me, and 19 19 (THE STUDENT) was one of the other extempors, Karl's subjected to a decade of hell at school? 20 20 mouth finally got him in trouble. A. Yes. 21 21 Q. That's what (THE STUDENT) said to you? Q. I take it you were not aware in the 22 22 spring of 2013 that Karl perceived himself to have A. Yeah. Which tells me that -- and 23 23 endured a decade of hell at school? (THE STUDENT) was one of his closest friends, but that 24 24 A. Correct. tells me that it wasn't a surprise to kids that Karl 25 25 Q. Would you have expected the had crossed the line. 50 52 1 1 administration at Arapahoe to follow up on this O. Okav. 2 statement by Karl that he had endured a decade of hell A. If that helps to answer the question. 3 3 when he provided this to Kevin Kolasa? Q. It does. I'm just trying to get a sense 4 4 A. I would hope so. of what his perception among the other students was to 5 5 Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Kolasa or the extant that you know during this time period. 6 6 A. That would be hard for me to describe anybody from Arapahoe High School's administration did 7 any follow up to find out what Karl was referring to 7 right now. 8 8 when he wrote, I've endured a decade of hell? Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that in 9 9 April of 2013, Karl qualified for nationals, national A. I'm sorry, could you -- I'm not aware of 10 10 that, no. debate tournament? 11 11 Q. If you had been aware that Karl had the A. He did as an alternate. 12 12 perception that he endured a decade of hell at school, Q. Okay. And he ended up actually competing 13 13 would you have followed up and done something? in the nationals. They were somewhere down south, if 14 14 A. I think so. I hope so. I think I would I remember. 15 15 have. A. Birmingham. 16 16 Q. Have you asked anybody at Arapahoe Q. Okay. I get the sense from some of the 17 17 whether or not they did anything to find out what Karl documents that I've read that Karl and/or his mother 18 was referring to when he wrote, "One outburst for a 18 were disappointed that you didn't attend the national 19 decade of hell is unfair"? 19 tournament. Was that your perception as well? 20 20 A. I have not. A. Not -- no.

officially about this incident.

Q. I take it nobody came and asked you about

this decade of hell comment, whether it was Kevin

A. No, no one did. I was not made aware

Kolasa, Kelly Talen, Esther Song, anybody?

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Q. Okay.

A. No.

qualified?

Q. Would it be typical for you to attend the

national tournament with one of your students if they

2.4

TRACY MURPHY

2.4

A. Not necessarily. Do you want me to follow up?

Q. Yes. Absolutely.

A. Basically it becomes a funding issue. You know, are the funds -- is the money there basically to be able to pay for the coach to attend. I believe a couple years previously we had another student make it to nationals, and she, I think, also attended with a parent. I don't believe that Jared went with her. And when I had spoken with Natalie about it, basically it was going to have to be money that was coming out of the speech and debate budget, which there wasn't a lot. So it would have more than wiped that out for me to have attended, which I felt was -- I didn't feel comfortable doing that because it's the kids' money.

O. Sure.

A. And at the same time, I had a hard time justifying the cost. I said, you know, it's in Birmingham, I looked at -- I was looking at probably a good \$2500 for plane tickets, hotel room, rental car, plus food and so forth.

Q. Sure.

A. And that would have been a large chunk of what I got paid as a coach.

and they'd let me know how things went. And I never heard anything more.

Q. I seemed to recall having heard that Karl was unhappy that he didn't get the recognition from you that he thought he deserved for having made nationals. Do you recall that being an issue that Karl had with you?

A. You know, not at the time, no, because one of the things I tried to make it a point to do with our kids was to make sure their names were in our student announcements each Monday. As a matter of fact, it was kind of a ritual I had Sunday to type up an e-mail to staff responsible for the announcements, the daily announcements. And so -- and, you know, I always put their names in there and pushed, a lot of times with the staff and the administration, to have their names read aloud.

And generally the rule was unless the kids made it to state or nationals, that wasn't the case. But at least it would be on the Web site. The parents could see it, the others would see it, and I thought that was important. I built up our Web site so that it was a place of recognition for our kids too. We typically took pictures of kids with their ribbons, trophies, those type of things. And then we

Q. Right.

A. It's not like we have booster parents or booster club raising funds like the football team or whatever

Q. Sure. Absolutely. And Karl was the only kid who went to nationals that year?

A. From our school, yes.

Q. And my -- well, strike that.

What was Karl's reaction to his results at nationals?

A. Excited, exuberant, you know, over the top, happy.

Q. Did he do well over at nationals?

A. No, he did not.

Q. And what was his reaction to the fact that he didn't do well at nationals?

A. You know, I honestly -- I didn't have a conversation with him about that specifically other than, you know, just a brief maybe conversation, informal. It was -- you know, it was something that he and his mother didn't communicate to me how he had done. I think there had been some communications and texting between his mother, Barbara, and Ginger, our assistant coach, but nothing directly with me other than letting me know they had arrived in Birmingham,

had -- each April, we had an end-of-year celebration, which was an opportunity for kids to receive recognition among team peers and their parents and made it a nice program for them, an opportunity for them to shine that way. So I think there were multiple ways that Karl received recognition of that accomplishment.

Q. Okay. One --

A. I'm not sure what more he wanted.

Q. Right. Candidly, I don't think any of us does. One tangental question, do you recall ever having any concerns or hearing any reports that any of Karl's speeches focused on inappropriate subjects, violence, guns, shootings, anything like that?

A. Not that I'm aware of because of the nature and national extemporaneous speeches, I suppose those could have come up, you know, gun control, gun violence, those type of things, wouldn't have been out of bounds for a question.

Q. Okay. And I'm asking even more broadly than just at the national tournament. I mean --

A. No, I'm talking about any tournament, in any tournament, yeah.

Q. Okay. Thanks.

A. It wouldn't have been an unusual topic.

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Davis v. Littleton Public Schools TRACY MURPHY 57 59 1 1 (Deposition Exhibit 33 was marked.) obviously something that we would be very interested 2 2 Q. Exhibit 33 is a document that you in. We've been going about an hour and a half, why 3 3 probably have not seen before, but maybe you saw don't we take a quick break, refill on coffee, or just 4 4 during the release of documents from the sheriff's take a few minutes. 5 5 office. It is a statement given by Barbara Pierson (Recess taken, 11:02 a.m. to 11:22 a.m., 6 6 after the shooting after the sheriff's investigation. after which time Mr. Davis was not present.) 7 7 MR. ROCHE: We are back on the record. Do you see that? 8 8 A. I do. Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) One question I neglected 9 9 to ask about, the incident at the Green Mountain Q. I want to direct your attention to the 10 10 second page of Mrs. Pierson's statement. In the tournament where Karl crossed the line in one of his 11 11 middle of the page, she mentions the fact that "On speeches, did you ever talk with either of Karl's 12 August 11, 2013, Karl totaled his car after he left 12 parents about that incident? 13 the McDonalds where he worked." Do you see that? 13 A. I did not. 14 14 A. I do. Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 33, which is 15 15 Q. I guess the first question I have is, Barbara Pierson's statement. 16 16 were you aware in the fall of 2013 that Karl had A. Okay. 17 17 totaled his car? Q. There is a reference in the middle of the 18 A. I was not aware, no. 18 page to -- well, the text messages. In that same 19 19 Q. Apparently he had been very angry over paragraph it says, "Ms. Pierson advised that 20 something that happened at work and ran a stop sign 20 Mr. Murphy said that he didn't feel that Karl was 21 21 that's just -living up to the honor code of the National Forensics 22 22 A. Again, I wasn't aware of it until League." Do you see that? 23 A. I do. 23 documents like this came out. 24 24 Q. Were you aware -- and now I'm going to Q. Is that, from what you can see, a 25 pinpoint. Prior to the threat that he made against 25 reference from the meeting you had where Karl was 58 60 1 1 you when you demoted him, were you aware in the August demoted from his captaincy on the debate team? 2 time frame in 2013, that Karl was having serious anger A. That would be my guess, yes. 3 3 issues? Q. Okay. We're now up to essentially the 4 4 beginning of Karl Pierson's senior year in terms of 5 5 Q. In the next paragraph you can see that the chronology of what we've been discussing. Were 6 6 Ms. Pierson reported that on September 3, 2013, she there any other incidents that concerned you about 7 7 received a text message from you asking to meet with Karl that we haven't addressed through that time 8 8 her and Karl. Do you see that? period? 9 9 A. I do. A. The time period of? 10 10 Q. My first question is a housekeeping Q. Have we covered all of the incidents that 11 question which is, do you still have your text 11 you -- or encounters that you had with Karl that 12 12 messages between yourself and Barbara Pierson? concerned you prior to his senior year? 13 13 A. I would say so. 14 14 Q. And do you also have your text messages Q. Okay. Now, we're on senior year. Let's 15 between yourself and Karl Pierson? 15 actually mark one other thing as an exhibit. 16 16 A. I do. (Deposition Exhibit 34 was marked.) 17 17 Q. Have you provided those to the attorneys Q. You'll see Exhibit 34 is a progress 18 for Littleton Public Schools? 18 report prepared by the Arapahoe Sheriff's Office and 19 A. I have not. 19 the subject is "Interview with Tracy Murphy." Do you

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

well with LPS.

MR. ROCHE: I'm going to ask if I could

MR. ROCHE: You guys will talk about it.

get a copy of those. I'll obviously share those as

MR. KAISER: We'll talk about it.

I don't need an answer right now, but those are

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

see that?

after the shooting?

A. That evening, yes.

Q. And do you recall giving a statement to

the Arapahoe County sheriffs shortly or immediately

2.0

Q. Okay. And the incident that I want to ask you about is a conversation that you had with Jeff Corson shortly after the 2013-2014 school year started. Do you recall a conversation with Jeff Corson?

A. I do.

Q. Tell me about that conversation, if you would.

A. I believe it was the second day of school Jeff came down to the library, sought me out. He wanted to talk to me about Karl Pierson. He was having -- already having some concerns about Karl in his class and he -- Jeff had talked to -- what I gathered, had talked to teachers in the social studies office if anybody knew Karl Pierson fairly well, and they were directed to me since he was in speech and debate and he was the captain of the team.

So he came to talk to me about Karl being verbally abusive, in essence being a bully in his class to other students. Jeff was teaching an international relations social study elective course open from freshman through seniors. So he had a wide spectrum of ability levels or whatever. And I'll also toss this in, with any elective, you run the possibility of kids in the class that it fits their

advice to --

A. I did not.

Q. -- give to Mr. Corson about how to control Karl's behavior?

A. I didn't.

Q. I take it then that's because you also weren't having any luck controlling Karl's behavior at that time?

A. That's correct.

Q. Do you know, did either you or Mr. Corson report this incident to the administration at Arapahoe?

A. I did not. I don't know if Jeff did.

Q. Is there a reason that you didn't report the incident to the administration at Arapahoe?

A. Yeah, I would be -- I think, you know, twofold. One, I didn't directly observe it. I wasn't the classroom teacher that was directly involved. And having -- you know, being a coach of an extracurricular activity, it would have been in essence kind of like, I don't know if I would say stepping on Jeff's toes to do that, but it would have been Jeff's responsibility of either of us to talk to administration about that.

Q. Okay. And, again, I'm looking at page 6

schedule, maybe not their strength or high interest.

Q. Sure.

A. But I think it was for Karl, but what was happening was Karl was yelling at kids and telling kids they were stupid when they would make comments or observations about something that was being discussed in class.

Q. And this was all information that Jeff Corson reported to you?

A. Yes.

(At this time Mr. Davis entered the room.)

Q. Were you surprised to hear that Karl was telling students in Mr. Corson's class that they were stupid and that he was bullying them verbally?

A. By this time, no, to be honest with you.

Q. And as I understand it, one of the things that Mr. Corson came to you about was, What do I do with this kid, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And your answer to him, and I'm looking at page 6 of your statement was, Good luck, he sees things how he sees things, right?

A. Something along those lines, yes.

Q. In other words, you didn't have any

of your statement, when Mr. Corson asked you, How do I get through to him, meaning Karl. Did you have any further discussion beyond your statement of good luck and he sees things how he sees things?

A. Yeah, I really -- I think I was just -- at that point, I had no idea. I was -- I thought I don't know what would work with this -- the student, with getting him to see things in a different way. So I didn't have any advice for Jeff.

Q. And you didn't suggest that Mr. Corson report this incident to the administration at Arapahoe?

A. I don't -- I may have suggested -- I think I suggested he contact Karl's mother and talk to her about it.

Q. Do you recall -- when is the first time that you contacted the administration at Arapahoe about any of your concerns regarding Karl Pierson?

A. I'm trying to think if I had any conversations specifically with Brian Jesse the previous year. Again, not being evasive, I don't recall if I did or didn't, but I do know I had a conversation with Natalie Pramenko shortly after my meeting with Karl and his mother on September 3.

Q. Okay. And setting aside the possible

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

TRACY MURPHY

2.5

Brian Jesse conversations during junior year, the first other communications you had had with the administration with Karl Pierson would have been in connection with the demotion of Karl as a captain and the ensuing threat; is that right?

A. Yeah, actually, I'm sorry to step back. I had spoken with Brian Jesse the previous week, that Friday, whatever that date was, but it was the Friday before September 3 -- because that was the Friday before Labor Day weekend because it was a three-day weekend -- about some concerns I had with the team in general, and my thoughts about having a meeting with veteran members of the team.

And by this point I had had two negative interactions with Karl already that year and the year was just a week old. And I said to Brian that, Based upon interactions the previous year and what was already happening that year, I felt that Karl wasn't meeting the standards for being a leader or a captain on the team, and I was planning on demoting him. I wasn't removing him from the team. Brian was supportive of both that action as well as my meeting with the team and talking to the team about my concerns.

Q. Now, you were talking to Brian Jesse

Q. Do you recall having a concern in your own mind about how Karl would react to losing his captain seat?

A. Yeah, I knew he wasn't going to like it, obviously. I didn't expect the reaction that I got. I guess I was looking at it in the perspective of the big picture, it's not the end of the world kind of thing, you're still a member of the team. And even -- I guess I'll go ahead and carry this forward a little bit. Even in my conversation with him and his mother, even with him screaming at me, my -- I told him that I would hope that he would continue to participate and compete and show me that -- you know, that he can mature and he can develop the skills that he can be a leader on the team. And so I was even -- so I guess to answer your question, no, I didn't -- I wasn't exactly sure what his response was going to be, but I, by no means, didn't expect the response that I got.

Q. Now, let's talk -- you mentioned that your decision to remove Karl as captain of the extemp debate team was triggered in part by two negative interactions you had with Karl at the very beginning of senior year, correct?

A. Yeah, I think those kind of -- yeah, kind of became the straws that broke the camel's back kind

because he was the student activities director; is that right?

A. Yes, that's correct.

Q. Okay. I take it you went to Brian before you met with Karl and his mother, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And were you going to him to get permission or clearance to demote Karl or --

A. I don't know that it was -- it was probably more of -- I wanted to confirm that I had his support that that was a good decision on my part, but I don't think I was really looking for permission, per se.

Q. Okay.

A. Does that make sense?

Q. Yes. Did Brian Jesse know Karl Pierson or do you know?

A. Other than maybe some interactions with him with speech and debate, I don't know beyond that.

Q. Okay. During your discussion with Brian Jesse before this meeting to demote Karl Pierson, did you express any concern about how Karl might react to that demotion?

A. I don't recall having that kind of a conversation with Brian. I don't think so.

of thing. Okay, we're already at the beginning of the year, and this is not good at all.

Q. And I understand there had been earlier concerns from the previous year, how he ran the practices.

A. The election.

O. The election itself.

A. Introduction.

Q. The unwillingness to take criticism or constructive criticism from you and other students, all of these things factored into it, right?

A. Correct. And I talked to him about that.

Q. And the two negative interactions or the straw that broke the camel's back were, one, not showing up at a back to school night, right?

A. That's correct.

O. That was one.

A. And fundraiser, yes.

Q. And the other was this incident with Jeff Corson?

A. Yes. And I thought that was more serious.

Q. Were there any other incidents at the beginning of senior year that factored into your decision to remove Karl as captain?

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

A. No. I don't mean to be -- you know, I would just say -- I mean, the school year was only a week old

- Q. Understood.
- A. It was already a lot.
- Q. And I don't mean to dispute that characterization. I'm just -- one of the questions we lawyers always ask is, Anything else?
 - A. No. That was it so far.
- Q. Now, let's talk about the meeting on September 3. Other than Brian Jesse, did you discuss with anyone the fact that you were planning to remove Karl as the captain of the extemp team?
- A. Yeah, I think I talked with Ginger Glodowske, the assistant coach, about that.
 - Q. And what was Ginger's view of that?
- A. From what I recall, you know, she was supportive of my decision. She knew that, I think, Karl was going to take it hard. But, you know, she could see where I was coming from.
- Q. When you sent -- when you set up the meeting on the afternoon of September 3 with Karl and his mother, you sent a text message to both of them?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Okay. And did the text message say

have imagined that they would have seen it -- that it was going to be necessarily a positive meeting, I guess.

- Q. Now, I understand that September 3 is the day of that meeting?
 - A. It is.
- Q. It's my understanding that that was also Karl's birthday?
 - A. I did not know that.
 - Q. And that was my next question.
 - A. I did not know that.
- Q. I assume if you had known that, you would have set the meeting for a different day?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. All right. Tell me about how that meeting went. You were in room C 15; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay.

A. Yeah, the three of us sat down together, you know, pulled up three desks to kind of be grouped together. Mrs. Pierson did kind of a cordial kind of a chitchat to begin with, you know, How are you doing? And then started talking about their experience at nationals. And so we talked briefly about kind of how things went and what it was like to be in Birmingham

anything other than, I would like to meet with you? In other words, did you give any preview of what the subject was going to be?

A. No, I initially had sent a text to all of the veteran members saying, I'd like to have a meeting, and I had gotten a response from just almost everybody. Had not gotten a response from Karl in that e-mail, or that text, sorry. And then I followed up with a separate text to him and his mother separately saying, I would like to meet with them separately after the meeting. And very shortly after that, I got a reply from Karl saying, What for? Something like that. And I said, Your conduct as a captain on the team. And I don't think I got any additional text from Karl after that, but his mother followed up with some text message exchange -- and I still have those -- wanting, you know, a heads-up.

I think I replied something about, you know, his conduct or being captain of the team. She replied back something to the effect of setting expectations for the year. And I said -- I think I replied, Exactly, or something like that. And then she replied back that she had my full support and that she was hoping the team would be successful this year and so on. So we left it at that. I mean, I couldn't

and some of the logistics of what -- how the tournament went and that type of thing. And then after that, I directed the meeting to my concern at hand, and that was Karl's poor behavior, poor decision making, and the decision I had made.

Q. Okay.

A. And so I then explained that I, you know, decided that based on what I had seen from Karl last year, in particular, and then already at the beginning of this year, that he really wasn't meeting the -- he wasn't -- gosh, I apologize. Every once in a while I draw a blank on words. I was actually saying to Charlie, I'm an articulate person, believe it or not.

Q. You're doing fine.

A. He just wasn't positively reflecting on the team or the school in his behavior, and I was very concerned about that and that I felt that the best thing to do at that point was to keep him on the team but to demote him. You know, I wasn't sure what his response was going to be. I didn't expect it to be positive necessarily, obviously, but he blew up.

- Q. Okay. Tell me about that.
- A. He started screaming at me.
- O. What was he screaming?
- A. He couldn't believe it. What would --

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

75

76

73

he's yelling at me, What would Pramenko think about me demoting the only member of the team that made nationals, and, like I said, he's screaming this at

Q. Is it raised voice or close to the top of his lungs?

A. Close to the top of his lungs. It's loud.

Q. Were you scared?

A. I was taken aback by it because I was sitting as close as Charlie and I, basically, and I've got the student giving me a look of hatred and screaming. And had I thought, I would have said, I think she would support my decision because this is best for the team. But I couldn't think of anything else to say other than, Please tell her. I had nothing to hide. I felt I was on firm ground here.

Q. What else was he yelling other than, I can't believe it, and what is Pramenko going to think?

A. That he was the most successful member of the team, that the team -- members of the team wouldn't be successful without him. I tried to point out to Karl that being a leader on the team is more than just simple individual success on the leader's part. The example I used was Terrell Owens, you know, A. I do.

Q. What was he threatening, if anything, other than to shut down the Dropbox account?

A. Well, like I said, you know, to go to Pramenko about me. You know, which, again, I was fine

Q. Sure.

A. You know, and like I said, the Dropbox. I'm trying to think if there was other things he verbally -- I don't think he verbally threatened me in other ways or the team in other ways, but his verbal -- his body language was threatening in manner.

Q. Okay. Did he stay in his seat? Did he get up? Did he walk around?

A. He stayed in his seat. He did not -- I don't recall him standing up and like towering over me type of threatening. I don't believe he did that.

Q. Okay. What was Barbara Pierson doing throughout this?

A. Trying to appease, trying to make peace.

Q. What was she saying? What was she doing?

A. Well, first off, she wanted to know about the specific incidences and issues and concerns, and I was telling her about those or having Karl, when I couldn't tell her -- she wasn't aware of -- yeah, at

74

the football player. I said, you know, Here you've got possibly one of the most gifted football players ever who got bounced from team to team because he was a negative factor on teams, he had a difficult time with the coaching staff, with the players, with ownership. Regardless of how gifted he was or how talented he was, it didn't matter.

And that's what I was trying to convey to Karl. He wasn't seeing it. He was threatening to shut down -- you may be familiar with Dropbox, the software where files are shared, but he would shut that down and he would take that away from the extemp team. He had created it anyway. That -- I'm trying to think of what other things he was saying. He just wasn't seeing that there was more to being the leader on the team than his own personal success.

Q. Okay. Was he trying -- during this screaming, was he trying to reason with you or to change your mind, or was he just yelling and threatening?

A. Yelling and threatening.

Q. And in the sheriff's statement that you gave, and I'm at the top of page 7, you refer to the fact that Karl was, quote, livid and threatening. Do you see that?

this point, she had not been aware of the interaction with Jeff Corson, so evidently Jeff had not called her yet.

When we talked about his no-show no-call about the fundraiser, she corrected him and said. Karl, you know I have Mr. Murphy's text, you know, his phone number and e-mail address and stuff, you could have gotten ahold of him. So she was chastising him in a sense that way. She wasn't aware of the -- you know, the incident in -- with the introduction back in February the previous year.

Q. The Green Mountain incident?

A. Yeah. And Karl got very defensive with her.

O. Tell me about that.

A. That he was just, you know, testing the waters, you know, he was just pushing the limits a little bit, not a big deal, kind of dismissing her.

Q. Okay. I take it nothing that she said was having any effect on his behavior?

A. No, not at all. As a matter of fact, she wanted him to apologize and -- about how he was reacting to me, and he wasn't having any part of it. And I said, you know, Right now is not a good time for this, you know, Karl, if you want to apologize to me

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

77

79

7/20/2015

sometime later, but not in the heat of the moment, I don't think that would be appropriate.

As we were finishing up the meeting, she wanted him to shake hands with me, and I was okay with that. He made a big deal about -- as he's gathering his stuff up that he didn't have a hand free, and then he kind of gave me his left hand kind of backwards kind of thing. In other words, really no sincerity. As we're walking out, we're calling it quits, Mrs. Pierson realizes, I think at this point, she needs to be alone with her son, calm him down.

- Q. This is as the meeting is ending?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Let me slow you down because I do want to get to that part.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. While Karl is screaming at you, was he also screaming at his mother?
- A. Loud voice, probably not screaming at her but, yeah, he had -- he's agitated. So it wasn't like he calmed down when he talked to her.
- Q. And when he stopped screaming at you or at his mother, what did you say?
- A. Among other things, I think I recall saying that I was hoping that he, you know, would

anybody look at me that way. Yeah, I get it, kids get angry and get upset and -- but I've never experienced something like that. I've never experienced that look. I mean, it was -- I used the word there, I can't think of a better word. It was haunting.

- Q. And this was, I take it, qualitatively different in orders of magnitude in anything you had experienced in 20-plus years as an educator?
- A. Absolutely. I've never had an interaction with a student where a student has interacted with me that way and looked at me that way. It was chilling.
- Q. I take it that gave you very serious concerns about this young man's mental state?
- A. You know, when you're in the heat of the moment right at that moment, I am thinking about a lot of things, but I'm not quite going down that road yet.
 - Q. Okay. When did you start?
- A. By the time I'm driving home that night and I just -- it . . .
- Q. What was going through your mind when you drove home that night? Take your time.
- A. Well, I started playing it over, and it may sound frivolous to say I had a gut feeling, but it was developing.

78

continue to compete, that he would in essence, you know, prove me wrong, that he could be a good example to others, that he could be a good leader. Even if it's in a nonofficial capacity, I was trying to make that kind of a message or send that -- I was sending that message to him.

- Q. Okay. And in your statement on page 7 to the sheriff, you mentioned that you had never had a kid look at you with a look of hatred like he did that day. It was haunting. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Obviously part of working at a school is doing things that occasionally make kids mad, right?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. One of the job descriptions, right?
 - A. Sometimes, yeah.
- Q. And what was different about the way Karl reacted to you on September 3 from what you've had to encounter in the past?
- A. When I was -- when I made the statement that I had decided to remove him as captain or demote him, he just stopped and, like I said, he looked at me, I guess, as I was saying to Charlie and said to others, if you could have seen it, you would understand what I'm talking about. I've never had

O. Okav.

A. And I remember that night standing in the kitchen talking to my wife, and talking about this -what happened. And said I had a bad -- telling my wife literally I had a bad gut feeling about this kid that I never -- I was meeting -- the one I saw after meeting when he left that really got me thinking, and I was very concerned about his volatility.

- Q. Okay. I know from the sheriff's report that there came a time when you were seriously considering resigning --
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. -- from Arapahoe?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Had that thought entered your mind that night?
- A. Not yet.
- Q. Okay. So we'll come back to that. And, again, take your time. I understand this is very difficult memories for you.
 - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. It's totally okay. Let's go back to the meeting on September 3. How did that meeting end?
 - A. I'm not sure what you mean.
 - Q. How did it wrap up?

80

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

81

A. Well --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Reiterated your decision, Look, you're not captain, but we would like you on the team?

A. Yeah, and Mom's trying to get Karl to, you know, pull his stuff together and for them to leave for -- like I said, I think she realizes that he's over-the-top angry.

Q. Okay.

A. And when we get to the door, like I said, Barbara Pierson is trying to act as a peacemaker, trying to make nice, and she asked me -- she said -asked if I would -- they had -- she had (THE STUDENT) wait in the library, Karl's sister, and if I would let (THE STUDENT) know to meet them out in the parking lot. I don't think she said these words, but I got the message, Basically I need to get Karl out of here, I need to get Karl going.

Q. Out of the building?

A. Yeah.

O. Okav.

A. And I understood. So I started to walk toward the library, and I realized as I turned the corner, and I must have looked at my watch or whatever, but it was about a quarter to 4:00.

A. The library closes at 3:30, so Carla

Brachtenbach, the clerk, would have closed it at 3:30,

Q. Okay.

82

and any students that were in there would have just said, you know, You guys got to go to the cafeteria or go somewhere else, but we're closing. So I turned around, and as I came from

the corner from the north hallway back in the C hallway where C 15 is, Karl and his mother are walking away and he's screaming. And I've got to be honest with you, to this day I don't know what he's saying.

Q. But he's --

A. He's screaming and his hands are waving and he's just -- it's, again, something I've never seen before, and it stopped me in my tracks.

Q. The way he was behaving towards his mother as he's walking down the hall?

A. Yeah, away from me. I literally stopped because I thought I'm not going near that.

Q. Were you concerned for her safety?

A. Yeah.

Q. Concerned that he was going to be violent toward his mother as he was walking down the hall?

A. I wondered.

Q. Okay. And you couldn't hear what he was saying?

A. You know, I'm sure I could have. I'm sure I did, but it didn't register.

Q. Okay.

A. I know that may sound silly but it's true. I couldn't tell you to this day what he was saying, but it was just ranting.

Q. Do you know, did any other teachers or students observe this or hear what Karl was screaming?

A. I didn't at the time.

Q. Okay. Have you heard since, that other teachers or students heard Karl -- Karl's screaming either while you were in C 15 or as he was walking out of the building?

A. Yes.

Q. We know Mark Loptien heard the screaming in the parking lot?

A. Yes.

Q. What about screaming inside the building?

A. I don't know.

Q. You don't know whether any teachers or students heard any of that?

A. No. No.

O. Okav.

MR. KAISER: Are you okay? THE DEPONENT: Yes.

O. (BY MR. ROCHE) Like I said and it's -- I genuinely mean it. Especially as we get into this more intense questioning, just tell me, and we'll take

A. Sorry. I'm okay. I appreciate it.

Q. Did you find Karl's sister?

A. I did not because, again, I knew the library was closed, there's no students in there, they're walking away; so I'm thinking they're going to connect up when they walk through the cafeteria.

Q. Okay. So in terms of the sequence, you see Karl yelling at his mother walking down the C hallway, right?

A. Correct.

Q. And that stops you in your tracks?

A. Absolutely.

Q. They move on and leave the building, you lose sight of them at some point?

A. Yeah, because the C hallway is the connecting hallway between the north and the south hallways, and they were walking toward the south hallway. So I can't recall if I -- I believe I stood there at the corner of the intersection of where the C hallway and the north hallway are until they turn --I'm certain of it, until they turn the corner going

84

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

88

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

85

toward the cafeteria down the south hallway.

Q. Okay. And what did you do after that?

A. Gathered myself, went back into the library, tried to -- I gathered my stuff up, I was thinking about what had occurred, and as I was leaving, I thought -- I wondered if by chance if Natalie Pramenko, if she was -- if she was still in the building, if she was still in her office. So I walked by the main office as I was leaving a few minutes later and went by her -- saw the office door was open and went by and saw that she was in there and asked if she had a few minutes to talk about something that had just happened.

Q. So she was there?

A. She was.

Q. And what did Ms. Pramenko say when you told her you wanted to talk to her?

A. She said she had a few minutes.

Q. What did you tell her?

A. At that point, again, it goes back to I'm still processing, but I wanted her to be aware of the interaction I had had with Karl and his mother at that meeting, and in particular, I didn't want her blind-sided by either Karl or his mother meeting with her and her not being aware that this meeting between

A. You know, I'm trying to think -- I want to say -- I think she had an awareness of who he was, but I don't think she had like a close interaction with him that she -- you know, that she may have had with other students. She didn't have a close relationship with him or anything, but I think she had an awareness of who he was.

Q. Did she say anything to you to indicate what her awareness of Karl Pierson was in that meeting in the afternoon on September 3?

A. Boy, I don't remember right now. I'll try to see if I can -- I don't recall.

Q. Okay. During your meeting with Ms. Pramenko on the afternoon of September 3, did you express to her that you were afraid of Karl's volatility?

A. No. I was -- like I said, I didn't want to say anything yet. I was literally like formulating in my mind, maybe I shouldn't have, but I was playing it over, thinking it through. So I had not, that evening, said anything to her specifically about a concern for my safety yet.

Q. Okay. Did Ms. Pramenko -- did you ask Ms. Pramenko to do anything at that meeting?

A. No, it was really -- I really wanted just

86

the three of us had occurred and what had happened. So I wanted to bring her up to speed with what happened during the meeting.

Q. Okay. Did you tell her that Karl had been livid and threatening and looked at you with a look of hatred unlike anything you experienced in your career?

A. I'm not sure if I told her that part, but I did tell her about him screaming at me, threatening to go to Pramenko, and her response was, Let him. You know, first he'd have to work his way through Brian Jesse and work up the chain of command and that -- as we talked, I remember her saying that she was pleased with how I was conducting the team, that what I was doing was helping kids develop leadership skills, that she was pleased with that. I told her about Karl's comments about, you know, how he was so successful and so forth and that basically he was in essence bragging that, without him, the team wouldn't have been successful. At one point, she said, you know, What an ass, referring to Karl.

Q. Let me ask this. When you went to go talk to Ms. Pramenko that afternoon after Karl and his mother had left, do you know, did Ms. Pramenko know who Karl Pierson was?

to inform her. Again, I -- you mentioned before I have been an educator for -- well, 28 years now and you learn you just don't want to surprise administrators, you want to let them know of things so they're apprised of situations. And that's really what my primary purpose was with dropping by her office that day after school.

Q. Okay. And then obviously you continued to process this very unusual event over the course of the evening and discussions with your wife and all, right?

A. Absolutely. Yes.

Q. And the next morning, and, again, I'm referring to --

A. Sure.

Q. -- your statement on -- I'm on page 8 now.

Q. You said the next morning, Wednesday, you decided you were going to follow reference the incident. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And a reference is essentially a short written report that a teacher or a faculty member can prepare in connection with an incident involving a

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

.

2.4

student?

2.2

A. No. I really just was going to -- I was thinking of following up talking to Natalie about my concerns. So I wasn't -- there wasn't a form that I had in mind to complete or fill out at the time.

Q. Okay. Do you recall that Arapahoe uses something called a written reference?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I'm aware of a referral form.
- Q. And I guess that's -- let me ask the question, did you write a referral form on this incident?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Can you tell me why not?

A. Sure. It's an extracurricular activity, so I guess I would couch it in the same context of, say, a person coaching basketball or football. If they have a situation with a student -- or a player on their team, it's unlikely that they would write a referral. They would deal with it internally, speak with administration, appropriate administrator, activity director, athletic director, or whatever, or possibly the principal if that was appropriate.

I don't know if that would be a normal

with her the afternoon of September 3?

- A. She did not.
- Q. Okay. And when you told the investigators that you decided Wednesday morning you were going to follow up reference the incident, you did not mean to -- you decided to write a referral form; is that right?

A. No, I was going to just talk to -- I wanted to talk to Natalie to say -- to tell her where my feelings were, what had developed as I had been thinking overnight as I was -- I'm not exactly sure where this follow-up reference, the incident phrase, came from, but it's just -- I wanted to have a conversation with her since I had already talked to her the night before, she was familiar with it. I wanted her to be aware of my concerns.

Q. Okay. And the next statement attributed to you in this progress report is, quote, we live in a post Columbine world and it sucks. Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. And is that something you said to the investigator?

A. It was. Probably. I'm sure since it's quoted there.

Q. And my next question is, is that

procedure to follow to complete a -- to fill out a referral or something like this for an extracurricular activity, per se. I mean, I suppose if something were to happen on -- about a tournament or whatever that involved somebody being harmed or whatever, then possibly.

Q. Okay. And I just candidly didn't know whether or not the other events surrounding this incident overtook the process of writing a referral form.

A. I didn't, and I wasn't directed to do that either. Sometimes it will happen too with -- you know, you'll talk to an administrator about a situation, and they'll -- you know, you'll be told to write a referral, say, write a referral, you know, give me information so we can follow up on it that way and, you know, you have a paper trail.

Q. And that is one of the purposes of these referral forms, is to create a paper trail, right?

A. Sure.

Q. And to provide something that an administrator can then follow up on, right?

A. That's correct.

Q. I take it Ms. Pramenko didn't ask you to write up a referral form on this incident when you met

something that you said to Natalie Pramenko or any of the other administrators at Arapahoe High School in this September time frame?

- A. Yes, something along those lines, yes.
- Q. And I take it that you said that in the context of being concerned that Karl might be --
 - A. Be violent.
- Q. -- violent, come to school, and commit a shooting, right?
 - A. A violent act, yes.
- Q. Tell me as best you can recall in what context and to whom you made the statement at Arapahoe, "We live in a post Columbine world and it sucks."

A. In this case, I think there it was probably me saying this to the investigators making a statement to them.

Q. Okay. Had you also said it to administrators at Arapahoe in this September time frame?

A. Later in September, yes or -- yes, something along those lines, yes.

Q. Okay. And who did you say that to?

A. Okay. Well, you know, as you can see in -- as this continues on, that morning I had a visit by

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

Astrid Thurnau, and she actually dropped by the library to, I think, talk to Karen and Karen wasn't there.

And Astrid dropped by my office and said something to the effect, How did that goofy kid, Karl, ever make it to nationals? And I said -- we started talking, and I walked her out in the hallway, and I said, I want to talk to you about something. And I told her, I'm getting a bad gut feeling about this kid, and I kind of briefly explained what had happened the night before. And I said, I know it sounds crazy, but I'm very concerned about him doing something violent, something along those lines.

And Astrid, in essence, supported me and said, Tracy, you need to go with your gut. And actually she provided a story for me to -- from her own life where she had followed up with a gut feeling and, in essence, it saved her life. It was a scuba diving situation. And that helped confirm in my mind that, yeah, I needed to follow up with this.

So from there I walked up to the main office to talk to Natalie, but she wasn't available. So I ended up -- I believe I ran into Brian Jesse in the cafeteria. And I talked to Brian and said, Okay, here's what is going on, here's what happened the

A. Essentially, yes.

Q. And in the description of your conversation with Brian Jesse, you said you wanted to get the information out and touch base with Karl. Do you see that in the middle of the page?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. When you say you wanted to get the information out and touch base with Karl, what do you mean?

A. I -- well, what I wanted to do was to let administration know about my concerns. If I could make an aside here, as I've read through this --

Q. Yes.

A. -- there were times where there were things said here where I'm thinking, This doesn't quite make sense or I'm not sure where this is coming from or whatever so I'm not sure this is verbatim, word for word what I said, because my interview was four hours long --

Q. Sure.

A. -- with the sheriff's department, and I get that this is 21 pages, so sometimes I wonder if it's maybe summarized or condensed a little bit. But what I really want -- what I wanted to do was I wanted to, you know, talk to an administrator and I ended up

night before, and I'm pretty concerned about him,
about Karl, doing something violent. I may have said
-- I may have already said -- I don't know if I said
anything at that point about using the phrase
Columbine, but I'm afraid he is going to do something
violent.

