1	JAG NO.: 2015-0655A		
2	DEPOSITION OF: GUY M. GRACE, JR August 12, 2015		
4	IN RE THE ARBITRATION OF:		
5	MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS,		
6	Claimants,		
7	and		
8	LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT,		
9	Respondent.		
10			
11			
12	PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of GUY M. GRACE, JR. was taken on behalf of the Claimant at 950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202, on August 12, 2015 at 9:36 a.m., before Ellie		
13			
14	K. Liebenow, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	H+G		
23			
24	Hunter + Geist, Inc.		
25	303.832.5966 1900 Grant Street, Suite 1025 www.huntergeist.com Denver, CO 80203 ■ scheduling@huntergeist.com		

Your Partner in Making the Record

1	3
JAG NO.: 2015-0655A	I N D E X EXAMINATION OF GUY M. GRACE, JR.: PAGE August 12, 2015
DEPOSITION OF: GUY M. GRACE, JR August 12, 2015	By Mr. Roche 4
IN RE THE ARBITRATION OF: MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS, Claimants,	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Previously marked) Exhibit 1 Littleton Public Schools Student 116 Code of Conduct
and LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT, Respondent.	Exhibit 4 Threat Assessment Best Practices and 32 Procedures, Littleton Public Schools, Spring, 2011
PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of	Exhibit 5 Essentials of School Threat 69 Assessment: Preventing Targeted School Violence
GUY M. GRACE, JR. was taken on behalf of the Claimants at 950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202, on August 12, 2015 at 9:36 a.m., before Ellie	Exhibit 6 Threat Assessment Inquiry: A Summary 77 of the Secret Service Eleven Key Questions
K. Liebenow, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado.	Exhibit 7 Threat Assessment Guidance Document, 125 LPS Danger Assessment, Suicide - Threats - Bullying
	Exhibit 9 LPS Threat Assessment/Danger 59 Assessment Training Overview
	Exhibit 17 Littleton Public Schools, 86 Administrative Review of LPS Threat Assessment Protocols, June 24, 2014

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

APPEARANCES

For the Claimants:

MICHAEL J. ROCHE, ESQ.

Lathrop & Gage, LLP

950 17th Street

Suite 2400

Denver, Colorado 80202

For the Respondent:

STEVE EVERALL, ESQ.
Semple, Farrington & Everall, P.C.
1120 Lincoln Street
Suite 1308
Denver, Colorado 80203

Also Present:

Michael Davis
Desiree Davis
William Woodward
Carol Lembke
Sarah Goodrum
Michael Jones

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were taken pursuant to the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

. . . . . .

GUY M. GRACE, JR.,

having been first duly sworn to state the whole truth, testified as follows:

**EXAMINATION** 

BY MR. ROCHE:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Grace. You and I have met before; but for the record, I'm Michael Roche. And as you know, I'm representing Claire Davis's parents, Michael and Desiree, who are with me today along with some other folks, in connection with the arbitration that the Davises and LPS have agreed to to find out information related to and surrounding Claire's murder.

I wanted to walk through a couple of important things at the outset. The first thing is what the purpose of this arbitration is and what the purpose is not. The purpose is to learn from the tragedy that occurred what can be done different, what can be done better, where are the areas that need to be improved to make schools throughout Colorado safe. Do you understand that?

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

2.2

A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

Q. Okay. And when I mention what the purpose is not, it is not to point fingers or assign blame. This is not a lawsuit. Nobody is asking for damages or money or anything like that. It really is designed to gather information which will result in a study that's being done by the folks at the end of the table here who work with CU and UNC, respectively; and that will go to a legislative committee that was created earlier this summer to study school safety, okay? So all of my questions are going to be driven by those goals and those purposes.

There's also -- just so you know, there's what's called a sequestration order in effect; and I suspect Mr. Everall has told you about that. But the nuts and bolts are don't talk about your testimony with any of the other folks who may be witnesses in the case. Do you understand that?

A. Yes.

Q. And then the last thing is just some of the sort of mechanical rules of the road for a deposition. First, Ellie is going to be taking down everything I say, everything you say, everything Steve says, or anybody else in the room; okay?

A. Okay.

need verbal responses, not head shakes or uh-huh or anything like that; okay?

A. Sure.

Q. And then, finally, the most important instruction, there will come a time -- and it's happened in every deposition I've ever taken, including in every deposition in this case -- where I ask a question that doesn't make any sense.

A. Okay.

Q. If you don't understand my question, that's my fault, not yours.

A. Okav.

Q. So will you tell me that so I can try to fix it?

A. I will

Q. Thank you. For the record, what is your full name and your job title?

A. My full name is Guy Michael Grace, Jr. My job title is director of security and emergency planning for Littleton Public Schools.

Q. And how long have you been the director of security and emergency planning for Littleton Public Schools?

A. Well, I've been with the school district for 26 years. I have been the head of security since

Q. Which means we can only have one person talking at a time.

A. Okay.

Q. And that can become difficult because, in conversation, you'll know where my question is going and you'll want to jump in and answer; and when I think you're done, I may speak over you. I'll try not to. Do the best you can, and Ellie will slap us on the wrist when we do it wrong.

A. Okay.

Q. Next thing is, this is not an endurance test. If you want to take a break to talk to Steve, talk to Mr. Jones, use the restroom, get a cup of coffee, whatever, just tell me. We'll take a break. We're going to generally take breaks every hour to an hour and a half anyway; but if you need one for any reason prior to that, just say the word. Okay?

A. Okay.

Q. My one request is if I've asked you a question, will you answer the question before we take the break; and then we'll take it before I ask my next one, okay?

A. Okay.

Q. And you're doing really well on my next instruction which I give to all witnesses, which is we

<sup>1</sup> 1999.

Q. What did you do for the school district prior to 1999?

A. I was -- I was a district security officer, a facilitator, and worked my way up through the ranks.

Q. And you've been in the director of security and emergency planning since 1999?

A. That's correct.

Q. And what are your job duties as the director of security and emergency planning?

A. Well, my main job duties are, if we look at it as a responder to the schools, I respond -- I do a lot of response to de-escalate situations and things in the schools. I oversee the access control, the cameras, the -- I also oversee the emergency planning, such as the Incident Command System, the planning for emergency planning drills, and the other systems that are related to that in a brief synopsis there.

Q. Okay. So I heard, in broad strokes, three major areas of responsibility. One is de-escalating issues that arise at the schools, correct?

A. Mainly I -- since we have school resource officers at our high schools and our middle

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

schools, I primarily -- I'm working in that role a lot with the elementary schools and working a lot with adults and others that -- you know, just issues that may arise inside those schools.

- Q. Okay. And just to get the broad categories, one is de-escalating incidents that happen at schools.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Another broad category is the sort of physical security access, locks, cameras, things like that?
  - A. Not locks. I oversee --
  - Q. Oh, okay.

A. -- just door strikes and things. The locks are assigned to the maintenance department.

- Q. Okay. Security cameras are your bailiwick?
  - A. That's mine.
- Q. And then the third issue was the emergency response --
  - A. Emergency plans.
  - Q. Okay.

A. Emergency plans. That would be things like shelter, evacuation, and just all-hazards approach to schools that might -- the types of

as between your department, the school resource officers, and the individual school administrations.

- A. Well, I will try to.
- Q. I know it's a broad question.
- A. That's a very broad question. So if I could describe it on how I would first -- how I would describe it for myself.
  - Q. Yes.

A. Basically, I am a -- I would be somebody that is a coordinator, a facilitator; and I try to work between the divisions, between law enforcement, the school district and other individuals, outside agencies and things, to try to come up with solutions to problems that we may be addressing or may be having or, basically, a collaborative response to different types of issues.

So in the response of security, if we look at the physical security, my responsibility -- or the security department's would be, as I stated, emergency planning, training, training our staff on the ICS, Incident Command System; the NIMS compliancy with Senate Bill 181 from 2008; the Red Cross training, which is a very big proponent of that, making sure all of our employees are Red Cross trained, understanding how to respond to medical

emergencies they may deal with. So it would be natural emergencies such as tornado, lightning, thunderstorms, all the way up to, unfortunately, a lockdown and those types of situations. But it's an all-hazards approach.

Q. Okay. And let me ask, do you have any education or formal training in school security or emergency planning?

A. I have -- I have taken many courses over the years. I've taken many certifications, access control certifications. At the time when I entered school safety, I entered the -- after the military and after college, that's when I entered school safety; and at the time, there was not a lot of education that you could find in school safety. So it's a field that I decided to be -- get involved in and decided to stay in; so I have enjoyed it, most of it. And that's how it started. So I have kept up-to-date, and I have tried to be all the time up-to-date as possible.

Q. Okay. Help me understand -- and the locks are a perfect example of where I think I may be confused. I did not realize that locks were part of building maintenance. I'm trying to understand. So as best you can, let me know where the divisions of responsibilities exist with respect to security issues

emergencies that may arise; also, CPI, Crisis

Prevention Institute, training that we go out and we
 have kids that are out of control or situations we try

4 to de-escalate. It's not physical restraints, but

trying to make sure that our staff and my staff -- and giving that training to every -- you know, providing that training aspect to others in the districts and

making it available at all times.

So on the emergency planning piece, doing -- coordinating ICS tabletop drills, which is something we do several times a year, helping the schools with planning the lockdown drills that we might do. Also, another important thing is in planning the tornado drills, ensuring that our schools understand interoperability, which is very important for us as well. What I mean by that, radio interoperability is a very important aspect for the school district because it allows all of our schools to communicate during emergencies. And so those are the things I would oversee in that area. But physical security is the cameras, the card readers, like we have all these employees wear the I.D. cards.

#### Q. Okay. Right.

A. Making sure that that's running. Right now, we have about 580 cameras. At the time before

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

2.2

Arapahoe -- the Arapahoe incident, we had about 480 cameras; so we've added quite a few over to Arapahoe High School and then, obviously, in this bond construction that we're doing, so I oversee that.

Now, the locks that I'm involved with are the strikes on the doors for the access control system; so when I go -- when an employee comes up, they swipe their card on that card reader. The strike opens the door. That's what I'm responsible for, my area is responsible for, the strike, the electronic parts of that door, which is in there. And some ADA. Like if -- you know, obviously, we have a lot of kids that might be handicapped or have physical challenges; and our goal is to make our access control system allow them to get in and out of the building with ease and things. So that would be in the physical security side, the cameras, the doors, and motion detectors. I don't want to throw that out of there. Like our asset protection, when we have a burglary in the school, we have door contacts, motion detectors and other things. That would be something I'm responsible for too. So it's roughly about 5,000 devices that are out there, but it's mostly electronic devices.

Q. Okay. So what about coordination with respect to disciplinary or behavioral problems at a

elementary schools, we have a very diverse population of adults; and sometimes adults can come into schools, and often schools are used as battlegrounds by people for custody issues and things like that. And what I find is often I'm called upon to de-escalate situations that may have happened between parents that is going on in the schools. So I work with the school, the administrator at the school, and I work with necessary law enforcement. But my goal is to keep a peaceful, safe learning environment in those elementary schools when I'm dealing with that. And sometimes I deal with that in middle schools as well, but -- when I do that de-escalation.

But another thing I could do is when we have a student who has a mental health issue, we have kids that might trash a classroom, throw things, do things. One of the things that I do is I go there and de-escalate those situations quite a bit. What it is is get the kid under control in a way that is nonviolent and is a way that is very -- how do I say -- not overdone but in a way that's very safe for everybody involved including that student and myself and everybody else in that room.

#### Q. Okay.

A. And that happens almost on a daily basis

#### given school? Does that fall into --

A. Before Arapahoe High School, no, not -seldomly. It would not -- not a -- an issue that would be going on at the school, so to say, if I would -- if there was something that was deemed to be a dangerous situation, I would hear about it; but on the general discipline issues, no, I was not in that type of a loop before Arapahoe. I am now. One of the changes that has happened over the Arapahoe is I am now involved in that, and I look through -- I assist a team of -- what we call a three-people team. I assist the mental health team in addition to the school resource officers at the school, and we look through every one of the discipline reports from threat assessments all the way down to just regular discipline reports, you know, Jimmy threw a spitball in class. So that's something that's been a major change for me in the last two years.

Q. Okay. I appreciate that, and we're certainly going to get into the changes to your responsibilities as we go. When you talked about de-escalating at schools, describe that part of your job. What do you do?

A. Well, when I look at -- I look at it as when I work at schools -- like, for example,

<sup>1</sup> for myself.

### Q. And that's done primarily at the elementary and middle school level?

A. Yeah, pretty much. Most of it is at the elementary level and seldom at the middle school level. And I might come upon other things, too, that -- out and about schools and things that I work with. You know, I might work with the Options program, which is a school we have around there. I work with that school quite a bit, the Redirection kids and things. High schools, I -- you know, in Heritage, Littleton, and Arapahoe, you know, I'll run into kids, you know, different reasons. Most of the time it's good stuff, and I'll work with it. But that would be my major responsibilities in that area.

Q. Okay. And you made an important distinction as you were talking, obviously, about the -- your job as it existed prior to the shooting on December 13th and the changes that have been made subsequent to that. Am I correct in concluding that those changes were made because of the shooting?

A. Somewhat yes and somewhat no. There was also -- one of the things I would say that -- we are constantly evolving. And when I look at some of the things in my aspects, some of the technology I'm

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

2.2

2.0

involved with with -- that I oversee, I have -- there was plans for evolution prior to December 13th, 2013.

- Q. Fair distinction. But your newfound involvement in behavioral and disciplinary issues involving individual students, that was a consequence or a response to the shooting at Arapahoe?
- A. Well, when it came to the looking at the discipline reports, I've been very involved in Safe2Tell and other interventions over the last -- over my career and doing interventions after hours and making sure there's a response from our school district after hours.

#### Q. Okay.

A. So -- and that would be -- there was a -- we've always had involvement, but the discipline is something that I've really gotten involved in.

- Q. Okay. And with respect to -- obviously, the catalyst for this arbitration is the shooting that Karl Pierson committed that took Claire Davis's life. You're familiar with the fact that prior to that, Karl had threatened to kill Tracy Murphy and was the subject of a threat assessment performed at Arapahoe High School?
- A. I was not aware of that until after the shooting.

Street?

- A. Over on Crocker Street, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And what about the -- I've heard them referred as a campus supervisors or campus security officers?
- A. That would be a correct term. The official job title would be campus supervisors.
- Q. And do they report up through your chain of command?
- A. They do not report to my -- into my area at all.
- Q. Okay. Do they report strictly within the building?
- A. They report to -- they typically report to an assistant principal who is assigned security duties at the school.
- Q. And at Arapahoe at the time of the shooting, that was Darrell Meredith?
  - A. I believe, yes, sir.
- Q. And what was your sort of interaction or working relationship with the assistant principals at the high schools when it came to security?
- A. Well, my -- the majority of the work -- or the communication I would be working with is coordinating the lockdown drills or ICS drills.

Q. And that was my question. So you had never met Karl Pierson?

- A. I have never met Karl Pierson.
- Q. Never spoke to his parents prior to the shooting?
  - A. Never spoke to his parents.
- Q. Never saw the threat assessment that was performed on him?
  - A. Never saw the threat assessment.
- Q. Weren't even aware that that threat assessment had been done?
  - A. No, I was not aware of that.
- Q. Let's talk now a little bit about sort of the chain of command, if you will. Who do you report to?
- A. I report to the assistant superintendent of business services and operations.
  - Q. And who is -- which assistant is that?
  - A. Diane Doney.
- Q. Okay. And how many people report to vou?
- A. I have about 12 people who report to me, and they are district security officers. They work at the educational service center.
  - Q. Okay. So they're all over on Crocker

Another would be the maintenance of the electronic card system, card cameras -- or camera systems and other devices as well that were in the -- through the -- that if something broke, getting it fixed if a card reader wasn't working. If personnel needed new cards, if people needed new pamphlets or training materials, those would be the things that I would -- my team would provide. Things that were -- at the time, just before the shooting, was we were starting to do CPI training for the district and the Red Cross training; so those would be things like I'd be coordinating to those people too to see if they had security personnel that want to -- needed to attend our courses and that we could provide to them and the training we could provide for them.

- Q. Okay. With the policies and procedures that were in place at LPS prior to the shooting, should you have been informed of the threat that Karl Pierson made against Tracy Murphy?
- A. At the time, the way I understood the threat assessments, it was on mediums and highs; and that would have -- that would have been forwarded to me if it was a medium or high. But I understand that that was a low, so it was not forwarded to me.
  - Q. Okay. And that helps me understand the

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23

24

21

policy prior to the shooting was --

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. -- you, the security department, would be told of medium and high-risk --

A. That's correct.

O. -- threats, but not the lows?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. So I take it the answer to my question then is given that it was a low, there was no policy for you to be told about it?

A. There was no policy, no.

Q. And when you are told -- and, again, I'm looking at the preshooting time period right now. When a medium or high-level threat is shared with your department, what are you supposed to do with it?

A. What I do with it is I talk --

MR. EVERALL: Wait a second. Is this before the shooting?

MR. ROCHE: Yes.

A. Yes. Yeah. Okay. Before the shooting, okay. So what I -- you know, what I would do if I got that notification is I would reach out to the school administrator. I would ask some questions that are relevant to the situation. The other -- I'd work with the SRO. I would communicate with the SRO. If there

we did not have an SRO. I would reach out to the

talk to them about the situation, let them know.

that I might send a -- I might ask for the police

department to coordinate a welfare check on that

individual if there was a threat, and that welfare

check, you're talking about sending --

command staff at the police department; and I would

There would be -- also, there might be a coordination,

check would go on; and we would just follow up on it

A. A safety and security -- a safety check.

Q. -- some law enforcement personnel to

depending on what type of threat we were dealing with.

Q. And when you're talking about a welfare

depending on our discussions and things like that,

Q. Absolutely.

A. But the suicide is the most common. Threats, very seldom. But they are -- you know, when I had them, I have taken them very seriously, just as seriously as I take a suicide threat.

Q. So when -- I take it -- well, let me just ask it openly. Was it in this preshooting time period your standard practice if you were made aware of a credible suicide threat or violent threat to arrange a welfare check of the student's home?

A. It would be depending on -- let's say that there was a violent threat -- or it was handled at the school with the administration, maybe the mental health people that are there, and the law enforcement is there at the school. Then there might not be that necessity for a welfare check. But sometimes there would be necessities for weapons, you know, what we call a safety check, to go to the house and make sure that everything is safe and -- with that individual there. And that would be something I would coordinate -- would help coordinate to take place if it was deemed necessary, and that deemed necessarily is from a group of people.