Neither one of us knew at the time that Karl wasn't at school that day. Brian said to me that what he wanted to do, Brian Jesse, was to have a direct interaction with Karl. He wanted to find him sometime during the day and have a conversation with him, make his own observations about what he thought about where Karl was emotionally. And I left it at that and said okay.

- Q. Okay. So you're not -- well, your discussion with Astrid is described in your statement that she told you you had a bad gut feeling about Karl, and from what I understand what you meant was you were concerned that he was going to do something violent at school?
 - A. Absolutely. And I said that to her.
 - Q. Something like the Columbine shooting?
 - A Yes
- Q. And you told Brian Jesse essentially the same thing?

talking to Brian Jesse. I don't -- what I think I was saying here is that Brian wanted to touch base with Karl.

Q. Okay.

- A. Do you see what I mean?
- Q. No, and I appreciate that. And by the way, I understand this was a long interview and that this is a condensed version of it. So if there is something in here that is inconsistent with your recollection, that I definitely want to know because, you know, people make mistakes. So if there is an inconsistency between the two, just tell me, and I'll ask based on your recollection.
 - A. Okay. Thanks.
- Q. Absolutely. So it's your understanding or your belief that this was a reference to Brian Jesse touching base with Karl?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know whether or not Brian Jesse did touch base with Karl?

A. I only know that he couldn't have because Karl wasn't in school that day, but I didn't find that out until after school and -- yeah, because I don't think Brian -- gosh, I don't think now -- I don't think Brian said anything to me or we had had a chance

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

2.2

1.0

to talk. You know, I've got to be honest with you, I'm not sure on that one now as I'm talking about it, but it's obvious that Brian didn't have an opportunity to have a face to face with Karl because he wasn't in the building that day.

- Q. Did you ever hear about Brian Jesse having a face-to-face meeting with Karl Pierson in the fall of 2013?
- A. Not that I'm aware of. It basically was assigned to Kevin Kolasa.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And Kevin pretty much took it over from that point.
- Q. Okay. And, again, focusing in on this one statement, you wanted to get the information out. The information was Karl's reaction to the news that he is being demoted, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And this is all happening before you heard about the threat that was made in the parking lot, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And why did you want to get that information out?
 - A. I had a real concern that based upon my

bouncing around a little bit -- I take it you did not call either of Karl's parents? In other words, that Tuesday night?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. That night of -- or that afternoon/evening of September 3 you talked to Natalie after the meeting, did you talk to anybody else at the school about what had happened that afternoon or the evening?
 - A. No.
- Q. And then on the morning of the 4th, you talked to Astrid, and then you talked to Brian Jesse. Did you talk to anybody else about what had happened with Karl the morning of September 4?
- A. I'm trying to think if I had a conversation by chance with Carla Brachtenbach, only because she had seen interactions with Karl and I the previous year. I think I may have. I'm pretty certain. I bet I did.
- Q. Okay. Now, and maybe you know, maybe you don't. One of the confusing points in my mind about this whole sequence is I've read that after this threat was made and the administration became aware of it, that a decision was made to essentially ask Mrs. Pierson to keep Karl out of school the rest of

8 |

interaction the previous night and what I observed, that this was a potentially violent individual.

- Q. And that concern that you had about Karl committing a Columbine-like attack on the school was something that you had even before you heard that he had threatened to kill you?
 - A. Yes. You know --
 - Q. Go ahead.
- A. Because when Mark came to me and told me what he heard, it just confirmed to me that I -- for want of a better way of saying it, I wasn't crazy. I wasn't over the top. It confirmed that my gut feeling was right.
 - Q. That you weren't overreacting?
- A. Absolutely. As a matter of fact, I think I said that to Mark.
- Q. Okay. Did you consider -- again, I'm asking about this time period before you spoke with Mark Loptien. Did you consider asking that Karl be suspended from school for his outburst in that meeting?
- A. No. I guess it -- I guess in the sense of I -- no, it hadn't occurred to me to ask that question.
 - Q. The night of the 3rd -- I'm sorry, I'm

the week, right?

A. That's not how --

MR. EVERALL: I'm going to object to that but go ahead.

- A. Okay.
- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) How do you understand that sequence of events? What I'm getting at, because I'm not trying to trap you or anybody, is news of Karl's threat against you wasn't made known to the administration until Wednesday, September 4, and Karl wasn't at school September 4. So what I'm trying to get at is do you have any understanding of why he wasn't at school that day as opposed to the following two days?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Help me understand that sequence.
- A. Okay. When Kevin Kolasa was assigned to this by Natalie and he -- and after he heard from Mark and I what we had both witnessed Mark -- or Mark, Kevin, called and spoke with Barbara Pierson and then called me at home that evening to let me know that he had talked to her. And that's when he told me that she had decided to keep Karl home the rest of the week and that she was -- I think the phrase he put it -- wanting to get him some help, which I assume is

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

psychiatric help and that he -- and that Kevin I think made the statement to him that she had said to him that Karl had had deep-seated anger issues going back to when he was young.

Q. Okay.

2.2

A. So she had decided to keep him home the remainder of the week because -- the way I understood it, even before any conversation with anybody at school.

Q. Okay. And part of what I want to get at is a clearer understanding of the timing. When did -- is it your understanding that Kevin Kolasa called Barbara Pierson? Was that the night of September 3 or was that the night of September 4?

A. 4 because I met with him on the 3rd.

Q. Right. So if Kevin Kolasa called Barbara Pierson on the 4th --

A. Yes.

Q. -- is it your understanding that he was told by Barbara I decided to keep him out today --

A. And --

Q. -- independent of anything that Kevin Kolasa had told her?

A. Yeah, I believe so.

Q. Okay.

A. Well, the fact that the meeting is over and the faculty were walking out of the forum, out of the back of the forum into the library, and I think even before I actually -- or just as I'm getting out of the library or right at that point, Mark catches up with me and says, Hey, I want to talk to you about something. And then he tells me what he had observed, which was the night before after school, he had seen this student with what appeared to be, he assumed, his mother, a girl, he didn't know if it was his sister or not, coming out of the east end of the building.

I never quite understood if Mark was outside -- you know, he teaches -- one of the things he teaches, the main thing he teaches is technology, and I believe that technology classroom has a door that goes right out into the east parking lot. So I don't know if Mark was taking trash out or moving things back and forth from his car or whatever, but the point is, he was able to observe -- what catches his attention, he tells me, is this animal scream.

Q. So as best as you can recall, what words did Mark Loptien use to tell you what he saw and heard the evening of September 3?

A. The guttural animal scream coming from the east bubble. He looks up and he sees, like I

A. The idea was that she had decided to keep him home for the remainder of that week, it was her call.

 $\label{eq:Q.Did} \textbf{Q. Did Kevin tell you -- strike that.}$

You said Kevin Kolasa called you at home that evening. Was that the evening of the 4th?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Let's get to that call, but I want to walk through the rest of the 4th first, and I apologize, this is fairly painstaking.

A. No, you're fine.

Q. There was a faculty meeting Wednesday after school let out?

A. Yes.

Q. So around 2:15, 2:30, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Was there any discussion in the larger faculty meeting about Karl Pierson or what had happened in your meeting with him?

A. No.

Q. And you first became aware of the threat that Karl had made, what, from Mr. Mark Loptien?

A. Yes.

Q. Tell me about that conversation. How did that come about?

said, these three people. And he hears this kid screaming that -- words to the effect that he is going to kill Murphy. I'm going to kill Murphy.

Q. Okay. Did he tell you whether or not he heard either Mrs. Pierson or Karl's sister saying anything in response to this?

A. No, he didn't say anything about that.

Q. Did he describe Karl's body language to you?

A. It was more the -- like I said, I remember him using a phrase-like animal scream, guttural, just out there kind of -- I'm sorry, out there kind of top of his lungs screaming that really caught Mark's attention. Mark is somebody who is very level, doesn't jump to conclusions, it takes a lot to shake Mark.

Q. Was he shaken?

A. Yeah, because for him to come to me and say, Hey, you need to know about something, and I want to say Mark made the comment that, We need to talk to Natalie about this -- because I said -- when he told me this, I said something to the effect that, Okay, that helps confirm the feelings that I was already having, the concerns I was already having. And I think Mark -- I swear I think Mark was the one that

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

105

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

107

108

said, Yeah, I think we need to go and make sure Natalie is aware of this. So we did.

Q. Okay. So after Mr. Loptien told you what he observed out by the bubble, did you tell him about your concerns that Karl might do something violent, something like Columbine at Arapahoe?

A. I don't know if I made that kind of statement to Mark right at that time, but I said I had some real concerns about -- I had a meeting -- as a matter of fact, I think Mark had said he had asked around during the day if any kids -- if they're aware if anything had been going on with me and Karl, and then he then wanted to make sure I was aware of what he had observed.

Q. Okay. And did you, during that conversation, express your concern that Karl might do something violent?

A. I don't remember if I said that directly to Mark at that time.

O. Okav.

A. I probably said something along those

Q. And then the two of you both went to talk to Ms. Pramenko; is that right?

Q. So that's the second time you've gone to

speak to Natalie Pramenko about the fallout of your

A. Yes.

24

evening.

2 3

1

4 5

6 7 8

9 10 11

12 13

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

her response.

A. No. No, I was not.

you would have liked her to take it?

Q. What was her response?

A. To delegate it to someone else. I get it that not everything goes to the principal, I get that. That's why there are assistant principals. But I thought this was pretty important, and I was kind of shocked she didn't personally address it.

O. I take it she didn't take the threat that

you and Mr. Loptien reported to her as seriously as

A. No. I was taken back and surprised by

Q. Did you, in your discussions when you and Mark Loptien met with Ms. Pramenko on September 4, tell her that you were concerned for your own safety?

A. I'm not sure if we had the time to do that. I think in my mind, if I didn't say it specifically, I think it was to me self-evident. I had a student screaming he was going to kill me. That I had a -- excuse me, that I had a concern.

Q. Do you recall if you told her you believed Karl had the potential to do something violent at school?

A. I don't know if I said that to her that

O. But you believed it to be self-evident based on the fact that you were coming to her with

Mark Loptien, right?

A. Yeah. Q. Was Mark Loptien also taken aback, if you recall, or if you discussed with him, by

Ms. Pramenko's response or lack of a response?

A. I can't recall specifically. I want to say yes. Some of that is also based on, as I told you before, Mark is kind of a personality that doesn't easily get surprised by things, but he -- it's unusual of Mark to be skeptical of administration sometimes. So based on that, I can't recall specifically, but it's possible that he had a reaction similar to mine.

Q. Do you recall talking to him after you ended your conversation with Ms. Pramenko saving something to the effect of, Well, I thought she would take that more seriously, anything along those lines?

A. Yeah, I do recall that.

Q. Tell me about that conversation.

A. I hadn't really thought about that, you know, and I apologize that at times --

Q. It's okay.

A. -- I don't seem to have it right there. You know, this has been almost two years. Some things

106

meeting with Karl Pierson and his mother?

Q. Okay. Tell me about that discussion that you and Mark Loptien had with Natalie Pramenko now on September 4.

A. It was brief because Natalie -- other people had pulled Natalie aside to talk to her about other things, so we were kind of waiting our turn. And when we had the opportunity to talk to her, she -we briefly told her what Mark had observed, and she said she was going to get Kevin on this, that she had a meeting to attend at ESC, and that she would have, like I said, Kevin follow up on it.

Q. And when you and Mark Loptien went to meet with Natalie, was there something you were expecting her to do, some action you expected her to take or wanted her to take?

A. Yes.

Q. What was that?

A. Since this had to do with student and staff safety, I saw it as a priority.

Q. I take it you weren't entirely satisfied with the reaction you got from Natalie?

2.0

2.5

2.2

I can remember so vividly, but I do believe that Karl -- or Mark and I did kind of talk briefly about that we both were kind of surprised that we kind of got shuffled over to Kevin, is kind of the way we kind of took it.

Q. Okay. And, again, this is -- I understand this really is enormously difficult for you, and I appreciate you coming in and answering questions. And part of my job is to try to ask questions that will help probe your memory, and sometimes I'll succeed in that, and sometimes I won't.

A. I'm trying my best.

Q. So no need to apologize. In your conversation with Natalie Pramenko on the 4th, how long did it last? I mean, are we talking a 60-second conversation or a ten-minute conversation?

A. Two or three minutes. It wasn't very long.

Q. Okay. Did you have the opportunity to tell her about any of the other incidents that you had had with Karl?

A. I don't believe so, no.

Q. Okay. Did Natalie Pramenko mention during this conversation any of the other incidents that had happened with Karl, the earlier suspension came to the library to make sure I was aware that Kevin had been in contact with Mrs. Pierson.

Q. Okay. Did she ask in that meeting whether or not whatever Kevin was doing had assuaged your fears and concerns?

A. I don't recall that coming up.

Q. Do you know if Ms. Pramenko ever spoke to Karl Pierson or his parents about this incident?

A. I'm not aware of that happening.

Q. When Ms. Pramenko came to the library -- and now we're talking about September 5, right?

A. Yes. Uh-huh.

Q. At that point, did you tell her that you were still concerned that Karl was capable of doing something violent at school?

A. I believe so.

Q. And what was her reaction to that?

A. I think right at that point, the fact that Karl was out of school at least addressed the safety situation right then.

Q. Well, let me ask it more precisely. When you told Natalie Pramenko that you believed Karl was capable of committing violence at school, what did she say?

A. I hate to say this, but I don't remember

110 112

or --

A. No.

Q. -- any of those things?

A. No.

Q. Other than directing you to talk to Kevin Kolasa, did Ms. Pramenko offer to do anything in response to Karl threatening to kill you?

A. No, because -- I don't recall that because, again, she was in a hurry to get to a meeting at the administration building.

Q. Did she ask you what you wanted the administration to do in response to this threat?

A. I don't think so, no.

Q. After your conversation with her on the 4th, did Ms. Pramenko ever follow up with you to make sure your concerns had been addressed?

A. Yes.

Q. Tell me about that.

A. The next morning. I want to say she came by around 9 o'clock, could have been earlier. But it was early in the morning, and the primary purpose was to make sure that Kevin had communicated to me that he had been in contact with Barbara Pierson and how that conversation had been going. And I think she was just kind of checking on me. So, yeah, she specifically

what she said to that. The main part of that conversation that I remember was saying to her that -- I asked her if she thought it would be a good idea to take a look at the security footage, the video footage, because I -- what I wanted -- this is what I wanted all along, for people to see what I saw because -- and I think I said that to her. If you saw it, you would get where my concern is coming from. And she agreed. She said that's a good idea and it -- and I said I get it that there will be no audio, but just seeing the physical manifestations would be enough to raise red flags I think.

Q. And --

A. And she agreed and said, Besides, it would be good to see if any other students witnessed this as he was walking through the hallway, because undoubtedly he would have been on multiple cameras as he left the building, and if she would get Kevin to do that.

Q. Okay. So let's talk about the video for a minute. As I understand it, you asked multiple people and repeatedly --

A. Yes.

Q. -- for the video of the afternoon of September 3 to be pulled, correct?

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

	113		115
1	A. Yes.	1	A. Not yet.
2	Q. And your reason behind asking for that	2	Q. Okay. You also spoke and I'm looking
3	was because you thought then	3	at page 9 of your statement. You mentioned that you
4	A. Yeah.	4	were so concerned about Karl snapping that you spoke
5	Q the administration might take it more	5	with Esther Song. Do you see that down at the bottom
6	seriously than they were?	6	of page 9?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And I want to make sure I understand all	8	Q. About three-quarters of the way down.
9	of the people you asked for that. You asked Natalie	9	A. Yes.
10	Pramenko to pull the video	10	Q. Okay. When was your conversation with
11	A. Yeah.	11	Esther Song?
12	Q right, because you didn't think she	12	A. I'm trying to recall, to be honest with
13	was taking this threat as seriously as she should,	13	you. It had to have been after I'm certain it was
14	right?	14	after Esther and Kevin had met with Karl and his
15	A. I don't know about that, but I just	15	parents, but I can't think of the exact date.
16	wanted her to see it. I wanted somebody to see it.	16	Q. Okay. Well, let's for now, let's set
17	Q. You asked Kevin Kolasa to pull the video?	17	aside the timing, and I'll ask you as best as you can
18	A. Twice.	18	recall, what did you and Esther discuss?
19	Q. Twice. You asked Darrell Meredith to	19	A. The upcoming meeting with Karl and what
20	pull the video?	20	was going to be his parents regarding his Karl's
21	A. No, I went to Darrell, he wasn't there,	21	continuation status on the team, but by then, I was
22	so I talked to his secretary, Jill DeVose, she tried.	22	getting concerned and thinking, because I wasn't aware
23	Q. And by that point it was too late, it had	23	that Karl had conflicts with others in the building.
24	been overwritten?	24	So I thought I was kind of it, that I thinking that
25	A. Correct.	25	if he really is as violent as I think he might be,
	114		116
1	Q. Was there anybody else you asked about	1	that in order I don't remember.
2	pulling the video?	2	Q. It's okay.
3	A. Well, Bailey Dongotti, Kevin Kolasa's	3	A. Okay. In order to protect others and
4	secretary, overheard me talking to Kevin, and so she	4	myself, I was thinking about taking myself out of the
5	was aware that I was wanting it pulled.	5	picture and resigning because I felt even if I
6	Q. Okay. And did Kevin Kolasa ever tell you	6	resigned as coach, I would still be in the building, I
7	why he didn't pull the video?	7	would still be a target, and that if he did something,
8	A. Well, to begin with, he hadn't had an	8	if I could get out of the picture, I could protect
9	opportunity yet the first time I asked him.	9	myself and I could protect others.
10	Q. What about the second time?	10	Q. Okay.
11	A. He felt that there was really no need for	11	A. I'm sorry.
12	it, that he had enough information about Karl without	12	Q. It's okay.
13	that, and that's, I believe, when I left his office	13	A. Two things I regret.
14	and went to see if it could if Darrell would do it,	14	Q. And I get that.
15	if he could have access to it.	15	A. I regret that I didn't resign, and I
16	Q. Let's go back to your discussion with	16	regret that I didn't push harder for people to see
17	Natalie Pramenko on, I guess, the morning of	17	those videos.
18	September 5 when she came to the library to check on	18	Q. And that wasn't your doing. If you want
19	you, right?	19	to take a break, that's totally fine. We've been
20	A. Yeah.	20	going a while.
21	Q. At that point, had you reached the	21	MR. KAISER: Let's take a break.
22	strike that.	22	MR. ROCHE: Off the record.
23	By the morning of the 5th, had you come	23	(Recess taken, 12:59 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.)
24	to the point where you were considering resigning your	24	Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Before we took our break,
25	job?	25	we were talking about conversations that you had with

120

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

117

Esther Song --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

Q. -- where you had told her that you were considering leaving the school?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. The reason you were considering leaving the school was your concerns about Karl's potential to be violent?

A. That's correct.

Q. My question is, what was her reaction when you told her that?

A. Shock and disbelief that I would be considering something so drastic.

Q. And what did she say?

A. Something along the lines of, Tracy, you can't be serious. I recall her saying that. I can't remember other specifics, but basically trying to talk me out of it, you know, Tracy, don't do that.

Q. Okay. This was after she had conducted the threat assessment of Karl Pierson, I take it?

A. Yes.

Q. And was your impression that she was not taking Karl Pierson's threat against you as seriously as you were?

A. Yes.

his parents. And that had at some point morphed from just a meeting between Karl and Kevin and myself to now it was going to be a larger group.

And I think that had happened because of incidences from September 9 I guess to what ended up being September 26 was when the meeting actually happened. One of the things she said to me that struck me was -- well, she said a couple of things to me. That she and Kevin had been involved in the incident with Karl in his math class the previous spring.

Q. Okay.

A. And Esther made the statement to me that Kevin had told her that Karl was the strangest kid he had ever been around based upon what he saw in that suspension meeting, you know, and that struck me as pretty important, you know, that Kevin already had some interactions with the student and already deemed him, in his own words, strange.

Q. Okay.

A. There had also been an interaction between Esther Song and Karl Pierson directly where I guess Karl came into her office and she said it was -he was very animated. This is what he did, kind of using his fingers and his hands like a gun and he

118

Q. And when she expressed her shock and disbelief that you were taking the threat so seriously that you were considering resigning, did you explain to her why you were taking it so seriously?

A. Yeah, I told her I was concerned about my safety and the safety of others.

Q. Did she tell you anything about the threat assessment that she had conducted?

A. I'm trying to recall if in that conversation she did. I know that the threat assessment had -- they had deemed -- she and Kevin had deemed Karl a low risk. That's probably the best answer I can give right now for that.

Q. Do you recall anything else about your conversation with Esther Song where you told her that you were thinking of resigning your position to remove yourself as a target at the school?

A. Yeah, I -- we -- I believe she had included Astrid Thurnau to sit in because she wasn't sure if -- Esther wasn't sure if she was going to be able to attend the meeting on -- we didn't know the date yet. That was one of the reasons -- in addition, I mean, one of the reasons I was talking to her too is trying to figure out when is this meeting going to happen. That was still up in the air with Karl and

said, You're the person that does IQ tests, aren't you? And she said, Yeah. And what it ultimately came around to was he wanted her to perform an IQ test on him. And basically she told him, No, I'm not going to do that. I only do that when it's appropriate. You can do online tests or whatever. But the message was he wanted her to prove through an IQ test just how smart he was.

Q. Okay. Do you have a sense of when that happened? I've read about that obviously, but I'm not clear on what the time frame was for it.

A. Well, it had to have been sometime in September, sometime between the 9th and the 26th.

Q. Okay.

A. But I don't -- I can't pin it down because I wasn't present, and I think at that point Esther commented to me and used the word narcissus for the first time with me regarding Karl and said, you know, he's probably the most narcissistic person she had ever been around.

Q. And did you share that view of Karl at that time?

A. I think I had been using the term egotist.

Q. Okay.

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

A. But, yeah, basically.

Q. Okay. Did you discuss your desire for Esther to see that video in that conversation?

- A. For her to see it?
- O. Yes.

- A. No. No.
- Q. Do you remember anything else about what either you said or she said during that conversation with Esther Song?
- A. I know that, you know, there was a concern we weren't getting clear communication from Kevin as to when this meeting would take place. So she had a concern, like I said, and that's why she wanted to make sure that Astrid was in there as her and I talked so that we kind of all would be somewhat on the same page, I guess, because Esther had a conference, I guess, to attend.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It was coming up toward the end of that week. So I think like Thursday, Friday we met. I believe we it was a Thursday.
- Q. Did you, during that conversation with Esther Song, express to her that you didn't believe she or other members of the Arapahoe administration were taking Karl's threat as seriously as they should

Karl had made?

- A. Of course having to, you know, conjecture here, but to some degree, yes, I did feel -- I did feel that way, yes.
- Q. And in that context, I have a broader cultural question about the school. You've been there obviously many years now, almost ten, right?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Is there a culture among the faculty or the administration that they don't like bad news coming to light or being brought to their attention?
- A. Could you -- I guess, could you clarify or rephrase that a little bit, maybe?
- Q. Sure. Obviously the news that Karl Pierson had threatened to murder a teacher was bad news, right?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. And you brought that, along with Mark Loptien, to the administration's attention, and it didn't get the level of response that you had hoped for, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. They weren't taking it -- "they," the administration, weren't taking it as seriously as you were or as seriously as you thought it should be,

right?

A. Correct.

Q. And my question is, do you think that there is a cultural issue at Arapahoe High School that the administration doesn't want bad news brought to its attention because it either makes the school look bad or it makes them have to do things they don't want to do? Again, this is all in the context of we're trying to come up with recommendations as part of this arbitration about what can be improved.

A. You know, nobody likes bad news. That's a hard question for me to answer, I guess, directly because, again, I have to kind of speculate maybe a little bit regarding the thought process of the administration, but there's probably some degree of truth to what you're saying.

Q. Okay. And when it comes to that issue, I mean, you may or may not have heard, I'm ending all of the depositions with essentially the same question, which is, what do you think can be done to improve school safety? And with respect to the specific cultural issue that we're talking about, I may as well ask the question now. What do you think would help to change that culture at Arapahoe High School when it comes to, Don't bring me bad news?

be?

A. Not in so many words, no.

Q. Did you get that message across in some form to her?

A. Well, I think in my making the statement, that I was seriously considering resigning. My thought was also with that action that maybe it would wake people up and they would think, Why is this veteran educator ending his -- potentially ending his career? What in the world is going on?

- Q. Okay. And when you conveyed to the various people at Arapahoe that you were seriously considering resigning your position, did it have the intended effect of waking them up to how seriously you took this threat?
- A. A little bit maybe, but I think ultimately, no. I mean -- you know, because I talked to Kevin later. Let's see, it must have been the afternoon of the 25th, I guess.
- Q. Right. And we're going to get to that one. There's some other conversations I want to get through with Kevin before we get to that one. I guess my broader question though on that point is, did you get the impression that administrators at Arapahoe felt like you were overreacting to the threat that

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

125

A. Well, it sounds to me maybe -- let me clarify -- you're asking me two questions. One is about the culture of no bad news, and the other is what can be done or what recommendations would I

suggest in regards to improving school safety. Q. Well, no, that's, again, one of those convoluted questions that I'll try to fix.

A. Okay.

- Q. Specifically with respect to this degree of truth to the notion that there is a culture of not wanting to hear bad news, what would be your recommendation about how to address that issue at Arapahoe?
 - A. And I'm not trying to be difficult.

Q. I know. It's a big question.

A. Are you asking about ways to implement some type of change or do I believe that, yes, we need to confront that bad things happen especially in a big public school?

Q. I think both.

A. We're a school of 2100 kids, you know, a large suburban high school. You're going to have a wide range of students at our school with -- on a spectrum when it comes to academics, athletics, activities, behavioral issues, all kinds of things.

A. My very first conversation was September 4 when Natalie Pramenko directed him to work with me and Mark.

Q. And so was your first conversation with Kevin Kolasa, you and Kolasa and Loptien all together?

Q. Okay. And tell me about that conversation, if you would.

A. I think briefly what we did was both Mark and I summarized what we both had observed. I can't recall if Mark started it with what he saw. I think he may have started it that way, but I basically said, you know, I had a meeting with Karl and his mother the night before and it had not gone well. And he left the -- you know, he left the meeting angry. And I think I told Kevin that I talked to Natalie about it. And then Mark then described what he saw and that I said that that helped reinforce a growing bad feeling I was getting about the student.

Q. Okay. Did you -- strike that.

Did Mr. Kolasa know anything about these incidents before you came to speak with him with Mr. Loptien?

A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

Q. So Ms. Pramenko had not told him, Hey,

126

1 And I guess the statement I would say with that is we 2 have to confront the fact that, you know, not every 3 kid at Arapahoe High School is the cream of the crop. 4 You know, that there's a wide range of kids that for 5 good, bad, or otherwise have problems. And that I 6 think it would be healthier at Arapahoe High School to 7 -- at any school to admit that, you know, it's not 8 perfect here, that there's always room for 9 improvement, that mistakes are made, and that you can 10 learn from those mistakes. And we tell kids all the 11 time that sometimes I wonder how true it is, it's okay 12 to fail, it's okay to make mistakes, but then we don't 13 let them. And we then don't let them learn sometimes 14 from the consequences of mistakes, bad decisions, 15 whatever. Does that answer your question? 16

- Q. It does. It does. Thanks. Let's switch gears now to your conversation or conversations, plural, with Kevin Kolasa about Karl Pierson's threat against you.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I get the sense that there were multiple. And for ease of reference, I'm focusing on page 9 of your statement, and my first question was when was vour first conversation with Kevin Kolasa about Karl Pierson?

there's an issue with Karl Pierson, here's a summary

- A. If she did, I'm not aware of it.
- Q. Okay. Did you tell Mr. Kolasa that you were concerned about Karl snapping?

A. I'm trying to think if I used those words, but something to that effect, yes.

- Q. And by "snap," you mean commit an act of violence at school?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you conveyed that to Mr. Kolasa?
- Q. Did you refer to Columbine in that conversation as best as you can recall?
- A. I don't know -- I don't believe I did at that initial conversation.
- Q. I take it in a subsequent conversation with Mr. Kolasa, you did refer to Columbine?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. When you told Mr. Kolasa that you were concerned about Karl snapping and committing an act of violence at school, what was his response?
 - A. In that initial meeting?

 - A. I think it was that he wanted to fact

128

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

129

gather some more before he drew a conclusion. So I believe he was taking me seriously, but, like I said, I think he wanted to make contact with Karl's mother and find out more about the situation.

- Q. Did he tell you, Mr. Kolasa, what fact-gathering steps he was going to take other than to call Karl's mother?
- A. No. As far as I know, that's all he told me.
- Q. When you went to Mr. Kolasa on the 4th, were you expecting him to do anything?
 - A. Well, sure.
 - Q. What were you expecting him to do?
- A. You know, I'm not exactly sure what steps I was thinking, but I knew at the very least, it involved contact with Karl's parents, parent, I -this was kind of -- well, this is uncharted waters for me, and I wasn't really sure what the appropriate protocol was for something like this to be perfectly honest. I just knew that something needed to be done.
- Q. During this initial conversation with Kevin Kolasa, you also asked for the video to be pulled?
 - A. No.
 - O. That was later?

130

- A. That was the next morning with Natalie.
- Q. Okay. Was there anything else that you asked Kevin Kolasa or hoped that Kevin Kolasa would do in response to your and Mr. Loptien telling him that Karl Pierson had threatened to kill you?
- A. Yeah, in my mind, I don't know if I was thinking that disciplinary action was appropriate or seeking out some type of mental health support, but I knew next steps needed to happen. I didn't know what those were.
- Q. Okay. And towards the bottom of page 9 of your statement, there's a reference to -- in your conversation with Kevin, the district would not support removing Karl from Arapahoe High School and sending him back to Douglas County because he is a senior and near the end of his school career. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Do you recall a discussion with Kevin Kolasa about removing Karl from Arapahoe High School and sending him back to Douglas County?
- A. I do. I can't recall a specific date but I do.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask -- setting the date aside for the time being, did you ask Kevin to remove

Karl Pierson from Littleton Public School District and sending him back to Douglas County?

- A. I asked if something like that was an option, yes.
- Q. And you asked for that because you were concerned about your safety and the safety of others?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I take it Mr. Kolasa told you that the school would not entertain that as an option?
 - A. That was his answer, what you just read.
- Q. And was that a factor in your considering resigning from your job at Arapahoe because they wouldn't remove Karl, you were going to remove yourself?
- A. That is part of the reality is I thought about it that even if he had been -- even if he had lost his open enrollment, it was -- at the time it was pretty easy to come and go from that building. And even if Karl had, like I said, been removed from Arapahoe, he could have come back into the building and it probably wouldn't have necessarily registered with people, at least not initially, that he wasn't supposed to be there because he had already been there for three years. He was kind of a -- he was a familiar face.

132

- Q. So you had your own doubts about whether or not that would have been effective to eliminate the threat?
- Q. Got it. Did you leave your meeting with Kevin Kolasa feeling as though he was not taking this threat as seriously as you believe he should have, the first meeting?
 - A. The first meeting?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. No. At that point, you know, my hands were kind of in his fate. I was hoping for the best. I didn't feel that -- I had no reason right then to feel necessarily that he wasn't taking me seriously.
- Q. I get the sense that at some point your view on how -- whether or not Kevin Kolasa was taking this threat as seriously as it should be changed, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm referencing a document that I'm sure you haven't seen, you're welcome to look at it, but this is Exhibit 20, and specifically it's a statement that James Englert gave. In Deputy Englert's statement he said back in September he met with Kevin Kolasa and Mr. Kolasa said he wanted to tell him

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

133

something, it's not that big of a deal, but just so you know. And then it goes on to describe the threat that Karl Pierson had made against you. And it's in the middle the page. I don't know if you're there yet. Do you see the statement I just read from?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Deputy Englert describing a conversation with Kevin Kolasa?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you also get the impression that back in September at some point Kevin Kolasa was treating the threat against you as not that big of a deal?
- A. Not as big of a deal as I would have liked, yes.
- Q. Did Kevin Kolasa ever tell you why Karl was not suspended as a result of the threat that he made against you?
- A. He said, in essence, his mother had taken care of that for the school by voluntarily keeping her son home so there was no need to suspend him. I didn't question him because I didn't know what the protocol was.
- Q. Had you ever received any training at Arapahoe about what types of behaviors might get a student suspended or have other discipline imposed on

just thought it was a phrase he was using. I didn't realize it was a specific form, a specific action that had a form of policies and procedures that go with it. I was not aware of that.

- Q. And I infer from that that you had never been trained as a faculty member at Arapahoe about what threat assessments were, how they were used, or how they were to be conducted?
 - A. That is not until this past year.
 - Q. Right.
- A. We had a thorough -- fairly thorough training at the start of this past school year.
- Q. Okay. And I can't remember if I've marked this yet, so I'm going to mark it again. (Deposition Exhibit 35 was marked.)
- Q. Exhibit 35 was the threat assessment that was done on Karl Pierson in September of 2013. My first question to you is, did you ever see this document prior to the shooting?
 - A. No.
- Q. And it sounds like you weren't even aware that a document had been completed?
 - A. I didn't know it existed.
 - O. Got it.
 - A. Had I known, I would have used it.

134

136

them?

- A. Boy, I don't know. Maybe things like I suppose maybe come up as a potential topic at a faculty meeting but not, per se.
- Q. Okay. Did you agree with Mr. Kolasa's conclusion that Barbara Pierson's decision to keep Karl out of school for three days obviated the need for a suspension?
- A. I wasn't really in a position to agree or disagree. All I could do was accept it.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Kolasa eventually tell you that he and Esther Song were going to be performing a threat assessment as a result of Karl's statement that he was going to kill you?
- A. Yeah, that they were going to do -- they were going to do this threat assessment on meeting with the parents and Karl on Monday the 9th and what, in essence, was taking the place of what would have been a reentry meeting had there been a suspension. I didn't know that there were specific procedures and policies in regards to a threat assessment.
- Q. And that was going to be -- you anticipated my next question. Had you ever heard of a threat assessment before this?
 - A. No. I've got to be honest with you, I

Q. Okay.

A. If that makes sense. I would have -every interaction I had with Kevin or any administrator, I would have had this in my hand.

- Q. Do you know who filled this out?
- A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. I take it you also didn't attend the meeting where this document was reviewed with Karl Pierson and his parents?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Were you invited to attend that meeting?
- Q. Now, you will see in the clouded box that there is a description of what the threat assessment team usually consists of. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And it's got an administrator, school psychologist, or social worker, counselor, school resource officer, as needed or available, teacher, counselor, other person who knows the student, and district administrator as needed. Have you heard from any source since the shooting why there was not a teacher, counselor, or other person who knows the student included in the threat assessment meeting?
 - A. I believe in a conversation I had with

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

2.0

Nate Thompson, and I believe this was in February
 because I asked to see this.

Q. Okay.

2.2

A. I had not seen it. The only way I was allowed to see it was to have a meeting with Nate, he -- I met with him at ESC. He had copies, we went over it together, I asked him about what you just asked me, and that that was considered guidelines. Basically his answer was not all of those components or members were expected to be necessarily at each threat assessment meeting.

Q. Okay. What else did you and Nate Thompson discuss at this meeting in February where you walked through this threat assessment document?

A. That's a big question.

Q. It is.

A. I'm not sure how to answer that, I guess.

Q. Let's come at it this way. Did you have other questions of Nate Thompson about the preparation of the threat assessment on Karl Pierson?

A. I did. I'm trying to think back specifically to that meeting. Of course one of the things I said was -- or asked the question or made the statement was, should I have been a part of this. And that involved that conversation about that at the time

mind, I do want to walk through what kind of follow-up you believe should have been done on this to make it more of a living document rather than a snapshot in time.

A. Well, to begin with, you know, all individuals involved should have received a copy that I could at least work from. And as individual staff members or others, I guess it would include, you know, the SRO too, any other personnel that see changes in a student's behavior, the at-risk factors, the early warning signs, and so on that -- whether that warrants another meeting, a meeting with the parents, but it warrants additional action.

Q. So at least some kind of follow-up after this document is created?

A. Absolutely.

Q. Well, let's turn back then to at least how this document was created, because I understand as an educator with substantial experience, you know about at-risk factors and things like that. My first series of questions are, do you know what it is that Kevin Kolasa and Esther Song did to make sure the snapshot they took with this document was accurate at the time they took it?

A. Do I know what actions they took?

it wasn't necessarily the case, that has somewhat changed but not necessarily. It kind of depends on circumstances. I looked at specifically threat factors, the early warning signs, the at-risk factors,

Q. And --

those things there.

A. And one of the things about this document is that it's a snapshot in time, and it's not being used as a living document. I know -- okay. Being a veteran and educator, having been in the classroom, I've been around a bit. I'm aware of at-risk factors to look for with kids. Okay. So I was flying by the seat of my pants when I went and talked to Kevin various times in the fall raising red flags that were on here. This document should have changed, is I guess what I'm trying to get at. Okay. It should have gone from low level, at best, to medium or high level as things cropped up.

- Q. And what I'm hearing you saying, and candidly I agree with you, is it sounds like you believe there should have been more follow-up done rather than taking a single snapshot in time and leaving it in effect?
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. I couldn't agree more. So with that in

Q. Yes.

Q. Well, one thing they could have done is sat down with you?

A. Well, I mean --

A. I do not.

Q. The subject of the threat and Karl Pierson's debate coach and said, All right, let's walk through this document and find out which of these threat factors is present, which one of the early warning signs are present, and which of these at-risk factors are present. Did they do that?

A. No.

Q. So let's walk through this document, and I'm sure you've pored over this over the last 19 months.

A. Again last night.

Q. And I would expect that there are things on this page, that threat factors page that we're looking at, that you think are probably incorrect?