Q. Okay. And what group of people is involved in making --

22

2 3 4

1

6

8 9

10 11 12

A. Typically it would be --

Q. Let me finish the question.

A. I'm sorry.

Q. That's okay. It happens. A. I'm sorry.

Q. What group of people -- and I guess I'll ask the question for both the pre and post shooting time period. What group of people makes the decision whether or not to ask law enforcement to perform a

welfare or safety check?

A. Well, let me just say before the shooting, if it -- typically, if I was involved, the law enforcement was involved, okay. So as I've stated, I would reach out. I would say to the law enforcement officer this is what we're dealing with at the time. It would be the SRO or maybe the commander or somebody that's a supervisor if it was like an elementary school that did not have an SRO. So that was there. That piece was there. And then I would work with the principal or the assistant principal that was there that was working on the situation, and that could also include a counselor or a psychologist, another individual at the school as well. And then I would also communicate directly to our student support -- our -- like our head -- I would call them

was -- let's say it was at an elementary school where

7

21

22 23

24 25

17 A. That's correct. 18 Q. And that's something that you've done 19

many times in the past?

that student's home?

A. I've done -- I have done it many times through Safe2Tell on only a few violent tips, but many, many suicidal situations or information that I have received or follow-ups. I've done it many times. Either one is a very terrible situation. You know, they're all stressful.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

25

like the head psychologist or the head mental health person. And that was somebody that was always on -is always on my phone list and e-mail list at all times for the things I deal with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Q. Okay. And what about in the post shooting period? Who makes the collective decision whether or not to request --

A. Well, if it comes in on a Safe2Tell, I make the decision right away at 2 o'clock, 3 o'clock in the morning. I call the police. And whatever information I get, I ask for a welfare check to be done; and that welfare check takes place at that time. If it's during the daytime, if there's a threat discovered, then that's something that will be worked out through the school and it goes up to -- at the school and it works up to myself, Nate Thompson, who is the director of social and emotional wellness or --I'm not sure of his title. I apologize.

#### Q. Yeah. No, I know.

A. It's a heck of a title. But he -- what we do is we work very closely together. And so in the -- we are working -- so the school is working that out. I'm working that out, but I'm also communicating with other necessary, so to say -- maybe the commander of the SROs or supervisor of the school resource

psychologist, the counselor, the school resource officer, and the parents of that individual in the district office and going through the threat assessment and the other things that are concerned.

Now, we have done threat assessments for, you know, physical threats; but we have also done recently a threat assessment on an individual for suicide. And that was because he had multiple outside the district, and I had done three interventions with Safe2Tell with him over the last six months. So we called him in. We just are following up and trying to reach out. So it's a lot of effort and it's a lot of work: but it's worth it. I believe.

#### Q. Okay. What can you tell me about who made the decision and how the decision was made after the shooting to include the security department in the threat assessment and disciplinary process?

A. Well, I would say that we were included but it was revised. What I believe is, it's a transition from old leadership to new leadership; and the new leadership had a plan before December, in my opinion -- this is just an opinion -- before 2013 to include us more. So I was more -- more involved in -was being gradually included in more of the aspects in that area.

26

officers, the SRO; and we're just making sure that all of our bases are covered. We're making sure that we have a proper response; and we're making sure that -you know, that that kid's mental health needs are served and also the school is safe. That's our most important goal and ongoing. So what post -- now what has happened is we have -- I'm part of that -- there's a trio, two -- three of us.

#### Q. Okay. Who are the three people?

A. It would be Bryan Jesse. He's a coordinator. Again, his title, I apologize, everybody.

#### Q. Okay.

A. He's like -- he's the coordinator over the psychologists, and then Nate Thompson is the director. And every Tuesday morning we meet for several hours. We go over our threat assessments and all of the discipline reports, all of the things that come in from the schools; and we're analyzing those things. And then when we analyze those things, then we reach out to the schools; and if it becomes a high-level threat or a medium-level threat, we also have -- we could be calling in those kids that -- the student relevant and meeting with -- also meeting with the principal, the assistant principal, school

Q. Okay. So go ahead.

A. Okay. So if we look at that, the decision was -- I believe when Arapahoe happened, it accelerated the process, the shooting did.

#### Q. When you refer to "old leadership," who are you referring to?

A. Well, I wouldn't say -- it's all general old leadership in the district.

#### Q. I'm asking for names.

A. Well, I would say the old mental health people. It's a transition that has happened over various individuals in the district. I can't specifically say a process that is constantly evolving.

#### Q. Okay. Well, you referred to old leadership and new leadership. I'm asking --

A. What I mean is --

Q. -- who the people are.

A. I'd like to clarify that with you.

O. Sure.

A. Old practices. Old practices.

Q. Okay.

A. Old guidelines.

Q. Who was the head of the school psychology -- school psychologists at LPS prior to the

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

shooting?

2.2

A. Well, it would be Melissa Cooper; and she's still there.

Q. And she's still there?

A. Yes, she is.

Q. So there hasn't been a change in the leadership of the school counseling or psychologists?

A. Well, Nate Thompson has stepped up into a different role --

O. Okav.

A. -- to the system as well. I would -- that's what I -- that's what I'm describing. And Nate Thompson is the one that has pulled us in more so.

Q. Okay.

A. Okay.

Q. Prior to December of 2013, did the security department that you led -- or lead have any responsibility for training school administrators or faculty members on how to perform threat assessments?

A. The only -- the involvement -- I would attend those threat assessment training sessions, some of them; and the ones that Nate would produce and what I would talk about would be -- in that training would be about the help that LPS security could provide by coordinating with law enforcement and facilitating

restraints on him. It's getting them to comply and nonphysical -- the least amount of force to get a peaceful resolution to it. So that's what CPI is. It's a standard that a lot of schools use for their training, and it helps them to -- the standard if, you know, you don't exceed the CPI, it's -- the training and the certifications are very important. It's like what law enforcement do in their restraints. It's what school employees would take for what they have to do, their certification when they have to deal with disruptive students.

#### Q. Okay. But it's not directed at --

A. No, it's not directed at threat assessments.

# Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Has your department taken on a more active role in the development of threat assessments or the training on threat assessments since the shooting?

A. I would say it is the -- being involved is not the training, but being involved -- attending the training, but being involved in helping and providing services and other -- the things as I mentioned before, the things that we can do, the coordination, the working with the law enforcement, getting a response going. And that's a 24/7/365

those welfare checks and other -- and putting up safety plans and other concerns as well. That would be what my area of expertise would have been, yeah.

Q. Okay. But Nate Thompson and his group were primarily responsible at LPS for training --

A. On threat assessments, correct.

O. -- on threat assessments?

A. As I stated before, my primary responsibility was the ICS, Incident Command System.

Q. Okay. Now you also have mentioned a couple of times something called the Crisis Prevention Institute training. Tell me, what is that. That's another term I've heard before in this process.

A. Well, CPI is very important. It's like a -- CPI is a very important standard for us to have, and it mostly deals with -- dealing with de-escalation, not threat assessments but de-escalation of situations, verbal, and the proper use of physical restraint. And it's very important for us in schools to have that because it emphasizes the de-escalation and trying to -- in my opinion, we emphasize de-escalation and trying to do away with violent activity that might be going on in the schools. Like, for example, an out-of-control kid in the school, it's not about handcuffing and putting

response, not -- not just because school is in session. You know, let's just -- hey, the doors shut at 5 o'clock. It's -- no, it's -- because emergencies arise all the time, 24/7/365. And that's what we're there for, to help coordinate and communicate and get these things rolling right away.

## Q. All right. Will you take a look at Exhibit 4. It's in this first notebook. Have you seen Exhibit 4 before?

A. I have attended -- I have attended the threat assessment presentation that Nate Thompson had put up; and this would be it, I believe.

## Q. Okay. And I think that answers my first question, which is: Did you have any involvement in the preparation of this slide deck?

A. No, but there -- I believe there is a spot where I talk somewhere in here. I just talk about the role of what security can do to help when you are dealing with these situations.

Q. Okay.

A. And that's where I -- that was my part of it. I don't know what page that is.

Q. I'm not finding it right now.

A. I think it's toward the end, if I recall.

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

2.2

2.4

Q. Yeah. Let me --

A. Okay. Safe2Tell was something I did talk about.

Q. Okay, right. And I think that's where it is. But I want to -- unfortunately, these aren't --

A. Okay. Yes. That would be the page where this was kind of -- this was my page. That was it

Q. All right. Let me just see which page. You're on the Safe2Tell page, right?

A. Yes. Yes, sir.

Q. I'm a little bit earlier in the book than that, which is the page that is "What does effective threat assessment look like?" It's about eight or ten pages in.

MR. EVERALL: It may be a faint Bates stamp.

A. Okay. And there was a --

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Oh, yeah, there is. It's the picture with Inspector Clouseau in the lower right corner, 483.

A. Page 3?

Q. Page 483. If you look -- there you go. See, there's that very hard-to-read number.

Q. Okay. And I guess my question is a little bit more focused than that.

A. Sure.

Q. Can you tell me who within LPS had primary responsibility for making sure that the school community was aware of early warning signs and reporting procedures?

A. Well, I'm not -- to be honest, I'm not understanding the question. Would it be if -- that's such a broad question to me. Early warning signs, would that be of a specific individual in a school? Is that what your question would be?

Q. Well, no. You're familiar with -- I'll find it for you. There have been a number of studies done that talk about early warning signs for school violence and things like that.

A. Right, like gun -- looking at guns and things and looking on the Internet.

Q. And there's --

A. Words, using words, things, yes.

Q. Correct.

A. Yes.

Q. And there's lists of early warning signs, correct?

A. Correct.

A. Oh, 483. Okay. There we go.

Q. This is the page, "What does effective threat assessment look like?" Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And you said you attended this training?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. First thing listed is, School Community is Aware of Early Warning Signs and Reporting Procedures. Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Can you tell me, did your department have any involvement in making sure that the school community was aware of early warning signs and reporting procedures?

A. What -- as I stated in my past statement, the early warning would be if I received that information. So I would say that if I was to be the warner, it would be something as somebody called in that information from Safe2Tell or a parent or a student called in that information to LPS security. So I would be the one making people aware of those -- of the alert at that time. That would be my involvement. If it was in the school, I was part -- and I was called upon, I would have been part of the team to come up with a plan to address this situation.

Q. My question is: Who within LPS was responsible for making sure that the school community was aware of those early warning signs and reporting procedures?

A. Okay. That's clarified for me.

Q. Okay. Good.

A. That would be -- that would be the training that was provided by our mental health teams.

Q. So that would have been Nate Thompson --

A. That's correct.

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay.

A. Now, to be correct, too, as well, not on threat assessments, but we would put out information, flyers to our school communities as well as, you know, helpful tips, not regarding threat but things that could be in that line, too, from LPS security, just general information, tips, and -- and other things that -- you know, tips for our employees, not -- you know, all employees have a security responsibility.

Q. And I understand that. So I appreciate that clarification. Who within LPS was responsible for establishing threat assessment teams in each

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

2.2

2.5

school?

2.0

2.2

- A. Prior or after?
- Q. Prior to and after. We'll break it into two questions.
- A. I believe that would be on -- our mental health team would be Nate Thompson and Melissa Cooper.

### Q. And that was district-wide or individually by each school?

- A. Well, individually they would be -- they would set -- they would set the guidelines, the recommended person titles by titles, I believe; but that -- who would be involved on that would be set up by the school. Now, as we say, in a -- a threat assessment team at the school is -- what I understand, it is done by the school just like the incident command team that we have that responds to the all-hazards drills is set by the school. I don't set them, but I give titles and things to them; so I believe that would be the same way that Nate -- Nate and his team set it up as well.
- Q. Okay. And then I take it those established threat assessment teams would be responsible for the next items on this list, timely response to imminent and emerging threats; correct?
  - A. That's correct.

Q. Same for the systematic process to --well, actually, strike that.

Did LPS have a systematic process to assess levels of concerns in the threat assessment process prior to December of 2013?

- A. In my opinion, they did.
- Q. What was that systematic process to assess the level of concern?

A. Well, the systematic -- if I look at the systematic process would be the -- when a student -- or there is a concern that has been detected and/or there's an action from that person showing signs of violence or imminent threat, I always believe that we are -- our team at the school, the principal and assistant principals, mental health individuals would set up and address those concerns and work -- work with the school resource officers, if it was needed to be; and they were all working together and working on that.

Now, the things that I have put forward, as I stated, that came into our security office, they always -- I always followed up because I was involved and I always made sure that they were -- that we were responding to them. And I always -- I was always satisfied that they -- that the students' concerns

were being addressed when I was involved.

- Q. Okay.
- A. And that's my observation.
- Q. Well, let me ask it a different way. I understand there was a uniform template that was used within LPS for all threat assessments prior to 2013; right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that form has changed somewhat since the shooting?
  - A. Somewhat, yes, it has.
  - Q. But not significantly?
  - A. No.
- Q. Prior to December of 2013, there was no established group of people who looked at all of the threat assessments to make sure they were being done consistently and uniformly; correct?
- A. I don't -- I cannot answer that. In my observation, the mediums and highs were being looked at by the district admin and not the lows. That's how I understand it.
- Q. Okay. But at least with respect to the threat assessments that resulted in a low-risk finding, those were not being reviewed for consistency, accuracy, or anything else on a district

level?

A. I cannot -- I cannot say. I do not know. The mediums and highs, as I stated, I would see them and I would look at them and I would follow up on them.

Q. Who else would look at the medium and high-level threat assessments besides you --

A. Well, up --

Q. -- at the district?

A. Oh, there was -- there were several people. It would have been the -- it would have been the -- Nate Thompson's position, Melissa Cooper's, the assistant superintendent of learning services prior to 2010. Those were -- have been the individuals that would have looked at those, at those threat assessments. Now, again, if -- as stated, if it comes to the security office, it was always looked at, what we can do about it.

Q. Okay. And as far as you understand, the threat assessment that was done on Karl Pierson was never transmitted to anyone at the district?

- A. I don't believe it was.
  - Q. Because it was a low-risk threat assessment?
    - A. I don't believe it was.

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

43

44

41

Q. If you had seen Karl Pierson's threat assessment, setting aside the ultimate finding, would you have requested a welfare or safety check?

A. If it would have came to the -- when it came to the security office, yes, we would have. We would have coordinated with -- if it would have came in after hours, okay, then my decision would have been a welfare check at his house, ask him what's going on.

- Q. Okay. The next item on this page that we're looking at in Exhibit 4 talks about developing a safe and caring culture. Do you see that? It's one of the bullet points right at the bottom.
  - A. Okay. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Okay. Do you know, has Arapahoe High School ever done a culture survey?

A. Again, the culture survey -- I would look at -- I have seen things before the shooting such as lesbian, gay, if you're talking that -- there was -- I've seen some outreaches in there, in that area. I've seen some outreaches in diversity and some culture -- cultural and gender things. I've seen that. If you're talking about culture, those are the types of things I've seen, inclusiveness. I've seen some things present -- not -- what I've seen is these things come up on our Web site or training

A. Well, one of the -- one of the things that I do is when -- my Incident Command System training, I go out to the schools and I talk about Safe2Tell with the schools; and I also talk about how valuable a tool it is. And to me, I've seen it save many lives; and so I'm a heck of a proponent of it, all right? I know there's some people who say there's a small chance that somebody is going to use it to get another person in trouble or use it maliciously, but I've actually seen the system where -- where we've made -- or activated our crisis team after hours and we have had kids that were literally hanging on ropes. And the police went over there and knocked on the door. They -- they saved that kid's life right there and then. That's happened several times since we've been using that system.

Q. So --

A. But -- go ahead. Go ahead.

Q. No, no. Well, you said you go out to the schools to talk about Safe2Tell. So I'm very interested in -- because I'm a big proponent of Safe2Tell as well.

A. Okay.

Q. I'm very interested to know what kind of training LPS provides to the faculty and staff about

42

dent's

opportunities or awareness or looking at the student's paper or other correspondence.

Q. Right. And I'm asking a little bit different question.

A. Sure.

Q. I appreciate what you're saying. But what I'm asking about is, are you aware, has Littleton Public Schools or Arapahoe High School done a survey, that is, send a form out to students, parents, faculty members?

A. I am not aware of a -- of a survey, no.

Q. Okay. Let me jump ahead to the Safe2Tell slide that you were looking at.

A. Sure.

Q. Which is page 491.

A. Okav.

Q. You said that this was the portion of this training presentation that you actually delivered?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And I take it Safe2Tell falls in your area of responsibility?

A. It is.

Q. Can you tell me, what training does LPS provide to its faculty and staff on Safe2Tell?

Safe2Tell.

A. Okay. So -- and this is something that -- beforehand that we would talk about Safe2Tell with our threat assessment teams that were in hand there. We would also have -- our school resource officers would also be filling in our staff as well about the Safe2Tell program, and they would also see -- I don't want to call it propaganda, but it kind of is. But we would put a lot of flyers out at the schools. We would put the Safe2Tell on the -- you know, the display screens inside the schools. Or like, for example, in Arapahoe, they would have a display screen in the cafeteria showing Safe2Tell, the numbers to call, and then in the counseling offices. Another thing that it would do, when I would go out and talk to kids like in the elementary and middle schools, I would talk about Safe2Tell even to -- to the kids to promote the program to say it's not ratting out your friends, but it's something to -that you can use when you're not feeling safe at school.

Q. Do you have -- do you have a set schedule that you use to provide training to -- I'm going to break it into two parts that you're talking about. First, training for faculty members, how often

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

2.0

### is that done at the different schools in LPS on Safe2Tell?

A. Well, I try to do this where I go to the teachers and it's passed on from the staff at the school to the students or it's passed on from the school resource officers to the students.

#### Q. Okay.

A. So what I do is I -- and I do. I ask to come in -- like today before this proceeding, I was scheduled to go to the charter schools today and talk about ICS but also Safe2Tell and lockdown drills and other -- you know, other things that the teachers should be aware of. So that's how I do it. I go out there, get the awareness up there, and try -- and then do this on a consistent basis. I also include these things, too, like in our tabletop drills and things. I'll have -- when we do our drills, our all-hazards drill, I might have a scenario where a Safe2Tell report comes in. What does the staff do with it? I think it's very important because it also gets the awareness of all those people, all those people that are in that ICS team at the school to be aware of what that program or the importance of it is. But when I look at kids and things, you know, I have not talked to all the kids; but I know our school resource

about the importance of the Safe2Tell using those video -- training videos.

Now, as far as the school resource officers, they -- they talk about the Safe2Tell at their schools and use the same materials they're provided by the Safe2Tell Web sites as well. I believe there's a couple YouTube videos out there; and I believe we used one at the end of the last school year for several schools that were doing it, and it was very positive. And I could tell you, when we ran that video, we had -- that week, there was quite a -- there was several more Safe2Tell reports that came in than usual.

### Q. Now, you talked about sometimes you're invited to the schools to talk about Safe2Tell; right?

A. Um-hum.

## Q. I take it that's just sort of at the discretion of the school administrators at that particular school?

A. It's at their discretion, yes, it is.

## Q. Can you tell me, how often have you been brought to Arapahoe High School to present to the students on Safe2Tell?

A. I have never been brought to the school to present there.

officers are doing that.

Q. Well, and that's the next question that I wanted to ask is: It sounds, from what you've just said, like you make an effort to talk to faculty at the different schools about Safe2Tell?

A. And kids when I'm invited to speak to the kids.

Q. And that's my next question. Does LPS have a policy in place to train students on Safe2Tell?

A. I am not aware of that policy.

Q. Okay.

A. But I believe it is a practice that we have been doing. I have been told from Safe2Tell in the past, even before the shooting, that we had more Safe2Tell reports than other school districts.

### Q. Okay. So what training does LPS provide to its students on Safe2Tell?

A. Well, we do -- so our administrators at the schools will go to the -- will have -- will gather the groups of kids up by classes; and they'll have a presentation on Safe2Tell. And what they do is they pull those Safe2Tell materials off the Safe2Tell Web site, the training materials. They show the movies to the kids at the school, and then they have a discussion about it; and I've been called in to speak

Q. What about at Heritage High School?

A. I did some -- a discussion with one of the -- one of the PTO groups, multiple of the PTO groups about Safe2Tell. I've met over there, and I've met with the staff about -- several of the staff members about that as well, but not the students, no. Typically, the SROs are the ones that are responsible for that aspect of the training. If you don't have that SRO at your school, then I would be that person that you could contact to coordinate with the SRO to provide training; or if they cannot, I can also do the presentation for the Safe2Tell, the awareness factor.

Q. Do you know whether the SRO at Arapahoe High School has ever been invited to do a presentation to the student body at Arapahoe High School --

A. I do not.

O. -- on Safe2Tell?

A. I do not know.

Q. And I take it, again, there's no formal policy or schedule in place to train the students at Arapahoe High School on the Safe2Tell program; is that right?

A. There is nothing from the district, no.

Q. Do you know whether or not there was ever a Safe2Tell call made about Karl Pierson?

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

51

49

A. If there was a Safe2Tell call about Karl Pierson -- there was never one made. But if there was, I would have heard about it and I would have responded immediately to it.

Q. Okay. And help me understand just the mechanics of that. If a call comes in about a kid -and it's, obviously, anonymous -- that they say, I'm calling because I'm concerned about Karl Pierson, how does that call make its way to you?

A. Well, Safe2Tell, I have my whole network at my house set up, including my computers and my phone, to wake me up immediately. And I also have -and what it is is -- it really does work. I get about five calls, six calls a week, typically, in the school year; and they come in at 2 o'clock, 3 o'clock, 4 o'clock in the morning. And what they do is -regardless of what time they come in, what I do is I get that alert. I look at it. I read the Safe2Tell report, and what I look at -- okay. If it's a threat or somebody is an immediate threat, hurting themselves or others, I contact law enforcement and I get a welfare check going on right away. And that response is immediate. As soon as that comes out of the Safe2Tell office, it's within five minutes, at the most.

Natalie Pramenko, and the SRO at the time or -- the SRO, yeah.

#### Q. Okay. Now, how often would you have contact with, for instance, Natalie Pramenko about a Safe2Tell report?

A. I would have contact immediately with Natalie Pramenko about a Safe2Tell report prior and -or before and after the incident.

#### Q. Okay.

A. It was immediately. See, when I do that response at night, my first goal is to get law enforcement involved, out to the place to investigate and do what they need to do; and then my next calls are to the other administrators that are out there in the district and to say, hey, this is the situation. We've got a welfare check going on right now. So what they're doing is they're -- you know, there's not much they can do other than maybe provide -- sometimes, depending on the situation, they may call the other parents or the families or the family that's afflicted with the situation; but, generally, what they're doing is preparing for the response in the morning when everybody arrives.

Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to go off on a little bit of a tangent. Now that you and your

50

Q. Okay.

A. And that's because I have to go on IC. A lot of times when I get these Safe2Tell reports, I have to decipher the names of the individuals that are involved because kids always say -- you know, hyphenate the names and things like that. So what I got to do is kind of decipher those things.

Q. Okay. So there is a -- there is something in the Safe2Tell program that says Karl Pierson is an Arapahoe student, we need -- we, Safe2Tell, need to forward this report to Guy Grace, director of security at LPS; is that right?

A. Yes. And it goes to -- also, an e-mail also goes out, a communication e-mail to our schools; but a lot -- again, people are sleeping at 2 o'clock in the morning.

#### Q. Sure.

A. And that's the responsibility. There's also one that goes to our security office. But I make a point that that is -- it's not -- I get up, take care of it. It's not nothing.

Q. So who at Arapahoe High School in the 2013 time period would have been copied on the Safe2Tell reports besides you?

A. It would have been Darrell Meredith.

department are more involved in the threat assessment process, what can you tell me about the principal's involvement in that process as well?

A. The principal's involvement in general or --

#### Q. Well, with respect to threat assessments that are done now.

A. Okay. The principal -- every one of the district threat assessments that we have done where we've called the person of interest into the school -or from the school that has come down for the district threat assessment, the principals have all been in those meetings; and if a threat assessment was done from one of my -- the calls working with Safe2Tell or a call in from another person, that -- we would also have that involvement immediately from the principal as well from -- minutes after that notification came in, just like it was before.

#### Q. Okay.

A. But the -- I have seen the principals of every -- at every threat assessment or during every process of the threat assessment being involved. In some cases, there might be where the principal was not at the one point because of other things that were going on, other emergencies or situations that were

52

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

53 55 1 1 arising; but they were always involved in the end understand. 2 2 threat assessment. Q. And that's a change that happened 3 3 O. Okav. So -subsequent to the shooting in December of 2013? 4 A. So that's afterwards, yes. A. That's correct. 5 5 Q. But you don't personally attend all the Q. Okay. Let's take a look at -- Strike 6 6 threat assessments that are done -that. 7 7 A. No. Those district-level reviews of threat 8 8 Q. -- do you? assessments that are being done now, are those done on 9 9 A. But there are -- sometimes there could low-level threat assessments as well as the medium and 10 10 be a school that is short of staff and things or 11 it's -- you know, let's say a threat -- something 11 A. Well, let's say we go through those low comes in at 3 o'clock at one of -- a school. I won't 12 12 levels and we find some information -- this could 13 name a school. But it's important. So they might 13 happen. It hasn't happened -- we find some 14 14 call and say, hey, can you come in and sit in on our information that, in our review, looks like it should 15 threat assessment. You know so and so. And come on 15 be escalated. It could potentially go that way. 16 down and I will help out. I do and I'll be part of 16 Q. Okay. But are -- my question was 17 17 that threat assessment. actually simpler than that. 18 Q. So how many threat assessments have you 18 A. Sure. 19 attended personally at Arapahoe High School? 19 O. Are low-level threat assessments 20 A. I have attended four in the last year. 20 reviewed at the district level now? 21 Q. Okay. And of those four, how many did 21 A. Yes, they are. 22 Natalie Pramenko attend? 22 Q. Okay. 2.3 A. All of them. 23 A. By those three -- three of us, yes. 24 Q. Does she now attend all threat 24 Q. And that's Bryan Jesse --25 assessments that are conducted at Arapahoe 25 A. Nate Thompson and myself. 54 56 1 **High School?** Q. -- Nate Thompson, and you? 2 A. She attends the ones that are -- I can 2 A. Yes. 3 3 say for the district level, yes, she does, the Q. And then also the principal at the 4 4 district ones that come to our area, the review ones affected school? 5 5 where we're reviewing the -- she has been at all of A. That's correct. 6 6 Q. So there's actually four people involved those. 7 7 in that? Q. Okay. And when you say the district 8 8 A. Yes. Well, they're not -- the principal level threat assessments, are you drawing a 9 9 is not -- it's done at the school, and then comes to distinction between some other type of --10 10 A. No. The school does -us as well, yes. 11 11 Q. Okay. Has the criteria or definition or Q. -- threat assessment? 12 12 threshold or whatever you want to call it for A. The school does a threat assessment. 13 13 characterizing something a low-level risk changed We're doing another. We're looking over that threat 14 14 since the shooting? assessment again at the district level. 15 15 Q. So she participates in the review of the A. I believe it's mostly been the same, 16 16 however, there -- the awareness of the issues -- of underlying threat assessment? 17 17 the warning signs has been -- there's an increased A. Yes, with the -- with the person that's 18 18 involved, the subject, the parents. sensitivity by our staff as well. There's -- I'm not

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Q. The student who --

been at all of those, yes.

A. Yes, their parents and others. She has

Q. Okay. Do you know, does Natalie

are then reviewed at the district level?

A. Yes, she does. That's what I

Pramenko attend the underlying threat assessments that

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saying it wasn't serious. It's what I call the new

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) That, actually,

dovetails into the very next line of questioning I

because of what we experienced.

loss. I really am.

normal. The new normal is our awareness is heightened

THE DEPONENT: And I'm sorry for your

57 59 1 1 wanted to touch on. Q. Okay. 2 2 A. Sure. A. And that's how I perceived it. Not all 3 3 Q. Which is on the next page of Exhibit 4. staff though. 4 4 A. Okay. Q. Okay. And it goes on to say that this 5 5 annual training needs the administrators to be present Q. So go ahead and flip to the next page, 6 which is the warning signs training. Do you see that? 6 and supportive, correct? 7 A. That's correct. A. Yes, I do. 8 8 Q. And, again, this is from a presentation Q. And I'm asking you about that because if 9 that dates back to 2011, as you'll recall? 9 you jump ahead to Exhibit 9, that identifies the 10 10 A. Right. people who attended the training session that is 11 11 Q. And this part of the presentation reflected in the slide deck that we're looking at. Do 12 12 emphasizes that there should be annual training for vou see that? 13 13 A. I see it. all staff on how to recognize warning signs, threats, 14 14 suicide, et cetera, and what to do. Do you see that? O. And there's a number of folks who 15 15 A. I see it. attended that training in 2011, a couple of folks who 16 16 Q. It goes on to explain that that training attended in 2012, and one person who attended it in 17 should be done by the building mental health providers 17 February of 2013; right? 18 and administrators, correct? 18 A. Okay. I was reading --19 19 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Go to the next page. A. That's correct. 20 20 That's got the list of people who attended. Q. Do you know, did LPS have a policy in 21 21 effect in 2011, 2012, 2013 to make sure that all staff A. Okay. 22 22 had annual training on how to recognize warning signs Q. Sorry. I'm getting ahead of you. 23 23 and what to do about them when they saw them? A. Okay. 24 24 A. Like a written policy? Q. All right. There's the list of folks 25 25 who attended the training reflected in the slide deck Q. Yeah. 58 60 1 1 A. No. I do not -- I am not aware of a that we're looking at. Do you see that? 2 2 written policy. A. I see it. 3 3 Q. Do you know, did LPS or Arapahoe Q. And there's a grand total of seven 4 4 High School actually conduct annual training for all people who attended the training in the three years 5 5 prior to the shooting. Do you see that? staff on how to recognize warning signs for threats, 6 6 suicides, et cetera, and what to do? A. I see that. 7 A. I -- what I have seen at the trainings, 7 Q. And despite the fact that it says we 8 who have attended the trainings would have been mental 8 need administrators to be present and supportive, 9 9 health staff and school administrators would have been Natalie Pramenko never attended that training prior to 10 10 at -- those individuals at that training. the shooting, did she, according to this? 11 O. So not all staff? 11 A. I believe -- now, I was there for at 12 12 A. Not all staff. I think -- I think the least one of these trainings. 13 13 wording of that is misleading there if I was to look Q. Okay. 14 14 A. So, no, I did not see her there, okay. 15 Q. Okay. Well, one of the reasons that I'm 15 But I can't recall -- I just -- not that training, but 16 asking you about that is I've heard in other 16 there were other trainings because I have staff go to 17 17 depositions that that training was mandatory prior to them, my staff go to them. 18 the shooting but really mandatory after the shooting? 18 Q. Okay. And Kevin Kolasa is not listed as 19 A. It was mandatory for -- the way I 19 an administrator who attended this danger assessment 20 understood it -- okay, again, this is individuals that 20 training at any time prior to -- or in the three years 21 were mental health people, the security -- not 21 prior to the shooting; isn't that right? 2.2 security, but the school administrators at the 22 A. I could not answer that. 23 buildings, and other staff that were involved in 23 Q. The fact that neither the principal nor 24 the -- could be perceived at risk; and those people 2.4 the assistant principal, who actually did Karl

attend the courses.

25

25

Pierson's threat assessment -- Strike that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

63

64

61

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

The fact that neither Kevin Kolasa nor Natalie Pramenko is shown as having received training on threat assessment in the three years prior to the shooting, does that give any concern?

A. I would -- I would say, for me, I think it's important that there's an awareness of that; so, yes, I have a concern.

- Q. Okay. And particularly so since when we go back and look at the training itself, it emphasizes that the training should be annual and that, for it to be effective, the administrators need to be both present and supportive; correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And at least according --
  - A. That's what I'm reading, yes.
- Q. According to the list of folks who actually attended that training, the principal and at least one of the administrative -- or assistant principals never took the training; right?
- A. Well, according to this. I am not -- I was not tracking their training. This is a mental health services training.
- Q. Okay. You'll see on February 24th, 2014 --
  - A. Is that the next page?

Q. Oh, this was broader. This is just a list from Arapahoe, as I understand it.

- A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And where was this training done? Was it at the ESC?
- A. I believe this was at the Ames facility, if I recall.
- Q. Okay. I'm going back to the first page of Exhibit 9. I think back one more even to the very first page.
  - A. Sure.
- Q. Which is -- as you can see, this is --I'll represent to you this is a document that was prepared by Mr. Everall, the lawyer for --

MR. EVERALL: Wait a minute.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) -- LPS.

MR. EVERALL: I didn't prepare it. MR. ROCHE: Oh, you didn't? Okay. I'm sorry. I thought that was a document prepared by you. MR. EVERALL: No, that was prepared by ---

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) I guess I won't represent that to you.

MR. EVERALL: That was prepared by the LPS folks.

62

Q. Yeah. A couple months after the shooting.

A. Okay.

- Q. A whole bunch of people attended this training. Do you see that?
  - A. I see that.
  - O. One of them is Kevin Kolasa.
  - A. I see that.
- O. And one of them is Natalie Pramenko. Do vou see that?
  - A. I see that.
- Q. Did you know, did you attend that February presentation?
- A. I did. I was there at that to give my part of that slide.
  - Q. So you were one of the presenters?
- A. Well, as I stated, that slide piece is the only thing I talked about that came up and the ICS when it's mentioned in -- the Incident Command System is the only part that I presented on that slide.
- Q. Okay. Understood. And the rest of it was presented by Nate Thompson?

A. Nate Thompson, yes. There were others, too, as well that attended that training too, not just Arapahoe.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Okay. I'll represent to you that this was a document prepared by the LPS folks that was produced to me by Mr. Everall as part of the written discovery process in this arbitration. And one of the things that it says is that in 2012, the LPS security department joins the danger assessment training to help integrate general safety and emergency concepts. Do you see that down at the bottom of the first page?

A. Yes.

#### Q. What does that mean?

A. Okay. So it's not the -- it's the small part of that, what I talked about, the Safe2Tell, the coordination with law enforcement, the ability to do a welfare check after hours, those types of things, but also the concept of the Incident Command System or NIMS, the all-hazards approach to this as well, so giving them an overview of that because the ICS teams are integral to our response to potential for danger and things. Because if you have a situation, your ICS team should also be prepared for response as well. Just a thought.

#### Q. Okay.

A. And that's all I would cover. I would talk about that and in that area. But, again, it

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

2.2

2.5

2.2

would go back -- then I would have our trainings on ICS and things like that, and we'd talk about the all-hazards and a little bit about threat assessments, but the all-hazards approach because we have many types of emergencies we have to be prepared to respond to.

- Q. Understood. But I guess my confusion lies in the fact that, as we walked through the 2011 presentation on threat assessment, you were already doing what you just described with the Safe2Tell --
  - A. Yes, I was.
  - O. -- and the Incident Command.
  - A. The 2011 slides show that.
  - Q. Right.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. So what happened in 2012?
- A. I believe they just -- a courtesy, that I was not on their list before. I believe that that was just a courtesy, I would surmise, that this was a courtesy that they acknowledged that we were contributing. We were not acknowledged before that way.
- Q. So what happened is that you guys got some more formal -- you, the security department, got some more formal recognition of your role in the

(Recess taken, 10:54 a.m. to 11:09 a.m.)

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Couple of cleanup questions, I guess. I take it you've seen the threat assessment that was performed on Karl Pierson since the shooting?
- A. I've seen -- I've seen it, and I have looked at it; but I will be honest that I also -- for me it's been difficult, so ...
  - Q. I'm sure.
- A. And I have done it, but -- I've looked at it; but I, you know -- go ahead.
  - Q. Well, I guess, I mean, the core question I have is, having seen it, would it -- if you had gotten that threat assessment back in September of 2013, you've testified you would have asked for a welfare check or somebody to go to his home; right?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. I take it that's -- I'll ask it more openly. Would you have characterized Karl Pierson as a low-level threat based on what you read in that threat assessment? Not with the benefit of what we all know he did; but based on what you saw in that threat assessment, would you have characterized him as a low-level threat?
    - A. If I was to do the formalized threat

danger and threat assessment training program?

A. Right, because a lot of these things come from after hours and -- or, you know, contacts and calls into security and things.

Q. Okay. And one of the questions that I've been curious about is, there's been a lot of references in the documents to danger assessment training; but when I look at the slide deck itself, it just says threat assessment. Do you have any understanding of why sometimes it's referred to as danger assessment and sometimes it's referred to as threat assessment?

A. I believe it's just a crossover of verbiage, and you'll often find that crossover of verbiage when you have somebody that's writing these documents for you; and I find that all the time with my ICS drills -- or ICS training material, that I have to go in and correct it and make sure that the proper term is there. So I would say that it's common for people to twist the words a little bit. But, again, I can't answer that. That's what I would surmise by reading that.