A. At least changed, absolutely, yes.

Q. So let's walk through some of those. What answers would you have given to the threat factor section that are different from what's on here?

A. Well, only verbal is checked. I would have checked nonverbal.

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

	141		143
1	Q. Okay.	1	has communicated ideas or intent to attack"? That's
2	A. I would have checked hand and body	2	obviously checked no. Would you have given a
3	gesture.	3	different answer if they had asked you?
4	Q. And you're in the threat was section?	4	A. Well, sure, because he screamed it, he
5	A. Yes.	5	yelled that he was going to he wanted to kill me.
6	Q. Now, one of the boxes there says, "Threat	6	Q. In the Access to Weapons section, Kevin
7	was" and then it's not a checked box but it says, "Via	7	or Esther or both of them has checked the box that
8	technology." Do you see that?	8	says, "None known." Do you see that?
9	A. I do.	9	A. I do.
10	Q. Do you know whether or not anybody from	10	Q. And there is a number of other possible
11	Arapahoe checked any technology, any social media of	11	answers to that question. Do you see that?
12	Karl Pierson's, to determine whether or not that box	12	A. I do.
13	should be checked?	13	Q. I understand you certainly didn't know
14	A. I'm not aware of that happening.	14	whether or not Karl Pierson had access to weapons in
15	Q. Don't you think that should have been	15	September of 2013?
16	something that was done?	16	A. That is correct.
17	A. Absolutely.	17	Q. Do you know whether Kevin Kolasa or
18	Q. And we now know with the benefit of	18	Esther Song made any effort to investigate whether or
19	tragic hindsight that the threat was also written down	19	not Karl Pierson had access to weapons?
20	in horrifying detail?	20	A. I do not know.
21	A. Absolutely.	21	Q. Is that something you would have expected
22	Q. Do you know, did anybody from Arapahoe	22	them to look into in the course of filling out this
23	ever consider searching Karl's laptop, phone, tablet,	23	threat assessment?
24	anything like that, day planner?	24	A. Absolutely, I would have. I assumed or
25	A. Before December 13?	25	guessed that the question that would have been
	142		
1		1	
2	Q. Yes.	2	directed at Karl and both mother and father would have
3	A. I'm not aware of that, no.	3	been, Are there any weapons in the house? Karl, do
4	Q. Don't you think that is something that should have been considered?	4	you have any weapons? Those type of things.
5	A. Of course.	5	Q. Okay. Anything else in the Threat
6		6	Factors section that you would have changed? A. Ability to carry out the plan, because
7	Q. Now, moving our way down the threat	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8	factors section of this assessment, what else would	8	had the question might have been, Karl, do you know
9	you change? It says, "Threat was: Impulsive,	9	how to use a gun? Do you have any training? We now know he did. Every year he went to Chatfield and was
10	spontaneous." Would you have checked any others there?	10	had some kind of rifle, shotgun, bow and arrow
11	A. Well, as we now know, it was calculated	11	certification. So I think that question should have
12	and planned ahead and of course that makes it	12	been asked.
13	•	13	Q. Anything else in the Threat Factors
14	plausible. Q. And, again, you've actually hit on	14	section that you would change?
15	something I want to make clear. I'm not asking you to	15	A. I guess, you know, to go along with that
16	answer these with the benefit of hindsight that	16	that would have made it under, "Ability to carry out
17	unfortunately we all have. I'm talking about what	17	plan: Reported ability and training," I suppose.
18	answers you would have changed based on what you knew	18	Q. Okay.
19	in early September.	19	A. "Motive to threat or harm targeted
20	A. Probably that one I would have left	20	others," I suppose that could be either the possible
21	impulsive, spontaneous, and I probably would have	21	reasons or definite motive/triggers. It could have
22	checked plausible, possible, because it was. I would	22	been either one of those.
23	not have checked calculated because we didn't know	23	Q. Okay. Now, let's walk through the Early
24	that at the time.	24	Warning Sign Factors. And my first question will be,
25	Q. Okay. What about the section, "Student	25	did Kevin or Esther ask you whether or not you had
		1	J

145 147 1 1 observed these early warning sign factors? part of that March suspension --2 2 A. They did not. A. That's correct. 3 3 Q. Is that something you would have expected Q. -- that said, I have been through a 4 them to do in filling out this threat assessment? decade of hell? 5 5 A. To have asked me? A. Not until recently, no. 6 6 O. Yes. Q. Handwritten in that section is a 7 7 A. Yes. statement that says, "Mom reports 'deep-seeded' anger 8 8 Q. And you can see only one box is checked and Karl agrees that he's had anger management issues 9 in the Early Warning Sign Factors, right? 9 for a while." Do you see that? 10 10 A. "Significant history." A. I do. 11 11 Q. Right. Would you have checked any of the Q. Did either Kevin Kolasa or Esther Song 12 other boxes in the Early Warning Sign Factors had they 12 tell you that both Karl and his mother reported anger management or deep-seated anger issues after this 13 asked you to help fill this out? 13 14 A. At that point, no. 14 meeting? 15 15 Q. Okay. A. Not after the meeting. That had been 16 16 part of the conversation on Wednesday the 4th --A. We know now that there are a number of 17 17 those boxes that would have been checked or could have Q. Okay. 18 been checked over, you know, the next few months. 18 A. -- where Kevin relayed to me that in his 19 19 Q. Okay. Now, one of those boxes in the phone conversation with Barbara Pierson, that she had 20 Early Warning Sign Factors is, "History/perception of 20 made that statement to him. 21 21 being bullied or victimized by others." Do you see Q. Okay. Did he tell you what he did to dig 22 22 that? beneath that statement? Did he ask Barbara, for 23 23 A. I do. instance, why is he so angry or what is prompting it 24 24 Q. And it's not checked as we can see, or how long has it been going on? 25 25 A. No probing questions, no. right? 146 148 1 1 A. That is correct. O. Did vou ask Kevin whether or not he had 2 Q. And your understanding is part of why 2 made any recommendations or suggestions to Barbara 3 3 Kevin Kolasa was involved in this threat assessment Pierson about what to do with those anger issues? 4 4 was because he had dealt with Karl Pierson in March of A. No, because Barbara Pierson made the 5 5 2013 when he was suspended as a result of the Swomley statement that she was -- one of the reasons she was 6 6 incident, right? keeping Karl home for the rest of that week was to get 7 7 A. No. him help. 8 8 Q. I'm sorry, I misunderstood then. O. Okav. 9 9 A. Well, I know he was involved with it, but A. So the underlying message is that she was 10 10 the reason why Kevin was the administrator working seeking out psychiatric or psychological help for 11 with Karl was because he -- Karl was a senior and 11 Karl. 12 12 Kevin was the assistant principal assigned to work MR. KAISER: Mike, can we just take a 13 13 with seniors that year. five-minute break? 14 14 Q. Okay. MR. ROCHE: Yes, of course. (Recess taken, 2:40 p.m. to 2:48 p.m.) 15 A. The previous year, my assumption now is 15 16 he had moved along so he had been --16 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Walking through the 17 17 Q. Followed the grade up? At-Risk Factors, again, neither Kevin Kolasa or Esther 18 A. Exactly. He worked with juniors the 18 Song walked through this list of factors and asked 19 previous year. 19 what you observed? 2.0 20 Q. Okay. A. Correct. 21 A. But I wasn't aware of Karl ever being 21 Q. Even though in all likelihood, you were 22 22 bullied or victimized by others. I did not witness the faculty member who knew Karl Pierson the best at 23 23 that. this time? 2.4 24 Q. And you hadn't seen the student statement A. Quite probably. 25 that we looked at earlier today that Karl prepared as 25 Q. And we can see that at least some of the

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

answers are mistaken, for instance, the box for suspension isn't checked?

A. Correct.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Which of these At-Risk Factors that are not checked would you have checked?
 - A. As of --
 - Q. As of what you knew in September of 2013.
- A. Okay. I would have probably checked student externalizes blame, sensitivity to feedback/criticism.

Q. What about --

A. I might have marked where the student currently reports or appears not only angry, but I think I might have put detached.

- Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sorry, I interrupted you.
- Q. Oh, that's okay. What about holding on to resentment or holding a grudge?
 - A. Yeah, I hadn't gotten there yet.
- Q. Oh, sorry. Would you have checked that one as well?
 - A. I would have checked that one, yes.
- Q. What about poor student achievement or academic progress? My understanding is Karl's grades dropped significantly that semester.

they were and he was addressing it, that I would see improvement. So by the third week, first week of November, third week of me checking eligibility, that's when I decided, you know, I'm going to make sure that somebody is aware of this.

Q. Okay.

A. So I sought out Kevin Kolasa in the cafeteria during lunch because I know I can find the administrative team there. It's just part of their responsibility as just student supervision. And it's not uncommon to talk to administrators during lunch in the cafeteria about things, kind of an informal meeting.

Q. Okay.

A. So I brought it to his attention. He told me he was aware of it, the counselors were aware of it. I think I may have said something to the effect that, you know, This is a big red flag, and he kind of shrugged his shoulders and kind of brushed it off saying, Let him hang himself kind of thing.

- Q. So, again, this is an instance where you saw a huge red flag --
- A. That's huge.
 - Q. -- in Karl Pierson's behavior?
 - A. Absolutely.

A. I wasn't aware of that until October or November.

Q. Okay.

A. To me, that was a huge red flag, especially for a senior. He was a solid B student, and I had included him in the eligibility reports, weekly eligibility reports, I started doing in mid-October. And I saw that he was getting as many as three or four F's and one or two D's. I included him in the eligibility report with all of the other students because I didn't know if he might compete, that he might show up Friday and say, Hey, I'm going to compete tomorrow. Well, let's make sure you're eligible.

Q. Sure.

A. And I let it go for a couple of three weeks. I wanted to see if it was a fluke, if it was a situation maybe where he was behind in some work and it just needed to be turned in.

Q. Okay.

A. But by the third eligibility report, I thought -- and also I guess I was thinking that hopefully there were other adults in the building that were aware of his academic progress and how it had taken a nosedive and they were addressing it. And if

Q. And Kevin Kolasa didn't treat it as seriously as you believed it warranted?

A. That is correct. He's a senior. He had a 3.3, 3.4 average. He wasn't -- as narcissistic as Karl was, he wasn't academically as strong as, you know, what he thought he was, but he was a B student. Now, he has -- he's running the risk of not graduating. That's huge.

Q. Agreed.

A. That's tremendous.

Q. And did Kevin Kolasa tell you he was going to do anything to investigate it?

- A. He let me know he was aware of it.
- Q. That was it?
- A. That's pretty much it.

O. I take it you were disappointed in that?

A. Yes. I was kind of astonished to be honest with you.

Q. Did he explain to you why he was going to just let Karl hang himself on his grades?

A. I think he was -- I got the impression that we was fed up with Karl. He was tired of it. Tired of dealing with it.

Q. Do you recall what, if anything, he actually -- he, Kevin Kolasa, actually said to you

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

153

when you brought up the fact that Karl's grades had plummeted in this October/November time frame?

A. Like I said, that he was aware that -like I said, words to the effect that basically let him -- let him kind of hang himself. He was aware -veah.

- Q. Okay. Going back to the At-Risk Factors, one of the factors that's listed here is, "Peers are fearful of the student." Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Did you have any sense in September of 2013 whether or not the other students were afraid of Karl?
 - A. I would say no.
- Q. Okay. And the reason I'm asking about that factor in particular is multifold, but, I assume that you have seen, as I have, that after the shooting, a number of students told the investigators from the sheriff's office they were afraid of Karl, they thought he was crazy, they were worried he was going to snap?
 - A. I saw that.
- Q. Did that surprise you when you read that from the various student statements?
 - A. I would say no, and I would say -- I say

report those kind of feelings to an adult, and I think that's probably a lot of what was happening.

- O. Okav.
- A. And, again, that's speculation or conjecture.
- Q. Sure. Going back to the At-Risk Factors, one of the -- one of the factors that's listed is, "Irrational beliefs and ideas." Do you see that there?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Did you ever observe any irrational beliefs or ideas in Karl Pierson in this September 2013 time period or at any time prior to the shooting?
- A. I think there were times where he would do or say things or wear, you know, clothing for shock value.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The -- he kind of wanted to get, what is it, you know, get your goat kind of thing. He wanted to kind of rile people up.
- Q. So, for instance, he was known as Karl the communist in some circles?
 - A. I think so.
- Q. Was that a nickname that you were familiar with prior to the shooting?

154

156

- that it just helped to support my misgivings toward
- Q. Okay. And my broader question on that is if there were so many students who expressed their either fear of Karl or concern that he was mentally imbalanced, what do you make of the fact that other than you, the faculty didn't seem to be concerned about his volatility?
- A. I'm not sure how to answer that question, I guess. I'm sorry. So you want -- so how -- what do I think about what the rest of the faculty thought of Karl at the time?
- Q. Well, let me try it a different way. It's concerning to me that so many students had expressed fear of Karl and concern about his mental state.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And very few faculty members had. Do you have any sense or understanding of why there was such a disconnect between how the students perceived Karl and how the faculty other than you perceived Karl?
- A. Part of that may be, you know, a typical high school, you know, student subculture, faculty subculture, sometimes the two don't meet. And so, you know, high school kids aren't going to necessarily

- A. Not really. I never could, to be honest with you, quite figure out where he was on the political spectrum. From what I read and found out now, it sounds like he was probably quite liberal, very much, I guess, you could say far left, but he portrayed himself almost the antitheses or the opposite of that, kind of a devil's advocate.
- Q. Okay. Working through the other At-Risk Factors, there's a reference to the student's peer group. What do you know about Karl's peer group back in this time period prior to the shooting?
- A. Are you referring to, "Students peer group reinforces antisocial/violent attitudes"?
- Q. Yes, that's the section I was asking about.
- A. Okay. What do I know about his peer group? I think it was primarily kids on the speech and debate team, primarily. I guess my question is, what are you asking me?
- Q. I'm just wondering, did he have close friends and any kind of --
- A. Yeah.
 - Q. -- relationships like that?
 - A. Yeah, it's -- I know he and (THE STUDENT) were close friends, I perceived to be close friends.

160

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

157

(THE STUDENT) who chose not to be on speech and debate, it must have been his senior year, I guess, he chose not to do that. As a matter of fact, he may have even shared a locker with (THE STUDENT). I can't confirm that, but I think that's the case. I think he was somewhat close with (THE STUDENT).

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. (THE STUDENT), so there were members of the team that he seemed to have a, you know, friendship relationship with.

Q. Okay. Following down that list, one of the factors is, "Home environment concerns." Do you see that? And there's three potential answers: inconsistent discipline, limited control, and lack of supervision. Do you see that?

A. I do, yes.

Q. Now, I've read that you got the impression that Barbara Pierson treated him like he was a little kid?

A. Absolutely.

Q. So, first, tell me about that, and then, second, how would that have influenced what you would have told Kevin Kolasa and Esther Song had they asked you what to put on this page?

A. Well, an example would be the meeting we

Q. Okay. Would you have changed any other answers, for instance, in the Protective Factors section of this part of the threat assessment?

A. Okay. Well, on the first line, no, because he didn't really seek out positive support from others.

Q. Okay.

A. You know, with adult supervision, students become -- high school students, and especially as they become juniors and seniors, it's more difficult to monitor closely I think.

Q. Sure. What about in the section labeled "Level of self-control"? How would you have answered that question?

A. Well, it doesn't give us very many options, but I would say probably limited at all times. Consistently present was not the case, and I'm not sure I would agree with, okay with supports.

Q. Okay. And then we get to the, "Review findings with the building team - determine the level of concern." Do you see that?

A. I do.

O. Again, I take it neither Kevin Kolasa nor Esther Song asked you whether you considered Karl a low, medium, or high level of concern, did they?

158

had on the 26th that included Barbara Pierson, Mark Pierson, Karl, Kevin Kolasa, Astrid, and myself. And that was the meeting that was to determine whether or not Karl remained on the team. And at that meeting, even Kevin commented afterwards how -- well, we kind of -- Kevin, Astrid, and I kind of debriefed a little bit right after the meeting, and then Astrid and I talked a little bit further afterwards.

But we all agreed that a lot of what Karl said was at best insincere, that he was jumping through the hoops to get done with this. But there were multiple times throughout the meeting where Barbara Pierson was saying to Karl, you know, Tell Mr. Murphy this, you know, Say this. And Kevin I recall him making the statement almost as if she were treating Karl like he was 8.

Q. Okay.

A. And so how could we take anything that Karl was saying sincere or serious and what a kind of bizarre --

Q. Strange dynamic?

A. Yes.

A. The father on the other hand, never said a word, quiet, stoic, a little scary.

A. Yeah, I don't think they did. I mean, I don't think that question was stated to me in that way, prior to this, the September 9 meeting. I mean, I think it would have been -- I think it was apparent to them I felt he was a high level.

Q. And that was going to be my next question. If you had been the one picking which box to check here, given that you were considering ending your career over this kid, you would have checked a high level of concern?

A. I would have eventually. Again, as we talk about, you know -- on September 9, I wasn't at that point yet.

Q. Okay.

A. It was a couple weeks later where I was getting to that point.

Q. Okay.

A. So I might have -- it would have probably been medium or high. It would not have been low.

O. Okav.

A. You know, I would probably have marked medium.

A. And as I mentioned before, we use this as a -- rather than a snapshot in time of a living

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

document, it became a high level of concern by December.

O. Okav.

2.0

A. Based upon lots of observations from lots of other people besides me.

Q. Okay.

- A. Because the problem is the way this is worded, I don't know if I could have said that the --you know, that there was information that he has practiced with a weapon, you know, or a gun had been found. Did he have me under surveillance, probably, but, again, like I said, if you look at how it's stated, it would be hard-pressed probably to have marked it high in early September.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you to take a look at Exhibit 13, if I could. And switching gears, but I'm not ignoring the fact that there were other factors that led you to increase your level of concern. I do want to get to those.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Exhibit 13 is an FBI report of a statement that Jeff Corson gave immediately after the shooting. I want to direct your attention to page 2 of that statement, and you can see on page 2, Mr. Corson describes some conversations that he had

Q. Well, my -- the context of it seems odd to me. So my first question is, do you recall -- is it possible the names are reversed? Do you recall Mr. Corson telling you something to that effect?

A. Possibly, because I -- that really threw me when I read this, that I really don't remember saying a statement like that.

Q. And my point is it doesn't -- you were taking this threat seriously. It doesn't sound like the kind of thing you would have joked about?

A. It's possible -- you know, Jeff and I, we have a good collegial relationship. We had gotten to know each other a few years ago chaperoning kids at DECA down in Colorado Springs. So, you know, you joke around with each other on different things and so it's possible that Jeff could have said this. I'm not going to say that I couldn't have, but I don't recall saying anything like this. I just don't believe I did because when I read it, it stopped me. Like I said, when these pages came out, when I got copies of them a few weeks ago, and I'm looking through everything and I'm reading everything, that is not something I remember. It just --

Q. And you don't remember whether or not Mr. Corson said something like that to you?

with you. Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. At the bottom of the page, Mr. Corson describes having called Karl Pierson's mother. Do you see that in the -- in the bottom half it says, "Corson was concerned about Pierson because of that incident."
 - A. Yes, I do.

Q. Did Mr. Corson tell you about his conversation with Karl's mother?

- A. I know at some point later in the semester, Jeff commented to me that things seemed to be going better in his class. I don't recall if he told me specifically he had a conversation with Barbara Pierson.
- Q. Okay. And in the next paragraph of this statement you can see it says, "Within a day or two" -- it seems to be a reference to when he talked to Barbara Pierson -- "Corson spoke again with Murphy. They discussed how upset Pierson was when he was removed as the debate team leader." And then he goes on to say, "Murphy jokingly told Corson words to the effect of, 'When Karl comes up with a gun someday, you know you caused this." Do you see that there?

A. I do, and I read this when these pages came out, and I don't recall saying that.

A. I would hate to put those words in his mouth. I hope neither one of us did, but if we did, either one of us, it was -- you know, it's that part of the day-to-day grind of being in a school and being around kids. It wasn't anything to be taken flippantly, please know that.

Q. Okay. It's my understanding that you also had a conversation with Deputy Englert and he came to see you shortly after the threat was made?

A. Yes.

Q. What do you recall about that conversation?

A. Well, I gave him the details of what had taken place, the meeting with myself and Karl and his mother and what Mark had said he had observed. From what I was understanding was that Officer Englert, James, was doing -- creating an open report, an open police -- you know, police report, I guess, or a sheriff's report. He told me that since it was not something directed specifically at me, to me, that there wasn't much he could do in the way of law enforcement action. Which, you know, I guess surprised me a little bit, disappointed me a little bit. More in the terms that, you know, had some type of -- you know, something could have been filed maybe

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

165

against Karl, maybe that would have helped solidify the administration in making sure that Karl and his parents followed through with making sure he was getting some type of assistance or help.

Q. Okay. As I understand it, your conversation with Deputy Englert happened in your office in the library?

A. It did.

Q. During your discussions with Deputy Englert, did you discuss with him what you should do in the event that Karl showed up at school with a gun?

A. Well, he -- you know, I told him I was very concerned about him doing something violent and James basically said to do my best to get out of there as quick as I could.

Q. Okay. Let me direct your attention to page 11 of your statement with the sheriff. I think it's the bottom one there.

A. At the bottom of the page?

Q. No, it was the document at the bottom.

On page 11, again, this is -- in the middle of the page there's a reference to your consideration of leaving Arapahoe High School or retiring to eliminate the threat from Karl Pierson, right? And I just want to, at this point, make sure I understand who all you

Karen when I talked to her in November saying this is how concerned I was.

Q. Okay. What about Nate Thompson, Guy Grace, Scott Murphy, anybody like that?

A. After the fact, yeah.

Q. But not before?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Now, on page 11 you mentioned that "Arapahoe High School is a big building and it's a sieve with lots of open doors." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And was the lack of physical security at Arapahoe High School one of the factors that played a role in your considering quitting your job after this threat was made?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you discuss with anybody at Arapahoe High School in your conversations about potentially quitting your job the fact that you felt the physical security at Arapahoe was a sieve?

A. I don't know that I used those words, but I think I may have made a statement given the fact that, again, you know, like I said earlier, lots of doors, kids come and go, it's an open campus, he was a familiar face. So that goes back to, you know, even

166

told that to. I assume you told it to Natalie Pramenko?

A. No.

Q. Kevin Kolasa?

A. Yes.

Q. Esther Song?

A. Yes.

Q. Darrell Meredith?

A. Yeah, I think I did. I know I had a conversation with Darrell, kind of an impromptu conversation about this, and I think I may have said something to him about that.

Q. Anybody else that you can recall having spoken to at Arapahoe High School about the fact that you were considering --

A. I think I told Carla, my clerk, you know, because I work with her every day.

Q. What about Jeff Corson, Astrid, anyone else?

A. Well, Astrid was there in the office when I said something to Esther.

Q. Anybody else that you spoke with about the fact that you were considering quitting your job to eliminate a threat?

A. I may have said something like that to

having him removed as a -- removing his open enrollment status. I didn't think that would take care of the safety issue.

Q. Okay. And as we work our way down page 11 of your statement to the sheriff's investigator, you told the investigator that "My feelings never went away that this kid is trouble and they just, in my mind, kept building." Do you see that?

A. Yes

Q. Now, I want to compare that statement to something that Kevin Kolasa apparently told to the investigators. And this is in Exhibit 14, which is the sheriff's report.

A. Okay.

Q. And it's on page 7 of 37. And you've probably highlighted this in your own mind and in your own review. At the bottom of the page 7 of the sheriff's report, it says that Kevin Kolasa felt that Tracy seemed less concerned about the threat as time went on. Do you see that?

A. I do

Q. And you've read that in the past, haven't you?

A Yes

Q. And that's fundamentally at odds with

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

what you told the investigators, isn't it?

A. It is.

1.0

2.2

- Q. In fact, your concerns about this threat and Karl's mental state increased over time, didn't they?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Have you ever talked to Kevin Kolasa since the shooting about how he came to the conclusion that you were less concerned about these threats?
- A. No. The report wasn't released until October of last year. He was no longer in the building last year, so I haven't had any interactions with him since this report was out. Does that make sense?
- Q. Yes. Now, in your statement, you talk about your concerns just kept building from September up through December, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So I told you I hadn't forgotten about that, and I do want to get into that because I think that's important. What are the incidents or observations that you had from September to December that caused your level of concern to continue to build?
 - A. September 9 he had his meeting with his

As I'm kind of gathering up papers or laying out papers, kind of getting things, getting organized, I looked across the desk over at the cart and there's Karl Pierson. And I looked at him, and we're probably this far away from each other, and I said to him, just about like this, I said, Karl, what are you doing here? And he looks at me like, What do you mean? And I go, Well, Mr. Kolasa made it very clear to me that you're not to have anything to do with the team for at least a couple of weeks until we meet. And so he kind of stuttered like that a bit and I said, You can't be here. I didn't raise my voice. I didn't want to cause a scene because things were happening so most people were noticing this going on. So he just -- I think he sat down the computer he was taking out and he walked over and picked up his stuff, and I think he -- I think (THE STUDENT) said something to him like, What are you doing? And I think he said, Ask Murphy.

So after that meeting, after I got through with the kids, I got back to my desk. I called Kevin, it was probably 4 o'clock. He was in his office still. And I said, Hey, I want to talk to you about something that happened. And I relayed that to him, and I said, This is what I'm talking about.

parents. Kevin communicated to me later that morning that he had had that meeting -- that Kevin had had that meeting, that they felt that -- he and Esther had felt that Karl was a low risk, threat assessment risk; however, Karl had a lot of anger toward me still and that he, Kevin, had told him that he wanted to have Karl take a couple of weeks away from the team, away from me as a cooling down period. And that the three of us Kevin Karl and myself would meet to

of us, Kevin, Karl, and myself, would meet to determine whether or not Karl should continue on with the team.

Q. Okay.

A. Tuesday the 10th is -- after school we -- speech and debate practice meeting times were typically Tuesdays and Thursdays after school. I walked into C 15 and, you know, we're trying to get ready for -- actually gathering information for kids we -- I asked the head officers that were there if they would take out -- we had a cart of net books and were distributing those among the kids because it was the beginning of the year. We were just recruiting kids and getting kids interested and we were -- and I had a Google form for collecting contact information, that kind of stuff. It just seemed like a very efficient way to do it.

These are the almost exact words I used. This is my concern that I have with Karl Pierson is that he hears what he wants to hear, he does what he wants to do, that is one of the reasons I have such concerns about him. And that's almost the verbatim statement I made to him. And Kevin said, All right, I'll talk to him. I'll make sure, I'll make it really clear to him that he is to stay away.

Q. Okay.

A. Now, the next morning, we had a PLC late start. So that's -- what that means is the kids don't start until 9:20 or whatever. They can sleep in. Teachers, educators, we get to come in at the regular time and meet for a couple of hours. Well, I was sitting in with the U.S. history social studies PLC kind of as a resource person, thinking about ways I can help support their curriculum. 8 o'clock or so rolls around, kind of bathroom break time, we're in Stacy Cornils' classroom near the social studies office, but not quite all the way up there, one of the wings off the north hallway.

Q. Okay.

A. So I'm walking to the faculty restroom, which is right there, and I see Karl coming, and we literally have to cross paths. I mean, I'm going to

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

175

176

173

1 walk in front of him. He is walking west, I'm 2 assuming toward his locker, maybe to the library. And 3 I'm thinking to myself, you know, I'm going to be an 4 adult about this. I'm going to be mature about this. 5 I'm going to acknowledge him. That's one of the 6 things about the kids at Arapahoe that I've always 7 admired and been amazed by, I can walk down the hall, 8 and I get kids saying all the time, Hi, Mr. Murphy, 9 how are you doing? Kids I don't even know. I'm a 10 librarian. I see him and I say, Hi, Karl, good 11 morning. Nothing, stoic, straight ahead, I don't 12 exist.

> I don't say anything more to him, of course it's disrespectful, but that's not the issue. The issue is inappropriate behavior, and I thought -again, I thought Kevin needs to know that. This, again, goes to an at-risk factor, a red flag factor that I wanted to make sure administration was made aware of. I think I called him after the meeting and said, Hey, this happened. I think at that point is when Kevin says, It's pretty obvious Karl isn't making any efforts to make amends or make things better.

Q. And let me ask you, when you brought up these two most recent incidents involving Karl Pierson after the threat assessment meeting to Kevin Kolasa,

know, this is -- for want of a better word, this is a bad kid, this is a bad situation. And I kept mulling it over, thinking about it, thinking, How do I make this better, how do I stop a violent action from happening because, again, I wasn't aware of necessarily specifically other things going on with the student. So I'm thinking, you know, this kid hates me and is angry and it's just -- you know, got the level of anger built up that he might follow through with this. He might follow through with something.

And I -- when I met with Kevin before the meeting on the 26th, I told him that. I told him that I was real concerned. Like I said, I told him, you know, I'm really to the point where I'm thinking maybe I get out of the picture, maybe I just resign. And I had talked to my wife about it, and it's -- you know, just thinking to myself, Well, I don't exactly know what I'm going to do or how I'm going to do it. And her response was, We'll be okay.

Q. Okay. Did you actually write a resignation letter?

A. I didn't. I regret that I didn't. I regret that I didn't turn something in. I regret that, yeah.

174

1

did you again get the sense that he wasn't taking your concerns as seriously as you thought they should be?

A. Yeah, it's a hard one to call. Again, it was kind of early into all of this because for one, we still had a potential other meeting to go, so it was hard to say in particular. But I just kept thinking -- and this was -- you know, these two incidents, in particular, kept weighing on me in addition to what had happened at the meeting, what Mark conveyed to me. I just kept thinking, This is a volatile -- to me, this felt like a very volatile situation.

Q. Okay.

A. And I hoped I was wrong but I wasn't.

Q. Okay. Were there other incidents after the two you just described that continued to cause your level of concern about Karl Pierson's volatility to grow?

A. Like I said, you know, I talked about the grades taking a nosedive.

O. Right.

A. But are you talking about before the September 26 meeting or just --

Q. Yes. We can break it into before the September 26 meeting.

A. By that time, I had already thought, you

Q. When you met with Kevin Kolasa in advance of your September 26 meeting, and you again expressed that you were considering leaving your job, what was

A. Again, kind of disbelief, you know, again, kind of, Come on, Tracy, this isn't that serious. I told him -- I said I felt that, If he were to be removed -- and that's where I felt this meeting was going. And Kevin told me the administrative team had determined that it made sense to just have him removed from the team. I said, If that happens, that will probably be the tipping point that will push him over and cause Karl to do a violent act.

Q. Okay.

A. And I was trying -- at that point in that meeting, we were trying to brainstorm. I was trying to come up with a solution that would seem to meet everyone's needs, and that's when I came up with the idea of, Okay, Karl thinks he's kind of such a hotshot, let's allow him to participate, allow him to compete, but let's limit his access to participating and practicing with the team.

Q. So let me break that down. In your discussion with Kevin Kolasa in advance of the September 26 meeting, did Mr. Kolasa tell you that the

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

administration's objective at that meeting was to tell Karl Pierson he was off the debate team completely?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And I take it your response to Mr. Kolasa on that point was if you do that, he will snap?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And he will come to the school and he will try to kill me?
- A. Maybe not in so many words, but to that effect, yes.
- Q. And I ask about that because in the statement that you gave, and I think in the sheriff's report, it mentioned that that was the administration's position and that you told Karl he was going to be allowed to stay on the team against the administration's wishes. Have you read that as well?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And candidly when I first read that, I was going to ask you, was that -- is that essentially a subterfuge by Kevin Kolasa to deflect some of Karl's anger away from you and onto him?
- A. To some degree. It was my idea, the scenario, the setup, but Kevin said he wanted to make sure it was presented so that, yeah, it would take

threat assessment performed discussed at that meeting?

- A. I don't recall that being the case. I think the focus of the meeting was whether or not Karl would be permitted to return to the team, be a part of the team.
- Q. Okay. And who was leading the meeting, for lack of a better term?
 - A. Kevin.
 - Q. And what did Kevin say to open things up?
- A. You know, I think it was something to the effect that, Karl, you know why we're meeting, we want to determine whether or not it makes sense for you to continue to be a part of the speech and debate team. I'm trying to think if he opened up opportunities at that point for Karl to make any comments or to say some things.

You know, again, trying to remember specifics of the meeting, and in particular what specific words were said, is a little bit hard, but it went somewhere along lines of asking Karl if -- you know, I don't know if the question was if he was -- wanted to apologize or was sorry, but Karl said that he didn't -- wasn't going to follow through with his statement, that it was -- he wasn't serious with it, that it was kind of along the lines of -- you know,

some of the heat away from me and put it more on the administration.

- Q. So that was at least a factor in how that conversation occurred?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So I wasn't completely off base?
 - A. No. No.
- Q. When you told Kevin Kolasa that you believed if Karl was kicked off the debate team completely, he would snap and that would trigger him to engage in an act of violence, what did Mr. Kolasa say in response?
- A. I'm not sure. To be honest with you, I can't remember specifics as to what Kevin said. I don't think he felt that it was quite to that level that Karl was capable of committing such an act.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about the September 26 action plan review meeting that's been referred to.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Tell me about that meeting. Who was there and what happened?
- A. It was myself, Kevin Kolasa, Astrid Thurnau, Karl Pierson, Barbara Pierson, Mark Pierson.
 - Q. And was the fact that there had been a

just a -- you know, words, figure of speech. And that, yeah, he didn't intend to follow through.

Kevin went on at some point and said, you know, We've been talking, the administrative team, we would -- it would be our opinion to go ahead and remove you from the team, but Mr. Murphy has kind of a compromise in which, you know, you would be permitted to participate in competitions but not be a part of the team practices. And Karl didn't really respond to that one way or the other, kind of just noncommittal. I took a few minutes to say, again, I would hope that he would want to continue to be a part of this. I would hope -- again, I'm trying to come across in a positive way.

I took some time to -- I gave him the handout, the packet with our guidelines, expectations, schedule, that type of thing. Also the vehicle forms for driving your private vehicle to events and that type of thing. I'm trying to think if there is something else I gave him. Basically I said, Here is all the forms, make sure you get them all back to me before you decide you want to participate.

He took them. Again, I think -- about this time, I think, you know, Barbara Pierson is guiding Karl to try to say -- get him to say a few

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

2.2

2.3

things. And then the meeting kind of wraps up because it's right before school and we're in a classroom. I think we were in C 2. And that's kind of how it ended. I think Barbara Pierson did some -- a little bit of chitchat with Astrid Thurnau, because, again, Astrid had been the assistant coach of the team Karl's freshman year.

- Q. Do you recall any discussion about Astrid offering counseling to Karl during this meeting?
 - A. Boy, she may have. I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall any discussion about whether or not there would be additional follow-up after this meeting on September 26, either by Astrid or Kevin or Esther Song?
- A. Not that I'm aware of. I don't recall that being part of the conversation, and Astrid was filling in for Kelly Talen who was on maternity leave. So Astrid wouldn't have been at Arapahoe but a few more weeks.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And, like I said, Esther Song wasn't there because she had a commitment to attend, a conference.
- Q. Okay. After the meeting on September 26 -- or strike that.

how -- you know, our debrief together afterward.

- Q. Okay. I want to jump ahead to page 13 of your statement. Are you there?
 - A. I am.
- Q. There's a reference in the middle of the page to the fact that you had a good parent-teacher relationship with Barbara Pierson and that she had asked you to keep an eye on Karl. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. It's not clear to me from your statement when that happened. So if you could shed any light on that.
- A. That was at parent-teacher conference in, let's see, it would have been fall of 2012.
- Q. Oh, okay. And that would have been the same parent-teacher conference where she mentioned to you that she and Karl's father were getting a divorce?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And during that parent-teacher conference, did she mention anything about the anger issues that Karl had been having?
 - A. No.
- Q. And by the fall of 2013, a year later when we're having this September 26 meeting, you were all too aware of those anger issues, right?

Did the meeting on September 26 alleviate any of your fears or concerns about Karl snapping and doing something violent in school?

- A. Little, if any.
- Q. Did the reaction you got from Karl to that meeting increase your fears or concerns that he might do something violent at school?
 - A. Yeah, I don't think it increased it, no.
- Q. Did you have any discussions with Kevin Kolasa or Astrid or Natalie Pramenko, for that matter, after the September 26 meeting about how the meeting had gone?
- A. Yeah. Like I said, we did kind of like an informal debrief as we were walking out of the room.
- Q. And describe that informal debrief, if you will.
- A. Again, it goes back to what I said earlier. Again, Kevin making the observation that mother, Barbara Pierson, was kind of trying to guide Karl as if he were a child, that a lot of what was -- Kevin and Astrid agreed that a lot of what Karl was saying was insincere, at best, but he was jumping through the hoops, doing what was expected of him. And that's really kind of, you know, the gist of

2.0

- Q. Were those discussed at all on September 26 at this action plan review meeting?
 - A. His anger issues?
 - Q. Yes.

A. Yes.

- A. I'm trying to think if Astrid brought that up or not. I don't know that she did.
- Q. Okay. Now, also on page 13 of your statement, you reference the fact that you didn't replace Karl as the captain of the extemp team after demoting him?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was that -- in the statement it says you were worried that Karl would be mean to any successor?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you also worried that if you named a successor to Karl as captain of the extemp team, you would make that student a target of Karl's violence?
- A. There was that potential.
- Q. And that was something that you thought about in making a decision not to name a new captain, right?
- A. I was afraid at the very least that the person would be picked on or ridiculed.
 - Q. Okay.

A. I wasn't sure if he would become violent toward them or not, but that was a possibility.

O. Okav.

A. Because there were two or three kids kind of wanting or willing to step up, and I just wouldn't let it happen.

Q. What did you tell those kids?

- A. Again, it goes back to me trying to protect Karl's privacy, you know, that we had had -- we had a meeting, and it hadn't gone well, that I had been concerned about -- I think I may have made the statement, again, concerned about some decisions and behaviors of Karl's. This goes -- this is one of the conversations where (THE STUDENT), I remember him making a statement to me saying, Well, Karl has let him get his mouth in trouble. So kids had an awareness that Karl would push things, take things too far
- Q. Do you know, did Kevin or Esther or anybody from Arapahoe ever ask Karl's friends, Is he okay or what's going on with him or try to get that student insight at all about the behavioral issues that you were observing?
 - A. I'm not aware if they did or didn't.
 - Q. Is that unusual for teachers or

Q. You were not aware that Karl was doing that in the fall of 2013?

- A. No, sir, I was not.
- Q. I take it nobody from the administration --
 - A. No.
- Q. -- took it upon themselves to tell you that Karl was doing that?
 - A. They did not.
- Q. If the administration had told you that Karl was looking at guns and pictures of mass shootings on his laptop at school --
 - A. I think I would have resigned.
- Q. Okay. Have you had any discussions with anybody from the administration about the fact that Karl was observed doing precisely that and nothing came of it?
- A. I have not. There hasn't been a lot of direct open discussion about this --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- among the administration or the staff.
- Q. And do you have any understanding as to why that might be?
- A. It seemed like -- whether there was an overt or covert message from central administration to

administrators at Arapahoe to do?

A. To ask if --

Q. To ask one student about another student's behavorial or emotional issues?

A. No. I mean, again, to try to do it in a caring -- hopefully in a caring -- I'm trying to think of the word. A way in which the student's privacy is being honored as much as possible. But sometimes you have to ask questions, and sometimes you have to be just honest with kids and say, I have a concern about somebody, so-and-so --

Q. Right.

A. -- do you have any concerns or have you seen anything lately that would strike you as odd or something you would want to let me know about? So I could see those kind of conversations happening.

Q. And do you know, did anybody at Arapahoe ever do that with respect to Karl in the fall of 2013?

- A. I don't know that that ever happened. It doesn't mean it didn't. I just don't know.
- Q. Okay. I'm sure that you have, since the shooting, read that security guards saw Karl looking at guns and scenes of mass shootings in the cafeteria at Arapahoe High School?
 - A. Yes, I have.

not talk about this among ourselves or to just not talk about this, that just was a message that -- you're going to ask me where did I that get that message from --

Q. Of course.

A. -- but I actually had a -- I was at a -- I was at a young adult literature workshop in May 2014. So a year ago, right? There happens to be a parapro from Powell, I believe, because I'm about the only licensed librarian in the district, go figure. Anyway, so it was good -- you know, she was there, we figured out, Okay, you're from Arapahoe or from Littleton too, so we were talking. And she's kind of just probing me a little bit because the message was direct from the administrator there to leave us alone, to have no contact with us at Arapahoe, that there could be disciplinary action if they were to do that. And that shocked me.

Q. So the faculty and administration at Powell were threatened with disciplinary action --

- A. This is what the person told me, yes.
- Q. -- if they spoke to Arapahoe faculty and administration about the shooting and the events leading up to it?
 - A. Yes.

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

2.0

through.

Q. Who was that paraprofessional, if you can remember?

A. I knew you were going to ask me. I'm trying to think of her name. I can get her name for you, I think.

Q. Okay.

A. Because, again, it was one of these people I see so rarely, you know.

Q. And I understand that, and we could fill that in later. If you'll give that to Mr. Kaiser, we'll plug that in after the fact.

A. Okay.

Q. What about within the Arapahoe building, the teachers, the staff, and the administrators there? Was there also an overt or covert message not to talk about what had happened on December 13?

A. Yeah, there was.

Q. And how was that conveyed to you?

A. Boy, how was it? I don't think there was ever like an announcement like in the faculty meeting not to talk about it, but there was a concern that, you know, there -- it was an ongoing legal investigation. I heard that multiple times. And because of that, that would restrict or prohibit what people would be able to say or talk about.

why any of the investigators were in the building. We thought they were questioning the folks some more. Come to find out, investigators had found writings of Pierson's that indicated who additional targets were and why.

Suzanne Tyler was -- her classroom was targeted. She, I remember, talked to me a couple of times before the investigators came to the building and talked to her. She was on hall duty, she would see me in the halls and kind of just asking how I was doing, we would just talk for a little bit, telling me that she appreciated me being back in the building, but she couldn't understand why Karl had her room number on . . .

Q. And what did she say?

A. Because she kept thinking -- you know, investigators didn't either, to begin with. Their theories were maybe it was the last place he was going to do a stand, maybe he was going to try to escape from there, because she didn't know him, she didn't have any interaction. She found out, she told me -- and I had heard a rumor from others. And we talked in the hall one day, and she confirmed that what I had heard was true. See, Suzanne taught deaf and hard of hearing kids. And the investigator said that they

You know, a lot of times I think a lot of us would have liked to have had the opportunity to talk about what we had gone through. Everybody knows my story. And many folks will say to me, I can't imagine what you've gone through, but I can't imagine being behind a locked door standing between my kids and a gunman. And that's what a lot of our staff went

THE DEPONENT: Oh, my gosh, what you went through, Claire's friend.

A. But some of us, we started talking to each other because it was the healthy thing to do, you know.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And I take it that those of you who discussed what happened not just on December 13, but leading up to December 13, did so despite the discouragement of the LPS or Arapahoe High School administration?

A. We did. It was a -- found out that investigators came in and talked to teachers in the spring of 2014, it happened to be the teachers that had been -- had their rooms targeted, had --

O. That were on the arm?

A. On the arm. And I remember that at first we were -- you know, a lot of us didn't know exactly

found that Karl had targeted her classroom because those kids were defective and didn't deserve to be there, to be Warriors.

Dan Swomley and I talked. He decided -- I knew he was really in bad shape. And it was after a department meeting, we decided to sit down in the staff lounge and said screw it, regardless of what the investigators told him. So what Dan tells me is that Pierson had targeted Kelly, his wife, who was our copy clerk and Jennifer his daughter, who was, I believe, a junior. But to leave him alive because it would hurt him the most. See, these are -- these are the things that people don't know about Pierson.

Q. Yeah.

A. Sometimes we just talked because we needed to. We had to support each other.

Q. Absolutely. Are you okay to keep going?

A. Yeah.

Q. Did the administration ever get wind that you and others were talking to support each other because you had to?

A. I don't know. I really don't know.

Q. Okay. We started that discussion when I asked you about the incident at the cafeteria where --

A. The pictures of the guns.

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

2.2

Q. -- Karl was seen looking at pictures of guns and mass shootings. Have you had any discussion with anybody at Arapahoe or Littleton about that incident?

A. I'm not -Q. Are you not following?
A. I guess I'm not following.

Q. Have you talked with anybody at Arapahoe or Littleton about the fact that in October of 2013, Karl was observed looking at guns and pictures of mass shootings in the cafeteria?

A. I don't think I have in the sense that enough other people were already talking about it. It's been in the news.

Q. Okay.

A. You know, I mean, I've had conversations with people about this at various times, but it wasn't something I pointed out.

Q. Okay.

A. But, I mean, it's kind of common knowledge now.

Q. Okay. Let's go back to immediately after the September 26 meeting with Karl and his parents. Did you have any other interactions with Karl after that September 26 meeting?

A. No. He kind of fell off the face of the planet, which as you've read here, I found disconcerting.

A. It was, because, again, like I said in my report, you know, Arapahoe is a big school, you just don't cross paths with a lot of kids. And he's a senior, and he's got a locker in the senior hallway, which is perpendicular/adjacent to the library. I should have every once in a while caught a glimpse of him, but I really never did after that, which, like I said, that seemed unusual even in a school as large as our school.

Q. Okay.

MR. KAISER: Do you want a break? THE DEPONENT: If we're okay, I'll keep

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) After the meeting on September 26, did Karl participate in any other debate competitions?

A. No.

going.

Q. Okay. And at that September 26 meeting, you mentioned that Karl's dad was in attendance?

A. I did, yes.

Q. But didn't say a word?

A. Not a word.

Q. Did that strike you as odd?

A. Yes. It didn't seem out of character to him because he's -- the few times I interacted with him, it had been probably Karl's sophomore year, maybe, he struck me as a very -- one of the better words, stoic, kind of a rigid silent kind of guy.

Q. Okay.

A. Kind of the opposite of Barbara Pierson.

Q. Okay. And I understand from other witnesses that Mr. Pierson went to parent-teacher conferences in the fall of 2013?

A. He may have. I don't know.

Q. And that was my next question is did you have any dealings with him?

A. I did not.

Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned a faculty meeting on November 20 --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- with Natalie Pramenko. I'm going to ask you about that meeting and the conversations that you had after the faculty meeting.

A. Okay.

Q. Do you recall the meeting I'm referring

to?

A. The faculty meeting?

Q. Yes.

A. I recall the big focus was school safety and security.

Q. Okay.

A. I think we had -- I'm trying to remember if -- Deputy Englert as well as -- I'm trying to think of who else -- we had some folks talking to us about student safety and security things. I can't remember specifics. I just know that was the topic because it was something that I'm sitting there thinking -- it prompted me, like I said, to follow up and decide and make sure I talked to Karen afterwards. It just kind of moved me along.

Q. Now, tell me about the conversations that you had with Karen Gerlich on November 20 after the faculty meeting.

A. It was probably around 4 o'clock. It's just she and I in the library. I just said, you know, Karen, I want to talk to you about something that's been bothering me. And so I then go through the process of telling her that I have concerns about Karl Pierson and that, again, a better way of saying it, I have a bad feeling about him. I think I may have

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

200

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

197

briefly outlined, you know, the interactions of the semester and that, yeah, I had been concerned about saying anything to her previously because of student privacy concerns, but I thought I'll take the -- I'd rather be wrong that way and inform her than otherwise, that I couldn't have lived with myself had something happened to her and I -- and by me not saying something to her.

And I remember her, like I said, taking me seriously. You know, and as she mentioned, Karl was -- she had Karl as a freshman, and, like I said, I'm trying to remember -- I'm trying to remember. I thought he was a student in her class that year too. So if that was the case, then there was also the possibility of being volatile in the classroom, as well as possibly something in the library, and her office is in the library. And, like I said, she took me seriously.

- Q. And during your conversation with Ms. Gerlich, did you express the opinion that the administration was still not taking your concerns about Karl Pierson as seriously as you thought they should?
- A. You know, I don't know if I said that to Karen. I think my biggest concern, at least from what

Faculty meeting, reference Karl's outburst after the Tuesday afternoon demotion meeting."

A. So that's September 4 and -- or, no, that's September 5. So prior to December 13, I only spoke to Natalie about Karl after the September 3 meeting. And then after the faculty meeting on September 4, which she directed Mark and I to talk to Kevin, and then she came by, it would have been Thursday, September 5, that morning to -- again, to make sure that Kevin had informed me and to kind of check in on me.

Q. Okay.

A. I don't recall having additional meetings with her.

Q. Okay. And that raises a different question. If you'll look at Exhibit 11, which are the written discovery responses. And, again, these are the written answers to questions that I received from LPS. Let me direct your attention to page 10, if I could and the numbered paragraph 14. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. There it says, "On November 20, 2013, Mr. Tracy Murphy approached Ms. Pramenko after the faculty meeting at which surveillance and security measures in general had been discussed." Do you see

198

1 that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I do.

Q. Does that refresh your recollection that vou had a conversation with Ms. Pramenko in late November?

A. I did. It wasn't specific to Karl. It was -- it had been an ongoing concern and an ongoing issue that I had been trying to resolve for frankly years to get security cameras in the library. And, yes, I made that statement, and I've made that statement previously to her about -- and I think I may have made that statement even to Mr. Booth when he was principal there that if something happens in a school like ours, there's -- you know, Columbine is the blueprint. The two places where there are the most students, it's the cafeteria and it's the library.

And so I had been working any opportunity I had to try to get cameras in the library. As a matter of fact, yeah, on December 12, I met with folks from district security, Darrell Meredith, and Natalie, to talk about the installation of security cameras in the library over winter break that year and placement where that would make sense. We would also talk -- I had been talking to Natalie about doing some upgrades of furniture too, but my big concern at that time was

I recall, is informing her --

Q. Okay.

A. -- regardless of where the administration

Q. And at least, based on your understanding of the policy at the school, you were breaking a rule by telling her about the threat that Karl posed, not just to you but to her?

A. Potentially, yes.

Q. Okay. And it was important enough that you did it anyway?

A. Yes.

O. Now, I understand that after that November 20 faculty meeting, you also spoke with Natalie Pramenko; is that right?

A. I don't recall having another meeting with Natalie after that.

Q. Okay. Well, let me -- and I may have the timing wrong on this.

A. If you could help me out on that.

Q. Sure. Take a look at page 16 of your statement.

A. Okay.

Q. It says in the middle of the page, "Natalie followed up with Tracy after the Wednesday

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

2.2

cameras.

And so that wasn't -- so I -- I don't know how to phrase this. Yes, I talked to Natalie. I've talked to her about -- any number of times, but specific to the Karl situation, no, this wasn't because of the Karl situation. Of course that was there, but it was because, it's like, Okay, we're talking safety and security, when can we get cameras in the library.

Q. And you anticipated some of my follow-up questions, which were, among others, was your statement, If anything happens, it's going to happen here, was that a reference to the fact that Karl Pierson had threatened you?

A. It was more of a reference to the type of violence happening, I think, in a school like ours, it's likely to happen in the library as it -- more than likely to happen in the library than any other place outside of the cafeteria. You know, the library, even before it was remodeled, there were times where we were pushing 150 kids in there sometimes, especially around lunchtime. So it's a high-density place. So if somebody wants to cause a lot of harm, that would be one place to do it. I've been aware of that since Columbine.

library besides you, Ms. Pramenko --

- A. Darrell Meredith.
- O. -- and Darrell Meredith?

A. And I want to say there were two members of the district security team. God, I know -- you know, I can't tell you who they were. Again, I don't -- prior to all of this, I didn't really interact with district security much. But I recall one of the things -- Darrell is a tall guy, okay. I'm not. And as we were trying to come up with locations, and realizing that we could get maybe two or three cameras, based upon funds available, where could they be best placed.

As a matter of fact, I think -- and Darrell, as a matter of fact, used his cell phone and held it up in a spot and took a picture, and we were like, Okay, let's take a look and see how that looks. And that helped come up with -- I think we were looking at the placement above the door going into the office area of the library next to the circulation desk, above the doors coming into the library, and then along the back wall where the curve is, thinking that that would get a lot and especially would get a picture of people coming into the library.

Q. Okay. During your discussions or your

Q. Okay. And I take it then that your request for security cameras in the library was not specifically connected to the threat that Karl Pierson had made against you?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And during your discussion on November 20 with Ms. Pramenko about the security cameras in the library, was there also a discussion about Karl Pierson and the threat that he made?

A. I don't recall. You know, you can tell from this, I don't recall that I said, By the way, you know, don't forget about Karl Pierson. I don't recall making that kind of statement. I was, again, using the focus of the topic of the faculty meeting to prompt the installation of security cameras in the library, something that I, like I said, had been having dialogue with administration for multiple years.

Q. Okay. And then let's talk about December 12 where it says, "Ms. Pramenko and others visited the library, among other things, to determine the appropriate location for new security cameras." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Who all participated in that visit to the

visit on the 12th to the library with Darrell and Natalie Pramenko, was there any discussion of Karl Pierson of the threat that he had made against you a couple of months previously?

A. I don't remember bringing Karl Pierson up into this conversation.

Q. Do you recall if they did?

A. I don't believe so, no.

Q. At any time during the fall or winter of 2013, did you ever write anything, an e-mail, a letter, a memo documenting your concerns about Karl Pierson?

A. No. No, I did not.

Q. Do you recall ever sending any e-mails about Karl Pierson, and more specifically, not he's on the debate team, but anything about any concerns you had about Karl?

A. I don't recall if I -- whether or not I said anything to -- if I did, it would have been to, you know, Kevin Kolasa. I don't recall. I honestly don't recall.

Q. You've heard, I'm sure --

A. But I haven't deleted any e-mails. So if there is anything I have --

Q. And I understand that, and candidly I

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

2.0

2.0

2.4

haven't seen any. I'm sure you've heard in various media reports about a statement that's been attributed to administrators at Arapahoe to the effect of, We're going to read about Karl in the paper someday, it's just not going to be at Arapahoe.

- A. Yeah, I've heard that.
- Q. Did you ever hear anybody in the Arapahoe administration say anything like that prior to the shooting?
 - A. I did not hear that personally.
- Q. What do you know or what have you heard about that statement?
- A. I've heard it was attributed to Kevin Kolasa.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. That it was, I think, an exchange between Kevin and I think maybe Christina and Cameron in security.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever hear Kevin Kolasa say anything like that about Karl Pierson?
 - A. Not directly to me, no.
- Q. When you went through the library visit on the 12th of December, was there any discussion about what kind of escape route you can take if Karl came into the library with a gun?

Q. I'm sure subsequent to the shooting you have heard about an outburst that Karl Pierson had in Vicki Lombardi's class?

- A. I have.
- Q. Did you know about that incident prior to the shooting?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. And if you had heard about that incident prior to the shooting at Arapahoe, what would you have done?
- A. Again, hindsight is 20/20, but I think at the very least, I would have had a conversation with Vicki to get the details, depending on what Vicki and I told each other, shared with one another, it would -- that I would have determined probably the next course of action in the sense of following up with administration. So I'm not trying to give you a lawyer answer, but it's really --
 - Q. No, that's fine.

MR. KAISER: God forbid.

A. But that's probably what I would have done, is I would have gone to Vicki first and said, you know, What happened or what's going on? And probably would have been, you know, I've had some problems with Karl too. I've had some concerns, and

- A. On December 12?
- Q. I'll ask it more broadly. Did you ever have a discussion with anybody prior to the shooting about what escape route you should take if Karl showed up with a gun?
- A. It came up as part of my conversation with Karen Gerlich.
 - Q. And that was on November 20?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me about that conversation.
- A. She said something to the effect that if something were to happen, the best thing to do is try to get out of the building. She said, you know, if something went down, if she could, she probably would do the same, that years ago there had been a bomb threat against Arapahoe and that she had -- during her planning periods, had sat out at the picnic tables outside of the building, rather than staying in the building. I think James Englert in his report makes some comment of saying something to the same effect of me. I don't recall him saying that to me, but he probably did.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever do a dry run of how to escape the library?
 - A. No.

let's go from there. And then I imagine Vicki and I would have taken it at that point. I think that would have bolstered the two of us to have confronted the administrative team more forcefully about something needing to be -- something needing to be addressed regarding Karl Pierson.

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Okay. You had mentioned earlier today in response to a question of mine whether or not you had ever referred to Columbine in a discussion with Natalie Pramenko. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And at least when I asked the question, I was asking about the wrong conversation. So I'm going to ask more broadly, tell me about the conversation you had with Natalie Pramenko where you mentioned Columbine in the context of the threat that Karl Pierson had made.
- A. Oh, boy. I'm not sure that I made a statement to Natalie specific to Karl Pierson at Columbine. Help me with this. It's been a long -- I'm trying.
- Q. And I will tell you, I'm not going to make you hunt for it, there is not a specific reference in your statement --
 - A. I don't recall that either.

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

master key.

211

212

209

Q. -- to a discussion with Natalie about Columbine.

A. I had had a previous conversation with her the year before about -- not Karl Pierson, but a decision to give all staff a master key, and I was opposed to it.

O. Okav.

A. This is the first school where it seemed like half the staff had a master key anyway. You know, at Liberty Middle School, it was me and the administrative team and the technology person who had master keys, and everybody else had a key to their area. The idea was -- her thinking was that that would make it a safer place. My concern, I voiced to her, was that it would be the opposite, that it would make each staff member -- and I don't obsess on Columbine, believe me, but it's there. But it makes -- it could potentially make any one of us a target if students knew that every teacher had a master key. And I said whether a person coming in to do harm took a teacher out to get their keys or if it was a student -- we all know teachers who lose their keys. So all you have to do is be a kid who swipes the keys, and they think they've lost them, and now you've got a

administration had any kind of a sit-down to discuss what lessons there are to be learned from this tragedy?

A. Well, there has been training regarding the threat -- the current newly revamped, newly designed threat assessment process.

Q. And I understand that. And you've been through that threat assessment training?

A. All of the staff has.

Q. Okay. And my question is a little bit more focused than that, actually, and my question is, has there, since the shooting, been an all-hands-on-deck meeting of the teachers, the staff, and the administration to say, Here is what we've learned from this awful tragedy?

A. I don't know that that -- no, not really, not a specific, Let's sit down and talk about December 13 and how we can make things better. There have been times in various meetings where, you know, things have been pointed out about how to report -what is it called? The posters that are around the building and so forth.

O. Safe2Tell?

A. Thank you.

Q. Sure.

and I regret that.

210

2 3 4

5 6 7

8 9 10

11

12 13 14

15 16

17 18 19

20 21

22 23 24

25

A. That didn't even occur to me to use that process as a faculty or staff member prior to this,

Q. Well, let me ask this. Prior to this shooting, had you, as a faculty member, received any training at all on Safe2Tell and how to use it?

A. Not that I recall.

Q. Have you received any training on Safe2Tell since the shooting?

A. Sure.

Q. Do you know, prior to the shooting, whether the students received any training on Safe2Tell?

A. I don't know.

Q. Do you know whether or not the students receive any training on Safe2Tell now?

A. Not being a classroom teacher, it's hard for me to respond to that. That may happen through say social studies classes or -- be disseminated that way or -- and that would probably be one of the best places since kids typically have social studies all four years.

Q. Have you had any one-on-one discussions with any of the administrators about what lessons there are that can be learned from what happened on

And when I said this -- and I said, you know, if somebody wanted to do something like the Columbine kind of thing, this, I think, would be a mistake to give every teacher a master key. She commented to me that our kids at Arapahoe aren't like that, that we didn't have kids like that. And I didn't know how to continue the conversation any further, so I stopped.

Q. And did you infer from that that when Ms. Pramenko had the impression that --

A. That we have good kids.

Q. It can't happen here?

A. It can't happen here, but, of course, it wasn't that I was wishing or willing for it to.

Q. Of course not.

A. Believe me, no. Like I said earlier, we're a large suburban high school with a large mix of kids that are dealing with a lot of different things.

Q. So in your view, was she just a little naive about the threats that exist at any large high school?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, I think we've touched on this, but I want to explore it a little more full if we can. Since the shooting, has the Arapahoe faculty and

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

2.0

December 13?

2.2

- A. Yes, at various times.
- O. Tell me about those.
- A. You know, these aren't anything that I've sat down and documented or thought through.

O. I understand.

A. You know, I've had some various one-on-one conversations with Natalie and Darrell. I had conversations with Angela Boatright in the spring of this year, in particular, to another incident that came up that involved a threat assessment meeting that I was part of.

Q. Anyone else?

A. I know I've had -- I'm sure I've had conversations with Steve Sisler.

Q. What about Kevin Kolasa?

A. No, because, like I said, he left the building, went to another building last year.

Q. Right. Did you have any discussions with him the second semester, the '13-'14 year about this incident?

A. Guarded conversations.

Q. Tell me about those.

A. They tended to focus around the concept that nobody could see this coming.

A. The idea of, again, being and trying to share student information more readily, I guess.

Q. What else?

A. Because I think she initially wanted to, like I said, try to make things much more open right away, and that was curtailed relatively quickly by, I think, the administration.

Q. Okay.

A. And of course the threat assessment process.

Q. In your discussions with Natalie about improving the threat assessment process, what improvements did you talk about? Was it more people involved, more thorough investigation, longer follow-up periods? I'm just trying to get at what the two of you discussed.

A. You know, I think the fact that -- again, making sure that everybody knows that everybody knows the process of procedure. This is speculation on my part, but I would bet in the fall of 2013, there wasn't 10 or 15 faculty members who, out of a hundred in that building, knew or were aware of or probably understood the threat assessment process. I could be wrong, but I would say very few teachers were aware of policies, procedures, forms, for that matter.

Mentally I wasn't a classroom teacher but I did

Q. I take it you disagree with that because you did see it coming?

A. Yeah.

Q. And --

A. And that I -- you know, I couldn't blame myself.

Q. Okay. Did Mr. Kolasa ever apologize to you for not taking your concerns more seriously?

A. No.

Q. No?

A. (Deponent shook head side to side.)

Q. What, if anything, did he say besides, Well, nobody could have seen this coming, in that period of the spring semester of 2014?

A. It was, again, you know, the idea that I can't blame myself, you know, none of us could have seen this coming, it was always along those lines.

Q. Okay. What about your discussions with Natalie Pramenko in the -- about this incident after it happened?

A. There were a lot of times where we talked about how we needed to learn from it.

Q. Okay. And did Natalie ever express to you what lessons she thought could be learned from this tragedy?

Mentally, I wasn't a classroom teacher, but I didn't even know there was a form.

O. Okav.

A. So making sure everybody is educated, informed.

Q. Okay. What about your discussions with Darrell Meredith about what lessons need to be learned as a result of this tragedy?

A. I think the idea -- you know, again taking threats against staff seriously.

Q. You mentioned that you went through training on the threat assessment process this past school year, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you actually go through any sort of practice exercises about how to fill the form out and what factors to look for and how to conduct an investigation?

A. Not like role playing type of scenarios, no. It was more of everyone received, I think, a copy of the forms and procedures that are on our intranet Web site. Also, we were directed as to where we could find these documents now, and then we were walked through the different forms and became probably more of an information than a question-and-answer kind of

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

scenario or a format.

- Q. Okay. Has anyone from Arapahoe or LPS ever acknowledged to you any mistakes that were made in dealing with Karl Pierson in the fall of 2013?
 - A. Not explicitly, no.
 - Q. What about implicitly?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I mean, nobody directly involved, no.
- Q. Okay. As I mentioned at the beginning of the deposition, a key purpose of what we're doing is to find out what lessons can be learned from this tragedy to help hopefully prevent future tragedies. So I'm obviously very sorry for the trauma that you've endured as part of this, but I am certainly interested in finding out from you what lessons you think there are to be learned from the awful events of December 13.
- A. Well, one is already in process, and that is, of course, the revamping of the threat assessment process. I think it's still a bit of a work in progress. I think that -- I think every staff member needs to be made aware of forms, policies, procedures, expectations, and that would include, you know, clerical staff, paraprofessionals too.

or with any student, teachers need to be informed of that. But so should coaches and sponsors and clubs that the student is an active member of.

Arapahoe High School is open probably as many or more hours after 2:16, seems like it is during the day, because of things going on. So we can't exclude those individuals either. They need to be made aware. You know, the threat assessment document I talked to you about a few minutes -- we talked earlier about, it needs to be seen as not a snapshot, but as an ongoing document that needs to be referred back to and that each person involved in the threat assessment should be given a copy of the final threat assessment, how it's filled out so that they can see how change had taken place. And I'm probably saying things that are a pie in the sky because they probably can't happen because of legal issues, but I'm going to tell you what I think is best.

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And that's exactly what I'm asking is what would you like to see to make not just Arapahoe but all schools safer --
 - A. Sure.
- $\mathbf{Q.}\;$ -- from these kind of catastrophic tragedies.
 - A. We need to have open discussions, and I

And then I think there needs to be consistency, as much as possible, in how the threat assessment process is followed and wherever possible, all players are included. You know, I was working in isolation in the fall of 2013 when all of this was happening. And it appears that Vicki Lombardi was having problems with Karl Pierson and that Dan Swomley had had some previous problems and Michelle Crookham had some issues. None of us knew this, none of the faculty that had direct interaction with the student was aware of -- I wasn't aware of problems that Dan had had, that Michelle had had, that Vicki had had. So in conjunction with working with the threat assessment, I guess, and making it more consistent and more thorough, and how it's implemented. It seems to me I value student privacy, but I also value student

THE DEPONENT: Sorry.

safety. So -- I'm no lawyer.

A. But it seems to me that this interpretation of FERPA and student privacy rights may be -- has been overly conservatively interpreted and implemented. You know, you talk about that I was probably an adult in the building that had as much or more interaction with Karl Pierson as anybody. So that seems to say that if there were problems with him

don't think we -- I don't think we've had open discussions much since this has happened, and I think that would be healthy.

- Q. And, again, your understanding as to why there haven't been those open discussions --
 - A. Legal concerns.
 - Q. -- since the shooting --
- A. Liability, litigation, finger pointing, responsibility.
- Q. Well, that actually raises a subpart of the question that we've been talking about. As you know, as part of this arbitration process, the Davises have agreed to waive any liability claims they might otherwise have been able to assert against Arapahoe High School, Littleton Public Schools, or any of the staff, faculty, or administrators as a result of Claire's murder. Have there been any of those open discussions since that threat of liability has been lifted?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Would you like to see that done?
- A. Yes. And please understand, I think our staff are in different places.
 - Q. What do you mean?
 - A. I'm trying to compose myself here.

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

7/20/2015

223

224

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

221

Q. Sure. Take your time.

A. There are those of us who live it every day, and there is not a day that goes by that I don't think about it. But I also know there are teachers and staff members, and I get that, and I don't blame them, that need to move on, whatever that means. And there are probably those of us who probably would benefit from having conversations with each other, even to talk about what we experienced that day, but also maybe what led up to it.

Not every student that screams and yells is going to turn violent, so we can't, I hate to use this word, target every kid that raises their voice. But we've got to be able to talk among ourselves and figure out when is this a concern, when is this justified to follow up about students and concerns. I was fumbling in the dark. I didn't know what to do, and I tried my best. I don't think anybody did anything illegal. I think maybe some bad decisions were made, bad judgment calls, and I'm sorry. Would it have stopped somebody like Karl Pierson? Hindsight -- you read the excerpts of his diary, on October 10, when I read that, it took my breath away. I don't know that we could have done anything to have stopped Karl Pierson, but we could have maybe tried

the record, and I guess I will now is, as you probably have heard, there is what is called a sequestration order in effect in this arbitration, which is essentially the judge who is overseeing the arbitration has entered an order telling all the witnesses, Don't talk about your testimony with anybody.

THE DEPONENT: Okay.

MR. ROCHE: So I just wanted to let you know about that.

WHEREUPON, the within proceedings were concluded at the approximate hour of 5:14 p.m. on the 15th day of July, 2015.

222

harder.

Q. Okay. Why don't we take five minutes. (Recess taken, 5:00 p.m. to 5:13 p.m.)

MR. ROCHE: Mr. Murphy, I don't have any other questions for you today. I do want to sincerely thank you for coming in and answering my questions. I know that this is undoubtedly the most difficult thing you can go through given what you've already gone through. I know it was traumatic for you, just as it was for the Davises, and it really is -- what we're doing is important, and I appreciate your participating in it. So thank you.

THE DEPONENT: I hope I'm helping. MR. ROCHE: You are.

MR. EVERALL: I don't have any questions.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. ROCHE: There's a couple of things I just want to put on the record. First, it's totally okay that you mentioned some student names. I will tell you in the interest of student privacy, which we talked about a lot today, those names are going to be redacted from the transcript. You'll have the chance to review the transcript, correct anything that you think needs correcting in the transcript.

The other thing that I should have put on

I, TRACY MURPHY, do hereby certify that I have read the above and foregoing deposition and that the same is a true and accurate transcription of my testimony, except for attached amendments, if any. Amendments attached () Yes ()No

TRACY MURPHY

The signature above of TRACY MURPHY was subscribed and sworn to before me in the county of _____, state of _____ this _____, 2015.

Notary public

My Commission expires:

Michael Davis, et al. 7/20/15 (am)

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATI	E OF	COLORAI	00)	
)	ss.
CITY	AND	COUNTY	OF	DENVER)	

I, ASHLEY D. MAHE, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, ID 20084033353, State of Colorado, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination, the said TRACY MURPHY was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in relation to the matters in controversy between the parties hereto; that the said deposition was taken in machine shorthand by me at the time and place aforesaid and was thereafter reduced to typewritten form; that the foregoing is a true transcript of the questions asked, testimony given, and proceedings had.

I further certify that I am not employed by, related to, nor counsel for any of the parties herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my signature this 3rd day of August, 2015.

My commission expires September 24, 2016.

_X	Reading	and	signing	was	requested.
	Reading	and	signing	was	waived.
	Reading	and	signing	was	not required.