MR. ROCHE: Okay. We've been going about an hour and a half. Why don't we take a five-minute break.

assessment, I can't say that because I would have to talk to that individual and hear what that person had to say. However, as I stated before, if that would have came to me that way and, say, I had interviewed him, I would have -- that's what I would have done. Okay. But I really think that would be the immediate response, okay, from my end if it came in that way after hours from a kid or somebody called it as a concern. But if I was involved in that threat assessment process, I cannot say that because I really do have to listen to that person. I have to -- and I have to analyze everybody's point of view. And I think that point of view could be a little -- no disrespect to anybody -- is a bit tainted right now, and so I can't give an accurate assessment.

Q. Okay. Let me ask a more process-oriented question. When a threat assessment comes in or a Safe2Tell call comes in and you take the steps that you've described, whether it's in the middle of the night or during the day, to refer it out to law enforcement for a welfare check or a safety check or whatever else or back to the school for when that kid comes in the next morning, is there also a step that your department takes to make sure that mental health is brought into the loop on what's

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

2.4

happening with --

2.2

A. Sure.

### Q. -- the student who is the subject of either the threat assessment or the Safe2Tell call?

A. It's immediate. It always has been that way that I reach out to the leader of the -- the school psychologist on the district and the school principal over there at the school. But it would be -- for example, Nate Thompson now would be that person that I would reach out to, Melissa Cooper and Bryan Jesse. They are all communicated with. As I stated to you, if it's after hours, the first response is -- my first call is law enforcement for the safety check. If it's the -- if it is the -- and then I get to those people; but that's within probably 10, 15 minutes, and I'm waiting for the call back from the sheriff or the Littleton Police Department or, often, a lot of our kids come from out of district, other jurisdictions as well. It's all jurisdictions.

O. Sure.

A. So there always is somebody involved from mental health.

Q. Okay. Will you take a look at Exhibit 5.

A. Okay.

back to those individuals.

Q. Okay. And do those mental -- do some representatives of that mental health team now participate in the district-level reviews of the threat assessments that are performed by the schools?

A. That would be Nate Thompson and Bryan Jesse. And then when we bring them back in, there would be the psychologists from the schools as well when we escalated it to a district level.

Q. Okay. Well, and that's my question. My understanding is Bryan Jesse is not a psychologist, is he?

A. I am -- I believe he's a -- he has a -- social work is his master's. I'm not sure of his background. But I know Nate Thompson is.

Q. He's a psychologist?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And they participate -- one of them participates in the district-wide or the district-level threat assessment review process?

A. They do.

Q. And that's a new development since the shooting, correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. Prior to the shooting, it was not

Q. Exhibit 5 is a July 2013 -- well, strike that. Let me go back.

Who is the person in mental health for the district that you bring those issues to as they come up in the first 10 or 15 minutes?

A. Nate Thompson, Melissa Cooper, and Bryan Jesse.

Q. And then, I take it, they -- one of those three people takes it -- takes that information and gives it to the psychologists who are assigned to a particular school?

A. They do.

Q. Okay.

A. And keep in mind, often, too, the psychologists are also on that Safe2Tell report; but we're talking about -- if we're talking that. But, again, a lot of those people are going to be sleeping when these things come.

Q. Sure.

A. But, yes, he would take that information. But some of them do respond immediately, but many of the psychologists are already on those Safe2Tell reports as well.

Q. Okay.

A. But there's always communication going

regular practice for Nate Thompson or Bryan Jesse or some district-level mental health professional to participate in threat assessments; correct?

A. Are we talking in a -- have a district-level threat assessment?

Q. Yes.

A. I would say we never really had that many threat assessments coming to us, so I would believe -- I can't answer that because all I can say is that the process was being reviewed if it was a medium or a high by them.

Q. Right.

A. That's all I can answer it as.

Q. And you've actually touched on something that we may as well jump to. In the several years prior to the shooting, there was a relatively low number of threat assessments performed on a district-wide basis each year; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Plus or minus ten threat assessments a vear, right?

A. I believe that's the statistics that have been put forth, close to that.

Q. And subsequent to the shooting at Arapahoe High School, there have been many multiples

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

of that number, threat assessments, performed every year; right?

- A. Yes, there has.
- Q. So, for instance, in the 2014-2015 time frame, it was 60 or 70 threat assessments that were done?
  - A. Yes.

Q. What is -- if you have an explanation for that increase in the number of threat assessments being performed, what is it?

A. Surmising, I would say that it's the awareness and it's a very -- the incident is a very traumatic incident for the entire community; and I think it has heightened the awareness across the community in a way that things are not as -- when kids hear something -- they're not as dismissive when they hear something nowadays, so they're passing on that information to adults. So I believe that that's one of the factors. Parents are more sensitive. And I'm -- I don't -- it's sad that we had that incident, but I can say that Arapahoe -- that awareness has saved some lives since this has happened. And if there's anything good that can come from a horrible situation like this is it has increased awareness and it has increased the alert factor; so I would say

Q. And it's intended as guidance to Colorado schools. It was prepared with collaboration from the Threat Assessment Work Group of the Colorado School Safety Resource Center, right?

- A. I see that.
- Q. Do you know, did anybody from Littleton Public Schools participate in the School Safety Resource Center for the State of Colorado?
  - A. In this aspect or other aspects?
  - Q. Well, in this aspect.
- A. I am not aware and I cannot answer that question; but in other aspects, yes.
- Q. Okay. And the reason I ask the question is you can see in Exhibit 5, there is sort of a thank you page as typically is done for these types of documents; right?
  - A. I see that.
- Q. And it lists and thanks the agencies that participated in creating this document, and there's a bunch of school districts listed and some other folks listed including Safe2Tell?
  - A. I see that.
- Q. And Littleton Public Schools, apparently, did not participate in the creation of this document relating to the essentials of school

that's what it mainly is.

- Q. Okay. It's not that there's more threats. It's just that people are being more attentive to them?
- A. I believe so. I believe there's always -- there's always these things in society going on, and I believe -- I would say that in some -- a district school that maybe had not experienced this type of tragedy, it would swing past -- it would swing past. This is the great unknown for us. You know, you think you're doing everything right before, which you are; but it's now created a new normal for us, and that's created a new normal not just for the school district but for the entire community as well.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to circle back to the period prior to the shooting and ask you while you've got Exhibit 5 in front of you. And you'll see Exhibit 5 is a document titled "Essentials of School Threat Assessment: Preventing Targeted School Violence." Do you see that?
  - A. I see that.
- Q. This is a publication that was issued by the Colorado School Safety Resource Center, which is a division of the Department of Public Safety; right?
  - A. Right.

1 threat assessment; right?

- A. I see that. I see that in the acknowledgments that they're not there.
- Q. Do you know why Littleton Public Schools did not participate in this study of the essentials of school threat assessments in the summer of 2013?
  - A. I cannot answer that.
  - Q. Would that be a Nate Thompson question?
  - A. That would be a Nate Thompson question.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I do know in other aspects that we have -- we might not be able to participate in all things; but in my area, we participate in many things that are nationwide and statewide.
- Q. Do you know -- or is it a Nate Thompson question to ask -- whether the mental health professionals, administrators, faculty, or staff at Littleton Public Schools were trained on the findings that are laid out in Exhibit 5?
- A. I cannot answer if that was incorporated into their training material, no.
- Q. Okay. Do you know now whether or not LPS mental health professionals, administrators, faculty, or staff are trained on the findings laid out in Exhibit 5?

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

2.5

2.2

A. I -- well, let me go back a little bit.

When I see John Nicoletti before the shooting, I know for a fact -- or not fact, but I know that Nate's group and -- they had utilized a lot of Nicoletti's training or materials in their trainings prior to the shooting, so when I look at Nicoletti before. But afterwards, I believe so, yes. Afterwards, I believe so. They have used some -- I'm not aware if he used this document, so I can't say he used this document; but I can say that he has -- they have incorporated more trainings of Nicoletti and other threat assessment people, if I look at that individual.

- Q. Let me ask if you would look at Exhibit 6.
  - A. Okay.

Davis v. Littleton Public Schools

2.2

2.2

- Q. And Exhibit 6 is, again, a document that was produced to me by Littleton Public Schools as part of this arbitration; and you'll see that it's titled "Threat Assessment Inquiry: A Summary of the Secret Service Eleven Key Questions." Do you see that?
  - A. I do see that.
- Q. And up in the top left corner, there's a reference, again, to the Colorado School Safety Resource Center and it has a date of March 19, 2010.

from mental health services would be the administrators, the counselors, and other personnel who are designated that would have to be aware of this type of material.

- Q. Okay. So that's the folks who got the training that's laid out in the slide deck that we were talking about earlier today?
- A. Yes. It's a little more broad than that, as I mentioned to you, than the list that you had of the attendees.
- Q. Right. Those were just the Arapahoe attendees?
- A. Yeah. There was other, you know, people as well that -- security people and others, yeah.
- Q. Okay. When LPS or Arapahoe High School performs a threat assessment, do you know, does the district do any follow-up with respect to those students who are the subject of the threat assessments to determine are the kids suspended, expelled, do they graduate on time, do they end up in jail, do they carry out their threat?
- A. Do we follow up with the -- the target -- the persons that are targeted in things? Is that what you're asking me?
  - Q. Well, what I'm --

A. Or rephrase the question.

- Q. Yeah. And, again, that's a very broad question; so I'll try to break it down.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Prior to the shooting, Arapahoe performed threat assessments on students -- or LPS performed threat assessments on students, ten or so a year; right?
  - A. District-wide, yes.
  - Q. District-wide.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And my question is: Did LPS track those students down the road to see whether or not they had some significant problems, suspension, expulsion, arrest, imprisonment, suicide?
- A. I cannot answer that question. I cannot answer that question. If I personally was involved, I did follow up and, you know, to this day, you know, sometimes. You know, it's just a personal thing. But I believe -- I believe so, but I cannot confirm that's something ...
- Q. And that would be something that would be important to do to determine whether or not these threat assessments are effective in making sure that those kids and the subjects of the threats are kept

Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. Is this a document that you've seen before?

A. Yes, I have seen this document before.

Q. Okay. And where and in what context have you seen this document before?

A. Well, I've -- I've read through the document; but I've also seen, if I recall correctly, that this material was applied. I've seen it passed on through our mental health professionals and things, and I believe it was incorporated somewhat into that 2011 slide or presentation.

Q. Okay.

A. And the reason I bring that up is the Secret Service summaries over the years have often been used by our mental health teams over the years for their threat assessment practices, from what I understand.

- Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not faculty and staff besides mental health in the LPS system receive any training on these eleven key questions?
- A. As I've stated before, the personnel that I'm aware of that received this type of training

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

#### safe, secure, and successful; right?

Davis v. Littleton Public Schools

2.2

A. We would always have -- yeah, safety plans were always followed up on that I'm aware of of the ones I was involved in, the safety plans. And we were always investigating, looking into the awareness and always following up on -- you know, there have been cases that were threats of violence; and we did work hard on them for months at a time and making sure everybody was safe and following up and investigation pieces and things and sharing information collaboratively with law enforcement.

#### Q. Okay.

A. So, yes, if I was to answer that question, yes, that way, yes.

## Q. So who is responsible for tracking what happens with the students who are the subject of a threat assessment at LPS?

A. Well, that would be Nate Thompson at this time.

Q. Okay. And do you know, does the district maintain any records or statistics about what happens in the future with the kids who have been the subject of a threat assessment?

A. We -- that is being done at this time.

Q. Was it being done prior to December of

A. That's correct. But that could be -- certainly Nate could answer that more eloquently than

### Q. Okay. Is there something else you wanted to add?

A. Well, when I was -- when I was thinking about the training back in 2010 or 2011, I do believe that Kolasa was at that -- one of those trainings; and I can't pinpoint which one, but I do remember Darrell Meredith was there as well with him as I recall. So I just wanted to throw that point out. It was back in our last hour and a half.

Q. So when I look at Exhibit 9, which is --

A. And that's what I recall.

Q. -- the list of attendees at the danger assessment training --

A. Yes.

Q. -- prior to 2013, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. I'll wait until you get there.

A. Okay.

Q. And I'm on page 2. Do you see that?

A. Yes

Q. Page 2 describes a February 28th, 2011 training program and does not list Kevin Kolasa; does

2013?

A. I cannot answer that question.

### Q. Okay. It is being done at this time though, correct?

A. Yes, it is. I think it's being refined too.

### Q. Okay. And who is keeping those records or statistics?

A. Our mental health team, Nate as leadership.

Q. And do you have any understanding of what those records show about graduation rates, suspension rate, expulsion rate, arrest rate --

A. No.

#### Q. -- any of that?

A. I cannot give you any statistics. What I look at is are the kids safe -- when I'm involved, are the kids as they are now and are the other kids safe as they are now, and making sure that we have a plan continually from year to year until they graduate and maybe even -- there's even -- we had a kid that graduated that we provided -- or helped him receive some services outward or directions and things like that as well.

Q. Mental health services?

it?

A. No, but I believe at one of these trainings he was at that -- one of these trainings.

Q. Okay. But he's not listed in the document --

A. No.

Q. -- that the LPS folks prepared and gave to -- let me ask this: Does LPS provide the attendees to these training programs with a certificate or keep an attendance sheet?

A. They keep an attendance sheet. I've seen an attendance sheet because I recall signing them.

Q. Okay.

A. But as far as a certificate, not on this one; but I believe that that's something that has been changed or is in the process of being changed.

### Q. Have you seen an attendance sheet for any of these 2011, 2012, or 2013 training sheets?

A. Again, I -- they're passed around. I do not -- I see it, but I don't track that. I am there for support.

Q. I take it that attendance sheet would be the best place to look to determine whether or not Kevin Kolasa attended a danger assessment training

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

85 87 1 1 program prior to -meeting? 2 2 A. This past round unless he came in late A. No, I contributed. 3 3 or afterwards. And, again, we're talking four years. Q. Okay. 4 4 Q. Sure. A. Just like every -- every one of the 5 5 A. But I do recall one of the events where other participants there. 6 6 there was multiple administrators, and there typically Q. And on the first page, you can see 7 7 is not multiple administrators. You usually get one there's a list of objectives; correct? 8 8 or two, but I remember a group coming at that time. A. Yes. 9 9 Q. Okay. And that certainly looks like O. And one was to examine the current LPS 10 10 what happened in February of 2014. You had a big threat assessment data, procedures, and training 11 11 group of administrators at that training, right? process; right? 12 12 A. Yes. A. We did. 13 13 Q. Bryan Jesse, Darrell Meredith, Kevin Q. And as I look at Exhibit 17, there's 14 14 Kolasa, Natalie Pramenko, Steve Sisler. certainly a lot of information about the threat 15 15 assessment data in here. It has assessments by month, A. Um-hum. 16 16 Q. Is that the training session you think assessments by year, assessments by gender, 17 17 you're referring to? assessments by risk level; right? 18 A. No, because I remember this training 18 A. Yes. 19 19 session, I was only there for a little bit because I Q. What I don't see is much information 20 20 had a disruptive student at one of our elementary about the training process or procedures. 21 21 schools and I had to take care of that situation. A. Okay. 22 2.2 Q. Okay. Now, after the shooting, Q. So tell me what you can about what was 23 23 examined with respect to the current LPS threat Littleton Public Schools performed an administrative 24 review of the threat assessment protocols; correct? 24 assessments training process. 25 A. I believe so, yes. 25 A. Well, it was making sure that 86 88 1 1 Q. And that's Exhibit 17, which, I think, individuals at the schools were committed to taking 2 is still in -- or is it in the next book? Here you 2 that training, that law enforcement also participated 3 3 go. It's in this book. Do you have that in front of in that training as well. The SROs are encouraged to 4 4 vou? come to this training as well so they understand the 5 5 A. Yes, I do. process and understand it more so of what the school 6 6 Q. And my understanding is that you district is doing and what we need -- and what we need 7 7 participated in that review? and they can also contribute more to this threat 8 8 A. That's correct. assessment. The practice, as I recall, as it was 9 9 Q. Was this an all-day meeting? stated, was that training would be developed and that 10 A. It was a multiple-day meeting, as I 10 Nate and his team and other individuals would also go 11 recall. 11 and furthermore develop this. So this is a work in 12 12 Q. Okay. progress at this time. 13 A. The -- so, yes, multiple. I think it 13 Q. And I understand that. 14 was like two -- over two, three days. 14 A. Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. And whose idea was it to conduct 15 Q. Was there, as part of this 16 an administrative review of the LPS threat assessment 16 administrative review, a discussion of the fact that 17 protocols? 17 there were administrators who had never gone through 18 A. If I recall, it was the superintendent 18 the threat assessment training program? 19 staff. 19 A. That was what -- that was not stated 20 Q. So that's Scott Murphy and his --20 that way. What I heard stated was that we need to get 21 A. His executive team, yes. 21 more administrators -- all of the administrators into 2.2 Q. Okay. Who ran the meeting? 22 this training, all of the administrators, no excuses, 23 A. Nate Thompson ran the meeting, and 23 that it's mandatory. 24 pretty much it was Nate Thompson. 2.4 Q. Okay. And that's consistent with what 25 25 Q. Okay. Did you present as part of this I've heard in other depositions, that that training

2.4

was sort of mandatory previously but now it was actually being enforced as mandatory?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is that a fair characterization?
- A. That would be a fair characterization.
- Q. And was it brought up at this administrative review meeting, for instance, that Natalie Pramenko had never been through threat assessment training until after the shooting?
  - A. No, that was never stated.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that LPS, as part of this administrative review process, decided to make the threat assessment training more of a priority than it had been in the past?

A. I believe as a priority -- for somebody like in my position or Nate's position, it's always a priority. It's this -- you want it to be because this is what your love is or your passion, what your work is for. And I believe that what you're trying to do is to get these people to come to your trainings and things; and there's a lot of things going on in the schools, so it's not -- I would not say a neglect on anybody's part on not making it mandatory. I think it's just that now it is urgent. This is the new normal. You must go. You must do it.

Range school districts were presented. Dr. Nicoletti also presented some material as well of practices. So we looked at all of those and looked to see where we were and how other school districts were doing, what their frequencies were; and those questions were asked.

## Q. And what was the conclusion of the group after reviewing those other school districts' threat assessment materials?

A. Well, if my -- as I recall, it was that we are -- we are very similar in our processes as other school districts are; but there is also room -- much room for refinement. And, again, I believe, as I stated, this is all being a work in progress. So that was -- so the -- do it, get something out for our school year that works and helps maintain a safe and secure work environment; and that was our goal. But this is a process that's continually being refined by the school district, processes and things. So that's -- it's working. It's being worked on.

Q. And I understand that. And you'll see as part of Exhibit 17, there's a number of other school districts' threat assessment documents that are included in this exhibit. We've got Adams County. Denver is in there. Douglas County is in there.