Ashley D. Mahe

Registered Professional Reporter

	106:18 122:7	209:11	67:9 98:8 100:4	207:18
A 1 12 50 5 5	130:7 135:2	administrator 8:24	142:12 173:11	answered 159:13
a.m 1:13 59:5,5	130.7 133.2	9:11,14,15 10:5	180:5 183:2	answering 109:8
aback 73:10 108:5	175:4 178:18	31:4 89:22 90:13	ahold 76:8	222:6
ability 61:23 144:6	184:3 188:17,20	90:22 95:25 136:4	air 118:25	answers 3:13 7:6
144:16,17	207:16		al 224:25	46:6 140:22
able 6:21 24:5	actions 139:25	136:17,21 146:10 188:15	al 224:23 alive 192:11	142:18 143:11
32:22 45:25 53:6	active 219:3	administrators	all-hands-on-deck	142.18 143.11
103:19 118:21	activities 31:2 66:1	30:25 38:22 88:4	211:13	159:2 199:18
189:25 220:14	125:25	92:2,19 122:24	alleviate 182:1	antagonistic 38:3
221:14	activity 63:20	92.2,19 122.24 151:11 186:1	allow 176:20,20	anticipated 134:23
absolutely 7:12	89:16,23 90:3	189:14 205:3	allowed 137:5	201:10
25:21 35:12 48:16	addition 8:11 10:25	212:24 220:16	177:15	antisocial/violent
53:3 54:5 71:14	118:22 174:8	admired 173:7	aloud 55:17	156:13
79:9 84:16 88:12	additional 70:15	admit 126:7	alternate 52:11	antitheses 156:6
94:21 96:15 98:15	139:13 181:12	adult 155:1 159:8	amazed 173:7	anutheses 130.0 anybody 21:8
138:24 139:16	191:4 199:13	173:4 188:7	amendments 224:4	35:21 50:6,16,23
140:20 141:17,21	address 76:7	218:23	224:5	61:15 79:1 99:7
143:24 151:25	107:12 125:12	adults 150:23	amends 173:22	99:13 100:8 101:8
157:20 169:6	addressed 33:12	advance 16:1 38:16	American 38:17	114:1 141:10,22
192:17	60:7 110:16	176:1,24	amount 30:3	166:13,22 167:4
abusive 61:19	111:19 208:5	advice 63:1 64:9	and/or 52:17	167:17 185:20
academic 149:24		advised 59:19	Angela 213:9	186:17 187:15
150:24	addressing 150:25 151:1	advocate 156:7	anger 17:14,16	193:3,8 205:7
academically 152:5	administration	affixed 225:15	19:25 47:15,19	206:3 218:24
academics 125:24	30:22 35:22 42:12	aforesaid 225:8	58:2 101:3 147:7	221:18 223:7
accept 28:21,21	42:18,24 43:12,24	afraid 87:15 94:5	147:8,12,13 148:3	anyway 6:23 74:13
134:10	46:10 50:1,6	153:12,19 184:23	170:5 175:9	188:11 198:11
acceptable 35:13	55:16 63:11,15,24	afternoon 69:22	177:22 183:20,25	209:9
access 18:4 45:12	64:11,17 65:3	86:23 87:10,14	184:4	AP 38:17,17 39:3,5
114:15 143:6,14	89:22 95:11 99:23	91:1 99:8 112:24	angry 19:11,18	apologize 9:16 17:1
143:19 176:21	100:10 108:12	122:19 199:2	57:19 79:2 81:7	17:7 72:11 76:22
accomplishment	110:10,12 113:5	afternoon/evening	127:15 147:23	76:25 102:10
56:7	121:24 123:10,24	99:6	149:13 175:8	108:22 109:13
account 75:3	124:5,15 165:2	afterward 183:1	animal 103:20,24	179:22 214:7
accurate 139:23	173:18 178:2	agitated 77:20	104:11	apparent 160:4
224:3	187:5,10,15,21,25	ago 4:12 5:12 48:11	animated 119:24	apparently 32:10
achieve 20:10	188:19,23 190:18	163:13,21 188:8	announced 32:10	57:19 168:11
achievement	192:19 197:21	206:15	announcement	appeared 103:9
149:23	192:19 197:21	agree 25:25 134:5,9	189:20	appears 149:13
acknowledge 173:5	205:8 207:17	138:20,25 159:18	announcements	218:6
acknowledged	211:1,14 215:7	agreed 4:14 26:24	55:11,13,14	appease 75:20
217:3	administration's	112:9,14 152:9	answer 9:1 52:2	appreciate 6:4 38:7
ACSO 48:19	123:19 177:1,14	158:9 182:22	58:25 62:21 67:16	84:5 96:6 109:8
act 81:10 92:10	177:16	220:13	118:13 124:12	222:11
128:8,21 176:13	administrative	agreement 25:1	126:15 131:10	appreciated 191:12
178:11,16	45:22 151:9 176:9	agrees 147:8	137:9,17 142:16	apprised 88:5
action 3:10 65:22	180:4 208:4	ahead 24:10 29:20	143:3 154:9	approach 43:25
	100.7 200.7	uncau 27.10 27.20	173.3 137.7	approach 73.23
achoduling@huntorg		HINTED CETCT INC		2 5066/000 525 0400

Page 227

			Ì	
44:12	articulate 72:13	97:10 100:17	48:18 57:9 103:20	16:16,17 17:4
approached 22:10	as-needed 42:13	146:12	104:14 123:11,19	
199:23	Ashley 1:13 7:3,8,9	assistance 165:4	124:6 151:15	<u>B</u>
appropriate 77:2	225:4	assistant 9:4 10:1,9	161:23 165:16	B 150:5 152:6
89:22,24 120:5	aside 64:25 95:12	11:25 14:4 26:23	199:19	back 8:8 9:22 14:19
129:18 130:7	106:9 115:17	31:2 36:2 54:24	attitudes 156:13	20:8 24:11 26:9
202:22	130:25	69:15 107:10	attorneys 58:17	47:18 59:7,14
approximate	asked 5:20 33:15	146:12 181:6	attribute 26:5	65:6 67:25 68:14
223:12	34:19,20 46:6	Association 2:12	attributed 91:17	68:15 70:20,23
April 52:9 56:1	50:16,21 64:1	assuaged 111:4	205:2,13	76:10 80:18,22
Arapahoe 3:7,17	81:11,12 85:12	assume 71:12	audience 33:2	82:7 85:3,20
4:23 8:5,22 18:1	105:10 112:3,21	100:25 153:16	34:21	101:3 103:3,18
30:22 35:11,22,25	113:9,9,17,19	166:1	audio 112:10	107:5 114:16
37:4 38:9,24	114:1,9 129:22	assumed 103:9	August 8:9 57:12	130:15,21 131:2
41:22 42:25 50:1	130:3 131:3,5	143:24	58:1 225:16	131:20 132:24
50:6,16 60:18,23	137:2,7,7,23	assuming 173:2	available 45:8,15	133:10 137:21
63:12,15 64:12,17	143:3 144:12	assumption 146:15	45:23 93:22	139:17 153:7
80:13 89:6 92:2	145:5,13 148:18	astonished 152:17	136:19 203:12	155:6 156:10
92:13,19 105:6	157:23 159:24	Astrid 93:1,4,14	average 152:4	167:25 171:21
121:24 122:12,24	170:18 183:8	94:16 99:12	aware 13:17 18:20	180:21 182:18
124:4,24 125:13	192:24 208:12	118:19 121:14	19:2 36:11 38:22	185:8 191:12
126:3,6 130:14,20	225:9	158:2,6,7 166:18	40:25 42:9,19	193:22 203:22
131:12,20 133:24	asking 5:20 22:4	166:20 178:23	46:22 47:1,8	219:12
135:6 141:11,22	56:20 58:7 98:18	181:5,6,8,14,16	49:21 50:9,11,24	background 40:15
165:23 166:14	98:19 113:2 125:2	181:18 182:10,22	56:15 57:16,18,22	40:20
167:9,13,17,20	125:16 142:15	184:6	57:24 58:1 75:25	backwards 77:7
173:6 181:18	153:15 156:14,19	at-risk 138:4,11	76:1,9 85:21,25	bad 25:14 80:4,5
185:20 186:1,17	179:20 191:10	139:10,20 140:10	89:10 91:16 97:9	93:9 94:17 123:10
186:24 188:12,16	208:13 219:20	148:17 149:4	99:23 102:21	123:15 124:5,7,11
188:22 189:13	aspect 18:7	153:7 155:6 156:8	105:2,11,13 111:1	124:25 125:3,11
190:17 193:3,8	ass 86:21	173:17	111:9 114:5	125:18 126:5,14
194:7 205:3,5,7	assert 220:14	athletic 89:23	115:22 127:24	127:18 175:2,2
206:16 207:9	assess 5:14	athletics 125:24	128:3 135:4,21	192:5 196:25
210:5,25 217:2	assessment 3:10	attached 224:4,5	138:11 141:14	221:19,20
219:4,21 220:14	117:20 118:8,11	attachment 3:18	142:2 146:21	Bailey 114:3
arbitration 1:4	134:13,16,21,24	attack 98:4 143:1	150:1,24 151:5,16	Barbara 13:25
4:14,17,20 5:5,8	135:16 136:14,24	attain 20:6	151:16 152:13	54:23 57:5 58:12
5:13,21 46:7	137:11,14,20	attempting 21:9	153:3,5 173:19	59:15 75:18 81:10
124:10 220:12	142:7 143:23	attend 52:18,23	175:5 181:15	100:20 101:13,16
223:3,5	145:4 146:3 159:3	53:6 106:14	183:25 185:24	101:20 110:23
area 203:20 209:13	170:4 173:25	118:21 121:17	187:1 201:25	134:6 147:19,22
areas 33:9	179:1 211:6,8	136:7,11 181:22	215:22,24 217:23	148:2,4 157:18
argumentative	213:11 215:9,12	attendance 194:24	218:11,11 219:8	158:1,13 162:14
29:22 31:13	215:23 216:12	attended 13:18,21	awareness 37:1	162:18 178:24
arm 190:23,24	217:20 218:3,14	26:8 53:9,14	87:2,7,9 185:17	180:24 181:4
arrived 54:25	219:8,13,14	attending 20:1	awful 211:15	182:20 183:7
arrogant 26:10	assessments 135:7	attention 17:24	217:17	195:10
arrow 144:10	assigned 10:5	18:10 23:17 46:3	awkward 13:7,15	base 95:4,8 96:2,17
			1	<u> </u>

	l	l	I	I
96:20 178:6	believe 31:9 39:2,3	151:18 167:9	bow 144:10	51:12 123:11,18
based 33:16 38:21	39:5 44:24 48:5	194:7 196:4	box 136:13 141:7	124:5 151:15
40:19 41:9 42:3	53:7,9 61:9 72:13	200:25	141:12 143:7	153:1 173:23
51:13 65:16 72:8	72:25 73:19 75:17	biggest 197:25	145:8 149:1 160:7	184:6
96:13 97:25 108:2	84:22 93:23	Birmingham 52:15	boxes 141:6 145:12	brushed 151:19
108:9,13 119:15	101:24 103:15	53:20 54:25 71:25	145:17,19	bubble 103:25
142:18 161:4	109:1,22 111:16	birthday 71:8	boy 16:23 38:12	105:4
198:5 203:12	114:13 118:18	bit 14:20,24 15:2	43:1 48:5 87:11	budget 53:12
basically 45:5 53:4	121:21,23 125:17	16:18,19 22:10	134:2 181:10	build 14:23 169:24
53:6,11 73:11	128:15 129:2	26:16,17 27:5	189:19 208:18	building 9:24 10:1
81:16 86:18 97:9	132:7 136:25	28:23 30:4 44:13	Brachtenbach	81:18 83:13,18
117:17 120:4	137:1 138:21	67:10 76:18 95:23	10:24 29:12 82:2	84:17 85:8 97:5
121:1 127:12	139:2 163:18	99:1 122:16	99:16	103:11 110:10
137:8 153:4	188:9 192:10	123:13 124:14	Brad 39:4	112:18 115:23
165:14 180:20	204:8 209:17	138:11 158:7,8	bragging 86:18	116:6 131:18,20
basis 27:10 42:13	210:16	164:23,24 171:11	brainstorm 176:16	150:23 159:20
44:4	believed 41:8	179:19 181:5	break 6:9,18,19	167:9 168:8
basketball 89:18	107:22 108:1	188:14 191:11	25:16 59:3 84:4	169:12,16 189:13
bathroom 172:18	111:22 152:2	211:10 217:21	116:19,21,24	191:1,8,12 206:13
battle 28:24	178:9	bitch 18:17 21:1	148:13 172:18	206:18,19 211:22
bear 5:13	belittling 21:6	bizarre 158:20	174:23 176:23	213:18,18 215:22
becoming 40:18	beneath 147:22	blame 5:14 149:9	194:16 200:22	218:23
before-the-shooti	benefit 141:18	214:5,16 221:5	breaking 198:6	built 55:22 175:9
39:14	142:16 221:8	blame-finding 5:22	breaks 6:24	bullied 21:5 145:21
beginning 13:5	best 7:20 14:21	blank 9:16 72:12	breath 221:23	146:22
14:2 26:17 27:22	24:1,6,25 34:17	blew 72:21	Brian 31:1 45:4	bully 21:8 61:19
60:4 67:22 68:1	37:12 72:17 73:15	blind-sided 85:24	64:20 65:1,7,16	bullying 21:1 62:15
68:24 72:9 170:21	92:11 103:21	blueprint 200:15	65:21,25 66:4,16	Bureau 3:15
217:10	109:12 115:17	Boatright 213:9	66:20,25 69:11	business 37:18
behalf 1:12	118:12 128:14	body 75:12 104:8	86:11 93:23,24	busy 12:14
behaving 82:15	132:12 138:17	141:2	94:8,9,24 95:3	
behavior 36:22	148:22 158:10	bolstered 208:3	96:1,2,16,19,24	C
37:6 63:4,7 72:4	165:14 182:23	bomb 206:15	96:25 97:3,6	C 2:1 71:16 82:7,8
72:16 76:20	203:13 206:12	book 17:24 23:13	99:12	83:12 84:12,19,23
139:10 151:24	212:20 219:18	books 17:22 23:12	brief 40:20 45:17	170:16 181:3
173:15	221:18	170:19	45:17 54:19 106:8	cafeteria 82:4
behavioral 17:8	bet 99:19 215:20	booster 54:2,3	briefly 12:10 71:24	84:10 85:1 93:24
18:24 41:21 42:11	better 13:22 24:23	Booth 200:12	93:10 106:12	151:8,12 186:23
42:17 43:10 44:17	38:10 40:1 79:5	bothering 196:22	109:2 127:9 197:1	192:24 193:11
45:13 125:25	98:11 162:12	bottom 23:21 48:18	bring 86:2 124:25	200:16 201:19
185:22	173:22 175:1,4	115:5 130:11	bringing 204:5	calculated 142:11
behaviors 133:24	179:7 195:7	162:3,5 165:18,19	broad 43:4	142:23
185:13	196:24 211:18	165:20 168:17	broader 122:23	call 12:16 13:25
behavorial 186:4	beyond 35:13,19	bounced 74:3	123:5 154:3	24:25 38:12 99:2
behind-the-scenes	64:3 66:19	bouncing 99:1	broadly 56:20	102:3,8 129:7
25:7	big 67:7 76:18 77:5	boundaries 34:25	206:2 208:14	174:3
belief 96:16	125:15,18 133:1	boundary 35:17,19	broke 67:25 68:14	called 14:8 76:2
beliefs 155:8,12	133:12,13 137:15	bounds 56:19	brought 43:19	89:7 100:20,21
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	ı

	I		I	 I
101:12,16 102:5	cart 170:19 171:3	character 195:4	61:20,25 62:7,14	coaching 74:5
162:4 171:22	case 7:16 17:23	characterization	119:10 162:12	89:18
173:19 211:21	27:13 55:20 92:15	69:7	197:13 207:3	cocky 24:23 25:10
223:2	138:1 157:5	CHARLES 2:12	classes 11:2 38:16	25:23 26:2
calling 77:9	159:17 179:2	Charlie 72:13	38:17 47:4 212:19	code 59:21
callous 37:2	197:14	73:11 78:23	classroom 63:18	coffee 6:22 59:3
calls 221:20	case-by-case 44:4	chastising 76:8	103:15 138:10	collecting 170:23
calm 77:11	catalyst 37:6	Chatfield 144:9	172:19 181:2	college 30:4
calmed 77:21	catastrophic	check 114:18 160:8	191:6 192:1	collegial 40:3
camel's 67:25	219:23	199:11	197:15 212:17	163:12
68:14	catches 103:5,19	checked 140:24,25	216:1	Colorado 1:12,14
cameras 112:17	caught 104:14	141:2,7,11,13	clear 44:7 120:11	2:5,9,12,13 4:2
200:9,18,21 201:1	194:11	142:9,22,23 143:2	121:11 142:15	163:14 225:2,5
201:8 202:2,8,15	cause 171:13	143:7 145:8,11,17	171:9 172:7	Columbine 91:19
202:22 203:12	174:15 176:13	145:18,24 149:2,5	183:10	92:13 94:5,22
Cameron 205:17	201:23	149:5,8,20,22	clearance 66:8	105:6 128:13,18
camp 13:18,21	caused 162:23	160:9	clearer 101:11	200:14 201:25
14:19 20:1 26:8	169:23	checking 110:25	clerical 10:22	208:9,16,20 209:2
28:1	celebration 56:1	151:3	217:25	209:17 210:3
campus 18:6 45:15	cell 203:15	child 182:21	clerk 29:13 82:2	Columbine-like
167:24	central 43:24 45:2	chilling 79:12	166:16 192:10	98:4
candidly 56:10	45:2 187:25	chitchat 71:22	close 29:14 37:20	come 5:7 7:14
90:7 138:20	certain 84:25 99:19	181:5	38:15,20,23 39:1	14:19 16:22 17:18
177:19 204:25	115:13	chose 157:1,3	39:1,6 73:5,7,11	20:4 26:21 27:1
capable 111:14,23	certainly 7:16	Christina 205:17	87:3,5 156:20,25	47:17,22 56:17
178:16	11:13 21:21	chronological 46:9	156:25 157:6	80:18 92:8 102:25
capacity 78:4	143:13 217:15	chronology 60:5	closed 82:2 84:8	104:18 114:23
captain 23:23 24:3	CERTIFICATE	chunk 53:24	closely 159:11	124:9 131:18,20
25:2 27:12,19	225:1	circle 24:11	closes 82:1	134:3 137:18
28:5,9 61:17 65:4	certification	circles 155:22	closest 51:23	167:24 172:13
65:19 67:3,20	144:11	circulation 203:20	closing 82:5	176:6,17 177:7
68:25 69:13 70:14	certify 224:1 225:5	circumstances 4:22	clothing 155:15	180:13 191:3
70:19 78:21 81:3	225:10	138:3	clouded 136:13	203:10,18
184:10,17,21	chain 9:6 86:12	Citizens 3:17	clowned 22:14	Comedy 35:10
captaincy 60:1	chance 85:6 96:25	CITY 225:3	club 54:3	comes 31:25
car 53:21 57:12,17	99:16 222:22	Civil 4:2	clubs 219:2	124:17,25 125:24
103:18	change 8:25 26:5	Claimants 1:6,12	clue 33:14	162:22
care 23:7 133:19	36:22 74:19	2:2	coach 8:13,14,16	comfortable 53:15
168:3	124:24 125:17	Claimants' 3:13	11:1,24,25 12:1,3	coming 4:11 15:10
career 7:16 13:12	142:8 144:14	claims 220:13	12:8,25 14:4	30:18 53:12 69:20
21:23 86:7 122:10	219:15	Claire's 190:10	25:19 26:23 28:21	95:16 103:11,24
130:16 160:9	changed 43:8	220:17	36:2 38:11 39:16	108:2 109:8 111:6
caring 186:6,6	132:17 138:2,15	clarification 27:16	40:2 47:18,23	112:8 121:19
Carla 10:23,24	140:20 142:18	clarify 123:12	53:6,25 54:24	123:11 172:24
29:12,21 82:1	144:5 159:1	125:2	63:19 69:15 116:6	203:21,24 209:20
99:16 166:16	changes 139:9	class 18:15 19:10	140:7 181:6	213:25 214:2,13
Carol 2:17	chaperoning	20:25 45:24 46:18	coach's 39:18	214:17 222:6
carry 67:9 144:6,16	163:13	47:25 49:6 61:13	coaches 39:7 219:2	command 9:6
	•	•	•	•

	Ī		Ī	
86:12	competitors 16:2,8	65:24 68:4 75:23	7:16 36:21 65:4	controlling 63:7
commencement	complaints 44:22	79:14 89:4 91:16	88:25	controversy 225:6
225:5	complete 89:5 90:1	95:11 104:24	consequences	conversation 12:14
comment 29:22	completed 8:7	105:5,9 110:16	126:14	29:14 31:3 36:3
41:17 50:22	135:22	111:5 117:7	conservatively	54:18,19 61:2,4,7
104:20 206:20	completely 22:24	157:12 169:3,16	218:21	64:23 66:25 67:10
commented 45:20	177:2 178:6,10	172:4 174:2 182:2	consider 98:17,19	91:14 94:11 95:3
120:17 158:5	components 137:9	182:6 186:13	141:23	99:16 101:8
162:11 210:5	compose 220:25	196:23 197:4,21	consideration	102:24 105:16
commenting 33:3	comprehensible	204:11,16 207:25	165:22	108:16,20 109:14
comments 41:3	7:21	214:8 220:6	considered 137:8	109:16,16,24
45:17 62:5 86:17	compromise 180:7	221:16	142:4 159:24	110:14,24 112:2
179:15	computer 171:15	concluded 223:12	considering 80:11	115:10 118:10,15
commission 224:21	concept 213:24	conclusion 129:1	114:24 117:4,7,13	121:3,8,22 126:17
225:18	concern 21:24	134:6 169:8	118:3 122:6,13	126:24 127:1,4,8
commit 92:8 128:8	35:17 51:15 66:22	conclusions 104:15	131:11 160:8	128:14,16,17
commitment	67:1 72:3 87:22	condensed 95:23	166:15,23 167:14	129:21 130:13
181:22	97:25 98:3 105:16	96:8	176:3	133:7 136:25
committee 24:20	107:20 112:8	conduct 70:13,19	consistency 218:2	137:25 147:16,19
committing 98:4	121:11,13 154:5	216:17	consistent 5:3	162:9,13 164:8,12
111:23 128:21	154:15 159:21,25	conducted 117:19	218:14	165:6 166:10,11
178:16	160:10 161:1,18	118:8 135:8	Consistently	178:4 181:16
common 21:10	169:23 172:2	conducting 86:14	159:17	197:19 200:4
193:20	174:16 186:10	conference 6:12	consists 136:15	204:6 206:6,10
commonly 42:2	189:21 197:25	121:17 181:23	constructive 28:22	207:12 208:13,14
communicate	200:7,25 209:14	183:13,16,20	68:10	209:3 210:7
54:21	221:15	conferences 36:15	contact 3:19 18:1	conversations
communicated	concerned 20:9	195:13	18:11 64:14	30:11,24 37:8
110:22 143:1	26:17 34:24 39:23	confidence 13:16	110:23 111:2	39:9,12 40:3 42:8
170:1	40:6,22 41:3,18	22:20 25:20	129:3,16 170:23	51:11 64:20 65:1
communication	60:6,12 72:17	confident 26:10	188:16	116:25 122:21
121:11	80:8 82:19,21	confirm 66:10	contacted 64:17	126:17 161:25
communications	92:6 93:12 94:1	93:19 104:23	content 38:18	167:18 185:14
54:22 65:2	94:19 107:15	157:5	context 89:17 92:6	186:16 193:16
communist 155:22	111:14 115:4,22	confirmed 98:10	92:12 123:5 124:8	195:22 196:16
compare 168:10	118:5 128:5,21	98:12 191:23	163:1 208:16	213:8,9,15,22
compete 67:13 78:1	131:6 154:7 162:6	conflicts 115:23	continuation	221:8
150:11,13 176:21	165:13 167:2	confront 125:18	115:21	convey 74:8
competed 13:19	168:19 169:9	126:2	continue 24:5	conveyed 122:11
competing 14:13	175:14 185:11,12	confronted 208:3	67:12 78:1 169:23	128:11 174:9
15:10,12 16:9	197:2	confusing 99:21	170:10 179:13	189:18
24:7 52:12	concerning 154:14	conjecture 51:12	180:12 210:7	convoluted 125:7
competition 14:7	concerns 16:13	123:2 155:5	continued 88:8	cooling 170:8
14:15 15:10,17	22:16 28:4 30:21	conjunction 218:13	174:15	Cooper 45:5
16:4 19:21 35:2	31:8 39:24 40:9	connect 84:10	continues 92:25	cooperative 24:6
competitions 14:8	40:17 41:1 43:18	connected 202:3	continuing 27:13	copies 137:6
15:23 180:8	44:22 46:10 56:12	connecting 84:20	control 56:17 63:4	163:20
194:21	61:12 64:18 65:11	connection 4:13	157:14	copy 58:21 139:6
	1	•	1	1

				3
192:9 216:20	142:5,12 143:22	114:14 166:8,10	194:20 204:16	deleted 204:23
219:13	148:14 173:14	200:20 203:2,3,9	debaters 16:1	deliver 30:15,15
cordial 71:21	188:5 201:6	203:15 204:1	debrief 182:14,16	demote 66:8,21
corner 81:23 82:7	207:16 210:13,15	213:8 216:7	183:1	72:19 78:21
84:23,25	215:9 217:20	dash 48:19	debriefed 158:6	demoted 51:14
Cornils' 172:19	covered 60:10	date 65:8 115:15	DECA 163:14	58:1 60:1 97:17
correct 49:24 63:9	covert 187:25	118:22 130:22,24	decade 49:13,19,23	demoting 65:20
66:3 67:23 68:12	189:15	daughter 192:10	50:2,8,12,19,22	73:2 184:11
68:16 71:17 78:11	crazy 93:11 98:11	Davis 1:5 2:16,16	147:4	demotion 65:4
84:14 90:23	153:20	4:13 59:6 62:11	December 4:23	66:23 199:2
112:25 113:25	cream 126:3	224:25	5:17 8:3 43:16	Denver 1:12 2:5,9
117:9 123:21,22	create 35:1 90:19	Davises 5:11	46:11 141:25	2:13 225:3
124:2 143:16	created 25:3 74:13	220:12 222:10	161:2 169:17,22	department 9:12
146:1 147:2	139:15,18	day 12:11 16:4 61:9	189:16 190:16,16	10:15,17,20 95:21
148:20 149:3	creating 164:17	65:10 71:5,13	199:4 200:19	192:6
152:3 169:17	criticism 28:22	78:10 82:10 83:5	202:20 205:23	depend 10:6
202:5 222:23	29:7,7 68:9,10	88:7 94:8,11	206:1 211:18	depending 207:13
corrected 76:5	Crookham 218:8	96:22 97:5 100:13	213:1 217:18	depends 9:23 138:2
correcting 222:24	crop 126:3	105:11 141:24	decide 180:22	Deponent 20:22
correctly 45:14,19	cropped 138:18	162:16 166:17	196:13	27:21,24 28:2
Corson 61:3,5 62:9	cross 172:25 194:8	191:23 219:6	decided 72:8 78:21	83:25 190:9
62:18 63:3,10	cross-country 39:6	221:3,3,9 223:13	88:20 91:4,6	194:17 214:11
64:1,10 68:20	crossed 35:6 36:6	224:16 225:16	100:23 101:6,20	218:18 222:13
76:2 161:22,25	51:25 59:10	day-to-day 164:4	102:1 151:4 192:4	223:8
162:3,5,8,18,21	cultural 123:6	days 100:14 134:7	192:6	deposition 1:2,11
163:4,25 166:18	124:4,22	deaf 191:24	decision 31:16,21	3:6,12 4:11 6:18
Corson's 62:14	culture 41:20 42:1	deal 76:18 77:5	66:11 67:20 68:25	7:3,15 48:2 57:1
cost 53:19	123:9 124:24	89:21 133:1,12,13	69:18 72:4,5	60:16 135:15
couch 89:17	125:3,10	dealing 152:23	73:14 81:2 99:24	217:11 224:2
counsel 225:11	current 16:10	210:18 217:4	134:6 184:21	225:7
counseling 45:21	211:5	dealings 24:14	209:5	depositions 124:19
181:9	currently 45:11	38:21 195:16	decisions 126:14	Deputy 132:23
counselor 136:18	149:13	dealt 146:4	185:12 221:19	133:7 164:8 165:6
136:20,23	curriculum 172:17	debate 8:13,14,16	deemed 118:11,12	165:9 196:8
counselors 151:16	curtailed 215:6	8:20 11:1,18,24	119:18	describe 13:10
counterpart 45:22	curve 203:22	12:3,8,12,24	deep-seated 101:3	14:21 16:18,23,25
county 3:17 60:23	custodial 10:2	14:11,18 15:5,6	147:13	23:4,9 37:12 52:6
130:15,21 131:2	cut 18:16	15:21,25 19:5,21	deep-seeded' 147:7	104:8 133:2
224:14 225:3	D	20:10 21:21,23	defective 192:2	182:16
couple 5:25 7:2		24:13 25:19 31:8	defensive 76:13	described 23:19
17:22 20:23 22:4	D 1:13 3:1 225:4	32:11,13,15,25	definite 144:21	25:22 32:9 47:24
26:24 53:7 119:8	D's 150:9	34:10 47:20,23	definitely 11:6	94:16 127:17
150:16 160:15	dad 194:24	52:10 53:12 60:1	40:13 96:10	174:15
170:7 171:10	daily 11:9 55:14	61:17 66:19 67:21	deflect 177:21	describes 18:14
172:14 191:7	Dan 46:18 192:4,8	140:7 156:18	degree 43:13 123:3	161:25 162:4
204:4 222:17	218:7,11	157:2 162:20	124:15 125:9	describing 133:7
course 25:5 61:21	dark 221:17	170:14 177:2	177:23	description 19:10
88:9 123:2 137:22	Darrell 113:19,21	178:9 179:13	delegate 107:8	20:24 95:2 136:14
L	•	•	•	•

1	40 17 57 0 04 10	100 15 100 25	10 4 40 14 57 2	1
descriptions 24:17	48:17 57:9 94:10	190:15 199:25	18:4 48:14 57:2	dropping 88:6
24:18 78:15	161:23 165:16	215:16	132:20 135:19,22	drove 79:22
deserve 192:2	187:19 188:15	discussing 41:21	136:8 137:14	dry 206:23
deserved 55:5	199:19 218:10	42:17 60:5	138:7,9,15 139:3	duly 4:6 225:6
designed 211:6	directed 61:16 72:3	discussion 12:20	139:15,18,23	duty 191:9
desire 121:2	90:11 127:2 144:1	30:1 42:20 47:13	140:8,13 161:1	dynamic 158:21
Desiree 1:5 2:16	164:20 199:7	64:3 66:20 94:16	165:20 219:8,11	E
desk 171:3,21	216:22	102:17 106:5	documented 213:5	E 2:1,1 3:1
203:21	directing 110:5	114:16 130:19	documenting	e-mail 55:13 70:8
desks 71:20	direction 21:6 38:5	176:24 181:8,11	204:11	76:7 204:10
despite 190:17	directions 33:1	187:19 192:23	documents 3:14	e-mails 204:14,23
detached 149:14	directly 9:2 45:25	193:2 202:6,8	17:22 42:15 52:17	earlier 68:3 109:25
detail 141:20	54:24 63:17,18	204:2 205:23	57:4,23 216:23	110:20 146:25
details 32:17 47:6	105:18 119:22	206:3 208:10	doing 6:23 8:5	167:23 182:19
51:18 164:13	124:12 205:21	209:1 222:16	22:24 27:14 37:8	
207:13	217:9	discussions 12:17	40:18 53:15 71:22	208:8 210:16 219:10
deteriorated 29:3	director 66:1 89:23	30:11 31:12 39:14	72:14 75:18,21	
determine 141:12	89:23	88:10 107:13	78:13 86:15 93:12	early 12:25 14:6
158:3 159:20	disagree 134:10	165:9 182:9	94:2 111:4,14	43:16 110:21
170:10 179:12	214:1	187:14 203:25	116:18 150:7	138:4 139:10
202:21	disappointed 52:18	212:23 213:19	164:17 165:13	140:9 142:19
determined 176:10	152:16 164:23	214:18 215:11	171:7,18 173:9	144:23 145:1,9,12
207:15	disappointing	216:6 219:25	182:3,24 187:1,8	145:20 161:14
develop 24:20	28:17,19	220:2,5,18	187:16 191:11	174:4
30:17 67:14 86:15	disappointment	dismiss 41:13	200:24 217:11	ease 126:22
developed 21:16	19:25	dismissing 37:5	222:11	easily 16:21 17:4
91:10	disbelief 117:12	76:18	Dongotti 114:3	108:11
developing 79:25	118:2 176:5	dismissively 23:4	door 81:9 85:10	east 103:11,16,25
development 25:7	disciplinary 130:7	displayed 31:16,21	103:15 190:6	easy 37:20 131:18
devil's 156:7	188:17,20	disproportionate	203:19	economics 30:7
DeVose 113:22	discipline 133:25	19:22 20:10	doors 167:10,24	educated 216:4
dialogue 202:17	157:14	dispute 69:6	203:21	Education 2:12
diary 221:22	disciplined 36:4	disrespectful 29:23	doubts 132:1	educator 79:8 88:2
different 9:14	disconcerting 29:1	173:14	Douglas 130:15,21	122:9 138:10
14:10,12 15:20	194:3	disseminated	131:2	139:19
33:1 64:8 71:13	disconnect 154:20	212:19	downstairs 6:13	educators 172:13
78:17 79:7 140:23	discouragement	distributing 170:20	drafts 15:22	effect 34:20 35:5
143:3 154:13	190:17	district 1:8 4:15	dramatic 25:11	70:20 76:20 93:5
163:15 199:15	discovery 47:13	42:16 130:13	drastic 117:13	104:2,22 108:17
210:18 216:24	199:17	131:1 136:21	draw 72:12	122:14 128:7
220:23	discuss 18:23 35:21	188:10 200:20	drawing 9:15	138:23 151:18
difficult 6:3 74:4	35:24 69:11	203:5,8	drew 129:1	153:4 162:22
80:20 109:7	115:18 121:2	District's 3:13	driving 79:19	163:4 177:10
125:14 159:11	137:13 165:10	diving 93:19	180:18	179:11 205:3
222:7	167:17 211:1	divorce 36:12,19	Dropbox 74:10	206:11,20 223:3
dig 147:21	discussed 62:6	36:23 37:3 183:17	75:3,8	effective 132:2
direct 9:6,7 17:23	108:6 162:19	divorced 36:9 37:9	dropped 93:1,4	efficient 170:25
18:10 23:17 46:3	179:1 184:2	document 17:25	149:25	effort 5:22 143:18
	I	I	I	I

Page 233

efforts 173:22	168:2	20:3 24:8 88:9	199:16	39:22
ego 27:4	ensuing 65:5	165:11	EXHIBITS 3:6,12	extremely 19:11,18
egotist 120:24	entered 62:11	events 14:10,12	exist 173:12 210:20	exuberant 54:11
either 18:25 21:16	80:15 223:5	32:24 90:8 100:7	existed 25:3 135:23	eye 183:8
23:7 29:13 30:5	entertain 131:9	180:18 188:23	expect 67:5,18	cyc 105.0
33:5 41:20 59:11	entire 7:15 15:8,9	217:17	72:20 140:17	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$
63:10,23 83:12	entirely 106:24	eventually 134:11	expectations 24:18	F's 150:9
85:24 90:12 99:2	environment	160:11	42:22,24 43:5,9	face 97:4,4 131:25
104:5 121:8 124:6	157:12	Everall 2:7,8 7:7	44:9 70:21 180:16	167:25 194:1
144:20,22 147:11	ESC 106:14 137:6	20:21 27:15,18,22	217:24	face-to-face 97:7
154:5 164:3	escape 191:19	27:25 100:3	expected 22:3	fact 22:18 24:21
181:13 191:17	205:24 206:4,24	222:15	49:25 106:18	28:11 35:18 36:11
208:25 219:7	especially 33:16	everybody 7:5	137:10 143:21	38:25 54:15 55:12
election 68:6,7	38:15 84:2 125:18	22:17 70:7 190:3	145:3 182:24	57:11 69:12 74:24
elective 61:21,24	150:5 159:10	209:12 215:18,18	expecting 106:18	76:21 98:15 103:1
eligibility 150:6,7	201:22 203:23	216:4	129:11,13	105:10 108:2
150:10,21 151:3	ESQ 2:3,7,12	everyone's 176:18	experience 71:23	111:18 126:2
eligible 150:14	essence 61:19	evidently 76:2	139:19	128:25 153:1
eliminate 132:2	63:21 78:1 86:18	exacerbated 21:17	experienced 79:2,3	154:6 157:3
165:23 166:24	93:14,18 133:18	exact 115:15 172:1	79:8 86:6 221:9	161:17 166:14,23
embarrassed 16:21	134:18	exactly 25:13 26:6	expires 224:21	167:5,19,22 169:3
17:4	essentially 20:25	44:19 67:17 70:22	225:18	178:25 183:6
emotional 6:8	44:17 51:3 60:3	91:11 129:14	explain 43:14	184:9 187:15
16:14 19:20 21:24	88:23 94:24 95:1	132:4 146:18	118:3 152:19	189:11 193:9
43:10 186:4	99:24 124:19	175:18 190:25	explained 72:7	200:19 201:13
emotionally 94:13	177:20 223:4	219:19	93:10	203:14,15 215:17
employed 225:10	established 44:8	exaggeration 26:16	explicitly 217:5	fact-gathering
encounter 78:19	Esther 50:23 115:5	examination 3:2	explore 41:17	129:6
encountered 11:12	115:11,14,18	4:8 225:5	210:24	factor 74:4 131:11
encounters 60:11	117:1 118:15,20	example 29:25	express 66:22	140:22 153:16
encouraging 20:18	119:13,22 120:17	31:24 73:25 78:2	87:15 105:16	173:17,17 178:3
20:22	121:3,9,16,23	157:25	121:23 197:20	factored 68:11,24
end-of-year 56:1	134:12 139:22	examples 29:24	214:23	factors 138:4,4,11
ended 52:12 93:23	143:7,18 144:25	exceedingly 26:9	expressed 46:9	139:10,20 140:9
95:25 108:16	147:11 148:17	excerpts 221:22	118:1 154:4,15	140:11,18 142:7
119:5 181:4	157:23 159:24	exchange 70:16	176:2	144:5,13,24 145:1
endured 5:12 49:23	166:6,21 170:3	205:16	extant 52:5	145:9,12,20
50:2,8,12 217:15	181:14,21 185:19	Excited 54:11	extemp 16:9,11	148:17,18 149:4
enforcement	et 224:25	exclude 219:7	27:12 67:20 69:13	153:7,8 155:6,7
164:22	evaluated 9:4	excuse 107:20	74:12 184:10,17	156:9 157:12
engage 178:11	evaluating 8:24	exercises 216:16	extemporaneous	159:2 161:17
Englert 132:23	9:14	exhibit 3:7,8,9,10	13:19 16:2 23:23	167:13 216:17
133:7 164:8,16	evasive 9:17 64:21	3:13,15,17,19,20	24:3 28:6 56:16	faculty 38:9 39:20
165:6,10 196:8	evening 60:25	17:25 23:12 46:3	extempors 51:19	40:11,16 42:9,12
206:19	87:21 88:10 99:9	46:5 48:2,3 57:1,2	externalizes 149:9	42:18,21 43:3,11
Englert's 132:23	100:21 102:6,6	59:14 60:15,16,17	extracurricular	43:16,19 44:17,22
enormously 109:7	103:23 107:25	132:22 135:15,16	63:20 89:16 90:2	45:12 88:24
enrollment 131:17	event 13:18 15:25	161:16,21 168:12	extreme 19:25 21:4	102:12,18 103:2
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	I

	•		1	
123:9 134:4 135:6	59:20 123:3,4	207:19	196:13 221:16	212:22
148:22 154:7,11	132:13,14	finger 220:8	follow-up 91:12	frame 31:7 58:2
154:18,21,23	feeling 79:24 80:5	fingers 5:14 119:25	138:21 139:1,14	92:3,20 120:11
172:23 188:19,22	93:9,17 94:17	finishing 77:3	181:13 201:10	153:2
189:20 195:18,23	98:12 127:18	firm 73:17	215:15	frankly 7:15 200:8
196:2,18 198:14	132:6 196:25	first 3:14 4:6,16	followed 50:13	free 6:14 77:6
199:1,6,24 202:14	feelings 91:10	5:25 7:3 8:3 11:5	70:8,16 93:17	freely 45:23
210:25 212:2,5	104:23 155:1	13:2 14:4 18:20	146:17 165:3	frequent 31:20
215:21 218:10	168:6	26:23 27:19 28:8	198:25 218:3	freshman 10:4 11:8
220:16	fell 194:1	40:1 46:13 57:15	following 4:1 25:4	11:16 39:3 61:22
fail 126:12	felt 40:22 43:21	58:10 64:16 65:2	47:10 89:3 100:13	181:7 197:11
fairly 25:11 38:15	53:14 65:18 72:17	75:22 86:11 102:9	157:11 193:6,7	Friday 65:8,8,9
40:4 61:15 102:10	73:17 114:11	102:21 114:9	207:16	121:20 150:12
135:11	116:5 122:25	120:18 126:23,24	follows 4:7	friend 190:10
fall 12:25 18:25	160:5 167:19	127:1,4 132:8,9	food 53:22	friendly 37:16 38:1
19:17,22 36:14,16	168:18 170:3,4	135:18 139:20	footage 112:4,5	friends 51:23
36:18 51:15 57:16	174:11 176:7,8	144:24 151:2	football 54:3 74:1,2	156:21,25,25
97:8 138:14	178:15	157:21 159:4	89:18	185:20
183:14,23 186:18	female 34:21	163:2 177:19	forbid 207:20	friendship 157:10
187:2 195:13	FERPA 42:3 43:18	190:24 207:22	forcefully 208:4	frivolous 79:24
204:9 215:20	43:18 218:20	209:8 222:18	foregoing 224:2	front 17:21 33:16
217:4 218:5	field 42:5	fits 61:25	225:8	33:22 173:1
fallen 32:12	figure 9:5,21	five 222:2	foremost 4:16	frustration 17:17
fallout 106:2	118:24 156:2	five-minute 148:13	forensic 8:20	fuck 49:6
familiar 74:10	180:1 188:10	fix 25:14 125:7	Forensics 59:21	full 22:20 23:2
91:15 131:25	221:15	flag 150:4 151:18	forget 202:12	70:23 210:24
155:25 167:25	figured 188:12	151:22 173:17	forgotten 169:19	fully 45:1
far 69:9 129:8	filed 164:25	194:4	form 3:7 89:4,10	fumbling 221:17
156:5 171:5	files 74:11	flags 112:12 138:14	89:12 90:10,25	fundamentally
185:18	fill 89:5 90:1	flip 31:10	91:7 122:4 135:2	168:25
Farrington 2:8	145:13 189:9	flippantly 164:6	135:3 170:23	funding 53:4
farther 19:9	216:16	fluke 150:17	216:2,16 225:8	fundraiser 68:18
fast 45:10	filled 136:5 219:14	flying 138:12	formally 49:5	76:5
fate 132:12	filling 143:22 145:4	focus 33:11 179:3	format 217:1	funds 53:5 54:3
father 144:1	181:17	196:4 202:14	forms 90:19 180:17	203:12
158:24 183:17	final 219:13	213:24	180:21 215:25	funny 19:24 22:11
fault 5:16	finally 51:20	focused 13:18	216:21,24 217:23	furniture 200:25
FBI 161:21	finals 32:21	39:20 56:13	formulating 87:18	further 64:3 158:8
fear 154:5,15	find 4:24 8:4 34:25	211:11	forth 53:22 86:18	210:8 225:10
fearful 153:9	35:17 50:7,17	focusing 16:10	103:18 211:22	future 30:19
fears 111:5 182:2,6	84:6 94:10 96:22	97:14 126:22	forum 103:2,3	217:13
February 76:11	129:4 140:8 151:8	folks 190:4 191:2	forward 67:9	G
137:1,13	191:3 216:23	196:9 200:19	found 24:22 25:6	Gage 2:3
fed 152:22	217:12	follow 50:1,7 53:2	35:3,5 47:9 156:3	O
Federal 3:15	finding 217:16	88:20 90:1,16,22	161:11 190:19	gather 129:1
feedback/criticism	findings 159:20	91:5 93:20 106:15	191:3,21 192:1	gathered 61:14 85:3,4
149:10	fine 72:14 75:5	110:15 175:9,10	194:2	*
feel 6:14 53:15	102:11 116:19	179:23 180:2	four 95:19 150:9	gathering 77:5

Page 235

450 45 454 4	51 15 50 11 55 0	1.00.10.1.00.15		202.0
170:17 171:1	51:17 59:14 67:9	162:12 163:17	growing 127:18	203:9
gears 126:17	75:4 80:22 82:4,5	171:14 172:25	grudge 149:18	guys 58:24 82:4
161:16	86:10,22 93:15	173:3,4,5 175:6	Guarded 213:22	H
general 26:14	98:8 100:4 105:1	175:19,19 176:9	guards 186:22	
65:12 199:25	114:16 131:18	177:15,20 179:23	guess 9:5,19,23	half 6:18 16:5 59:2
generally 6:17 10:8	135:3 144:15	185:21 188:3	10:17 12:15 14:7	162:5 209:9
41:12 42:8 55:18	150:16 167:24	189:3 191:18,19	14:20,22,25 15:19	hall 82:16,22 173:7
generically 37:12	171:8 174:5 180:5	192:17 194:18	15:20 16:1,15,24	191:9,23
gentleman's 25:1	188:10 193:22	195:21 201:12	20:7 22:23 23:4	halls 191:10
genuinely 84:2	196:22 208:1	203:19 205:4,5	24:4,9 26:13	hallway 82:7,8
Gerlich 39:2,9,13	216:15 222:8	207:23 208:13,22	27:13 28:20 33:24	84:13,19,20,22,24
40:16 196:17	goat 155:19	219:6,17 221:12	37:6,20 38:8 44:6	84:24 85:1 93:7
197:20 206:7	God 203:5 207:20	222:21	47:5 57:15 60:2	112:16 172:21
gesture 141:3	goes 85:20 103:16	good 4:10 5:7 6:20	67:6,9,15 71:3	194:9
getting 27:3 37:9	107:9 133:2	13:9 22:11 23:9	78:23 89:11,17	hallways 84:21
64:8 93:9 100:7	162:20 167:25	28:13 39:2 46:1	98:22,22 114:17	hand 72:4 77:6,7
103:4 115:22	173:17 182:18	51:14 53:21 62:22	119:5,23 121:16	136:4 141:2
121:11 127:19	185:8,13 221:3	64:3 66:11 68:2	121:17 122:19,22	158:24
150:8 160:16	going 5:8,20 6:6	76:24 78:2,3	123:12 124:12	handout 180:16
165:4 170:22	7:4 8:8 14:19	112:3,9,15 126:5	126:1 137:17	handouts 42:15,19
171:2,2 183:17	15:22 20:8 21:21	163:12 173:10	138:16 139:8	hands 77:4 82:12
gifted 74:2,6	23:11 26:18 29:21	183:6 188:11	144:15 150:22	119:25 132:11
Ginger 26:23 32:23	30:18 33:20 36:12	210:11	154:10 156:5,18	handwriting 48:24
33:3 36:1 54:23	36:13,19,23 40:13	goofy 93:5	157:2 164:18,22	handwritten 48:22
69:14	41:14 48:13 51:17	Google 170:23	193:7 215:2	147:6
Ginger's 69:16	53:11 57:24 58:20	gosh 9:9 72:11	218:14 223:1	hang 151:20
girl 103:10	59:2 66:7 67:4,17	96:24 190:9	guessed 143:25	152:20 153:5
gist 182:25	69:19 70:3 71:2	gotten 39:25 40:4	guidance 28:21	happen 41:14 90:4
give 22:3 29:20,24	72:20 73:19 79:17	70:6,7 76:8	guide 182:20	90:12 118:25
30:16,17 32:17	79:21 81:17 82:18	149:19 163:12	guidelines 42:22,23	125:18 130:9
33:23 63:3 70:2	82:21 84:9,25	gov 38:17 39:5	43:5,9 137:8	185:6 201:12,17
90:16 118:13	88:20 89:2 91:5,8	Grace 167:4	180:16	201:18 206:12
159:15 189:10	93:25 94:5,19	grade 10:6,9	guiding 180:25	210:12,13 212:18
207:17 209:5	100:3 101:3 104:2	146:17	gun 56:17,17	219:17
210:4	104:3 105:12	grades 42:11	119:25 144:8	happened 4:22
given 16:3 35:18	106:13 107:19	149:24 152:20	161:10 162:22	5:17 8:2 22:9
38:10 57:5 140:22	110:24 115:20	153:1 174:19	165:11 205:25	27:7 46:18 47:9
143:2 160:8	116:20 118:20,24	graduating 152:8	206:5	51:17 57:20 80:4
167:22 219:13	119:3 120:4	Grant 2:13	gunman 190:7	85:13 86:1,3
222:8 225:9	122:10,20 125:22	Green 32:21 34:13	guns 56:14 186:23	93:10,25 99:8,13
gives 34:12	129:6 131:13	35:20 59:9 76:12	187:11 192:25	102:19 109:25
giving 60:22 73:12	134:12,14,15,16	grind 164:4	193:2,10	119:4,7 120:10
glimpse 194:11	134:22 135:14	ground 73:17	gut 18:16 79:24	165:6 171:24
Glodowske 26:23	143:5 147:24	group 119:3 156:10	80:5 93:9,15,17	173:20 174:9
36:1 69:15	150:12 151:4	156:10,13,17	94:17 98:12	178:22 183:11
go 7:2 9:22 10:9	152:12,19 153:7	grouped 71:20	guttural 103:24	186:19 189:16
18:16 26:1 29:20	153:21 154:25	grow 26:12,13,25	104:12	190:15,21 197:7
30:1 33:21 37:2	155:6 160:6	28:12 174:17	guy 167:3 195:8	207:23 212:25
		l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		I	I	1
214:20 220:2	98:5,10 100:18	160:19 161:1,14	hour 6:18,18 16:5	impromptu 166:10
happening 43:2	103:22 104:5	165:23 166:14	59:2 223:12	improve 5:1 33:10
62:4 65:18 97:19	124:18 134:23	167:9,13,18	hours 95:19 172:14	124:20
111:9 141:14	136:21 189:23	186:24 190:17	219:5	improved 14:19
155:2 171:14	191:22,24 204:22	210:17,20 219:4	house 144:2	124:10
175:5 186:16	205:1,6,11,13	220:15	housekeeping	improvement
201:16 218:6	207:2,8 223:2	high-density	58:10	126:9 151:2
happens 6:9 25:5	hearing 19:7 33:19	201:23	huge 150:4 151:22	improvements
32:25 176:11	56:12 138:19	highlighted 168:16	151:23 152:8	215:13
188:8 200:13	191:25	hindsight 141:19	hundred 215:21	improving 125:5
201:12	hears 104:1 172:2	142:16 207:11	hunt 208:23	215:12
happy 54:12	heat 77:1 79:15	221:22	hurry 110:9	impulsive 142:8,21
harassment 34:22	178:1	HIPAA 42:4	hurt 192:11	inappropriate
hard 7:9 9:1 38:12	heightened 194:5	history 38:17 39:3		21:21 34:23 56:13
44:7 45:10 52:6	held 203:16	145:10 172:15	I	173:15
53:18 69:19	hell 49:14,19,23	History/perception	ID 225:4	incidences 75:23
124:12 174:3,6	50:2,8,12,19,22	145:20	idea 14:10 16:8	119:5
179:19 191:24	147:4	hit 142:14	33:8 42:13 43:20	incident 18:13,14
212:17	help 5:10 26:13	holding 149:17,18	43:24 47:10 64:6	18:21 19:4,10
hard-pressed	28:12,12 100:16	home 79:19,22	102:1 112:3,9	20:16,24 21:19
161:13	100:25 101:1	100:21,23 101:6	176:19 177:23	32:4,9,19 35:20
harder 116:16	109:10 124:23	102:2,5 133:20	209:13 214:15	36:5 46:14,15,17
222:1	145:13 148:7,10	148:6 157:12	215:1 216:9	46:21,23 47:23
harm 15:2 144:19	165:4 172:17	honest 33:14 62:16	ideas 143:1 155:8	50:25 59:9,12
201:24 209:20	198:20 208:20	82:9 97:1 115:12	155:12	61:1 63:11,15
harmed 90:5	217:13	129:20 134:25	ignoring 161:17	64:11 68:19 76:10
hate 111:25 164:1	helped 93:19	152:18 156:1	illegal 221:19	76:12 88:21,25
221:12	127:18 154:1	178:13 186:10	imagine 17:17 37:4	89:13 90:9,25
hates 175:8	165:1 203:18	honestly 54:17	190:5,5 208:1	91:5,12 111:8
hatred 73:12 78:9	helper 11:25	204:20	imagined 71:1	119:10 146:6
86:6	helping 86:15	honor 59:21	imbalanced 154:6	162:6 192:24
haunting 78:10	222:13	honored 186:8	immediately 60:23	193:4 207:5,8
79:5	helps 52:2 104:23	hoops 158:11	161:22 193:22	213:10,21 214:19
head 7:10 12:1,7,24	hereto 225:7	182:24	implement 125:16	incidents 17:3 60:6
170:18 214:11	hesitant 40:21	hope 28:11 35:8	implemented	60:10 68:23
heads-up 70:17	Hey 103:6 104:19	50:4,14 67:12	218:15,22	109:20,24 127:22
health 42:4 130:8	127:25 150:12	164:2 180:11,13	implicitly 217:6	169:21 173:24
healthier 126:6	171:23 173:20	222:13	implies 16:2	174:7,14
healthy 190:12	Hi 173:8,10	hoped 123:20	important 5:13 7:7	include 139:8
220:3	hide 73:17	130:3 174:13	7:11 55:22 107:11	217:24
hear 29:13 32:18	high 3:7 4:23 8:5	hopefully 26:12	119:17 169:21	included 118:19
33:2 62:13 82:24	13:12 18:2 35:11	150:23 186:6	198:10 222:11	136:24 150:6,9
83:8 97:6 125:11	41:22 50:6 62:1	217:13	importantly 4:17	158:1 218:4
172:3 205:7,10,19	92:2 124:4,24	hoping 20:6 70:24	imposed 133:25	inconsistency
heard 4:21 5:4 19:5	125:22 126:3,6	77:25 132:12	impression 41:22	96:12
33:4 34:1 41:19	130:14,20 138:17	horrifying 141:20	117:22 122:24	inconsistent 96:9
47:2 55:2,3 83:10	154:23,25 159:9	hotel 53:21	133:10 152:21	157:14
83:11,15,21 97:20	159:25 160:5,10	hotshot 176:20	157:18 210:10	incorrect 140:19
	I	l ————————————————————————————————————	l ————————————————————————————————————	1

increase 161:18	instruction 33:23	57:6 189:23	Jared 12:4,14 53:9	189:10 194:16
182:6	instructional 39:16	215:14 216:18	Jeff 61:2,4,10,13,20	207:20
increased 169:4	39:18 40:2	investigator 91:22	62:8 63:13 64:9	Karen 39:2,9,13
182:8	intend 180:2	168:5,6 191:25	68:19 76:2,2	40:1,15 93:2,2
independent	intended 122:14	investigators 91:4	161:22 162:11	167:1 196:14,17
101:22	intense 84:3	92:16 153:18	163:11,16 166:18	196:21 197:25
indicate 87:8	intent 143:1	168:12 169:1	Jeff's 63:22,23	206:7
indicated 191:4	interact 203:7	190:20 191:1,3,8	Jennifer 192:10	Karen's 39:15 41:2
indicator 22:23	interacted 14:13	190.20 191.1,3,8	Jesse 31:1 45:4	Karl 5:17 11:5
individual 73:24	79:11 195:5	invited 136:11	64:20 65:1,7,25	12:21 13:3,20
98:2 139:7	interaction 11:7	involved 63:18	66:16,21 69:11	14:17 15:12,21
individuals 46:9	29:22 37:25 40:19	90:5 119:9 129:16	86:12 93:23 94:9	16:12 17:9 18:1
139:6 219:7	45:18 76:1 79:10	137:25 139:6	94:24 95:3 96:1	18:11,15,23 19:11
inept 16:18 17:5	85:22 87:3 94:10	146:3,9 213:11	96:17,19 97:6	19:18,25 20:13,25
infer 135:5 210:9	98:1 119:21 136:3	215:14 217:9	99:12	21:3,5,8,20 22:8,9
Infinite 18:6 45:15		213.14 217.9	Jill 113:22	
	191:21 218:10,24 interactions 11:9	involvement 8:19		23:22 24:2,14,19
influenced 157:22 inform 88:1 197:5			job 7:8 8:4 78:15	25:1,9,19,23 26:5
	13:3 29:10 39:22	involving 17:9 42:10 88:25	109:9 114:25 131:12 166:23	26:25 27:11 28:5
informal 54:20	41:10,10,11 45:20			29:3,10,23 30:3
151:12 182:14,16	51:13 65:15,17	173:24	167:14,19 176:3	30:21 31:4,8,12
information 23:18	66:18 67:22 68:13	IQ 120:1,3,7	joke 22:10,14	31:16,21 32:10
45:15,17 62:8	99:17 119:18	irrational 155:8,11	163:14	33:19 34:12 35:16
90:16 95:4,8	169:12 193:24	isolation 218:5	joked 163:10	36:4,22 37:8,13
97:15,16,24	197:1	issue 10:8 53:4 55:6	jokingly 162:21	37:19 38:10,21
114:12 161:9	interest 62:1	124:4,17,22	Jones 2:18	39:5,10,13,22
170:17,23 215:2	222:20	125:12 128:1	judge 14:10 223:4	40:5,7,17 41:4,10
216:25	interested 59:1	168:3 173:14,15	judges 14:8,11	41:11 46:10 47:3
informed 199:10	170:22 217:15	200:8	judging 32:24	47:18 49:4,12,17
216:5 219:1	225:12	issues 17:14 20:23	judgment 221:20	49:22 50:2,7,11
informing 198:1	interesting 25:6	28:4 42:10,11,17	July 1:2,13 3:2	50:17 51:11,24
infrequent 21:11	internally 89:21	47:19 58:3 75:23	223:13	52:9,17 54:5 55:3
initial 3:5 128:16	international 16:11	101:3 125:25	jump 8:1 34:9	55:7 56:6 57:12
128:23 129:21	61:21	147:8,13 148:3	104:15 183:2	57:16 58:2,8,15
initially 43:15,20	interpretation	183:21,25 184:4	jumped 24:10	59:10,20,25 60:4
70:4 131:22 215:4	43:18 218:20	185:22 186:4	jumping 158:10	60:7,11 61:11,12
inside 83:18	interpreted 218:21	218:9 219:17	182:23	61:15,18 62:3,4
insight 185:22	Interrogatories		junior 21:17 26:8	62:13 64:2,18,24
insincere 158:10	3:14	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$	27:8,23 29:4 51:3	65:3,4,15,18 66:5
182:23	interrupted 149:16	J 2:3	51:7 65:1 192:11	66:8,16,21,22
installation 200:21	intersection 84:23	Jackie 18:15,25	juniors 146:18	67:2,20,22 68:25
202:15	interview 60:19	19:10 20:25 46:14	159:10	69:13,19,22 70:7
instance 9:7 17:7	95:18 96:7	JAG 1:1	justified 221:16	70:12,15 72:8
17:18,19 147:23	intranet 216:21	James 132:23	justifying 53:19	73:23 74:9,24
149:1 151:21	introduction 30:15	164:17 165:14		75:24 76:6,13,25
155:21 159:2	34:5 68:8 76:10	206:19	<u>K</u>	77:17 78:17 81:4
instances 19:17	investigate 4:21	Jan 14:3	Kaiser 2:12 6:13	81:16,17 82:8
32:2 43:2	143:18 152:12	January/February	7:6 58:23 83:24	83:8,11 84:12
instructed 42:16	investigation 3:15	43:17	116:21 148:12	85:22,24 86:4,21

86:23,25 87:9	185:17 186:18,22	174:6,8,10 175:2	93:5,10 104:1	109:2,3,3,4,4
92:6 93:5 94:2,8	187:1,8,11,16	191:16	119:14 126:3	110:25 115:24
94:10,13,18 95:4	191:13 192:1	Kevin 47:13,17	157:19 160:9	119:24 121:15
95:8 96:3,17,20	193:1,10,23,24	50:3,22 97:10,12	168:7 175:2,7	124:13 129:17
96:22 97:4,7 98:3	194:20 196:23	100:17,20 101:1	209:23 221:13	131:24 132:12
98:19 99:14,17,25	197:10,11,22	101:12,16,22	kids 12:18,18 13:20	138:2 139:1,14
100:10,23 101:3	198:7 199:5 200:6	102:4,5 106:13,15	18:17 19:5 21:6	144:10 151:12,19
102:18,22 105:5	201:5,6,13 202:3	109:4 110:5,22	22:2,7,18 28:23	151:19,20 152:17
105:12,16 106:3	202:9,12 204:2,5	111:2,4 112:18	30:5,12 32:15	153:5 155:1,18,19
107:22 109:1,21	204:11,15,17	113:17 114:3,4,6	33:1,5,6 47:2	155:20 156:7,21
109:25 110:7	205:4,20,24 206:4	115:14 118:11	51:24 55:10,19,23	158:5,6,19 163:10
111:8,14,19,22	207:2,25 208:6,16	119:2,9,14,17	55:24 56:2 61:25	166:10 170:24
114:12 115:4,14	208:19 209:4	121:12 122:18,22	62:4,5 78:13 79:1	171:1,2,11 172:16
115:19,23 117:20	217:4 218:7,24	126:18,24 127:5	86:15 105:11	172:18 174:4
117:23 118:12,25	221:21,25	127:16 129:22	125:21 126:4,10	176:5,6,19 179:25
119:2,10,14,22,23	Karl's 11:16 13:12	130:3,3,13,19,25	138:12 154:25	180:6,10 181:1,3
120:18,21 123:1	20:9 28:20 33:2	132:6,16,24 133:8	156:17 163:13	182:13,20,25
123:14 126:18,24	36:8,11,19 41:19	133:11,15 136:3	164:5 167:24	185:4 186:16
127:13 128:1,5,21	47:14,18,23,25	138:13 139:22	170:17,20,22,22	188:13 191:10
130:5,14,20 131:1	48:24 51:1,8,19	143:6,17 144:25	171:21 172:11	193:20 194:1
131:13,19 133:3	54:9 56:13 59:11	146:3,10,12	173:6,8,9 185:4,7	195:8,8,10 196:14
133:15 134:7,17	63:4,7 64:14 71:8	147:11,18 148:1	185:16 186:10	199:10 202:13
135:17 136:8	72:4 81:13 83:11	148:17 151:7	190:6 191:25	205:24 210:3
137:20 140:6	84:6 86:16 87:15	152:1,11,25	192:2 194:8	211:1 216:25
141:12 143:14,19	97:16 99:2 100:9	157:23 158:2,5,6	201:21 210:5,6,11	219:23
144:1,2,7 146:4	104:5,8 115:20	158:14 159:23	210:18 212:21	kinds 30:16 125:25
146:11,11,21,25	117:8 121:25	166:4 168:11,18	kids' 37:2 53:16	kitchen 80:3
147:8,12 148:6,11	129:3,7,16 134:13	169:7 170:1,2,6,9	kill 98:6 104:3,3	knew 32:20 38:10
148:22 151:24	141:23 149:24	171:22 172:6	107:19 110:7	40:6 61:15 67:4
152:5,20,22	153:1 156:10	173:16,21,25	130:5 134:14	69:18 84:7 94:7
153:13,19 154:5	162:9 169:4	175:12 176:1,9,24	143:5 177:8	129:15,20 130:9
154:12,15,20,21	177:21 181:6	177:21,24 178:8	kind 9:1,11 11:10	142:18 148:22
155:12,21 158:2,4	183:17 184:18	178:14,23 179:8,9	12:11,12 13:5,7,8	149:7 189:3 192:5
158:9,13,16,19	185:9,13,20	180:3 181:14	13:8,15 14:23	209:19 215:22
159:24 162:4,22	194:24 195:6	182:9,19,22	15:1 17:13 20:4	218:9
164:14 165:1,2,11	199:1	185:19 199:8,10	24:17,19 25:7	know 4:13,16 5:16
165:24 170:4,5,7	keep 26:7 72:18	204:20 205:13,17	28:23,24 30:6	6:1 7:20 8:3,25
170:9,10 171:4,6	99:25 100:23	205:19 213:16	33:10,13 34:25	10:2,17,21 13:20
172:2,24 173:10	101:6,20 102:1	key 209:5,9,12,19	35:1 37:7,23,24	14:2,14,18,24,25
173:21,24 174:16	134:6 183:8	209:25 210:4	38:23 39:17 42:4	15:8 16:1 19:24
176:13,19 177:2	192:17 194:17	217:11	47:2,10 55:12	20:7 21:19 22:5
177:14 178:9,16	keeping 133:19	keys 209:12,21,22	63:21 66:24 67:7	22:13,22 23:3
178:24 179:3,11	148:6	209:23	67:24,24,25 71:20	25:6 26:6,9,19,19
179:15,20,22	Kelly 18:13,25	kicked 178:9	71:21,21,24 76:18	26:22,24 29:19
180:9,25 181:9	19:11 50:23	kid 13:8,15 14:23	77:7,7,8 78:5	30:10,25 31:24
182:2,5,21,22	181:17 192:9	14:23 18:15 21:1	93:10 104:12,13	32:4 33:5,9,19,25
183:8,21 184:10	kept 39:23 40:5	21:1 25:10 54:6	105:7 106:10	34:6 35:4,9,10,11
184:14,17 185:15	168:8 169:16	62:19 78:9 80:5	107:11 108:10	37:3,21 38:13,14
		l		l

38:16,25 39:5	146:9 150:11	knows 136:20,23	late 12:25 18:13	Lembke 2:17
40:1,3,4 41:15	151:4,8,18 152:6	190:3 215:18,18	113:23 172:10	length 16:6
42:9,22 43:17,22	152:13 154:22,23	Kolasa 47:14,17,22	200:4	lessons 4:24 211:2
44:2,6 45:6 47:7,7	154:25 155:15,19	50:3,5,23 97:10	lately 186:14	212:24 214:24
49:2 50:5 51:1,13	156:10,16,24	100:17 101:12,16	Lathrop 2:3	216:7 217:12,16
52:5 53:5,19	157:9 158:13,14	101:23 102:5	law 164:21	let's 8:1 9:9 32:8
54:11,17,19,20,25	159:8 160:12,21	110:6 113:17	laws 45:7	34:9 39:13 59:14
55:1,8,14 56:17	161:8,9,10 162:10	114:6 126:18,24	lawyer 207:18	60:14 67:19 69:10
63:10,13,16,19,21	162:23 163:11,13	127:5,5,21 128:4	218:17	80:22 102:8
64:7,22 66:9,16	163:14 164:3,6,18	128:11,18,20	lawyers 69:8	112:20 114:16
66:17,19 67:13	164:22,24,25	129:5,10,22 130:3	laying 171:2	115:16,16 116:21
69:1,17,19 70:17	165:12 166:9,16	130:3,20 131:8	lead 46:1	122:18 126:16
70:19 71:9,11,20	167:21,23,25	132:6,16,25,25	leader 65:19 67:15	137:18 139:17
71:22 72:7,19	170:16 173:3,9,16	133:8,11,15	73:23 74:15 78:3	140:7,13,21
73:25 74:1 75:4,5	174:7,18 175:1,7	134:11 139:22	162:20	144:23 150:13
75:8,22 76:6,6,10	175:8,15,17,18	143:17 146:3	leader's 73:24	176:20,21 178:17
76:16,17,24,25	176:5 179:10,11	147:11 148:17	leadership 26:11	183:14 193:22
77:25 78:2 79:15	179:17,21,21,25	151:7 152:1,11,25	26:13 86:15	202:19 203:17
80:9 81:5,14 82:4	180:1,4,7,24	157:23 158:2	leading 179:6	208:1 211:17
82:10 83:1,4,7,15	182:25 183:1	159:23 166:4	188:24 190:16	letter 3:17 175:22
83:19,20 86:11,17	184:7 185:9,19	168:11,18 169:7	League 59:22	204:11
86:20,24,24 87:1	186:15,17,19,20	171:8 173:25	leap 34:2	letting 22:5 54:25
87:4 88:4 89:25	188:11 189:8,22	176:1,24,25 177:4	learn 88:3 126:10	level 10:6,9 20:5
90:7,13,14,15,17	190:1,13,25,25	177:21 178:8,11	126:13 214:22	38:18 104:15
92:24 93:11 94:3	191:16,20 192:13	178:23 182:10	learned 4:24 36:18	123:20 138:17,18
95:11,25 96:10,11	192:22,22 193:16	204:20 205:14,19	211:2,15 212:25	159:13,20,25
96:19,21 97:1	194:7 195:14	213:16 214:7	214:24 216:7	160:5,10 161:1,18
98:7 99:20 100:21	196:11,20 197:1	Kolasa's 114:3	217:12,17	169:23 174:16
103:10,13,17	197:10,24,24	134:5	leave 81:6 84:17	175:9 178:15
104:19 105:7	200:14 201:3,19		132:5 181:17	levels 61:23
107:24 108:22,25	202:10,12 203:5,6	L	188:15 192:11	Lewellyn 14:3
111:7 113:15	204:20 205:11	labeled 17:25	leaving 85:6,9	liability 220:8,13
117:18 118:10,21	206:13 207:5,23	159:12	117:4,7 138:23	220:18
119:16,17 120:19	207:24 209:10,22	Labor 65:10	165:23 176:3	liaison 9:12 10:15
121:10,10 122:17	210:2,7 211:16,19	lack 13:15 108:7	lecturing 30:5	liberal 156:4
123:2 124:11	212:11,14,15	157:14 167:12	led 4:22 161:18	Liberty 209:10
125:15,21 126:2,4	213:4,7,14 214:5	179:7	221:10	librarian 8:6,11,22
126:7 127:13,15	214:15,16 215:17	lacked 25:20	left 12:5 34:15	10:25 18:5 173:10
127:21 128:15	216:2,9 217:24	lacking 13:8	47:11 57:12 70:25	188:10
129:8,14 130:6,9	218:4,22 219:8	language 75:12	77:7 80:7 86:24	librarian's 39:18
132:11 133:2,4,21	220:12 221:4,17	104:8	94:13 112:18	library 10:19 11:9
134:2,20 135:23	221:24 222:7,9	laptop 141:23	114:13 127:14,15	29:13 39:15 40:2
136:5 138:9 139:5	223:10	187:12	142:20 156:5	40:8 41:5 61:10
139:8,19,21,25	knowledge 30:7	large 53:24 125:22	213:17	81:13,22 82:1
141:10,18,22	41:9 193:21	194:13 210:17,17	leg 15:1	84:8 85:4 93:2
142:11,23 143:13	known 71:12 100:9	210:20	legal 189:22 219:17	103:3,5 111:1,10
143:17,20 144:7,9	135:25 143:8	larger 102:17	220:6	114:18 165:7
144:15 145:16,18	155:21	119:3	legs 6:22	173:2 194:10

106.20 107.16 17	T :441-4 1.0 2.12	100.2 5 122.10	165.0 2 172.01	20.12
196:20 197:16,17	Littleton 1:8 3:13	108:3,5 123:19	165:2,3 173:21	mature 28:12
200:9,16,18,22	4:15 58:18 131:1	127:5,23 130:4	182:19 184:21	67:14 173:4
201:9,17,18,20	188:13 193:3,9	lose 84:18 209:22	185:15 202:13	maturity 26:14
202:2,8,16,21	220:15	losing 67:2	215:18 216:4	McDonalds 57:13
203:1,20,21,24	live 91:18 92:13	lost 19:21 131:17	218:14	me/pull 28:24
204:1 205:22,25	221:2	209:24	man's 79:14	mean 6:5 18:6,18
206:24	lived 197:6	lot 14:11 28:16	management 47:15	29:14,17 32:7
licensed 188:10	livid 74:24 86:5	37:2 48:6 53:13	47:19 147:8,13	34:19 37:1,15
life 93:17,18	living 59:21 138:9	55:15 69:5 79:16	maniac 27:4	38:3 56:21 69:1,2
lifted 220:19	139:3 160:25	81:15 83:16 97:21	manifest 16:20,24	69:6 70:25 79:4
light 123:11 183:11	LLP 2:3	103:16 104:15	manifestations	80:24 84:2 90:3
liked 107:4 133:14	location 202:22	155:2 158:9 170:5	112:11	91:6 95:9 96:5
190:2	locations 203:10	182:21,22 187:18	manner 75:12	109:15 118:23
likelihood 148:21	locked 190:6	190:1,1,7,25	March 46:18 49:18	122:17 124:18
likes 124:11	locker 157:4 173:2	194:8 201:24	146:4 147:1	128:8 140:5 160:1
limit 176:21	194:9	203:23 210:18	mark 60:15 83:15	160:3 171:8
limitations 45:6	log 3:19 18:1,11	214:21 222:21	98:9,16,19 100:18	172:25 184:14
limited 30:3 157:14	logistics 72:1	lots 161:4,4 167:10	100:19,19 102:22	186:5,20 193:16
159:16	Lombardi 218:6	167:23	103:5,12,17,22	193:20 217:9
limits 76:17	Lombardi's 207:3	loud 73:8 77:19	104:14,16,20,25	220:24
Lincoln 2:8	long 8:4 95:19 96:7	lounge 192:7	104:25 105:8,10	meaning 64:2
line 9:20 35:5,7	109:15,18 147:24	low 118:12 138:17	105:19 106:6,12	means 67:18
36:7 51:25 59:10	208:20	159:25 160:19	106:16 107:14	172:11 221:6
159:4	longer 169:11	170:4	108:3,5,10,12	meant 94:18
lines 30:2 62:24	215:14	LPS 46:6,8 58:22	109:2 123:18	measures 199:25
92:4,22 93:13	look 23:12 73:12	190:17 199:19	127:3,9,11,17	media 141:11
105:22 108:18	78:9,9 79:1,4 81:2	217:2	135:14 158:1	205:2
117:15 179:20,25	86:6 112:4 124:6	luck 62:22 63:7	164:15 174:9	medium 138:17
214:17	132:21 138:12	64:3	178:24 199:7	159:25 160:19,22
list 148:18 157:11	143:22 161:12,15	lunch 6:19 151:8	Mark's 104:14	meet 11:5 15:5 24:6
listed 46:8 153:8	198:21 199:16	151:11	marked 3:12 48:2	30:24 58:7 70:1
155:7	203:17 216:17	lunchtime 201:22	57:1 60:16 135:14	70:10 81:14
listen 29:6	looked 48:6 53:20	lungs 73:6,7 104:13	135:15 149:12	106:17 154:24
listened 23:3	78:22 79:11 81:23	lyrics 33:24	160:21 161:14	170:9 171:11
literally 80:5 82:17	86:5 138:3 146:25		mass 186:23	172:14 176:17
87:18 172:25	171:3,4	M	187:11 193:2,10	meeting 12:16,21
literature 188:7	looking 36:24,25	machine 225:7	master 209:5,9,12	14:5,14 15:15
litigation 220:8	53:20 62:21 63:25	mad 78:13	209:19,25 210:4	19:12 34:8 39:20
225:13	66:12 67:6 115:2	magnitude 79:7	materials 38:19	39:25 40:8,10,11
little 14:20,24 15:2	140:19 163:21	Mahe 1:13 225:4	maternity 181:17	40:14,16 43:3
15:20 67:9 76:18	186:22 187:11	main 30:15 85:9	math 119:10	59:25 64:24 65:12
95:23 99:1 122:16	193:1,10 203:19	93:21 103:14	matter 23:5 28:11	65:19,22 66:21
123:13 124:14	looks 49:2 103:25	112:1	55:11 74:7 76:21	69:10,22 70:6,11
157:19 158:6,8,25	171:7 203:17	maintenance 9:25	98:15 105:10	71:2,5,13,16 72:3
164:23,23 179:19	Loptien 83:15	10:2	157:3 182:10	72:10 77:3,12
181:4 182:4	98:19 102:22	making 21:1 31:17	200:19 203:14,15	80:6,7,23,23
188:14 191:11	103:22 105:3	31:21 72:5 92:16	215:25	85:23,24,25 86:3
210:19,24 211:10	106:6,16 107:3,14	122:5 158:15	matters 225:6	87:9,13,24 97:7
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

98:21 99:7 102:12	65:13 70:5 73:21	Michelle 218:8,12	32:11 88:13,19	222:4 224:1,8,13
102:18,19 103:1	121:24 137:9	mid-October 150:8	91:4 92:25 99:11	225:5
105:9 106:3,14	139:8 154:18	middle 57:11 59:17	99:14 110:19,21	Murphy's 76:6
110:9 111:3	157:8 203:4	95:5 133:4 165:21	114:17,23 130:1	
115:19 118:21,24	215:21 221:5	183:5 198:24	170:1 172:10	N
119:2,6,16 121:12	memo 204:11	209:10	173:11 199:9	N 2:1 3:1
127:13,15 128:23	memories 80:20	Mike 148:12	morphed 119:1	naive 210:20
132:5,8,9 134:4	memory 11:13	mind 5:14,21 24:15	mother 13:17	name 4:12 184:21
134:16,19 136:8	109:10	31:25 67:2 74:19	36:14 40:20 52:17	189:4,4
136:11,24 137:5	mental 16:14 21:24	79:21 80:15 87:19	54:21,23 64:14,24	named 184:16
137:11,13,22	79:14 130:8	89:5 93:19 99:21	66:5 67:10 69:23	names 55:10,15,17
139:12,12 147:14	154:15 169:4	107:17 130:6	70:9,15 77:18,23	163:3 222:19,21
147:15 151:13	mentally 154:5	139:1 168:8,16	82:8,16,22 84:12	narcissist 27:4
157:25 158:3,4,7	216:1	194:4	85:22,24 86:24	narcissistic 120:19
158:12 160:3	mention 23:21	mindful 6:1,6	103:10 106:3	152:4
164:14 169:25	109:23 183:20	mine 108:14 208:8	127:13 129:3,7	narcissus 120:17
170:2,3,14 171:20	mentioned 10:14	minute 23:12	133:18 144:1	Natalie 43:17 44:16
173:19,25 174:5,9	25:18 67:19 78:8	112:21	147:12 162:4,9	53:10 64:23 85:7
174:22,24 175:13	88:1 115:3 160:24	minutes 4:12 6:14	164:15 182:20	89:3 91:9 92:1
176:2,8,16,25	167:8 177:13	6:15 12:11 16:6	Motive 144:19	93:22 99:6 100:18
177:1 178:18,21	183:16 194:24	30:14,14 59:4	motive/triggers	104:21 105:2
179:1,3,6,11,18	195:18 197:10	85:10,12,18	144:21	106:2,6,8,9,17,25
181:1,9,13,24	208:7,15 216:11	109:17 180:11	Mountain 32:22	109:14,23 111:22
182:1,6,11,11	217:10 222:19	219:9 222:2	34:13 35:20 59:9	113:9 114:17
183:24 184:3	mentions 31:16	misgivings 154:1	76:12	127:2,16 130:1
185:10 189:20	57:11	mistake 210:4	mouth 51:20 164:2	166:1 182:10
192:6 193:23,25	Meredith 113:19	mistaken 149:1	185:16	195:21 198:15,17
194:19,23 195:19	166:8 200:20	mistakes 96:11	move 84:17 221:6	198:25 199:5
195:22,23,25	203:2,3 216:7	126:9,10,12,14	moved 146:16	200:20,24 201:3
196:2,18 198:14	message 58:7 69:23	217:3	196:15	204:2 208:10,15
198:16 199:1,2,6	69:25 70:16 78:5	misunderstood	moving 103:17	208:19 209:1
199:6,24 202:14	78:6 81:16 120:6	146:8	142:6	213:8 214:19,23
211:13 213:11	122:3 148:9	mix 210:17	mulling 175:2	215:11
meetings 33:13	187:25 188:2,4,14	mom 14:22 147:7	multifold 153:16	Nate 45:4 137:1,5
42:21 43:15,16,19	189:15	Mom's 81:4	multiple 7:14 23:16	137:12,19 167:3
45:5 199:13	messages 58:12,14	moment 9:15 17:7	25:16 56:6 112:17	national 16:9 52:9
211:19	59:18	77:1 79:16,16	112:21 126:21	52:18,24 56:16,21
meets 14:11	met 4:11 7:4 12:10	Monday 33:7 34:10	158:12 189:23	59:21
Melissa 45:5	33:7 34:14 66:5	34:12 55:11	202:17	nationals 52:9,13
member 20:3 38:9	90:25 101:15	134:17	murder 123:15	53:8 54:6,10,13
43:21 44:18 45:12	107:14 115:14	money 53:5,11,16	220:17	54:16 55:6,19
67:8 73:2,20	121:20 132:24	monitor 159:11	Murphy 1:2,12	71:24 73:3 93:6
88:24 135:6	137:6 175:12	monster 26:20	2:11 3:2 4:5,10	nature 15:24 56:16
148:22 209:16	176:1 200:19	month 48:11	48:3 59:20 60:19	near 82:18 130:16
212:2,5 217:22	Meyer 39:4	months 5:12	104:3,3 158:14	172:19
219:3	mic 35:9	140:15 145:18	162:18,21 167:4	necessarily 15:2
members 14:18	Michael 1:5 2:3,16	204:4	171:19 173:8	26:20 36:25 37:19
15:9,21 24:7 45:8	2:18 4:12 224:25	morning 4:10	180:6 199:23	38:4 42:9 44:8
				1