Q. Okay.

A. There's always -- people are always serious about these things.

Q. The next objective for this meeting was to review updated research, best practices, and examples from other organizations. Do you see that?

A. Yeah. Let me go back. I kind of bumped out.

Q. Oh, sure. I'm still on the first page.

- A. Okay. That's Exhibit 17?
- Q. Yeah, it's still Exhibit 17.
- A. I apologize.
- Q. That's okay.
- A. I flipped through that.
- Q. I'm just walking through the summary page right now.
  - A. Okay. So yes, that was correct.
- Q. And as part of the review of the updated research, best practices, and examples from other organizations, what did you review?
- A. We looked at other threat assessment processes from other school districts.
  - Q. Right.

A. As I recall -- again, I can't recall the exact school districts: but I believe all the Front

Would you say a bunch of the -- Jeffco is in there.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did it strike you as part of this administrative review that many of these school districts have a more comprehensive threat assessment procedure than Littleton did at the time?
- A. I -- it struck me that they were more comprehensive in some areas, but we were also more comprehensive in other areas. It wasn't standardized.
- Q. And did Dr. Nicoletti, as part of his involvement in this, point out any areas where Littleton Public Schools' threat assessment procedures were not as robust as he felt they should have been?
  - A. No, he did not. I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall any particulars about the other school districts' threat assessment materials that the group felt were more comprehensive than LPS and ought to be incorporated into the refined or improved LPS threat assessment?
- A. I believe what you see by that -- the trio of people and the involvement of that, I believe that was inspired by this; and we took it a couple steps further.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. And I really think that the -- if I was

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

95

93

to look at the intertwining of the communication piece, the mandatory options between the communication to security department and the school resource officers, I think that was a very important improvement; and I think that was inspired by the review as well.

- Q. So including the school resource officer in the process and the communication about threat assessments?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. That was a refinement that was developed as a part --
- A. It was already in place, but it was more refined and it was an expectation. It was more
- Q. Okay. As was the minimum of three people at the threat assessments themselves?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Any other changes that were made to the Littleton Public Schools' threat assessment process based on what you learned from looking at the other districts and what they were doing?
- A. Basically more options in there to check off. Basically things -- more direction on things that you're going to be looking for. And I would look

Q. And now teachers of a student can see in that discipline tab on Infinite Campus whether or not a student has been the subject of a threat assessment?

A. I understand that that is -- I cannot answer that correctly. I know it is for me, but I can't answer that for the teachers; but I know it was a work in process.

#### Q. Okay.

A. It's a work in process. I know there was also other things. Like we have a folder -folder and things that -- also for our trio of our mental health concerns that we also check as well, the more detailed reports and things and paperwork and things.

#### Q. Okay.

A. So that's something that's another improvement. We never had that before.

Q. Do you know -- and maybe it's a Nate Thompson question, but I'll ask you in case it's a you question -- before December of 2013, was some member of every threat assessment team tasked with the responsibility for checking the subject student's Infinite Campus records to see how they were doing at school, whether there had been other behavioral problems, whether they were skipping school?

94

96

- 1 at -- the big thing for us is the trio, having that 2
  - district review. And, you know, as I recall, I recall
- 3 Denver Public Schools has a similar operation to what
- 4 we're doing now with a review committee; and I thought
- 5 that was probably one of the most important aspects
- 6 because I don't think things are likely to slip by
- 7 or -- as they could, you know, in the threat
- 8 assessment process or discipline process. So I think
- 9 that's a tremendous improvement; but, obviously,
- 10 there's all kinds of refinements. Threat assessments
- 11 are going to evolve and evolve. I mean, everybody
- 12 here is -- what you're going to do afterwards is going
- 13 to make these things evolve. So right now, we're
- 14 doing our new normal, going forward with the material
- 15 and the training materials and the trainings and 16 continue to evolve as time goes on.
  - Q. Okay. And one of the changes that I understand has been made is threat assessments did not used to be uploaded into the Infinite Campus Web site?
    - A. That's correct.
    - Q. But they are now?
  - A. We have a -- we have a discipline tab, a discipline tab on our --
    - Q. In Infinite Campus?
    - A. In Infinite Campus, yes.

- A. I don't believe so, okay. But I can't answer that question correctly the correct way, but I don't believe so.
- Q. Is that now something that is required to be done?
  - A. Yes, that is.
- Q. And that's because that's an important pool of information --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- that the team needs to make an informed decision?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Was there any discussion at this administrative review session about why that wasn't being done in the first place?
  - A. No. I don't recall that.
- Q. The next item on the list of objectives in Exhibit 17 is to determine what information and resources we need to successfully implement changes to the process. Do you see that?
  - A. Let me go back to that page.
  - Q. Sorry. I know I'm bouncing you around.
- A. Okay. So which item?
- Q. It's the fourth bullet point in the objectives list.

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

97

99

100

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. It says, Determine what information and resources we need to successfully implement changes to the process.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And did the group determine what information it needed to successfully implement --
  - A. I believe --
- Q. -- changes to the threat assessment process?
- A. I believe they determined at the time through the discussions and the input of everybody involved of how we could improve that process and everybody contribute to that -- into that, so there was a significant discussion on that. Now, information and resources, that could be paperwork. That could be people. I look at that -- I look at that more in that aspect or those processes.
- Q. Okay. And that's what I'm obviously asking is: What information and resources did the group decide it needed to successfully implement changes to the process?
- A. Well, the first process was to go out to other districts, obtain other threat assessment trainings, have our law enforcement contribute to this

they're doing on those processes. There was a lot of

things. There was such a broad -- broad sense of

the group about a resource that was needed to

better process to deal with these situations.

things, but I look at the -- what came out of this for

me was the collaborating and working and putting up a

Q. Okay. And was one of the conclusions of

successfully implement changes to this process a more

A. Yeah, it would be more rigorous and more

of a -- more rigorous and more attendees, more people

Q. Okay. And was part of the discussion

successfully also a formal training program for the

faculty and staff about early warning signs, as we

about the resources needed to implement the changes

rigorous training program on the threat assessment

A. 4056, okay.

- Q. Yes. That's the page that's got the title "Early and Imminent Warning Signs for School Violence." Do you see that?
  - A. I see that.
- Q. When I'm talking about training of the faculty and staff -- not the administrators and mental health professionals -- one of my questions is: Was a decision made as part of this administrative review process to train all the faculty and staff on these early and imminent warning signs?
- A. The decision has not -- I understand it has not been directed. However, the training has been opened up to people to attend this training; and we have had, I understand, many people attending these -these trainings that are being offered and things; but I cannot speak for the directive that has come down
- Q. Okay. But there is a formal training process in place for -- that is open to faculty if they want to learn about the early and imminent warning signs --
  - A. Yes.
  - O. -- school violence?
  - A. We had -- I had some of our staff and

98

on what their concerns are and what they're seeing from our teachers and our other staff members and how

1 2

3 4

5 6

7 8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

> 21 22 23

> > 24

25

A. That was -- that was the items that were discussed and that was -- that was elaborated on in the training and ongoing -- and ongoing development.

Q. Okay. And I ask about that because if you jump ahead to page 4056 --

our security department attend some of the trainings. I believe that this is all being incorporated in the various training programs throughout.

- Q. How often is the district providing training on the early and imminent warning signs for school violence?
- A. I believe that -- I cannot answer that; but I believe it is being offered as of last year or this year, the last school year.
  - Q. Is it annual training, semiannual?
- A. Well, I understand -- the way I was looking at that training, it was semiannual.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. But it was also in conjunction with other trainings, such as suicide and risk assessment.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Safe talk and other things. You have to get these -- when you have trainings, you often have to bring people in more so to -- you know, for multiple trainings to cover things.
- Q. And who provides the training on the early and imminent warning signs for school violence?
  - A. Mental health. Mental health.
- Q. So is that Melissa Cooper and Nate Thompson?

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

process itself?

discussed in --

required to attend that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

103

104

101

A. And their teams, yes.

#### Q. And is that done at ESC, or is it done out of the schools --

A. It could be done in any facility. Usually we have Ames facility or -- typically, it's there; but I believe some of these trainings were offered at Whitman Elementary or the -- just because that was the availability of space and things.

#### Q. Okay. Have you attended the -- any of the training programs on early and imminent warning signs?

A. I attended the -- I have attended -- as just because, as I stated, this is incorporated in other trainings, these early warnings.

#### Q. Right.

A. So that's what you're getting, this part of that. But it's an all -- all types of response, the way I'm interpreting it; but I know this is more in depth for the other -- for the counselors and others that are at the schools as well.

Q. Okay. Who would know at LPS what administrators, mental health professionals, and other faculty have attended a training program that included this --

A. Nate Thompson.

A. Nate Thompson.

A. I do.

Q. And I'm assuming that the next steps for moving forward is what I see at the bottom of the page, the list of next steps and recommendations; is that right?

A. I see that, yes.

#### O. And what I don't see is timelines. Were timelines established as part of this administrative review?

A. On timelines as far as -- there wasn't like a timeline established, but they were very important and pertinent and they were worked on immediately. So the feedback of the principals and school site teams, I believe that would have been the first K through 12 meeting or one of the soon after that was done. Create a loose/tight expectations guidance document for the schools, that was done. Consider how to better track threat assessments in Infinite Campus without putting too much in the student's record, that is ongoing and that's still being refined as we -- as I sit here and talk; but it was being done at that time. Consider using Nicoletti's four-stage model for district-wide planning --

Q. Right. And we're going to walk through

102

O. -- as an element?

Q. Does the district keep records on who attends these training programs?

A. I do not know what -- in that area what they would attend -- or what records they are keeping on that in their area.

Q. Okay.

A. We do -- we do for our trainings.

Q. Okay. Are you aware of any -- Strike that.

Would you be the person to speak to what challenges the district has faced in getting administrative personnel to endorse this type of training and buy into it?

A. Well, see, we have -- I do not believe we have a problem with endorsing. I believe it's the -- what we would do is -- I don't see a problem with endorsing at this time, endorsing this type of training. I believe it's very serious and it's on their minds.

Q. Okay. The next item on the objectives list for this administrative review is to establish timelines and next steps moving forward. Do you see that?

all of those.

A. Okay. So many.

Q. So let's do that. First, is there -- my first question was really very simple, which is: Were any timelines established for taking on these things?

A. There wasn't any official timeline, but it was a pertinent -- it was a pertinent timeline to get this done before school -- the start of school

Q. Okay.

A. Some processes. And to continue to refine these processes.

Q. Okay. So let's look at the very first item on the list of next steps and recommendations, and that is to get feedback from principals and school site teams. Do you see that?

A. I see that.

#### Q. Okay. My first question is: What does "school site teams" refer to?

A. School site teams would be the administrative teams at the school. So, for example, we're going to Arapahoe, talking to the principal, with -- and the assistant principals that are at that

Q. Would it also include the school

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

107

108

105

counselors and mental health professionals?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. In this area, of course it would include that.

#### Q. Okay. How did the district go about getting that feedback from the principals and school site teams?

A. Well, the first level was to include Clay Abla, the director of secondary education; and then the other area would be to include Kathleen Ambron, the LPS director of elementary education. They are the liaisons between the principals at their respective levels. And then you have them on the team contributing, and then you pull in your -- and then you set -- schedule a meeting with the principals and others as -- as the time goes on. If you look at the date, June 24th, everybody was on summer vacation at that time. But as I recall, there was a K through 12 meeting, a principals' meeting about this matter when we all came back.

#### Q. Okay. So that would have been later in the summer before the school year started?

A. Later before the start of the school year, yes. Those two individuals were involved in facilitating what we were going to pass on to --

Q. Okay. So now I'm trying to understand

A. I believe I did. I can't -- if I were to look at that date, I believe I was there; but I -it's not in my brain right now at this time.

- Q. And the subject of that meeting was --
- A. Well, they have --

#### Q. -- what do we need to do with the threat -- what can we do with respect to the threat assessment process?

A. It would be -- what it is is what -- the information and talking about the threat assessment process, and then it's gathered; and what came from the summer presentations or summer changes was put together and presented to them.

#### Q. Okay. And that's what I'm trying to get at. Who else was there? Was Scott Murphy there?

- A. I don't recall.
- O. Was Clav Abla there?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Was Natalie Pramenko there?
  - A. Yes, I believe so.

#### Q. Were the assistant principals from Arapahoe High School there?

A. I can't recall if all of them were there.

O. Were some of them?

106

what you just said. Did Clay Abla and Kathleen Ambron then go and have individual meetings with each of the

A. Yes, they would -- they would do that. That is something that is commonplace with them when they're -- as I understand it, they meet with their leadership. They're the supervisors of those people and they meet with them as individuals.

principals and their assistant principals?

#### Q. And did they meet with them specifically about --

A. I do not --

#### Q. -- what needed to be done with respect to the threat assessment process? I understand they meet with their principals on any variety of topics.

A. I cannot speak for them on that -- on that, but I would assume it was done because it was a topic immediately when school started.

- Q. Okay. And was that feedback -- you also mentioned that -- maybe I misheard you -- that there was a meeting of all the K through 12 principals and assistant principals on this subject before the school year started?
- A. It would have been probably a little bit afterwards, yes; but there was, if I recall.
  - Q. Did you attend that meeting?

A. I would believe so.

#### Q. Were mental health professionals there, Esther Song, people like that?

A. At that meeting, probably not.

#### Q. Okay. And the purpose of that meeting was to get feedback from the principals and school site teams about the threat assessment process?

A. As I understand it, yes; and there may have been many other meetings too. I can only speak for that one.

#### Q. And I'm just asking about that one meeting right now.

A. Yeah.

#### Q. Who ran that meeting?

A. Well, that would be the facilitators, Clay and Kathleen; and then they would facilitate. And then Nate would present and probably Melissa Cooper, if I recall.

#### Q. So Nate and Melissa Cooper presented something to that group of principals and assistant principals?

A. I believe so.

#### Q. Was there a PowerPoint or a Prezi deck or something like that?

A. I don't recall. I don't recall.

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

2.0

2.0

2.2

Q. And what was the feedback that the principals and school site teams gave to the attendees of that meeting on this threat assessment process?

A. Well, one of the common -- the most common was availability for school resource officers and who -- who -- which resource officer would they contact if, you know, this is coming from the elementary level. That was a very common question, which we solved and -- and -- the situation. So that was on the elementary level. So as far as -- the other thing is -- the biggest thing I can recall coming out of that meeting was consensus that things have increased since December 13th, 2013, our mental health concerns have, their concerns for mental health, and the call for them, the callouts for help were higher.

### Q. Any other feedback from the principals and school site teams?

A. Not that I -- not that I recall at this time.

#### Q. Okay.

A. Just -- no, not that I recall.

### Q. And was that feedback documented somehow?

A. I do not -- I did not document it. I

A. Yes.

Q. And is this page part of the feedback that was the result of --

A. Yes, thank you for --

Q. -- the meeting we were talking about --

A. That is -- this is the feedback.

Q. Okay. That's what I assumed it was, but I wanted to make sure.

A. I apologize, everybody. I just ...

Q. No, it's totally fine.

A. Okay. Thank you.

Q. So as I understand it, the results of that meeting were that -- these challenges that we're talking about; right?

A. Yes.

Q. The first one is that the staff felt it was difficult to choose a level of risk and they feel uncomfortable doing that, right?

A. Yes.

### Q. Okay. What can you tell me about the discussion about that issue?

A. Well, it's many -- it's many -- I mean, I could talk all day about what they feel uncomfortable about doing. It's just dealing with determining what the threat factor is, No. 1, but

just attended that function, but there certainly could -- I'm not aware of what documentation would be on that.

## Q. Now, did somebody report back to all the participants at this administrative review what the results of that meeting were?

A. There was, as far as -- I know with the SROs, yes, there was one with the law enforcement that we did. We've had ongoing meetings and discussion, but I don't recall Dr. -- meeting Dr. John Nicoletti again after that, no.

# Q. Okay. And I've seen a document in Exhibit 17. It talks about key challenges noted by LPS staff related to the threat assessment process. Is that a document you're familiar with?

A. Not a document, just some of the -- some of the things I elaborated on, key challenges.

### Q. Yeah. The key challenges noted by LPS staff at page 4049.

A. 4049?

Q. Yeah.

A. Okay.

Q. And do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you seen that before?

also, 2, that -- the high -- the low, high -- or low, medium, high. It is a tough thing to work around for them because what if you make a mistake. I believe people are worried about making mistakes. That's what my perception is, that, okay, this kid is a -- you know, I make him a medium and he's actually a high; or he's a low, what do I miss -- you know, what did I miss, that possibility of what did I miss when I was doing my threat assessment. I think that was the biggest fear. You know, they're very serious about that. They're very serious about doing their threat assessments, making sure their schools are safe.

#### Q. Okay.

A. And think about this -- and I'm adding to that. At the time, before we -- as you brought up in your statistics, ten threat assessments through 27 buildings, not a whole lot; but all of a sudden now we have many types of threat assessments. So there was not -- people were -- it was an influx of all of a sudden a lot of things coming their way. And I think that's where it was. There was just -- all of a sudden there was a lot of them in addition to the risk of suicide that's always been there, and -- but there was a higher amount of callouts for help in that aspect as well; and that's what that was.

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

2.2

2.2

Q. Sure. So would it be fair to say that a concern among a number of the principals within the LPS district was a reluctance to actually select a risk level when performing a threat assessment?

A. No. What was -- I would not say it that way. What I would say, it was a -- to do the right thing to figure out where that -- where that threat would -- properly fell. It wasn't a reluctance. It's a heck of a decision when you're dealing with, you know, this type of -- I believe that was where the fear was, that reluctance was. This is a heck of a decision that you're doing when it has to do with a threat assessment.

Q. And it's got to be taken very seriously?

A. Very seriously. They always have taken it seriously. All of a sudden they have very many threat assessments.

Q. Well, with all due respect, Kevin Kolasa told James Englert that the threat that Karl Pierson made to Tracy Murphy was no big deal. So, respectfully, I disagree that people at Arapahoe High School were taking threat assessments seriously in the fall of 2013. I don't mean to take that out on you --

A. I understand.

reference to making a decision about whether or not a kid poses a low, medium, or high level of risk?

A. Yes. And it could be also to the -- of what kind of references they -- or resources they would be out there seeking and things like that, so --

Q. Okay.

A. To help these people.

Q. What about the concern about how to explain to parents and what to share or not share with the parents? What was the discussion about that?

A. Okay. Again, this is my perception.

Q. Exactly. I understand.

A. There could be other perceptions. So how to explain to parents and what to share or not to share, that could be a very broad description of how the parents -- or the teachers -- the staff members would struggle of -- could be just struggling about what -- you could have information that's private on one individual that cannot be shared with somebody else that's not directly involved in that situation. I think people feel uncomfortable about that when -- what is proper to share and what is not proper to share.