			ı	
53:1 71:2 72:21	nickname 155:24	169:22	officed 40:2	93:24 94:14,15
131:21 132:14	night 35:9 68:15	observe 32:22	officer 24:17	95:7 96:4,14
137:10 138:1,2	79:19,22 80:2,16	63:17 83:8 103:19	136:19 164:16	97:11,14 98:17
154:25 175:6	91:15 93:11 94:1	155:11	officers 22:2 24:22	99:5,20 100:5,17
need 6:13,23 14:11	98:1,25 99:3,5	observed 21:3 27:7	33:7,16,23 34:14	101:5,10,25 102:8
33:12 34:6 43:22	101:13,14 103:8	98:1 103:7 105:4	170:18	104:4,22 105:3,15
58:25 81:16,17	127:14 140:16	105:14 106:12	officers' 34:7	105:20 106:5
93:15 104:19,20	nine 8:7	127:10 145:1	official 11:10	108:23 109:6,19
105:1 109:13	no-call 76:4	148:19 164:15	officially 50:25	109:23 111:3
114:11 125:17	no-show 76:4	187:16 193:10	oh 9:9 45:16 149:17	112:20 114:6
133:20 134:7	noncommittal	observing 185:23	149:20 183:15	115:2,10,16 116:2
216:7 219:1,7,25	180:10	obsess 209:16	190:9 208:18	116:3,10,12
221:6	nonofficial 78:4	obviated 134:7	okay 4:19 5:2,18,23	117:19 119:12,20
needed 30:12 93:20	nonverbal 140:25	obvious 97:3	6:8,11,15,16 7:1	120:9,14,25 121:2
129:20 130:9	normal 89:25	173:21	7:11,12 8:19 9:23	121:18 122:11
136:19,21 150:19	north 82:7 84:20	obviously 8:2 24:10	10:14 11:4 12:2,7	124:17 125:8
192:16 214:22	84:24 172:21	58:21 59:1 67:5	13:14 14:16 15:4	126:11,12,20
needing 208:5,5	nosedive 150:25	72:21 78:12 88:8	15:12,15 16:7,20	127:7,20 128:4
needs 24:7 77:11	174:19	120:10 123:7,14	17:2,8 18:3 20:2,7	130:2,11,24 134:5
173:16 176:18	Notary 1:13 224:20	143:2 217:14	20:17,20 21:19	134:11 135:13
217:23 218:1	225:4	occasion 30:11	22:9 23:11 24:9	136:1,7 137:3,12
219:10,11 222:24	NOTICE 1:11	occasionally 78:13	24:16 25:8,15,17	138:9,12,16 141:1
negative 39:22	noticing 171:14	occur 212:1	27:10 30:20 31:5	142:25 144:4,18
65:14 67:21 68:13	notion 125:10	occurred 4:23	31:15,20 32:8,20	144:23 145:15,19
74:4	November 18:14	35:21 85:5 86:1	33:22 34:17 36:18	146:14,20 147:17
neglected 59:8	18:21 39:20 40:10	98:23 178:4	37:7,15,18 38:2,7	147:21 148:8
negligence 5:24	40:16 46:14 150:2	occurrence 21:10	39:8,12 40:13,24	149:4,8,15,17
neither 32:23 94:7	151:3 167:1	31:20	41:2,7 42:14,23	150:3,20 151:6,14
148:17 159:23	195:19 196:17	October 14:7 150:1	43:4,8 44:14,21	153:7,15 154:3,17
164:2	198:14 199:22	169:11 193:9	45:9 46:1,16	155:3,17 156:8,16
nerdy 13:8,15	200:5 202:7 206:8	221:23	47:12 48:17,21	157:7,11 158:17
net 170:19	number 33:1 76:7	October/Novemb	49:1,4,11 51:14	158:23 159:1,4,7
never 21:4 47:21	143:10 145:16	153:2	52:1,8,12,16,21	159:18,19 160:14
48:1 55:1 78:8,25	153:18 191:14	odd 14:16 163:1	56:8,20,24 59:16	160:17,20,23
79:2,3,9 80:6	201:4	186:14 195:3	60:3,14 61:1	161:3,6,15 162:15
82:13 103:12	numbered 199:20	odds 168:25	63:25 64:25 66:4	164:7 165:5,16
135:5 156:1	numbers 48:19	offer 110:6	66:14,20 68:1	167:3,8 168:4,14
158:24 168:6		offering 181:9	69:25 71:18 72:6	170:12 172:9,22
194:12	0	office 23:15 39:15	72:22 74:17 75:13	174:12,14 175:20
new 14:19 184:21	o'clock 110:20	39:17,18,19 43:24	75:18 76:19 77:4	175:21 176:14,19
202:22	171:22 172:17	45:2,3 57:5 60:18	77:16 78:7 79:18	178:17,20 179:6
newly 25:2 211:5,5	196:19	61:15 85:8,9,10	80:1,9,18,22 81:8	181:11,20,24
news 16:10 23:1	object 100:3	88:7 93:4,22	81:20,25 82:24	183:2,15,19 184:8
97:16 100:8	objections 7:6	114:13 119:23	83:3,10,23,24	184:25 185:3,21
123:10,14,16	objective 177:1	153:19 165:7	84:5,11 85:2 86:4	186:21 187:14,20
124:5,11,25 125:3	observation 182:19	166:20 171:23	87:13,23 88:8	188:12 189:6,12
125:11 193:14	observations 62:6	172:20 197:17	89:6,9 90:7 91:3	192:17,23 193:15
nice 56:4 81:11	94:12 161:4	203:20	91:17 92:18,23,24	193:19,22 194:15
		l		

	İ	İ	İ	İ
194:17,23 195:9	options 159:16	168:4,15,17 183:2	181:16 206:6	155:13 156:11
195:11,18,24	order 45:12 46:9	183:6 184:8	213:12 215:20	170:8 214:14
196:6 198:2,10,18	116:1,3 223:3,5	198:21,24 199:19	217:15 220:12	periods 206:17
198:23 199:12,15	orders 79:7	pages 48:6 95:22	participate 67:12	215:15
199:22 201:7	organized 171:3	162:24 163:20	176:20 180:8,22	permission 66:8,12
202:1,6,19 203:9	outburst 47:25	paid 53:25	194:20	permitted 179:4
203:17,25 205:15	49:13 50:18 98:20	painstaking 102:10	participated	180:7
205:19 206:23	199:1 207:2	pants 138:13	202:25	perpendicular/a
208:7 209:7	outcome 225:12	paper 90:17,19	participating	194:10
211:10 214:7,18	outlined 31:24	205:4	176:21 222:12	person 9:13 10:22
214:23 215:8	197:1	papers 171:1,2	particular 10:6	72:13 89:18 120:1
216:3,6 217:2,8	outside 103:13	paragraph 31:18	14:9 15:25 17:2	120:19 136:20,23
217:10 222:2,19	201:19 206:18	32:9 58:5 59:19	30:6 72:9 85:23	172:16 184:24
223:8	over-the-top 81:7	162:15 199:20	153:16 174:6,8	188:21 209:11,20
old 65:16 69:3	overheard 114:4	parapro 188:9	179:18 213:10	219:12
once 15:9,15 72:11	overly 37:25	paraprofessional	particularly 31:25	personal 74:16
194:11	218:21	189:1	parties 225:7,11	personality 108:10
one-on-one 212:23	overnight 91:11	paraprofessionals	paths 172:25 194:8	personally 107:12
213:8	overreacting 98:14	217:25	pay 53:6	205:10
ongoing 189:22	122:25	parent 14:14 53:9	peace 75:20	personnel 139:9
200:7,7 219:11	overseeing 10:1	129:16	peacemaker 81:10	perspective 67:6
online 120:6	223:4	parent-teacher	peer 156:9,10,12	phone 13:24 76:7
open 35:9 43:21	overt 187:25	36:15 183:6,13,16	156:16	141:23 147:19
44:13 45:1 61:22	189:15	183:19 195:12	peers 49:12 56:3	203:15
85:11 131:17	overtook 90:9	parents 14:5,9	153:8	phrase 91:12 94:4
164:17,17 167:10	overwritten 113:24	20:14 36:8,12,19	penis 32:12	100:24 135:1
167:24 168:1	Owens 73:25	36:23 37:2,9	people 5:15 6:21	201:3
179:9 187:19	ownership 74:6	47:14 54:2 55:21	13:22 96:11 104:1	phrase-like 104:11
215:5 219:4,25		56:3 59:12 99:2	106:9 112:6,22	physical 112:11
220:1,5,17	P	111:8 115:15,20	113:9 116:16	167:12,19
opened 179:14	P 2:1,1	119:1 129:16	122:8,12 131:22	picked 19:13
opening 44:16	P.C 2:8	134:17 136:9	155:20 161:5	171:16 184:24
openings 37:21	p.m 116:23,23	139:12 165:3	171:14 189:8,25	picking 21:6 160:7
openly 43:25	148:15,15 222:3,3	170:1 193:23	192:13 193:13,17	picks 19:13
opinion 38:8 180:5	223:12	parking 81:14	203:24 215:13	picnic 206:17
197:20	packet 180:16	83:16 97:20	perceived 49:18,22	picture 67:7 116:5
opportunities	page 3:2 18:11,12	103:16	154:20,21 156:25	116:8 175:16
30:13 179:14	23:17 31:10 46:4	part 9:23 18:8	percentage 37:3	203:16,24
opportunity 25:4	46:8 48:19 57:10	24:19 26:10 46:7	perception 50:12	pictures 55:24
30:17 56:2,4 97:3	57:11 59:18 62:22	66:11 67:21 73:25	52:4,19	187:11 192:25
106:11 109:19	63:25 74:23 78:7	76:23 77:15 78:12	perfect 7:23 10:7	193:1,10
114:9 190:2	88:16 95:5 115:3	86:8 101:10 109:9	126:8	pie 219:16
200:17	115:6 121:16	112:1 124:9	perfectly 129:19	pieces 25:16
opposed 11:24	126:22 130:11	131:15 137:24	perform 120:3	Pierson 5:17 11:5
100:13 209:6	133:4 140:18,18	146:2 147:1,16	performed 179:1	12:21 13:3,25
opposite 156:7	157:24 161:23,24	151:9 154:22	performing 134:12	17:9 18:1,15,23
195:10 209:15	162:3 165:17,19	159:3 164:3 179:4	period 13:4 36:9	21:3 24:14 26:5
option 131:4,9	165:21,22 167:8	179:13 180:8,12	52:5 60:8,9 98:18	38:10,21 39:13,22
15.10.1.1,5	<u> </u>	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	32.2 33.0,7 73.10	30.10,210,10,22

46.10.40.5.57.5	225.7	190-2 200-2	00.2 122.0 167.10	
46:10 49:5 57:5	225:7	180:3 208:2	98:2 122:9 167:18	prevent 5:10
58:6,12,15 59:19	placed 203:13	pointed 193:18	198:9 209:18	217:13
61:11,15 64:18	placement 200:22	211:20	Powell 188:9,20	preview 70:2
65:3 66:16,21	203:19	pointing 220:8	practice 28:23	previous 11:8 33:9
71:21 75:18 77:10	places 200:15	points 30:15 99:21	29:11,12 30:3,13	64:21 65:7,17
81:10 86:25 87:9	212:21 220:23	police 164:18,18	170:14 216:16	68:4 76:11 98:1
97:7 99:25 100:20	placing 37:5	policies 134:21	practiced 161:10	99:18 119:10
101:13,17 102:18	plan 3:10 33:11	135:3 215:25	practices 30:4 68:5	146:15,19 209:3
104:5 106:3	144:6,17 178:18	217:23	180:9	218:8 225:5
110:23 111:2,8	184:3	policy 41:20,25	practicing 176:22	previously 3:12
117:20 119:22	plane 53:21	45:10 198:6	Pramenko 44:16	53:7 197:3 200:11
123:15 126:25	planet 194:2	political 156:3	44:23 64:23 73:1	204:4
128:1 130:5 131:1	planned 142:12	politics 30:7	73:19 75:5 85:7	Price 19:1
133:3 135:17	planner 141:24	poor 31:16,21 72:4	85:16 86:10,23,24	Price's 18:15 19:10
136:9 137:20	planning 65:20	72:4 149:23	87:14,23,24 90:24	20:25
143:14,19 146:4	69:12 206:17	pop 20:24	92:1 105:24 106:2	Price/Kelly 46:14
147:19 148:3,4,22	plans 8:10	pored 140:14	106:6 107:14	primarily 156:17
155:12 157:18	plausible 142:13,22	portrayed 156:6	108:16 109:14,23	156:18
158:1,2,13 162:6	played 167:13	posed 198:7	110:6,15 111:7,10	primary 88:6
162:14,18,19	player 74:1 89:19	position 22:7 25:2	111:22 113:10	110:21
165:24 171:4	players 74:2,5	25:3 118:16	114:17 127:2,25	principal 9:3 10:1
172:2 173:24	218:4	122:13 134:9	166:2 182:10	10:10 31:2 89:24
177:2 178:24,24	playing 79:23	177:14	195:21 198:15	107:9 146:12
178:24 180:24	87:19 216:19	positions 24:18	199:23 200:4	200:13
181:4 182:20	PLC 172:10,15	26:11	202:7,20 203:1	principals 9:4
183:7 192:9,13	please 73:16 164:6	positive 16:22	204:2 208:10,15	107:10
195:10,12 196:24	220:22	41:12 71:2 72:21	210:10 214:19	prior 8:20 46:11
197:22 201:14	pleased 86:13,16	159:5 180:14	Pramenko's 44:25	48:14 57:25 60:12
202:3,9,12 204:3	plug 189:11	positively 72:15	108:7	135:19 155:13,25
204:5,12,15	plummeted 153:2	possibility 61:25	precise 48:14	156:11 160:3
205:20 207:2	plural 126:18	185:2 197:15	precisely 48:9	199:4 203:7 205:8
208:6,17,19 209:4	plus 53:22	possible 38:19	111:21 187:16	206:3 207:5,9
217:4 218:7,24	point 5:14 27:15	64:25 108:14	preparation 137:19	212:2,4,11
221:21,25	33:25 39:21 42:20	142:22 143:10	prepare 16:4,5	priority 106:23
Pierson's 16:12	55:9 64:6 65:14	144:20 163:3,11	88:25	privacy 39:24
18:11 57:10 59:15	72:18 73:22 76:1	163:16 186:8	prepared 5:8 60:18	40:23 41:19 42:7
60:4 117:23	77:10 84:18 85:20	218:2,3	146:25	42:10 47:4,11
126:18 134:6	86:20 94:4 97:13	possibly 15:18	present 2:15 59:6	51:16 185:9 186:7
140:7 141:12	103:5,19 111:13	38:13 39:4 74:2	120:16 140:9,10	197:4 218:16,20
151:24 162:4	111:18 113:23	89:24 90:6 163:5	140:11 159:17	222:20
174:16 191:4	114:21,24 119:1	197:16	presented 177:25	private 29:17
pin 120:15	120:16 122:23	post 91:19 92:13	pretty 10:21 12:14	180:18
pinpoint 57:25	132:11,15 133:11	posters 211:21	21:11 22:7,11	probably 13:9 15:7
place 45:10 55:23	145:14 160:13,16	potential 107:22	25:25 29:14 33:17	15:7,11 20:18
121:12 134:18	162:10 163:8	117:8 134:3	37:23 94:1 97:12	21:5,12,15 26:16
164:14 191:18	165:25 173:20	157:13 174:5	99:18 107:11	28:10 32:12 37:19
201:19,23,24	175:15 176:12,15	184:19	119:17 131:18	53:20 57:3 66:10
209:14 219:15	177:5 179:15	potentially 5:9 8:25	152:15 173:21	77:19 91:23 92:16
			•	

105:21 118:12	60:17 91:18	pushing 76:17	quickly 43:23	reading 163:22	
120:19 124:15	149:24 150:24	201:21	215:6	225:21,23,25	
131:21 140:19	217:22	put 45:17 49:13	quiet 158:25	ready 170:17	
142:20,21 148:24	prohibit 189:24	55:15 100:24	quite 43:25 44:19	real 6:5 40:17	
149:8 155:2 156:4	promoted 23:23	149:14 157:24	79:17 95:16	97:25 105:9	
159:16 160:18,21	27:12 28:5,9	164:1 178:1	103:12 148:24	175:14	
161:11,13 168:16	prompt 202:15	222:18,25	156:2,4 172:20	reality 131:15	
171:5,22 176:12	prompted 196:13		178:15	realize 135:2	
195:6 196:19	prompting 147:23	Q	quits 77:9	realized 32:11	
206:14,22 207:15	proposal 45:1	qualified 22:6 52:9	quitting 166:23	81:22	
207:21,24 212:20	proposed 44:16	52:25	167:14,19	realizes 77:10 81:6	
215:22 216:24	protect 14:23 42:7	qualitatively 79:6	quote 74:24 91:18	realizing 203:11	
218:23 219:4,15	116:3,8,9 185:9	quarter 81:24	quoted 91:24	really 7:25 9:10	
219:16 221:7,7	Protective 159:2	quarters 29:14		11:14 12:13 14:22	
223:1	protocol 129:19	question 7:17,19	R	15:24 17:11,18	
probe 109:10	133:22	9:1 18:20 25:14	R 2:1	23:5 28:15,15	
probing 147:25	proud 33:17	30:14 37:12 45:3	raise 112:12 171:12	30:8,12 38:13	
188:14	prove 5:24 78:2	48:8 52:2 56:11	raised 73:5	44:1 64:5 66:12	
problem 9:22,24,25	120:7	56:19 57:15 58:10	raises 199:15	72:10 77:8 80:7	
161:7	provide 37:21	58:11 59:8 67:16	220:10 221:13	87:25,25 88:5	
problems 12:18	90:21	71:10 89:12 91:25	raising 54:3 138:14	89:2 95:24 104:13	
17:9,14 18:24	provided 23:19	98:24 117:10	ran 39:5 57:20 68:4	108:21 109:7	
41:21 43:6,10	50:3 58:17 93:16	122:23 123:6	93:23	114:11 115:25	
126:5 207:25	psychiatric 101:1	124:3,12,19,23	range 125:23 126:4	129:18 134:9	
218:7,8,11,25	148:10	125:15 126:15,23	ranting 83:6	156:1 159:5 163:5	
procedure 4:3 90:1	psychological	133:21 134:23	rarely 189:8	163:6 172:7	
215:19	148:10	135:18 137:15,23	reached 114:21	175:15 180:9	
procedures 134:20	psychologist	143:11,25 144:7	react 22:25 66:22	182:25 192:5,22	
135:3 215:25	136:18	144:11,24 154:3,9	67:2	194:12 203:7	
216:21 217:23	public 1:8,13 3:13	156:18 159:14	reacted 78:18	207:18 211:16	
proceeded 33:23	4:15 58:18 125:19	160:2,7 163:2	reacting 76:23	222:10	
proceedings 4:1	131:1 220:15	179:21 195:15	reaction 19:20 20:9	reason 38:14 63:14	
223:11 225:9	224:20 225:4	199:16 208:8,12	41:2 54:9,15 67:5	74:18 113:2 117:6	
process 26:11 46:7	pull 81:5 113:10,17	211:10,11 220:11	97:16 106:25	132:13 146:10	
88:9 90:9 124:14	113:20 114:7	question-and-ans	108:14 111:17	153:15	
196:23 211:6	pulled 32:25 71:20	216:25	117:10 176:4	reasonably 29:17	
212:2 215:10,12	106:9 112:25	questioning 9:20	182:5	39:1	
215:19,23 216:12	114:5 129:23	84:3 191:2	read 4:21 23:16	reasons 118:22,23	
217:19,21 218:3	pulling 114:2	questions 5:19 7:5	52:17 55:17 95:12	144:21 148:5	
220:12	purpose 4:16,20	7:23 12:15 16:3	99:22 120:10	172:4	
processing 85:21	5:12,21 88:6	30:13 46:2,5,7	131:10 133:5	recall 12:20,23	
produced 17:23	110:21 217:11	69:7 109:9,10	153:23 156:3	17:3,11,16 19:2	
Production 3:14	purposes 90:18	125:2,7 137:19 139:21 147:25	157:17 162:24	19:17,25 20:8,13	
professional 1:13	pursuant 1:11 4:2	186:9 199:18	163:6,19 168:22 177:16,19 186:22	20:15 21:22 22:13	
37:24 225:4	pursue 47:5		194:2 205:4	24:2,12 25:9	
professor 30:5	push 28:24 116:16	201:11 222:5,6,15 225:9	221:22,23 224:2	30:20,23 32:6	
program 56:4	176:12 185:17	quick 59:3 165:15	readily 45:7 215:2	34:17 40:15 47:17	
progress 3:8,9,20	pushed 49:12 55:15	quick 37.3 103.13	1 cauny 43. 213.2	51:10,18 55:3,6	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

	I	I	I	I
56:11 60:22 61:4	reentry 134:19	relationship 29:3	replied 70:18,20,22	172:16
64:16,22 66:24	refer 31:12 74:23	37:13 38:23 39:1	70:23	respect 47:4,5
67:1 69:17 75:16	128:13,18	39:2 87:6 157:10	reply 70:12	124:21 125:9
77:24 84:22 87:12	reference 3:6 59:17	163:12 183:7	report 3:8,9,20 5:8	186:18
89:6 92:11 103:21	59:25 88:20,23	relationships	5:9 8:23 9:7	respectful 6:7
107:21 108:6,8,13	89:7 91:5,12	156:23	23:14,14 31:11,16	respecting 47:10
108:15,19 110:8	96:16 126:22	relatively 215:6	31:24 32:10 60:18	respond 22:25
111:6 115:12,18	130:12 156:9	relayed 147:18	63:11,14 64:11	180:9 212:18
117:16 118:9,14	162:17 165:22	171:24	80:9 88:24 91:18	Respondent 1:9 2:6
127:11 128:14	183:5 184:9 199:1	release 48:5 57:4	150:10,21 155:1	response 33:17
130:19,22 152:24	201:13,15 208:24	released 169:10	161:21 164:17,18	34:24 44:21,25
158:15 162:12,25	referencing 132:20	remainder 101:7	164:19 168:13,18	67:17,18 70:6,7
163:2,3,17 164:11	referral 89:10,12	102:2	169:10,13 177:13	72:20 86:10 104:6
166:13 179:2	89:21 90:2,9,15	remained 158:4	194:7 206:19	107:6,7 108:7,7
181:8,10,11,15	90:15,19,25 91:6	remember 19:7	211:20	110:7,12 123:20
195:25 196:4	referred 42:2	31:3 32:7 33:3	reported 18:13	128:22 130:4
198:1,16 199:13	178:19 208:9	36:2 52:14 80:2	58:6 62:9 107:3	175:20 177:4
202:10,11,12	219:11	86:13 87:11	144:17 147:12	178:12 208:8
203:8 204:7,14,18	referring 45:3 50:7	104:11 105:18	Reporter 1:13	responses 3:13
204:20,21 206:21	50:18 86:21 88:14	109:1 111:25	225:4	7:10 47:13 199:17
208:10,25 212:7	156:12 195:25	112:2 116:1	REPORTER'S	responsibilities
receive 22:20 42:14	refill 59:3	117:17 121:7	225:1	8:12
56:2 212:16	reflecting 72:15	135:13 163:6,23	reports 56:12	responsibility
received 56:6 58:7	refresh 200:3	163:24 178:14	147:7 149:13	63:23 151:10
133:23 139:6	regarding 64:18	179:17 185:14	150:6,7 205:2	220:9
199:18 212:5,8,12	115:20 120:18	189:2 190:24	represent 4:13	responsible 5:16
216:20	124:14 208:6	191:7 196:7,10	representing 35:11	55:13
Recess 59:5 116:23	211:4	197:9,12,12 204:5	35:12	rest 99:25 100:23
148:15 222:3	regardless 74:6	remembering	reputation 51:2,8	102:9 148:6
recognition 55:4,23	192:7 198:3	22:12	51:14	154:11
56:3,6	regards 45:7 125:5	reminding 42:21	request 202:2	restrict 189:24
recollection 96:10	134:21	remodeled 201:20	requested 225:21	restricted 43:23
96:13 200:3	register 83:2	remorseful 35:14	Requests 3:14	45:2
recommendation	registered 1:13	remove 67:20	required 225:25	restrictions 42:17
125:12	131:21 225:4	68:25 69:12 78:21	resentment 149:18	restroom 6:22
recommendations	regret 116:13,15,16	118:16 130:25	reservations 23:22	172:23
4:25 5:10 124:9	175:23,24,24	131:13,13 180:6	24:2,4 27:11 28:5	result 133:16
125:4 148:2	212:3	removed 131:19	resign 116:15	134:13 146:5
record 59:7 116:22	regular 172:13	162:20 168:1	175:16	216:8 220:16
222:16,18 223:1	regularly 15:12	176:8,11	resignation 175:22	results 20:9 54:9
records 43:20	rehash 34:12	removing 65:21	resigned 116:6	retiring 165:23
44:17 45:1,13	reinforce 127:18	130:14,20 168:1	187:13	return 179:4
recruiting 170:21	reinforces 156:13	rental 53:21	resigning 80:11	revamped 24:17
red 112:12 138:14	Reiterated 81:2	repeatedly 112:22	114:24 116:5	211:5
150:4 151:18,22	related 47:24	rephrase 7:20	118:3,16 122:6,13	revamping 217:20
173:17 194:4	225:11	123:13	131:12	reversed 163:3
redacted 222:22	relation 225:6	replace 12:2	resolve 200:8	review 15:19,20
reduced 225:8	relations 61:21	184:10	resource 136:19	33:8 159:19

				<u>_</u>
168:17 178:18	4:12 20:23 27:17	85:10,11 103:22	49:19,23 50:12	134:4
184:3 222:23	28:3 58:20,24	106:23 112:6,7	51:2,9 54:7 61:3,9	searching 141:23
reviewed 136:8	59:7,8 84:1 100:6	119:15 127:11,17	68:15 69:2 72:16	season 14:7 15:17
ribbons 55:25	116:22,24 148:14	150:8 151:22	78:12 88:7 92:2,8	24:13
ridiculed 184:24	148:16 190:14	153:22 186:22	94:8,20 96:22,23	seat 67:3 75:13,15
rifle 144:10	194:19 208:7	saying 15:22 20:4	98:4,20 99:8,25	138:13
right 8:1 9:15	219:19 222:4,14	40:15 41:9,15	100:11,13 101:9	second 24:12 27:14
10:20 11:16,24	222:17 223:9	45:6 51:18 70:5	102:13 103:8	28:1 47:1 57:10
13:6 17:7 25:20	role 11:18 167:14	70:10,12 72:12	107:23 111:15,19	61:9 106:1 114:10
25:23 29:15 32:1	216:19	74:14 75:21 77:25	111:23 117:4,7	157:22 213:20
32:7 33:21 34:9	rolls 172:18	78:23 82:10,25	118:17 123:6	secretary 113:22
35:7 43:15 44:15	room 6:12 7:5	83:6 86:13 92:16	124:4,6,21,24	114:4
46:15 48:18 52:7	53:21 62:12 71:16	96:2 98:11 104:5	125:5,19,21,22,23	section 140:23
54:1 56:10 58:25	126:8 182:15	108:16 112:2	126:3,6,7 128:9	141:4 142:7,25
62:19,23 65:5	191:13	117:16 124:16	128:22 130:14,16	143:6 144:5,14
66:2,5 68:11,15	rooms 190:22	138:19 151:20	130:20 131:1,9	147:6 156:14
71:15,16 76:24	route 205:24 206:4	158:13,19 162:25	133:19 134:7	159:3,12
78:13,15 79:16	rule 7:13 44:8	163:7,18 167:1	135:12 136:17,18	security 39:21
84:13 87:11 88:11	55:18 198:6	173:8 182:23	154:23,25 159:9	112:4 167:12,20
90:19,22 91:7	rules 4:2 7:2	185:15 196:24	164:4 165:11,23	186:22 196:5,10
92:9 97:17,21	rumor 47:2 191:22	197:3,8 206:20,21	166:14 167:9,13	199:24 200:9,20
98:13 100:1	run 25:1 30:4 61:24	219:15	167:18 170:13,15	200:21 201:8
101:16 102:15	206:23	says 7:5 59:19	177:7 181:2 182:3	202:2,7,15,22
103:5,16 105:8,24	running 22:19 23:1	103:6 141:6,7	182:7 186:24	203:5,8 205:18
108:3,24 111:11	152:7	142:8 143:8 147:7	187:12 190:18	see 9:9 15:2,22,25
111:18,20 113:12		162:5,16 168:18	194:7,13,14 196:4	17:21 18:1,2,12
113:14 114:19	S	173:21 184:13	198:6 200:13	18:18 19:9,15
117:6 118:13	S 2:1	198:24 199:22	201:16 209:8,10	21:13 23:24 26:15
122:20 123:7,16	Safe2Tell 211:23	202:20	210:17,21 216:13	26:18,25,25 27:2
124:1 132:13,18	212:6,9,13,16	scared 73:9	219:4 220:15	30:10,23,24 31:11
135:10 140:7	safer 209:14	scary 158:25	School's 50:6	31:17 35:6,19
145:9,11,25 146:6	219:21	scenario 177:24	schools 58:18	38:8 45:19,25,25
158:7 165:24	safety 5:1 39:21	217:1	219:21 220:15	46:8,11,19 47:12
172:6,24 174:20	40:22 41:18 82:19	scenarios 216:19	Scott 167:4	47:15 48:11,18
181:2 183:25	87:22 106:23	scene 171:13	scream 103:20,24	49:11,14,16,17
184:22 186:12	107:15 111:20	scenes 186:23	104:11	55:21,21 57:7,13
188:8 198:15	118:6,6 124:21	schedule 62:1	screamed 143:4	58:5,8 59:22,24
213:19 215:5	125:5 131:6,6	180:17	screaming 67:11	60:17,20 64:8
216:13	168:3 196:4,10	school 1:8 3:7,13	72:23,24 73:3,13	69:20 74:25 78:10
rights 218:20	201:8 218:17	4:15,23 5:1,15 8:5	74:18 77:17,18,19	84:12 87:12 88:21
rigid 195:8	sat 71:19 140:4	8:8,12,18 9:8 11:1	77:22 82:9,12	91:19 92:24 95:5
rile 155:20	171:15 206:17	11:7,15,16,23	83:8,11,15,18	96:5 112:6,15
risk 118:12 152:7	213:5	12:5,8 13:12 15:4	86:9 104:2,13	113:16,16 114:14
170:4,4	satisfied 106:24	18:2 21:20 22:2	107:19	115:5 116:16
ritual 55:12	Saturday 30:18	24:10,13,16 25:19	screams 221:11	121:3,4 122:18
road 7:2,13 79:17	saved 93:18	26:7 28:24 35:11	screw 192:7	130:17 133:5
Robinson 12:4,9,22	saw 14:22,24 21:4	35:12 37:14 39:19	scuba 93:18	135:18 136:13,15
Roche 2:3 3:3 4:9	36:22 57:3 80:6	41:23 42:15 49:5	se 37:5 66:13 90:3	137:2,5 139:9
L			ı	1

				3
141:8 143:8,11	25:6 39:4 60:4,12	199:5,7,9 225:18	177:12	224:13 225:16
145:8,21,24 147:9	60:14 67:23 68:24	sequence 84:11	sheriffs 60:23	significant 37:4
148:25 150:17	130:16 146:11	99:22 100:7,16	shine 56:5	145:10
151:1 153:9 155:8	150:5 152:3 157:2	sequestration	shock 35:2 117:12	significantly
157:13,15 159:21	194:9,9	223:2	118:1 155:15	149:25
161:24 162:1,5,16	seniors 61:22	series 46:5 139:21	shocked 34:1	signing 225:21,23
162:23 164:9	146:13 159:10	serious 58:2 68:22	107:12 188:18	225:25
167:10 168:8,20	sense 7:17 9:2 10:7	79:13 117:16	shook 214:11	signs 138:4 139:11
172:24 173:10	11:11 23:6 27:3	158:19 176:7	shoot 45:16	140:10
183:8,14 186:16	37:25 38:6 51:6,8	179:24	shooting 4:22,25	silent 195:8
189:8 191:10,24	52:3,16 66:15	seriously 22:8,16	6:2 23:15 39:9	silly 83:4
192:12 199:20,25	76:9 95:16 98:22	41:6,12,16 80:10	43:9 44:16 48:15	similar 108:14
202:23 203:17	120:9 126:21	107:3 108:18	57:6 60:24 92:9	simple 73:24
213:25 214:2	132:15 136:2	113:6,13 117:23	94:22 135:19	sincere 158:19
219:14,20 220:21	153:11 154:19	118:2,4 121:25	136:22 153:18	sincerely 22:8
seeing 41:15 74:9	169:14 174:1	122:6,12,14	155:13,25 156:11	222:5
74:15 112:11	176:10 179:12	123:24,25 129:2	161:23 169:8	sincerity 77:8
seek 9:25 10:5	193:12 200:23	132:7,14,17 152:2	186:22 188:23	single 138:22
159:5	207:16	163:9 174:2	205:9 206:3 207:1	sir 48:16 187:3
seeking 130:8	sensitivity 149:9	197:10,18,22	207:6,9 210:25	Sisler 213:15
148:10	sent 69:21,23 70:4	214:8 216:10	211:12 212:5,9,11	sister 81:13 84:6
seen 4:21 23:14	sentence 31:15	set 3:14 6:12 46:2	220:7	103:10 104:5
48:3,10,14 57:3	separate 70:9	69:21 71:13	shootings 56:14	sit 33:2 118:19
71:1 72:8 78:24	separately 34:7	115:16	186:23 187:12	192:6 211:17
82:14 99:17 103:8	70:10,11	setting 64:25 70:20	193:2,11	sit-down 12:9
132:21 137:4	September 58:6	130:24	short 20:4 22:3	13:25 211:1
146:24 153:17	64:24 65:9 69:11	setup 177:24	43:23 88:23	site 55:20,22
186:14 193:1	69:22 71:4 78:18	seven 16:5	shorthand 225:7	216:22
205:1 214:13,17	80:23 87:10,14	sexual 34:22	shortly 44:15 60:23	sitting 73:11
219:10	91:1 92:3,19,21	shake 77:4 104:16	61:3 64:23 70:11	172:15 196:12
sees 62:22,23 64:4	99:6,14 100:10,11	shaken 104:17	164:9	situation 89:19
64:4 103:25	101:13,14 103:23	shakes 7:10	shotgun 144:10	90:14 93:19
select 16:3 24:21	106:7 107:14	shape 192:5	shoulders 151:19	111:20 129:4
selected 22:6 27:19	111:11 112:25	share 58:21 120:21	show 21:9 67:13	150:18 174:11
self-confidence	114:18 119:5,6	215:2	150:12	175:2 201:5,6
13:9	120:13 127:2	shared 44:9,10	showed 165:11	situations 42:10
self-control 159:13	132:24 133:11	74:11 157:4	206:4	46:25 88:5
self-evident 107:18	135:17 142:19	207:14	showing 68:15	skeptical 108:12
108:1	143:15 149:7	shed 183:11	shrugged 151:19	skills 26:13,14
semester 149:25	153:11 155:12	shell 27:1	shuffled 109:4	30:17 38:18 67:14
162:11 197:2	160:3,12 161:14	sheriff 23:19 78:8	shut 74:10,11 75:3	86:15
213:20 214:14	169:16,22,25	165:17	side 16:18 214:11	sky 219:16
Semple 2:8	174:22,24 176:2	sheriff's 23:13,15	214:11	sleep 172:12
send 78:5	176:25 178:18	31:11,15 32:10	sieve 167:10,20	slow 77:14
sending 78:5	181:13,24 182:1	57:4,6 60:18	sight 84:18	smart 120:8
130:15,21 131:2	182:11 183:24	74:22 80:9 95:21	sign 57:20 144:24	snap 128:8 153:21
204:14	184:3 193:23,25	153:19 164:19	145:1,9,12,20	177:5 178:10
senior 10:4 21:17	194:20,23 199:3,4	168:5,13,18	signature 49:3,14	snapping 115:4