Q. So does that tie back to the next bullet point, which is confusion about notifying teachers and

other staff --

A. It can.

Q. -- who, when, how?

A. It can, yes.

Q. Okay. And one of the confusing parts of that to me of what you're describing is, it wasn't clear what could be told to the teachers at the school about threat assessments and the kids who were the subject of them; right?

A. Yes.

Q. I'm going to go back to that page. But when I look at the student handbook, which is Exhibit 1 --

A. Okay. I'll go back to that, sir.

Q. It's in the first book.

A. Okay.

Q. And I'm on page 113.

A. 113

Q. Yes. Next one. Let me help you out.

A. Okay.

Q. Oh, I'm sorry. It's 1113.

A. 1113.

Q. Here we go. I'll get you there. Here, this part. And this is the Student Code of Conduct for the 2013-2014 school year.

Q. -- and I don't mean it personally to you.

A. I understand.

Q. But, respectfully, that is not what was happening at that school at that time.

A. Understand. Thank you.

Q. Let's talk about the next point on this. And I really don't mean that personally to you.

A. I understand, sir.

Q. Okay. The next question on this was, Mental health staff feel like they bear a hard burden in decisions. Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. Okay. I ask about that because it says mental health staff feel like they have that burden; and, unquestionably, they do. But I didn't think mental health staff was at this meeting. So where does that concern come from?

A. That's feedback that has been discussed with -- to the principals, as I understood it.

Q. Okay.

A. Keep in mind, as I understood it.

Q. Understood.

A. Okay.

Q. And the decision here is, again, a

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

A. Okay.

2.2

Q. The section we're looking at relates to disclosure of disciplinary information to school personnel. Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. And it says that, In the accordance with state law, the principal or designee is required to communicate disciplinary information concerning any student enrolled in the school to any teacher who has direct contact with the student in the classroom and to any counselor who has direct contact with the student. Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. So when it comes to threat assessments, it seems to me that there shouldn't be any confusion about what could be shared with the teachers; right?

A. I see that, by this here.

Q. Because, according to this, state law requires the principal or her designee to tell a student's teachers, hey, this kid has made a threat or been the subject of a threat assessment; right? Isn't that how you read this?

A. I read it that way.

Q. And that the whole purpose of this requirement is to keep school personnel apprised of

or students don't want mental health care. What do you recall about those discussions on that subject?

A. Well, there's always ongoing discussions about that even at that time; and some parents -- again, this is my take on that. Some parents don't believe that they have a problem with their children. There's nothing wrong with my son. There's nothing wrong with my daughter. I'm not going to take them in for your recommended health screening -- or your mental health screening. So that's where that challenge comes in. They don't want that healthcare. They don't want to follow up with it. It's the school's problem. It's not my problem.

## Q. Okay. And, of course, the school does -- there are limited options for the school on that subject?

A. There's limited options, but they certainly are offering options and offering help for these parents as well.

Q. Understood.

A. They certainly do that.

Q. The school can't force a kid into treatment?

A. That's correct.

Q. They can kick a kid out of school?

situations that could pose a risk to the safety and welfare of others, right?

A. I see that.

Q. So my question is: Why is it that the teachers are confused or the administrators are confused about what they can notify teachers and other staff about?

A. I cannot explain that as far as -- I just -- I cannot explain that. I think that what they need to be is educated on their -- on their -- what they can and what they cannot say.

Q. Okay. And I will tell you -- and I'll ask if you've heard the same concern or complaint. I've heard from more than one teacher in this process that they were unhappy with the lack of information being shared by the administrators at Arapahoe about disciplinary information and threat information. Is that a complaint you've also heard?

A. I've never heard that complaint.

Q. Okay. But that would be consistent with this confusion that's being reported about what can be told to the teachers, right?

A. That could contribute.

Q. Okay. The next challenge noted by the LPS staff relates to the lack of options when parents

1 A. Yes.

Q. You can say you can't come back unless you get the treatment, those kinds of things?

A. And that's been -- that's a suggestion. It's a suggestion.

Q. Okay. Where does that suggestion stand -- if the school -- Well, strike that.
What is the suggestion that's being discussed?

A. Well, it can be many aspects. It could be -- the way I see it -- again, there's a -- I'm telling you from my little world what I would see --

Q. Sure.

A. -- if a kid is acting out and has -- apparently appears to be having extreme -- you know, suicidal, so to say, you can -- you work with law enforcement. Law enforcement can bring that kid down on a 72 -- or put him in the hospital for his 72-hour hold.

#### Q. Right.

A. But sometimes that doesn't go that way when he gets to the hospital and things. So you have to look at what resources you have that you can use to get that kid help and offer that to those families, but the families aren't going to take that because we

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

don't have a problem.

Q. And I understand that. But my question was, it sounded from your answer like there was a discussion underway right now about creating a system where the school says we can't force this kid into treatment, but we're not letting him back into school unless he shows that he's gotten treatment?

A. I think they're looking at that individually, and it's analyzed by our mental health and outside people such as the police departments and other mental health providers.

### Q. And has that program or idea been adopted at LPS?

A. There have been -- again, officially, I can't say if it has officially; but I can say we have done that. We have done that. There's a mental health center, I understand, over on Santa Fe in Littleton. That is an option that has been utilized by our staff, by our mental health professionals at the schools and things, referral for the parents.

Q. Now, the next key challenge noted by LPS staff is the difficulty in making decisions when staff have very different perceptions of a student. Do you see that?

A. I see that.

in your mind, it's a good plan; but what if that person -- there's just a fear that that person is going to -- that person could break your plan or fool you in that plan.

#### Q. Okay.

A. Fool you to wreck your plan.

THE DEPONENT: But I need to take a break if you guys are okay because I think I'm losing -- because I need some water or --

MR. ROCHE: No, that's fine. We've been going for about an hour and a half. Let's take ten.

THE DEPONENT: Because I want to be -- I don't want to -- I want to be helpful here, no.

MR. ROCHE: Absolutely. No, we can go off the record.

(Recess taken, 12:18 p.m. to 12:35 p.m. after which Ms. Goodrum was not present.)

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Before we took our break, we were talking about the logistic challenges of implementing a tight safety and supervision plan, and you talked about the difficulty of what if a kid fools you or doesn't follow the plan. Were there any other logistic challenges discussed in implementing a plan?

A. They're also talking -- tight

Q. Do you recall how that challenge was addressed by that group that participated in the administrative review of the threat assessment

A. That challenge was -- again, is having the multiple -- the way I see it is having multiple people involved in the threat assessment process or analyzing the situation, that there's a consensus that is come -- that is come to at the end on determining that threat level, a consensus.

Q. And is one of the other things that has changed since the shooting in the threat assessment process that now the threat assessment team is actually talking to multiple people with perceptions of the student rather than just one?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. The final key challenge noted by LPS staff was the logistic challenges of implementing a tight safety/supervision plan. What can you tell me about those logistical challenges that were discussed?

A. Again, my perception of this is to make a proper plan that ensures or helps ensure the safety and security of those students at the school and the staff members. And that's always a challenge because you know that if you have a plan, it might not be --

safety/supervision plan could be, too, when you have a sex offender. As I recall this, we could have sex offender -- juveniles that are sex offenders. So that -- that creates a serious issue as well. So I believe that this was carrying a broader sense than just threat assessments when this was brought up because logistic challenges -- a tight safety plan was going along like if we have a kid that's a sex offender. We have them. They are there, and that's just part of society. And I believe that was one of the things that was being discussed there, that safety plans can be difficult. Or restraining orders. If you have a kid that's restrained and the restraining order is not clear -- has a restraining order and the restraining order is not clear, it allows that kid to go to school; and you have them separated and the potential for them to pass, but you have to be

#### Q. Okay.

pass and things like that.

A. So those are challenges. It can be very challenging.

there -- you have to have somebody there when they

Q. Okay.

A. So that's a broader sense.

Q. Any other logistic challenges that you

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

GUY M. GRACE, JR. 125 1 1 other things, the threat assessment documents that recall being discussed? 2 2 A. As I stated earlier, it's just -- there were gathered as part of this review from other school 3 3 could be jurisdictional, with the law enforcement, districts; right? 4 challenges because a restraining order could happen in A. That's correct. 5 5 one place and one county or in the county or the city. O. Because some of those other school 6 6 That could be something that's discussed, which law districts have expressly in their threat assessment 7 enforcement agency is responsible. And that's documents, have you looked at their social media, have 8 8 something we solved or I helped sort out for the you looked at their e-mails, those kinds of things; 9 9 school districts, for those staff members as well and right? 10 10 continue to do so. A. Yes, yes. And we have done this in the 11 Q. Okay. And I think that's it for the key 11 past. I just -- my recommendation was we needed to 12 12 challenges. So let's go back to the list of next solidify that. But, also, there was also a Supreme 13 steps in Exhibit 17. 13 Court ruling that came out on this recently too; so 14 14 A. Okav. we're looking at that and how that impacts us as well. 15 15 Q. And then you may as well -- that's this Q. Okay. And one of the requirements under 16 book. You may as well open this one up to 7? 16 this new guideline document, as I read this, there's a 17 17 A. Okav. question and there's a tight or required protocol and 18 Q. And the reason I say that is the next 18 then there's a loose or suggested protocol; right? 19 next step in the list of recommendations is to create 19 A. That's correct. 20 a loose/tight expectations guidance document for 20 Q. And so the middle column is the required 21 schools, right? 21 steps, correct? 22 A. Right. 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. And that is what Exhibit 7 is, correct? 23 O. And in the discussion of searches, it 2.4 A. Okay. 24 says, Searches are allowed under the LPS Code of 25 O. Am I correct that Exhibit 7 --25 Conduct when there is reasonable suspicion of risk,

126 128

A. As I understand it, yes, that is it.

#### Q. Okay. Do you know who prepared Exhibit 7?

A. Exhibit 7, as I understand it, came from Melissa Cooper and Nate Thompson.

- Q. Okay. Did you have any input into the creation of Exhibit 7?
  - A. I had input on electronic devices.
- Q. Okay. And when you referred to electronic devices --
  - A. Like searches of electronic devices.
- Q. Right. And that's the bottom of page 2 of Exhibit 7, right?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Should we search a student's personal items or on-line accounts, right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And what was -- did you write this section?
- A. No, I provided material and other examples from other organizations for this to be used, for them to take that material and apply it into -into something that would be readable and understood by our staff.
  - Q. Okay. And that goes back to, among

but make sure to refer to the policy for specific details; right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And so what that means is, among other things, the school has the right under the code of conduct to search a student's social media?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. E-mails?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Internet usage?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. Browser history?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And any other forms of electronic communications that they engage in at school?
- A. As we interpret that by the student code of conduct.
- Q. Right. And that's Exhibit 1 that we were just looking at, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that was something that wasn't a formal part of the threat assessment process prior to the shooting, correct?
- A. No. It was addressed in -- we -- I cannot say that because we had cyber -- cyber

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

2.4

trainings that we've offered in the community as well; and when we did those -- like that PowerPoint presentation, there has been discussions as well. But

I don't believe officially it has been put forward, but it was brought up. And in the past, we have

looked at cell phone devices and computers as early as 2006, as I recall.

Q. Right. And it was certainly part of the student code of conduct during the 2013-2014 school year --

A. That's correct.

Q. -- when Karl Pierson's threat assessment was performed, right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And, unfortunately, nobody looked at Karl Pierson's social media or e-mails or on-line information at that time. Isn't that your understanding?

A. That is my understanding from media reports and what I've heard internally, correct.

Q. Okay. And Exhibit 7 formalizes as part of the threat assessment process the fact that the school has the right to search electronic media --

A. That's correct.

Q. -- among other things?

A. That is taken literally, all staff.

Q. Okay. Are those being enforced now, those requirements?

A. Yes, they are. They are being enforced.

Q. So every administrator has now taken --

A. Or they will be --

Q. -- the danger assessment training or will within a year?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. What about in the section "When must a threat assessment be conducted," either of those requirements new?

A. Those are not new.

Q. They're just more formalized now?

A. More formalized.

Q. What about "When should an ESC administrator be notified"?

A. That is a new -- that is -- they tightened that up, I would say, about who -- about the -- if you look, there would be on the actual threat assessment the mandatory reporting to the security, the SRO, and Nate Thompson.

Q. Okay.

A. That's something that was tightened up, so that's -- under "When should an ESC administrator

A. That's correct. And I did contribute to the law enforcement involvement and other situations there too as well.

Q. Okay. One -- Well, strike that.

With respect to the tight or required elements of threat assessments, is there -- can you walk me through the requirements and tell me which ones are new or different from what existed prior to 2013?

A. I can in how I understand it and it's --

Q. Okay. Let's walk through. What is new and refined?

A. We'll have to go page by page here.

Q. Sure.

A. I believe on the tight, every administrator must attend the training within their first year in LPS.

Q. That's a new requirement?

A. That's a new requirement.

Q. Okay.

A. I believe that that -- a review of the warning signs and reporting process should be reviewed with all staff in your building. With all staff, I think that is new.

Q. Okay.

be" -- we're going there, okay? Tightened up. Just more tightened up on the tight with notify LPS security when unsure of a student's location or concerned about immediate safety.

Q. Okay.

A. We -- it's about that -- just that definition of unsure about where the kid is at the time, you know, if you had an immediate safety concern, you were supposed to let us know down there in the security office and we would work hand in hand with the school to come up with a safety plan; and that would include, you know, a boost in security around the facility or doing what we needed to. Check in with an ESC administrator if you're unsure where to start the process, that has always been there; but I believe that that's has been expanded to make sure that somebody is always available to respond immediately to questions.

### Q. Now, would you be among the people who would qualify as an ESC administrator?

A. I would be -- to ask questions about the safety and security, of ensuring that, I would be one of those people.

Q. Okay. On page 2, it talks about who leads the threat assessment process; right?

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

2.0

2.4

A. Yes.

2.0

### Q. Is any of that new, or is this just more formalized?

A. It's just more formalized.

#### Q. Okay.

A. I believe it's always -- we've always had the requirement for a school mental health professional; but in some cases in the past, that did not take place because that person was not available. But that has been mandated now. We've also -- who leads, if we look at the loose, if law enforcement initiates their own investigation, we ensured their collaboration in dealing with the situation, that -- that has been tightened up as well. I think that should be tightened.

Q. Okay. The section on suspending students while conducting the threat assessment process, that was always the case? They had the right, whether or not they used it?

A. That is -- they had the right; but I believe, what I've seen, it's used all the time now.

### Q. Okay. And it wasn't typically used before?

A. No, it was used. It was used. It was used. But there was not -- as I stated before, there

me how often parents did not show up. It was kind of weird.

#### Q. Okay.

A. But any staff member involved in the situation, at least one of the teachers, the ones I was involved with, again, were -- there was -- the person involved, the teacher, would often participate. Now, any outside provider, now -- prior to that, I did see on a few occasions providers come in or mental health people that were working with the kid; but now I see that more so now after.

#### Q. Okay. And one of the differences is that this now is characterized as a minimum list of people who should be involved, right?

A. That's correct. That's correct. And I've seen these -- that happening, and it's -- I think it's a good thing personally.

#### Q. Okay.

A. Additional interviews can be conducted with any other teachers, staff, students who might have -- that was going on before. Additional interviews --

Q. Well, let me ask about that.

A. Okay.

Q. Was it a common practice for a threat

was not -- the types of threat assessments we're dealing with are a lot different than the ones we've had over time now; and I think that that, in response, has changed how we do things quite a bit.

Q. Okay. And then "Who should be interviewed during the process," this looks, to me, like this was a significant change to how threat assessment -- threat assessments were performed prior to December of 2013; isn't that right?

A. Well, I would go through it like -- the ones I've been involved with before, prior to 2013, the student was always involved, of concern. We always attempted to notify the parents and guardians and we asked them to come down. Sometimes you would not get parents to come down for some of those threat assessments.

#### Q. Okay.

A. Any staff member involved in -- again, I was never involved in an Arapahoe threat assessment; but I'm talking about general like a high school, yes, I've been involved in some of those before.

Afterwards, yes, I've been involved, as we stated earlier. But in the case of the ones I was involved in the high school level, yes, we did talk to the student's parents or guardians. It really surprised

assessment team to talk to the subject student's fellow students or peers about that kid as part of a threat assessment?

A. In some -- in the threat assessments I've been involved in, which, again, I will state that at other high schools, yes, I have -- we have interviewed other kids that have been involved in the situations. You know, it could be a myriad of different reasons they were involved; but there were kids that were -- that we did talk to, and we did put in safety plans for them and ensured that they knew what was going on.

Q. Well, right. And I want to distinguish between a threat that is, you know, Johnny saying I'm going to kill Susie.

A. Right.

Q. Of course you're going to go talk to Susie, right?

A. Right.

Q. But does anybody -- prior to December '13, in my hypothetical, would the threat assessment teams go and talk to Johnny's friends and ask what's going on with him? Is there anything we need to know?

A. That has happened. That has happened.

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

139

GUY M. GRACE, JR. 137 1 A. It was not --Q. Okay. And that's more formally 2 described in this new and improved threat assessment 3 4 A. I think that's part of best practices 5 that came out of that, that we -- that should be done. 6 That should be done. And I think that others were 7 doing that. Others were doing that. Other mental 8 health professionals in the district were doing that. 9 Q. And we know that didn't happen or at 10 least it's not reflected in the threat assessment that 11 was done for Karl Pierson? A. As I read that, yes. 12 13 Q. Okay. And then go on to the next part 14 of the "who should be interviewed" section, and it 15 talks about interviews with any other outside persons A. Yes. 16 who may have helpful information, youth ministers, 17 coaches, et cetera. Again, that's a best practice that is now more formally part of Arapahoe's threat 18 19 assessment process? 20 A. That is correct. 21 Q. Okay. And, again, that is something A. Agreed. that was not done in Karl Pierson's case. There were 22 23 no outside --24

A. We're talking -- again, as I read that, no, I did not see that noted on that report.

O. -- the shooting?

A. I don't recall it being written, but I am -- certainly now it is part of the plan that is being done quite a bit.

- Q. Okay. And, again, that's one of those things that wasn't done in Karl Pierson's case, was it, as far as you know?
  - A. Not that I know of.
- Q. And another significant change to the threat assessment process is the team is now required to ask a student -- a subject student's current teachers for feedback on the kid's recent progress, behaviors, things like that; right?
- Q. And, again, that's because having a centralized vortex of information -- and I'm referring back to page 1 now -- is essential to do an accurate assessment of whether or not a student poses a threat to the safety of the school, right?
  - Q. And that's why that's now being done?

A. Well, I think it also is valuable in other areas, too, as far as like restorative justice and the ongoing servicing of that student because

138

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

140

Q. Okay.

A. Threat assessment. But I have seen others come in to help and support kids in other threat assessments prior to Arapahoe.

- Q. Okay. Next question is "What information should be gathered," right? That's the next column here?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And, again, this is a more detailed list of what the threat assessment team should be looking for than is laid out in the pre-December 2013 threat assessment form, correct?
  - That's correct.
- Q. And that tells the threat assessment team to look specifically for normal behaviors, boundary-probing behaviors, attack planning behaviors, and attack-related behaviors; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. It also instructs the team to review the student's discipline, attendance, counseling, and IEP records; right?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. That's a requirement now?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And it wasn't a requirement prior to --

sometimes -- often these students have other issues as well; and I think it's been very valuable and will continue to be very valuable.

- Q. And we've covered the searching changes that were made -- or the changes made with respect to the requirements or options to search a student's --
- A. That was always a part of that; and I believe in even an ICS drill I covered searches a little bit as well in the past for our schools. So I -- what we wanted to do was make sure that this was written and it was down so people understood that. Now, obviously, there might be some changes that come from this, again, because of recent laws or interpretations of the law.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And, again, that's where gentlemen like yourself come into play.
- Q. Okay. And I'm not asking about what legal advice you got. That's --
  - A. No, I haven't --
    - Q. -- off limits. So I understand that.
- A. Okay.
  - Q. I want to jump to page 3 where it talks about when does a higher-level district review occur.
    - A. Okay.

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

143

144

141

### Q. Do you see that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. Page -- when does a -- oh, right here at the bottom?
- Q. Yeah, at the very bottom. Because what you've been describing to me is since these changes were implemented, the district reviews all threat assessments; right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And when I read this, it says anytime a school indicates there is a high level of risk, this higher-level district review occurs; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - O. That and it's --
- A. Well, so we're still looking at -- we are -- I don't think that's quite clear there. We are looking at all of the threat assessments when they come in to -- we are looking at the low, mediums, and highs.
- Q. And that's why I was confused because you're looking at all of them, and this says you only look at the high --
  - A. No, no.
- Q. -- or the threats involving killing somebody or firearms.
  - A. I think we were copying other districts

A. Before -- I mean, it's not perfect; but I think it's something that's been very helpful that the district has been doing.

### Q. Okay. And that's a change?

A. That's a big change.

### O. Yes.

A. Because I think -- I believe in if -personally, you know, with kids that have been involved in criminal activity or done things, it would be nice to get them some help before they get that; and I've always wondered, you know, if, you know, they're going to break into the school later on or do something. You often see that escalating behavior. And I think that can help stop that and get them help before it happens.

### Q. Right.

A. Possibly. Possibly. Not perfect, but possibly.

- Q. If that had been going on years earlier, that would have been something that would have been caught with Karl Pierson because he had a couple of instances of violence when he was very young in the LPS system. That wasn't part of the system.
- A. I could surmise that it possibly could. It possibly could. Possibly could.

142

at the time when we were doing it as best practices, but we decided that -- I mean, immediately in September of last year, we started meeting with -together as a team and -- and saying we got to look at everything. And we're not just looking at threat assessments. We're looking at discipline. Like if a kid -- Jimmy pushed Johnny on the school grounds -he's a five-year-old -- we are looking at that.

Q. Okay.

A. And we are going through all of them. There's a lot of them. There's about 52 -- anywhere from 50 to 60 of those around the district. They're not threat assessments, but they're discipline reports. Like I said earlier, throwing a spitball.

Q. Right.

- A. That's being done. And I think that's important because you -- we can identify behavior -or you can see something. I've seen it a couple times where somebody acted out on that. We follow up and then we go back, and then that kid could be a concern later on, but try to intervene beforehand if you can.
- Q. Right. And, in fact, you're right because it's important to get not just a snapshot of a kid but a sort of longitudinal pattern of what that young person's behavior is?

Q. But that wasn't being checked at the time the September of 2013 threat assessment was done

A. I do not -- I cannot speak for what they did when they initially did that threat assessment.

### Q. Okay.

on Karl Pierson?

A. But I tell you now we would look at that information, yes.

O. Okav.

A. If we had it.

Q. Okay. So let's jump ahead to "Who is responsible for monitoring a student after they have a threat assessment." Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. And it's now a requirement that the school team led by an administrator should establish and manage the safety and monitoring plan for each student, right?

A. Again, that was a practice that was going on before -- prior to the Arapahoe and the incidents that I've been involved in; so they would have a safety plan. The kid would check in often. That was always going on. I believe that what you're seeing here is to make sure that others understand that. You know, one of the things about school

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

2.2

2.5

2.2

districts is you constantly have new employees and new people coming in, and I think this is also helpful for those new people that are coming in. So having this writing, having this down and planned, it gives them their basis for how they respond. But they were doing that before in the threat assessments that we've -- I've seen or been involved in.

# Q. And what would a monitoring plan -- safety and monitoring plan look like for a student who was the subject of a threat assessment at a high school, LPS?

A. Well, it could be -- it could be a set time that that individual can come to school. That could be a set time when that person arrives. When that person arrives at the school, he meets with a particular administrator. It could vary by what the situation is. He might be met at the door by the SRO and escorted into the school to his locker. There are so many different aspects of how that would go on based on the type of threat and the type of things -- you know, what this person is involved in or what they're expressing.

### Q. Okay.

administrators at school.

A. But there is -- I have seen that, and that's why it's an integral part and to have everybody

A. Yes.

O. Okav.

A. Yes.

## Q. That's what is referred to as safety indicators?

A. Yeah, safety indicators and any other information. There's other information, too, that might not be safety related, so to say, but it's important to the team; and we look at everything. There's all kinds of things that are out there that you can look for.

Q. Okay.

A. I can't go into detail. I'll be talking for three hours.

Q. Understood.

A. Yeah.

Q. Now, one of the other changes is this next category, which is "Who else in the school should be told about the threat assessment"; right?

A. Correct.

Q. And it says, Any student or staff who was a potential target should be notified. That was the case prior to 2013, right? That's not a change?

A. That's not a change.

Q. But the next bullet point is a major

understanding and -- not everybody. What I mean is your safety and security personnel and your

Q. Sure. So what was the safety and monitoring plan for Karl Pierson in the fall of 2013?

A. I -- again, I did not know Karl Pierson. I never heard what his plan was, and I can't answer that

Q. Do you have an understanding now after everything that happened whether or not there was a safety and monitoring plan implemented for Karl Pierson in the fall of 2013?

A. By what I can read and see, I do not believe there was a safety plan in place for him.

Q. Okay. The next item in the "Who is responsible for monitoring a student after they have a threat assessment" says that there is a district-wide review of all LPS safety indicators conducted weekly by ESC administrators and LPS security. That's a new requirement?

A. That is a new requirement we talked about that happened after your -- that group, 2014. It was June 23rd of 2014.

Q. Okay. And that you review all the disciplinary records and threat assessments?

change in how threat assessments are done.

A. Okay.

Q. And that says that any staff person who has a need to act should be notified, correct? For example, all of the current teachers should know since they have a part in monitoring the safety plan; right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And prior to 2013, at least at Arapahoe High School, a student's teachers were not notified that a student had been the subject of a threat assessment; is that right?

A. Well, in regards to -- that was a -- that was -- again, we did not have a lot of threat assessments; but there was -- I know of principals who chose to notify their staff, so it did take place. But I believe that was an administrative decision at the school.

Q. Understood. And that's why my question was specific to Arapahoe.

A. So that's what we say, it's not an administrative decision now. You're mandated to do that.

Q. Then it goes on to say in the suggested category or loose category that in some situations it may be appropriate to notify larger groups such as the

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

151

149

entire school staff or groups of students and parents, right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Has that been done with respect to any threat assessments that have been performed at LPS since this document took effect?
- A. Well, obviously, there could be -- we -there has been -- there has been one incident that took place earlier at the end of the school year; and the person was, you know, caught in a -- with weapons and was -- there was a -- the school notified everybody in the community about the situation and so did law enforcement and it was dealt with and dealt with appropriately by everybody involved.
- Q. Okay. The final item in this guidance document is "Does a student ever get 'released' from a threat assessment?" Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And it says as students are successful at following the plan, the plan and monitoring should be adjusted; right?
  - A. That's correct.
- O. It goes on to say that the student's name will stay on a district-level monitoring list through the end of their time in LPS, right?

Q. Is there anything else related to that step that we haven't covered?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Next item on the list is that the group was going to consider using Dr. Nicoletti's four-stage model for district-wide planning. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Has the district adopted Dr. Nicoletti's four-stage model for district-wide planning?
- A. As I understand it, they have -- we have. However, that is being evaluated; and also we -- our mental health people are planning on attending other trainings and other courses to re-evaluate where we are and make sure we're getting the best practices.
- Q. Okay. So my first question related to the adoption of Dr. Nicoletti's four-stage model for district-wide planning is: What was the district using before this, what model?
- A. The Secret Service, as I understand it, threat assessment practices and FBI threat assessment practices.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Best practices out of those identified.

150

A. That's correct.

### Q. Who maintains this district-level monitoring list?

- A. Well, as I stated, it's maintained by Nate Thompson; but we have access to it. The individuals that are doing the threat assessments, we have access to that team -- to that list and other key administrators.
  - Q. Did that list exist prior to --
- A. No.
  - O. -- December of 2013?
  - A. Not as I understand it, it did not exist.
    - Q. Okay. Back to 17, if we could.
    - A. Okay.
- Q. All right. And I'm on that same main page.
  - A. Sure.
- Q. The next item in the list of next steps or recommendations is to consider how to better track threat assessments in Infinite Campus without putting too much in the student's record. Do you see that?
  - A. I see that, yes.
  - Q. And we talked about that already.
  - A. Right.

That's how I understand it. And I believe that after Columbine, we did have some consultants who were hired that -- with Secret Service backgrounds that helped -also contributed to the development of our threat assessment process.

#### Q. Okay.

A. And then Nicoletti, we did follow his practices as well before 2013, as I understand it. As I understand it. Not clear all of the factors because I, for one, have just started attending Nicoletti's presentations and getting an understanding of those. The Secret Service and FBI presentations were the ones I was attending and things.

- Q. Okay. We'll get to this, but the four-tier -- or four-stage Nicoletti model is described in the fifth bullet point on the next page; right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And we'll walk through that in just a minute, but let's finish up with the next steps and recommendations. Did you have any involvement in getting clarification on the legal issues related to student interviewing, student statements, SRO involvement?
  - A. I had somewhat in that, yes.

152

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

155

156

153

Q. Okay. And certainly with respect to the SRO involvement and the searches?

- A. Recommendations.
- Q. We just talked about that with Exhibit 7?
  - A. Yes. Collaboration.
  - O. Okav.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. More collaboration.
- Q. And then the next item is develop a district-level threat assessment committee and review team. And we've talked about that. That's Bryan Jesse?
  - A. Myself.
  - O. You?
  - A. And then others when needed, yes.
- Q. Right. And has the district adopted the next item on the list of next steps involving SROs in all threat assessments?
- A. Yes. And we are -- that is something that is also currently being evolved and more defined as well.
- Q. What changes are in the works on SRO involvement?
- A. Well, an SRO -- an SRO is not going to sign a -- not going to sign a document; and an SRO

the district-level employees or to the employees at the schools themselves?

- A. They're being -- it's being offered to the district-level employee and to others out in the school itself. It's just organized training scenarios and things that -- sessions that people can attend,
- Q. Okay. The next item on this list of next steps and recommendations says, Continue gathering data and refine how to summarize and interpret for continuous improvement. Do you see that?
  - A. I see that.
  - O. What does that mean?

A. What I see it as is a -- that before it may be that we were tracking -- that mental health was tracking different types of -- a certain type of data in regards to -- regarding threats, what kind of threats were going on; but they've expanded that to include more aspects of the threat but also all the -the whole big picture of mental health, what they're responding to. Because as I stated before, you might have a discipline issue; but then they could start escalating into other behaviors. And they're tracking all those things. And I think that's something that's

154

2 3 4

1

5 6 7

8 9 10

11 12 13

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

constantly being refined because every time you go -you have a presentation, you see new data and it's new tracking and things that are going on. So that's a work in progress. Q. Okay. And the next item says, Review the protocol for following up on Safe2Tell reports, look for ways to improve consistency. Do you see

A. I see that.

that?

Q. So was there a concern about inconsistency and how the district was following up on Safe2Tell reports?

A. No. Safe2Tell reports were not an issue as the follow-up was. It would probably be the follow-up from the Safe2Tell report back to -- at the time the office that -- the summary that goes back to Safe2Tell. Not the response of the school district, but the summary that went back to Safe2Tell because I can say for a fact that Safe2Tell reports have always been addressed immediately and addressed consistently. It's about -- the consistency would be about the report that goes back to Safe2Tell for their data. And that's been approved -- I worked with Safe2Tell this summer and helped them with their new, what do you call it, on-line piece and report data and

should be participating in a medium and high threat assessment. They should be always consulted and

3 always be participating in that threat assessment.

That is our -- that's the best practice, that they

should be in there and they should be contributing to that to determine if that individual is a threat to

the school or the community.

Q. Okay. Next item relates to considering how to involve the Department of Human Services in the threat assessment process. Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. What is LPS doing to involve the **Department of Human Services in threat assessments** now?

A. They've involved them in training and -different aspects of the training, including the threat assessment process, but also other things such as suicide intervention and also things -- even cyber safety, I've seen them out there participating. So it's just broadening the relationship with them and the types of resources. They're a large organization. We're a small organization. They can bring resources that we don't have into the picture. That's why they were sought out.

Q. Okay. Are they providing training to

2.2

2.2

2.2

submitted that. They asked for my input on that.

Q. Okay. And as part of the discussion of Safe2Tell at this administrative review, was there any decision made to provide more formal training to the students of the Littleton Public School District on Safe2Tell?

A. That was -- yes. And now Safe2Tell -- so it's a work in progress, but one of the -- to our cyber safety committee, our cyber safety presentations, our Red Cross trainings, our ICS trainings, our briefings from the SROs and other individuals. That's where we start. But that is constantly being refined in how we're going to get that out to all the students.

Q. Okay. Well, let me ask it real directly. Arapahoe High School starts school next week, right? There are going to be 2,000 kids showing up at the corner of Dry Creek and University, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Is there going to be any training provided to those 2,000 students when they get there next week about the Safe2Tell program?

A. There will be an awareness, I'm sure, of -- in that first month at school.

Q. Well, I don't -- what does that mean,

administrative review, was there a decision made that the district is going to put a live person in front of every student in the district and say this is Safe2Tell, here's how it works, here's how you use it, and here's why it's important?

A. No, there was not a directive.

Q. Now, you mentioned that there are a lot of Safe2Tell calls that you get; right?

A. Yes.

Q. On a weekly basis or an annual basis?

A. Especially when school is in session.

Q. Right, exactly. Do you track how many Safe2Tell calls you get by school?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. How many calls prior to December 2013 came into Safe2Tell that related to Arapahoe students?

A. I cannot answer that accurately at this time; but it was no more, no less than any other high school in my perception.

Q. Okay. Now, will whatever training is going on for Safe2Tell at the Littleton Public Schools involve walking kids through some kind of a scenario? Will they practice how to do it?

A. The ones I have been involved with, yes. Yes. And that is -- I follow that training scenario,

### there will be an awareness?

A. That is something that will be coordinated from -- between the school and the law enforcement agency. We will reach -- I will reach out, too, as well to say this -- we need to -- we need to come up with this, make that awareness done, and make sure that we have that proper placement, the resources posted through the school of what Safe2Tell is and make sure that our freshmen are aware of it. But our Safe2Tell will be brought up in probably the -- one of the first student meetings; or when they gather in the gym, they'll probably talk about that with the Safe2Tell, about the aspects of Safe2Tell.

Q. Well, and that's exactly what I was trying to get at. Is somebody going to stand up in the Sitting Eagle gymnasium --

A. I'm not -- I cannot say that about Arapahoe, but I can -- as I stated, I was going to be doing that with some kids today and staff members; but I cannot say that for Arapahoe for sure.

Q. Okay.

A. But I would assume it will, but I will follow up with Arapahoe to make sure.

Q. Because that's what I'm asking about. Is there any specific -- as part of this

the training agenda that Safe2Tell has including their videos when I do it.

Q. Okay.

A. And I also talk about the -- how it -- give examples of how it's worked for us in the schools and how it's worked for kids.

Q. Okay. So you'll talk about some of the success stories?

A. I always do.

Q. Good. The next item on the list of next steps and recommendations, it says that the group was going to continue discussing whether, quote, threat assessment, end quote, is the best name for the process. Do you see that?

A. That's correct. I see it.

### Q. Who brought that up as a concern or a recommendation?

A. I believe that came up from -- again, we have many different minds here; so I do not recall who was -- who stated that, but I believe the consensus right now is still "threat assessment" and it remains -- that "threat assessment" remains as it is. But that could be evaluated as time goes on. But I do not recall the individual that stated that, who that was.

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

161

163

164

Q. And can you tell me what other names were considered for the process besides "threat assessment"?

A. No, there wasn't any other names considered that I recall.

- O. And you said the name has not been changed at this point?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. And was part of the discussion about whether or not to change the name a concern about liability issues?
- A. No. What I believed it was because of is because often the threat assessments also have -are also suicide risk assessments.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And I believe that's what the process is. So it's not about liability. It's about the proper naming of the threat you're dealing with.
- Q. The next item on this list of next steps is to continue developing training modules for the core site teams, all staff, and for a district team. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me what training modules have been developed since this administrative review

training?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is there a new training module, training presentation on threat assessments since
- A. It's been added. It's continually evolving. So I have not seen the new one for -- for this new school year, but I understand it is going to evolve. They have created -- they're working on our new district Web site that's also helpful for our staff members, and that agenda and that training is going to be helpful for them to have that on our Web site as well.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Our staff Web site. And there will be some things for students as well.
- Q. I told you we were going to get to the Nicoletti four-tier framework.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And that's the next page. Are you there?
  - A. Yes, I'm there.
  - Q. And there's four basic events, right?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Pre-event, event threshold,

162

horizon/event, and post event; right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And from what I see of the agenda for June 24th, this was the longest portion of the review that you did; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- O. I take it Dr. Nicoletti led the discussion through this section?
  - A. He did.
- Q. Okay. And he explained what these four threshold frameworks are?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. In the discussion of those four tiers, was there any discussion of whether or not Arapahoe High School's handling of each of these four points along the threshold was deficient?
- A. There was no discussion on that. It was more of -- the examples he used was outside the
- Q. Okay. So this was a discussion of here's what these frameworks are and how they can be used?
  - A. Yes.
- O. There was no discussion of whether or not Arapahoe fell short in any of these categories?

last summer?