128:5,21 182:2	sort 12:16 27:3	53:12 61:16 66:19	started 7:24 12:24	130:9
snapshot 138:8,22	216:15	156:17 157:1	25:18 61:4 71:23	stereotypical 13:8
139:3,23 160:25	sought 61:10 151:7	170:14 179:13	72:23 79:23 81:21	Steve 2:7 213:15
219:10	sound 37:2 79:24	180:1	93:6 127:11,12	stoic 158:25 173:11
so-and-so 186:11	83:4 163:9	speeches 21:23	150:7 190:11	195:8
social 61:14,21	sounding 44:6	22:3 56:13,16	192:23	stood 84:22
136:18 141:11	sounds 37:23 39:8	59:11	starting 26:15,18	stop 57:20 175:4
172:15,19 212:19	44:14 93:11 125:1	speed 86:2	27:2	stopped 34:6 77:22
212:21	135:21 138:20	spoke 22:15 98:18	state 4:6 55:19	78:22 82:14,17
socially 13:15	156:4	100:20 111:7	79:14 154:16	163:19 210:8
16:17,18 17:4,5	source 51:1,8	115:2,4 162:18	169:4 224:15	221:21,25
software 74:11	136:22	166:22 188:22	225:2,4	stops 84:15
solid 150:5	south 52:13 84:20	198:14 199:5	stated 160:2 161:13	story 93:16 190:4
solidify 165:1	84:21 85:1	spoken 53:10 65:7	statement 27:11	straight 26:7
solution 176:17	speak 7:8 89:21	166:14	48:22 50:2 57:5	173:11
somebody 14:25	106:2 127:22	sponsors 219:2	57:10 59:15 60:22	strange 119:19
90:5 104:14	speaking 6:17 10:8	spontaneous 142:9	62:22 64:1,3	158:21
113:16 151:5	13:19	142:21	74:22 78:7,20	strangest 119:14
186:11 201:23	specific 5:15 11:10	spot 203:16	88:16 91:17 92:12	straw 68:14
210:2 221:21	17:6,18,19 20:15	spouting 30:7	92:17 94:16 97:15	straws 67:25
someday 162:22	31:3,24 43:1	spring 28:7,8 31:6	101:2 105:8 115:3	Street 1:12 2:4,8,13
205:4	51:11 75:23	46:22 49:22 51:3	119:13 122:5	strength 62:1
someone's 18:17	124:21 130:22	119:11 190:21	126:1,23 130:12	stretch 6:21
somewhat 121:15	134:20 135:2,2	213:9 214:14	132:22,24 133:5	strike 11:21 14:16
138:1 157:6	179:19 200:6	Springs 163:14	134:13 137:24	17:13 31:5 54:8
son 77:11 133:20	201:5 208:19,23	SRO 139:9	146:24 147:7,20	102:4 114:22
song 33:24 50:23	211:17	ss 225:2	147:22 148:5	127:20 181:25
115:5,11 117:1	specifically 17:24	Stacy 172:19	158:15 161:22,24	186:14 195:3
118:15 119:22	22:13 44:3 46:4	staff 10:22 42:21	162:16 163:7	strikingly 28:16,18
121:9,23 134:12	47:6,9 54:18	43:21 44:18 45:8	165:17 167:22	strokes 43:4
139:22 143:18	64:20 87:21	55:13,16 74:5	168:5,10 169:15	strong 152:5
147:11 148:18	107:18 108:8,13	106:23 139:7	172:5 177:12	struck 13:7 16:16
157:23 159:24	110:25 125:9	187:21 189:14	179:24 183:3,10	17:3 25:20 119:8
166:6 181:14,21	132:22 137:22	190:7 192:7 209:5	184:9,13 185:12	119:16 195:7
soon 15:10 33:25	138:3 162:13	209:9,16 211:9,13	185:15 198:22	student 10:4,4,9,12
sophomore 13:11	164:20 175:6	212:2 216:10	200:10,11,12	14:25 24:19,24,24
14:1 15:13 16:13	202:3 204:15	217:22,25 220:16	201:12 202:13	25:4,10,20 26:1
17:9,14 21:13	specifics 12:13 47:7	220:23 221:5	205:2,12 208:19	40:6 42:10,17
26:8 27:8,20	117:17 178:14	stand 11:13 13:16	208:24	43:20 44:2,3,17
195:6	179:18 196:11	24:14 35:2 191:19	statements 153:24	45:18,20,24 47:11
sorry 25:12,21 50:9	spectrum 61:23	standards 65:19	status 115:21 168:2	48:22 51:18,19,21
51:5 65:6 70:8	125:24 156:3	standing 75:16	stay 75:13 172:8	51:23 53:8 55:11
80:21 84:5 98:25	speculate 124:13	80:2 190:6	177:15	64:7 66:1 73:12
104:12 116:11	speculation 155:4	stands 42:4	stayed 75:15	79:10,10 81:12,14
146:8 149:16,20	215:19	stars 12:18	staying 206:18	89:1,19 103:9
154:10 179:22	speech 12:12 14:11	start 8:17 14:6	step 65:6 185:5	106:22 107:19
217:14 218:18	16:5 22:5 30:16	24:21 39:13 79:18	stepping 63:22	119:18 127:19
221:20	32:25 33:2,4	135:12 172:11,12	steps 129:6,14	133:25 136:20,24
	I	I	<u> </u>	l

142:25 146:24	subpart 220:10	131:23	98:20 133:16,25	222:2
149:9,12,23 150:5	subscribed 224:14	sure 6:7 7:18 9:21	146:5	taken 1:12 4:2 7:15
151:10 152:6	subsequent 128:17	10:11 16:12,12	suspension 3:7	59:5 73:10 107:5
153:9,24 154:23	207:1	21:10 25:13 26:6	47:24 109:25	108:5 116:23
156:24 157:1,4,6	substantial 26:1	27:17 29:16 33:21	119:16 134:8,19	133:18 148:15
157:8 171:17	139:19	34:4 40:5 42:6	147:1 149:2	150:25 164:5,14
175:7 184:18	subterfuge 177:21	44:7,15 48:25	Suzanne 191:6,24	208:2 219:15
185:14,22 186:3	suburban 125:22	49:9 51:6 53:17	swear 104:25	222:3 225:7
196:10 197:3,13	210:17	53:23 54:5 55:10	swipes 209:23	takes 104:15
209:21 215:2	succeed 109:11	56:9 62:2 67:17	switch 23:11	Talen 18:13,14,25
218:10,16,16,20	success 20:6 73:24	72:19 75:7 78:14	126:16	19:11 46:14 50:23
219:1,3 221:11	74:16	80:24 83:1,2 86:8	switching 161:16	181:17
222:19,20	successes 33:9	88:15,18 89:16	Swomley 146:5	talented 74:7
student's 45:13	successful 20:5	90:20 91:11,23	192:4 218:7	talk 6:3 8:2 12:11
139:10 156:9	70:24 73:20,22	95:16,17,20 97:2	Swomley's 46:18	29:11 32:8 34:6
186:4,7	86:17,20	105:1,13 107:16	47:25	40:14,21 43:5
students 14:12	successor 184:14	110:16,22 111:1	sworn 4:6 224:14	46:24 47:22 58:23
22:19 26:11,12	184:17	113:8 118:20,20	225:6	58:24 59:11 61:11
29:8 31:7 32:18	sucks 91:19 92:14	121:14 123:14,17		61:18 63:23 64:14
34:21 37:22 38:15	sudden 26:20	129:12,14,18		67:19 69:10 85:12
41:21 43:6,11	suggest 64:10	132:20 137:17	tab 45:16	85:17 86:23 90:13
51:2,9,11,16 52:4	125:5	139:22 140:14	tables 206:17	91:8,9 93:2,8,22
52:24 61:20 62:14	suggested 64:13,14	143:4 150:13,15	tablet 141:23	95:25 97:1 99:7
68:10 82:3 83:8	suggesting 47:14	151:5 154:9 155:6	take 6:9,19 7:10	99:13 103:6
83:11,21 84:8	suggestions 148:2	159:12,18 161:20	13:24 23:12,14	104:20 105:23
87:5 112:15	Suite 1:12 2:4,9	165:2,3,25 172:7	29:2,6,7 30:12,13	106:9,11 109:2
125:23 150:11	summarized 95:23	173:18 177:25	30:14,14 41:12	110:5 112:20
153:12,18 154:4	127:10	178:13 180:21	49:21 50:21 59:3	117:17 151:11
154:14,20 156:12	summary 128:1	185:1 186:21	59:4 63:6 66:4	160:12 169:15
159:9,9 200:16	summer 12:25	196:14 198:21	68:9 69:19 74:12	171:23 172:6
209:19 212:12,15	13:18 20:1 28:1	199:10 204:22	76:19 79:6,13,22 80:19 84:3 90:24	178:17 188:1,2
221:16	Sunday 55:12	205:1 207:1		189:15,21,25
students' 42:7	superiority 21:9	208:18 211:25	92:5 99:1 106:19 106:19,24 107:2,2	190:3 191:11
studies 61:14	supervision 151:10	212:10 213:14	100:19,24 107:2,2	196:21 199:7
172:15,19 212:19	157:15 159:8	215:18 216:4	112:4 113:5	200:21,23 202:19
212:21	supervisor 8:23 9:3	219:22 221:1	112.4 113.3	211:17 215:13
study 61:21	support 23:2 66:11	surprise 13:22 49:4	121:12 128:17	218:22 221:9,14
stuff 33:13 76:7	70:23 73:14 130:8	49:7,8,17 51:24	129:6 131:8 136:7	223:6
77:6 81:5 85:4	130:14 154:1	88:3 153:23	148:12 152:16	talked 36:1 40:8
170:24 171:16	159:5 172:17	surprised 62:13 107:5 108:11	158:18 159:23	43:17 46:13 61:13
stupid 62:5,15 stuttered 171:11	192:16,20 supported 93:14	107:3 108:11	161:15 168:2	61:14 68:12 69:14 71:24 76:4 77:21
subculture 154:23	supportive 22:24	surprisingly 24:23	170:7,19 177:4,25	86:13 91:14 93:24
154:24	65:22 69:18	surprisingly 24:23 surrounding 90:8	185:17 187:4	99:6,12,12 100:22
subject 60:19 70:3	supports 159:18	surveillance 161:11	190:14 197:4	113:22 121:15
140:6	supports 139.18 suppose 56:16 90:3	199:24	198:21 202:1	122:17 127:16
subjected 49:19	134:3 144:17,20	suspend 133:20	203:17 205:24	138:13 158:8
subjects 56:13	supposed 46:24	suspended 49:5	206:4 214:1 221:1	162:17 167:1
	bapposca +0.24	Buspended T7.3	<u> </u>	102.17 107.1

169:7 174:18	221:4	102:24 103:22	126:16	44:12 50:14,14
175:17 190:20	teaches 103:13,14	104:4 105:4 106:5	theories 191:18	53:8 54:22 56:5
191:7,9,22 192:4	103:14	107:15 108:20	therapy 47:15	56:10 62:3 63:16
192:15 193:8	teaching 61:20	109:20 110:18	they'd 55:1	64:5,14,19 66:12
196:14 201:3,4	team 8:20 11:19	111:13 114:6	thing 6:5 7:7 8:3	66:25 67:24 69:14
214:21 219:9,9	14:18 15:5,6,8,9	118:7 126:10	10:3 11:10 13:5	69:18 70:14,18,21
222:21	15:21 19:6 20:3	127:7 128:4 129:5	60:15 67:8 68:1	73:1,14,15,19
talking 20:13 26:22	22:3,5,21,22,23	132:25 133:15	72:2,18 77:8	74:14 75:9,10
43:10 56:22 65:23	23:23 24:3,7	134:11 147:12,21	94:25 103:14	77:2,10,24 79:5
65:25 71:23 78:25	27:12 28:6,13	152:11 157:21	140:3 151:20	81:6,15 87:1,2,3,6
80:3,3 89:3 93:7	31:8 32:15 33:1	158:13 162:8	155:19 163:10	92:15 93:2 96:1
96:1 97:2 108:15	35:12 47:20 51:16	176:25 177:1	180:17,19 190:12	96:24,24,25 98:15
109:15 111:11	54:3 56:3 60:1	178:21 185:7	206:12 210:3	99:15,18 100:24
114:4 116:25	61:17 65:11,13,20	187:7 196:16	222:7,25	101:1 103:3
118:23 124:22	65:21,23,23 67:8	202:10 203:6	things 5:25 6:8	104:25,25 105:1
142:17 171:25	67:15,21 69:13	206:10 208:14,22	12:12 14:3 22:12	104.23,23 103.1
174:21 180:4	70:14,19,24 72:16	213:3,23 219:18	30:16 32:24 33:10	110:13,24 111:18
188:13 190:11	70.14,19,24 72.10	222:20	33:12 44:13 55:1	110:13,24 111:18
192:20 193:13	73:21,21,23 74:3	telling 35:7 62:4,14	55:9,25 56:18	115:15,25 119:4
196:9 200:24	73.21,21,23 74.3	75:24 80:4 130:4	62:17,23,23 64:4	120:16,23 121:20
201:8 220:11	81:3 86:14,19	163:4 191:11	64:4,8 68:11	120.10,23 121.20 122:5,8,16 124:3
tall 203:9	89:20 115:21	196:23 198:7	71:25 74:14 75:9	124:20,23 125:20
				*
tangental 56:11	136:15 151:9	223:5	77:24 78:13 79:17	126:6 127:9,11,16
target 116:7 118:17	156:18 157:9	tells 51:22,24 103:7	88:4 95:15 103:13	128:6,25 129:3
184:18 209:18	158:4 159:20	103:20 192:8	103:18 106:10	137:21 140:19
221:13	162:20 170:7,11	ten 6:14,15 123:7	108:11,25 110:3	141:15 142:3
targeted 144:19	171:10 176:9,11	ten-minute 109:16	116:13 119:7,8	144:11 149:14
190:22 191:7	176:22 177:2,15	tended 213:24	124:7 125:18,25	151:17 152:21
192:1,9	178:9 179:4,5,13	term 120:23 179:7	134:2 137:23	154:11 155:1,14
targets 191:4	180:4,6,9 181:6	terms 37:15 60:4	138:5,7,18 139:20	155:23 156:17
tasks 10:2	184:10,17 203:5	84:11 164:24	140:17 144:3	157:5,5 159:11
taught 191:24	204:16 208:4	Terrell 73:25	151:12 155:15	160:1,2,4,4
teach 11:2	209:11	test 120:3,7	162:11 163:15	165:17 166:9,11
teacher 38:9 39:3,4	team's 23:2	testified 4:7	171:2,13 173:6,22	166:16 167:22
45:16 47:7 63:18	teammates 15:1	testify 225:6	175:6 179:9,16	168:2 169:20
88:24 123:15	23:8	testimony 223:6	181:1 185:17,17	171:15,17,17,18
136:19,23 209:19	teams 32:25 74:4	224:4 225:9	192:12 196:10	173:19,20 177:12
209:21 210:4	technology 103:14	testing 76:16	202:21 203:9	178:15 179:3,10
212:17 216:1	103:15 141:8,11	tests 120:1,6	210:18 211:18,20	179:14 180:19,23
teachers 35:25 36:3	209:11	text 58:7,11,14	215:5 219:6,16	180:24 181:3,4
38:15,22 41:20	tell 12:13 13:2 24:1	59:18 69:23,25	222:17	182:8 184:6
42:21 44:1,22	33:6,6,20 34:18	70:4,8,9,15,16	think 8:3 12:10	185:11 186:6
45:19,19,23 46:23	39:12 41:25 46:4	76:6	14:21 16:21,23	187:13 189:4,5,19
61:14 83:7,11,20	61:7 71:15 72:22	texting 54:23	17:6,16,19 20:5	190:1 193:12
172:13 185:25	73:16 75:25 76:15	thank 4:10 6:4	21:15 24:22,25	196:7,8,25 197:25
189:14 190:20,21	83:5 84:3 85:19	211:24 222:6,12	31:23 32:2,21	200:11 201:16
209:22 211:13	86:4,9 89:15 91:9	Thanks 6:16 7:1	35:4 39:1 41:9,11	203:14,18 205:16
215:24 219:1	92:11 96:12 102:4	25:17 56:24 96:14	41:14 42:3 43:1	205:17 206:19
	ı		1	

		I	1	I
207:11 208:2	100:9 102:21	Thurnau 93:1	tipping 176:12	tournament 32:13
209:24 210:3,23	107:2 110:12	118:19 178:24	tired 152:22,23	32:21,22 33:9
215:4,7,17 216:9	113:13 117:20,23	181:5	today 101:20	34:13 35:21 52:10
216:20 217:16,21	118:2,8,10 121:25	Thursday 121:20	146:25 208:8	52:19,24 56:21,22
217:22,22 218:1	122:15,25 126:18	121:21 199:9	222:5,21	56:23 59:10 72:2
219:18 220:1,1,2	132:3,7,17 133:2	Thursdays 170:15	toes 63:22	90:4
220:22 221:4,18	133:12,16 134:13	tickets 53:21	told 13:20 18:15	tournaments 31:17
221:19 222:24	134:16,21,24	time 6:24 7:14 8:15	31:13 32:16 34:23	31:22
thinking 9:19	135:7,16 136:14	11:19 12:5 13:3	35:16 36:14 40:9	towering 75:16
16:25 25:5 30:25	136:24 137:10,14	14:24 19:13,13	42:24 67:11 85:17	tracks 82:14 84:15
34:20 40:5 79:16	137:20 138:3	24:21 27:18,19	86:8,16 90:14	Tracy 1:2,12 2:11
80:7 84:9 85:5	140:6,9,18,22	30:2,3,9,21 31:7	91:3 93:9 94:17	3:2 4:5 41:13
87:20 89:3 91:11	141:4,6,19 142:6	36:9,12 42:20,20	94:24 98:9 100:22	60:19 93:15
95:15 115:22,24	142:8 143:23	43:23 52:5 53:18	101:20,23 104:21	117:15,18 168:19
116:4 118:16	144:4,13,19 145:4	53:18 55:8 58:2	105:3 106:12	176:6 198:25
129:15 130:7	146:3 159:3 163:9	59:6 60:7,9 62:11	107:21 108:9	199:23 224:1,8,13
150:22 172:16	164:9 165:24	62:16 63:8 64:16	111:22 117:3,11	225:5
173:3 174:6,10	166:24 167:15	74:4 76:24 79:19	118:5,15 119:14	tragedies 5:11
175:3,3,7,15,18	168:19 169:3	79:22 80:10,19	120:4 127:16,25	217:13 219:24
191:16 196:12	170:4 173:25	83:9 89:5 92:3,19	128:20 129:8	tragedy 211:3,15
203:22 209:13	179:1 198:7 202:3	94:7 98:18 105:8	131:8 151:16	214:25 216:8
thinks 176:19	202:9 204:3	105:19 106:1	153:18 157:23	217:13
third 150:21 151:2	206:16 208:16	107:16 114:9,10	162:13,21 164:19	tragic 141:19
151:3	211:5,6,8 213:11	120:11,18,22	165:12 166:1,1,16	trail 90:17,19
this.' 162:23	215:9,12,23	126:11 130:25	168:6,11 169:1,19	trained 135:6
Thompson 45:4	216:12 217:20	131:17 137:25	170:6 175:13,13	training 14:8 42:15
137:1,13,19 167:3	218:2,13 219:8,12	138:8,22 139:4,24	175:14 176:7,9	133:23 135:12
thorough 12:14	219:13 220:18	142:24 148:23	177:14 178:8	144:8,17 211:4,8
135:11,11 215:14	threatened 75:10	153:2 154:12	187:10 188:21	212:6,8,12,16
218:15	98:6 123:15 130:5	155:13,13 156:11	191:21 192:8	216:12
thought 9:10 11:4	188:20 201:14	160:25 168:19	207:14	transcript 222:22
19:22 23:8,8 55:5	threatening 74:9	169:4 172:14,18	tomorrow 150:13	222:23,24 225:8
55:22 64:6 68:21	74:20,21,24 75:2	173:8 174:25	top 26:18 27:2	transcription 224:3
73:13 80:15 82:18	75:12,17 86:5,9	180:15,24 200:25	31:11,18 54:12	transformation
85:6 94:12 107:11	110:7	204:9 221:1 225:7	73:5,7 74:23	25:11 26:1
108:17,21 112:3	threats 169:9	times 6:7 15:11,16	98:12 104:13	transition 12:16,21
113:3 115:24	210:20 216:10	16:22 19:25 22:11	topic 16:1 34:3	25:9 27:6 39:17
122:7 123:25	three 8:16 15:16	22:12 23:16 55:16	56:25 134:3	trap 100:8
124:14 131:15	30:15 71:19,20	95:14 108:22	196:11 202:14	trash 103:17
135:1 150:22	86:1 104:1 109:17	138:14 155:14	topics 16:10 30:6,6	trauma 217:14
152:6 153:20	131:24 134:7	158:12 159:17	toss 61:24	traumatic 222:9
154:11 173:15,16	150:9,16 157:13	170:14 189:23	totaled 57:12,17	treat 152:1
174:2,25 184:20	170:8 185:4	190:1 191:8	totally 80:22	treated 157:18
191:2 197:4,13,22	203:11	193:17 195:5	116:19 222:18	treating 133:11
213:5 214:24	three-day 65:10	201:4,21 211:19	touch 95:4,8 96:2	158:16
thoughts 65:12	three-quarters	213:2 214:21	96:20	tremendous 152:10
threat 3:10 57:25	115:8	timing 101:11	touched 210:23	tried 55:9 73:22
65:5 97:20 99:23	threw 163:5	115:17 198:19	touching 96:17	85:4 113:22
	I .	I	I	l

	1			
221:18,25	106:10 139:17	underlying 148:9	upset 79:2 162:19	94:2,6,20 98:2
trigger 178:10	175:24 221:12	understand 5:19	use 6:22 26:19 30:2	105:5,17 107:23
triggered 67:21	turned 27:4 81:22	7:19 8:13 9:18	30:18 103:22	111:15 115:25
trophies 55:25	82:6 150:19	19:12 37:11 45:9	144:8 160:24	117:8 165:13
trouble 16:25	twice 15:7,16	45:14,18,23 62:17	212:1,6 221:12	175:4 176:13
19:14 51:20 168:7	113:18,19	68:3 71:4 78:25	uses 89:6	182:3,7 185:1
185:16	two 15:11 65:14	80:19 94:18 96:7	usually 136:15	221:12
true 5:21 83:5	67:21 68:13 96:12	100:6,16 109:7		visit 92:25 202:25
126:11 191:24	100:14 105:23	112:21 113:8	V	204:1 205:22
224:3 225:8	108:25 109:17	139:18 143:13	value 35:2 155:16	visited 202:21
truth 4:6 124:16	116:13 125:2	165:5,25 189:9	218:16,16	vividly 109:1
125:10 225:6	150:9 154:24	191:13 195:11	various 122:12	voice 30:20 31:8
try 6:6 10:5 29:17	162:17 173:24	198:13 204:25	138:14 153:24	73:5 77:19 171:12
33:11 38:4 43:20	174:7,15 185:4	211:7 213:6	193:17 205:1	221:13
44:12 87:12 109:9	200:15 203:4,11	220:22	211:19 213:2,7	voiced 44:23
125:7 154:13	208:3 215:16	understanding 5:4	vehicle 180:17,18	209:14
177:8 180:25	two-minute 22:5	34:22 36:8,10	venture 16:17	volatile 174:10,11
185:21 186:5	twofold 63:17	45:11 52:8 71:7	verbal 7:10 75:11	197:15
191:19 200:18	tying 51:7	96:15 100:12	140:24	volatility 80:8
206:12 215:5	Tyler 191:6	101:11,12,19	verbally 21:2 61:19	87:16 154:8
trying 5:24 9:5,21	type 10:2 38:17	146:2 149:24	62:15 75:10,10	174:16
14:21,22 15:1	55:12,25 56:18	154:19 164:7,16	verbatim 95:17	voluntarily 133:19
16:23 24:22 32:2	72:2 75:17 125:17	187:22 198:5	172:5	vote 22:20,21
32:3,6 34:25	130:8 144:3	220:4	version 96:8	voted 22:18
35:16 42:3,7 43:1	164:24 165:4	understood 17:21	versus 41:10	***
51:10 52:3 64:19	180:17,19 201:15	35:8 69:4 81:21	veteran 65:13 70:5	W
74:8,13,17,18	216:19	101:7 103:12	122:9 138:10	wait 81:13
75:9,20,20 78:4	types 37:21 133:24	215:23	Vicki 207:3,13,13	waiting 106:10
81:4,10,11 87:1	typewritten 225:8	undertake 4:14	207:22 208:1	waive 220:13
99:15 100:8,11	typical 52:23	undoubtedly	218:6,12	waived 225:23
109:12 115:12	154:22	112:17 222:7	victimized 145:21	wake 122:8
117:17 118:9,24	typically 9:11 10:5	unfair 49:14 50:19	146:22	waking 122:14
124:9 125:14	10:13 55:24	unfortunately	victims 6:2	Walcher 3:17
128:6 137:21	170:15 212:21	142:17	video 112:4,20,24	walk 75:14 81:21
138:16 170:16		unhappy 55:4	113:10,17,20	84:10 102:9 139:1
176:15,16,16	U W G 1 C 10 20 2	unopposed 22:19	114:2,7 121:3	140:7,13,21
179:14,17 180:13	U.S 16:10 39:3	23:1	129:22	144:23 173:1,7
180:19 182:20	172:15	unself-confident	videos 116:17	walked 85:9 93:7
184:6 185:8 186:6	Uh-huh 10:24 18:3	25:10 26:2	view 20:11 69:16	93:21 137:14
189:4 196:7,8	23:20 111:12	unsure 16:16	120:21 132:16	148:18 170:16
197:12,12 200:8	123:8	unusual 56:25 88:9	210:19	171:16 216:23
203:10 207:17	uh-huhs 7:10	108:11 185:25	violence 56:14,18	walking 77:9 82:8
208:21 215:1,15	ultimately 9:2	194:13	111:23 128:9,22	82:16,22 83:12
220:25	120:2 122:17	unwillingness	178:11 184:18	84:9,12,21 103:2
Tuesday 99:3	unanimous 22:21	28:20 68:9	201:16	112:16 148:16
170:13 199:2	uncharted 129:17	upcoming 33:11	violent 40:7,7,18	172:23 173:1
Tuesdays 170:15	uncommon 151:11	115:19	40:18 41:4 82:21	182:14
turn 84:24,25	undergo 47:14	upgrades 200:24	92:7,8,10 93:13	wall 203:22
	•	•	•	•

	27.16	70.11 11 92.15	149.6 151.2 2 2	
want 6:1,9 13:20	warm 37:16	79:11,11 82:15	148:6 151:2,2,3	witnessed 29:10
14:17 17:23 18:10	warned 36:6	86:11 90:16 96:7	weekend 65:10,11	100:19 112:15
23:17 25:13 26:19	warning 138:4	98:11 101:7 109:4	weekly 150:7	witnesses 41:19
30:4 38:8 41:17	139:11 140:10	115:8 123:4	weeks 33:11 150:17	195:12 223:6
44:14 48:17 53:1	144:24 145:1,9,12	127:12 137:4,18	160:15 163:21	woke 32:11
57:9 61:1 76:25	145:20	142:6 154:13	170:7 171:10	won 19:21
77:14 85:23 87:1	warranted 152:2	160:3 161:7	181:19	wonder 95:22
87:17 88:3,4 93:8	warrants 139:11,13	164:21 168:4	weighing 174:8	126:11
95:24 96:10 97:23	Warriors 192:3	170:25 172:20	weird 37:23	wondered 82:23
98:11 101:10	wasn't 9:19 12:13	180:10,14 186:7	welcome 132:21	85:6
102:8 103:6	20:5 32:22 37:4,5	196:24 197:5	well-being 16:14	wondering 156:20
104:19 108:8	37:19,25 38:3,4	202:11 212:20	21:25	Woodward 2:17
110:19 113:8	40:5 51:24 53:13	ways 28:16,18 56:6	went 27:25 42:12	word 6:24 14:22
116:18 122:21	55:19 57:22 63:17	75:11,11 125:16	53:10 54:6 55:1	16:2 24:23 26:20
124:5,7 139:1	65:18,21 67:4,16	172:16	66:4 71:16,25	79:4,5 95:18,18
142:15 154:10	72:10,11,15,19	we'll 6:18,19,23,24	72:2 85:3,10,11	120:17 158:25
161:19,23 165:24	74:9,15 75:25	58:23 80:18 84:3	86:22 105:23	175:1 186:7 195:1
168:10 169:20	76:9,23 77:20	175:20 189:11	106:16 113:21	195:2 221:13
171:13,23 175:1	89:4,4 90:11 93:2	we're 5:24 8:2	114:14 129:10	worded 161:8
179:11 180:12,22	93:22 94:8 96:22	21:21 31:6 40:13	137:6 138:13	words 41:8 62:25
183:2 186:15	97:4 98:11,12	60:3,14 68:1 77:9	144:9 168:6,20	70:2 72:12 77:8
194:16 196:21	100:9,11,13	77:9 82:5 111:11	179:20 180:3	81:15 99:2 103:21
203:4 210:24	109:17 113:21	122:20 124:8,22	190:7,9 195:12	104:2 119:19
222:5,18	115:22 116:18	125:21 140:18	205:22 206:14	122:2 128:7 153:4
wanted 4:15 13:21	118:19,20 120:16	170:16 171:5	213:18 216:11	162:21 164:1
22:2 26:25,25	129:18 131:22	172:18 179:11	weren't 63:7 98:14	167:21 172:1
35:1 40:25 45:24	132:14 134:9	181:2 183:24	106:24 121:11	177:9 179:19
56:9 61:11 66:10	138:1 146:21	194:17 201:7	123:23,24 135:21	180:1 195:8
75:22 76:22 77:4	150:1 152:4,5	205:3 210:17	west 173:1	work 15:23 24:5
85:17,21 86:2	160:12 164:5,21	217:11 222:10	WHEREOF	30:13 57:20 64:7
87:25 91:9,13,16	169:10 174:1,13	we've 46:13 59:2	225:15	86:11,12 127:2
94:9,10 95:3,7,10	175:5 178:6	60:5 116:19 180:4	wide 61:22 125:23	139:7 146:12
95:24,24 96:2	179:23,24 181:21	210:23 211:14	126:4	150:18 166:17
97:15 105:13	185:1 193:17	220:1,11 221:14	wife 80:3,5 88:10	168:4 217:21
106:19 110:11	200:6 201:2,5	weapon 161:10	175:17 192:9	worked 24:20
112:5,6 113:16,16	210:14 215:21	weapons 143:6,14	William 2:17	57:13 146:18
120:3,7 121:14	216:1 218:11	143:19 144:2,3	willing 185:5	worker 136:18
128:25 129:3	watch 81:23	wear 155:15	210:14	working 28:22 29:3
132:25 143:5	water 6:22	Web 55:20,22	wind 192:19	37:13 44:2 78:12
150:17 155:18,19	waters 76:17	216:22	wings 172:21	146:10 156:8
170:6 173:18	129:17	Wednesday 88:19	winter 200:22	200:17 218:4,13
177:24 179:22	waving 82:12	91:4 100:10	204:9	Works 35:10
210:2 215:4 223:9	way 13:9 14:17,21	102:12 147:16	wiped 53:14	workshop 188:7
wanting 70:17	16:23 23:4,9 24:6	198:25	wishes 177:16	world 67:7 91:19
100:25 114:5	24:6 27:1 34:2	week 15:7,9,11,16	wishing 210:14	92:13 122:10
125:11 185:5	35:13 36:4 37:24	33:8 65:7,16 69:3	witness 29:13	worried 153:20
wants 172:3,3	38:5 56:5 64:8	100:1,23 101:7	32:23 146:22	184:14,16
201:23	76:9 78:17 79:1	102:2 121:20	225:15	wouldn't 29:6,6,7
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

			1	
32:17 33:5,6	174:3 175:25	188:7	18 5:12	2014 188:8 190:21
35:19 45:25 56:18	177:25 180:2		19 3:19 17:25	214:14
56:25 73:22 86:19	182:8,13 189:17	Z	140:14	2015 1:2,13 3:2
131:13,21 181:18	192:14,18 195:20		191 48:20	223:13 224:16
185:5	197:2 200:19	0		225:16
Wow 26:21	205:6 214:3	1	2	2015-0665A 1:1
wrap 80:25	year 8:7,18,25,25	1 1 10 11 6 22	2 18:11 161:23,24	2016 225:18
wraps 181:1	9:8,10,16 11:1,7,8	1:48 116:23	181:3	21 95:22
write 89:12,20	11:15,16,23 12:8	10 199:19 215:21	2:15 102:15	2100 125:21
90:15,15,25 91:6	13:11,23 14:1,2,4	221:23	2:16 219:5	23 3:17
175:21 204:10	14:6 15:4,13	10th 8:6 170:13	2:30 102:15	2300 48:6
writing 90:9	16:13 17:10,14,15	11 3:13 46:3,5	2:40 148:15	24 225:18
writings 191:3	21:14,17,20 22:2	57:12 165:17,21	2:48 148:15	2400 1:12 2:4
written 46:5,6	24:10,13,16 25:2	167:8 168:5	20 1:2,13 3:2,20	2500 53:21
49:12 88:24 89:7	25:5,6,19 26:8,9	199:16	40:10,16 132:22	25th 122:19
141:19 199:17,18	26:23 27:8,14,18	11-'12 11:6,23 12:8	195:19 196:17	26 119:6 174:22,24
wrong 78:2 174:13	27:20,23 28:25	15:4 25:19	198:14 199:22	176:2,25 178:18
197:5 198:19	29:4 31:4 37:14	11:02 59:5	202:7 206:8	181:13,24 182:1
208:13 215:24	39:4,19 40:1,1	11:22 59:5	20-plus 79:8	182:11 183:24
wrote 19:11 50:8	51:4,7 54:6 60:4	1120 2:8	20/20 207:11	184:3 193:23,25
50:18	60:12,14 61:3	12 200:19 202:20 206:1	20084033353 225:4	194:20,23
	64:21 65:1,15,15	12-'13 22:1 36:17	2010-2011 11:15	26th 120:13 158:1
X	65:17,18 67:23	12- 13 22:1 30:17 12/18/13 3:16	2011 12:25 18:14	175:13
X 3:1 225:21	68:2,4,24 69:2	12:16/13 3:16 12:59 116:23	18:21,25 19:18,22	28 88:2
Y	70:21,24 72:9,10	12:59 110.23 12th 204:1 205:23	20:25 46:14	
	76:11 99:18 135:9	13 3:15 4:23 5:17	2011-'12 8:18	3
yeah 10:16,21 12:1	135:12 144:9	8:3 43:16 46:11	2011-2012 8:20	3 58:6 64:24 65:9
14:15,20,20 15:7	146:13,15,19	141:25 161:16,21	17:15 24:13	69:11,22 71:4
17:6,11 19:24	157:2 169:11,12	183:2 184:8	2012 28:8,11 31:6	78:18 80:23 87:10
20:12 21:9 22:4	170:21 181:7	189:16 190:16,16	36:17,18 183:14	87:14 91:1 99:6
23:5 28:25 29:9	183:23 188:8	199:4 211:18	2012-2013 21:20	101:13 103:23
32:6 36:17 47:9	195:6 197:13	213:1 217:18	22:2 24:10 36:9	112:25 199:5
51:22 56:23 63:16	200:22 209:4	13-'14 213:20	37:14	3.3 152:4
64:5 65:6 67:4,24	213:10,18,20	1308 2:9	2013 4:24 28:7 31:7	3.4 152:4
67:24 69:14 71:19	216:13	130 3:20	36:16 46:11,18,22	3/15/13 3:7
75:25 76:13 77:13	years 8:7,16 20:8	135 3:10	49:18,22 51:3	3:30 82:1,2
77:20 78:16 79:1 80:12,14 81:4,19	26:7,24 39:16	14 3:17 23:12	52:9 57:12,16	32 3:7 48:2,3
82:17,20 84:19	53:7 79:8 88:2	168:12 199:20	58:2,6 97:8	33 3:8 57:1,2 59:14
93:20 96:23	108:25 123:7	15 71:16 82:8 83:12	135:17 143:15	34 3:9 60:16,17
101:24 104:18	131:24 163:13	170:16 215:21	146:5 149:7	35 3:10 135:15,16
105:1 108:4,19	200:9 202:18	150 201:21	153:12 155:13	37 168:15
110:25 113:4,11	206:15 212:22	1500 2:13	183:23 186:18	3rd 98:25 101:15
110.23 113.4,11	yelled 143:5	15th 223:13	187:2 193:9	225:16
120:2 121:1 130:6	yelling 49:5 62:4	16 198:21	195:13 199:23	4
134:15 149:19	73:1,18 74:19,21	161 3:15	204:10 215:20	4 3:3 23:18 99:14
153:6 156:22,24	84:12	17 3:19	217:4 218:5	100:10,11 101:14
160:1 166:9 167:5	yells 221:11	17th 1:12 2:4	2013-2014 9:7 11:1 39:19 51:15 61:3	101:15 106:7
100.1 100.7 107.3	young 79:14 101:4		39.19 31.13 01.3	101.13 100.7

			Page 256
10-11-15-5	1	1	
107:14 127:2			
171:22 196:19			
199:3,7			
4:00 81:24			
45 12:10			
46 3:13			
48 3:7			
4th 99:11 101:17			
102:6,9 109:14			
110:15 129:10			
147:16			
147.10			
5			
5 46:4,8 111:11			
114:18 199:4,9			
5:00 222:3			
5:13 222:3 5:14 223:12			
57 3:8			
5th 114:23			
6			
6 62:22 63:25			
60 3:9			
60-second 109:15			
7			
7 74:23 78:7 168:15			
168:17			
7/20/15 224:25			
8			
8 88:16 158:16			
172:17			
80202 1:12 2:5			
80203 2:9,13			
9			
9 110:20 115:3,6			
119:5 126:22			
130:11 160:3,12			
169:25			
9:20 172:12			
9:27 1:13			
950 1:12 2:4			
9th 120:13 134:17			
	<u> </u>		