A. Well, I can't -- I can't tell you the exact -- what the -- what the agenda is of it; but the training modules, such as threat assessment training, assist training, which is for dealing with students that are suicidal, risk of suicidal. So that's something that's new. It was not new, but it was opened up to more people. And as I stated, people like my staff are able to attend that and get that as certification. So the threat assessment, the assist training, and there's other -- you know, we also include -- CPI in a way is in there as well; and there are some other things coming down the pipe as well.

Q. Okay. Now, we've talked about the CPI. We've talked about the threat assessment. Was there any new training modules developed for the staff or administrators to determine how to recognize when a student is in crisis and needs mental health intervention?

- A. I can't answer that.
- Q. That would be a Nate question?
- A. That would be a Nate question. But from my perception of what I attended, it is more covered in those -- in the trainings that I observed.
  - Q. The threat assessment and the assist

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

1.0

2.0

A. There was not, no review of that in this training.

Q. In your capacity as the head of security for Littleton Public Schools, have you ever participated in any kind of a discussion or debrief of the tragedy of December 13th to discuss whether there were any shortcomings or mistakes or deficiencies in the district's or the school's handling of what happened?

A. Are we going to talk during the shooting or the -- prior --

- Q. I'm now talking about the --
- A. The day of?
- Q. -- the response to the shooting itself.
- A. Okay. No, there was not a review or a debrief on that. There has been debriefs on the day of the shooting.
- Q. Okay. And my understanding is that the district's assessment of the day of the shooting is that your team and the teachers at the school responded appropriately once Karl entered the school and started shooting, right?
- A. Well, what I look at it as, there's no attaboys in a tragedy like that.
  - Q. Agreed.

wrong, what went right, you know. But, again, it's -- you know, it's all wrong.

- Q. And I get that.
- A. It's all wrong.
- Q. But the big takeaway is, all the lockdown training, all the active shooter training that teachers and the administrators get was followed on that awful day; right?
  - A. In my opinion, yes, it was.
- Q. Right. And what I'm trying to focus on is the fact that the district has not done a similar debrief on all of the preventative measures that maybe could or should have been done in the weeks and months before December 13th, right?
- A. I understand what you're going -- I apologize. I'm --
- Q. No, it's a hard thing for everybody. I get that. But, first, am I correct that there has been no similar debrief done of the actions that were taken or not taken in the weeks and months prior to the --
- A. There has not been an official debrief on that.
- Q. And do you have an understanding as to why that is?

- A. And I feel for you. This is -- it's a terrible day.
  - Q. Yeah.
- A. There's nothing -- there's nothing -- nothing about a good -- a good thing. You know, the only thing I can say is people responded from the staff there to your daughter. Everybody there responded bravely to that event. Who was to say -- I can't say -- I can't say how -- how one would respond in a situation like that. I was a responder as well. And it's something that never, ever fathomed about; and to this day, it impacts me forever.
- Q. Understood. And believe me, I get -- I'm not asking whether or not you or anybody at LPS is patting yourself on the back for how you responded that day.
- A. No. But I do have pride for -- proud of the school staff out there, how many of them were heroes out there, in my opinion.
- Q. And I get that. And I'm not -- frankly, I'm not trying to second-guess that.
  - A. Sure.
- Q. But what I'm trying to get at is: There was a debrief done on the response on December 13th?
  - A. Internally with our district, what went

A. I believe -- I believe that could be regarding, you know, where we are here. That's my perception.

#### Q. Okay.

- A. I don't -- I believe that -- one of the things, I believe, is you don't want to have it -- to be tainted to come in to speak. I'm glad -- I'm glad I can say what I need to say here, and so I don't know why that has not taken place; but I would assume that's why.
- Q. Okay. And I have heard from some folks who have come in as part of this process that the district or the school, Arapahoe, have discouraged teachers, faculty members, administrators from discussing what happened two Decembers ago. Has that been your experience?
- A. I would say, to be honest -- I swore here -- that honestly, at first, it was because it was -- we were asked to be quiet and -- you know, as we're going through that; but it's certainly not the factor now. It's certainly not the factor now. That's not in place now. And, again, when I talk about that day -- I don't think anybody has -- again, I felt -- I think they did -- they responded bravely, and everyone -- even -- everybody -- I'm going to

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

171

169

- 1 exclude anybody. Everybody out there, they went
- 2 through a heck of a situation; but you went through a
- 3 heck of a lot more of a situation. And it's a tough
- 4 one to go back to. You know, you sit there and you
- 5 prepare for many years and you prepare. You go
- 6 through and do all these FEMA drills, all these things
- 7 that we've done. It was nothing like any of those
  - drills that I did or anything in the past. Nothing.
- 9 Nothing like it. So not discouraged. No, we're not
- 10 discouraged to talk now. We weren't discouraged
- 11 before. I just believe, too, we had to be sensitive
- 12 to everybody in that community, including the Davises,
- 13 I believe. If I go out spouting my mouth, I could
- 14 hurt these people. If I go out with a video, that
- 15 could hurt you. You know, I don't -- this is not what
- 16 we're about here. It's about learning from this. And 17 I hope -- you know, I'm sorry.
  - Q. It's okay. Because that's exactly why we're here is to find out what can be learned from this because there was a very high price paid to learn these lessons.
    - A. Yes, there was.
  - Q. And as you may have heard, that's, frankly, the last question I ask of every witness. What are the lessons that need to be learned given the

tout horns. We can do this and that. We got to be -we've got to work collaboratively; and I think that that's the most important thing in this time forward, that we work together to improve this process and things like that. It's the only way we're going to do

#### O. Okav.

A. There's nothing perfect out there. There's nothing.

### Q. Do you think then -- I take it from that that more and better training and more and better communication about safety concerns is an important lesson to be learned from this?

A. I truly believe that. You know, it's a -- I could go in the schools and I say that to our staff. I say that when I go out to the Boy Scouts. You know, I'm not out there to scare the heck out of them when I'm out there with Girl Scouts or Boy Scouts; but I want to -- I tell them things about, you know, Safe2Tell, being nice to each other, being -but if you see something, hear something, you need to go forward and talk to somebody about this because you don't want to have the repercussions.

I can say in my past I have stopped school violence from happening, okay? And we didn't

170

172

### price that everybody paid, chiefly Mike and Desiree?

A. Well, as I said, that new normal. That new normal needs to go out to everybody. I hear my cohorts in school safety tooting and touting their horns that everything is perfect in their place. It's not perfect. What we need to do is do the best possible, all the best practices that we can do. While respecting people's rights to be humans and individuals, we need to -- you know, we talk about Safe2Tell and things like that; but we need to get our kids to be comfortable to talk when something is going on. When I read that police report, I see ten kids that didn't say something, okay. And I don't think it's about Safe2Tell. I think it's about I don't rat out my friends. You know, I don't rat out my buddy. If I rat out -- we need to get back that as a society. We need to improve on that and make people comfortable to say something when somebody is acting out or is going to do harm to others or we're not going to get

go out and there it wasn't a big thing out there. I've suffered injuries and things, okay. I'm not lying to anybody here. You know, the point is, it's out there and always going to be there; and we've got to -- we have to have the best practices that we can to respond. But it's not just the school officials. It's everybody, the community. The parents out there that are in denial. You know, the kids that are not going to tell on their friends. They need to do something about that because we can only do so much.

And if somebody is out there and they're deceiving us, deceiving everybody around them with their intent to hurt others and they're smart enough to carry it out, that's what's really -- that's very sad. But what can we do about it? We can put these barriers up, which we are doing; but somebody could get by us.

Q. So, I mean, based on what you're saying, it sounds like one of the key things that would help -- because I agree. It takes a lot of people. It can't all go on the school officials, and it can't all go on security teams. It sounds to me like more and specific training to the students about Safe2Tell and those types of resources would be invaluable from your perspective, right?

to keep our kids safe. And it takes -- so we could

all of those things. But if we are not working

together as a community, it's not going to go. It's

not going to go any further. It takes the community

ahead. We could say -- we could be as -- we could do

all the safety and security improvements. We could do

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

2.2

2.2

A. Exactly. I look at it like when I go out and expand it to training a kid on Red Cross first aid, CPR. We've already had several kids go out and save -- give CPR to their parents or somebody else.

#### O. Sure.

2.2

2.0

A. So I look at that. If that training was part of -- out there, available to the kids. Now, is it just in the school that's got to be responsible. No, it's also got to be the whole community.

## Q. So why hasn't LPS adopted a policy of having some formal training on Safe2Tell for every kid that enters that district?

A. I think it's a -- that's a work in progress, and I believe that people are listening to that. And if you look at what we've done with our cyber safety and other, those things are included in that. I think that what we need to come is to the finality of that program and other programs that we need to promote internally into the district. And that's not just here, but that's elsewhere. You know, we -- there's -- I'll get kids from other places that are -- could be a threat to our kids in our schools. So it's everywhere.

Q. What other lessons do you think LPS has or should learn from what happened in December of

divisive. I think that, you know, what is going on here is really productive, okay; but I also -- I just think, too, that it could have -- with communication, it could have started earlier. But that's my personal opinion, and maybe -- I hope I'm not -- but that's just my personal opinion.

## Q. And do you have any understanding as to why LPS was less communicative than you would have liked after the shooting?

A. I believe it's -- I believe it's not because there was anything to hide. I believe it was, in this day of age, the legality -- legal -- legal issues and things like that there is a fear to communicate.

## Q. Are you familiar with an organization called the Colorado Association of School Security and Law Enforcement Officials?

A. CASSLEO, yes.

### Q. Is LPS a member of CASSLEO?

A. Well, I was -- I am a member of CASSLEO, but the -- one of the problems that I have is going -- I'm always off servicing my district, and I don't make most of -- many of their meetings. I do attend their meetings. But I am a member of many other organizations as well.

### 2013?

A. I'll be -- communication. I believe that in communication that we -- we should be more forthright in the beginning. That's my belief.

## Q. With respect to -- I guess I want to clarify what you mean by "communication." After the incident?

A. After the incident.

Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about that because that's certainly something that has been a controversial issue in the media. LPS did not communicate a great deal of information about Karl Pierson or the school's role in the threat assessment after the shooting occurred; wouldn't you agree?

A. I would -- I would agree in some aspects, yes, I would.

### Q. Okay. And why do you think that more forthrightness in LPS's communication would be useful?

A. Well, I believe -- I've always believed that if we're -- when we're -- it was a community -- the whole community is impacted by the tragedy. More so your family, again; but the whole community is impacted. And I believe that that communication piece would -- if it's done in the correct way would help the healing instead of -- and help us not to be

## Q. Okay. And do -- you said you are still a member but you don't attend many of the meetings?

A. I can't. As a responder every day to my school district, I just -- my priority is to LPS.

### Q. Does anybody else from LPS --

A. I send my facilitator. But my facilitator has cancer at this time, so she cannot attend. She's dealing with her medical condition.

### Q. Understood.

A. Once she's done, she'll be going back, yes.

### Q. As I understand it, there was a Safe Schools Summit put on by the Colorado School Safety Resource Center in the fall of 2014. Are you aware of that summit occurring?

A. Yes, I was.

### Q. Do you know, did anybody from LPS attend that summit?

A. Yes. Nate Thompson did.

Q. He did, okay.

A. Yes.

## Q. Did Nate report back to you or to the district what he learned from that Safe Schools Summit?

A. He did. He reported back a few of the

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

2.0

items he observed out there.

Q. And did he do that in writing or did he do that --

A. He did it verbally, and we had some conversations about it as well.

Q. What can you tell me about what Nate told you he learned from the Safe Schools Summit in the fall of 2014?

A. I don't recall at this time. I mean, I believe the discussion was about -- a lot of it was around reunification of -- about some reunification perceptions that went on from the December 13th incident. That was what he talked to me about that day when he would come back. That's what I recall.

### Q. So reunification, sort of rebuilding trust within --

A. No, reunification would have been --

### Q. Help me understand what you mean by that.

A. -- the response that day at Arapahoe, about the reunification of the kids at the church across the street and the bus -- the bus transport that we did and all those other things. Apparently, there was a lot of discussion about that at this -- and has been at that CASSLEO group, sort of those

as -- not just Safe2Tell, but I think that's very important, but also all -- you know, other types of emergency response and personal -- and personal responsibility roles, if we can do that.

I mean, we do offer a lot of fine programs with like our cyber safety and, you know, our first aid things, all these community things we do. It would be nice to have -- I've always wondered if we could create classes that go around this area. Like we talked about, when I first came in, there was nothing for -- in there; but now this is a profession and things like that. So an awareness for kids or students to learn about these things in their schools. And, you know, it's like, you know, we all took psychology when we were in high school. Maybe there's a way to cover this kind of thing in psychology. I remember as a psych -- taking psychology in high school, the most interesting class that I ever took in high school was psychology; and I think that there's -- there's so many ways that you can do these things. I just -- you know, you just -- again, this is above my expertise; but I think that you can put these things into -- into the school systems so kids can learn.

MR. ROCHE: Okay. I think that's all

<sup>1</sup> I've got. I really appreciate you coming in.

groups there, and at the time it was.

MR. ROCHE: Okay. Let's take just a couple of minutes.

(Recess taken, 1:40 p.m. to 1:45 p.m.)

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) I've got one last topic
I wanted to cover with you, and it's a broad one so
I'm going to just open it up. And it's this: What do
you think needs to change in the culture at LPS or
Arapahoe High School to make things safer for the kids
who attend? Has anything changed in the culture at
LPS or Arapahoe High School since the shooting?

A. I believe that the seriousness when incidents arise at Arapahoe are taken very seriously, and I know that the principal has been very -- when I've passed on Safe2Tell or other concerns, the phone calls or other concerns that the people have been very attentive and very forthcoming in providing assistance and doing what they need to do and get -- getting out there. I think that has improved in the past -- versus the past because if -- I think that the seriousness is higher. It's higher on these types of incidents. If I was to look in the culture of Littleton Public Schools -- and, again, I have my composure -- is on that day, December -- you know, the

composure is to include students more in training such

THE DEPONENT: Thank you.

MR. ROCHE: I know it's not easy to talk about a lot of this stuff, but it's important; and I think it will result in some good changes. So thank you.

THE DEPONENT: Thank you. MR. EVERALL: I don't have any questions.

MR. ROCHE: We can go off.
WHEREUPON, the within proceedings were concluded at the approximate hour of 1:48 p.m. on the 12th day of August, 2015.

\* \* \* \* \*

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

avis v. Littleton	Fublic Schools GOT W	. GRACE, JR.	6/12/2015
	181		
that I have re and that the s of my testimon any.	, GUY M. GRACE, JR., do hereby certify ad the above and foregoing deposition ame is a true and accurate transcription y, except for attached amendments, if mendments attached ( ) Yes ( ) No		
	GUY M. GRACE, JR.		
subscribed and	he signature above of GUY GRACE was sworn to before me in the county of, state of, day of, 2015.		
	Notary Public My Commission expires:		
Michael and De	siree Davis 8/12/15 (ekl)		
STATE OF COLOR	) ss.		
Professional F Colorado, do F commencement of GUY M. GRACE, the truth in r between the pa was taken in m place aforesai typewritten for transcript of and proceeding	eporter and Notary Public, State of the examination, the said JR. was duly sworn by me to testify to relation to the matters in controversy arties hereto; that the said deposition to the shorthand by me at the time and d and was thereafter reduced to the the foregoing is a true the questions asked, testimony given, is had.  If further certify that I am not employed on or of counsel for any of the parties therewise interested in the outcome of		
	N WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my 25th day of August, 2015.		
Þ	My commission expires November 18, 2015.		
X Reading	and Signing was requested.		
Reading	and Signing was waived.		

\_\_\_\_\_ Reading and Signing is not required.

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE	C OF	COLORAI	00		)	
					)	SS
CTTY	AND	COUNTY	OF	DENVER	)	

I, ELLIE K. LIEBENOW, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, State of Colorado, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination, the said GUY M. GRACE, JR. was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in relation to the matters in controversy between the parties hereto; that the said deposition was taken in machine shorthand by me at the time and place aforesaid and was thereafter reduced to typewritten form; that the foregoing is a true transcript of the questions asked, testimony given, and proceedings had.

I further certify that I am not employed by, related to, nor of counsel for any of the parties herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my signature this  $25^{\rm th}$  day of August, 2015.

My commission expires November 18, 2015.

X	Reading	and	Signing	was	requested.
	Reading	and	Signing	was	waived.
	Reading	and	Signing	is r	not required.

Ellie K. Liebenow

Registered Professional Reporter

A	adjusted 149:21	agree 172:20	166:14 168:23	area 12:20 13:10
<b>a.m</b> 1:13 67:1,1	admin 39:20	174:14,15	169:1 172:3 176:5	16:15 19:10 27:25
ability 64:14	administration	agreed 4:15 139:21	176:17	30:3 41:20 42:22
Abla 105:8 106:1	23:13	165:25	anybody's 89:23	54:4 64:25 76:13
107:17	administrations	ahead 28:1 42:12	anytime 141:9	102:5,7 105:2,9
able 76:12 162:9	11:2	43:18,18 57:5	anyway 6:16	179:9
Absolutely 23:1	administrative	59:9,22 67:11	apologize 25:18	areas 4:23 8:21
123:14	3:17 61:18 85:23	98:25 144:11	26:11 90:12 111:9	92:8,9,11 139:24
accelerated 28:4	86:16 88:16 89:7	170:20	167:16	arising 53:1
access 8:15 9:10	89:12 92:4 96:14	aid 173:3 179:7	apparently 75:24	arrange 23:10
10:10 13:6,14	99:9 102:14,23	<b>alert</b> 34:22 49:18	120:15 177:23	arrest 80:15 82:13
150:5,7	103:8 104:21	73:25	appears 120:15	arrives 51:23
accounts 126:16	110:5 122:3	<b>all-day</b> 86:9	applied 78:10	145:14,15
accuracy 39:25	148:16,21 157:3	all-hazards 9:24	apply 126:22	aside 41:2
accurate 68:15	159:1 161:25	10:5 37:17 45:17	appreciate 14:19	asked 6:19 67:15
139:18 181:3	administrator 15:8	64:17 65:3,4	31:15 36:23 42:6	91:6 134:14 157:1
accurately 159:17	21:23 60:19	<b>allow</b> 13:15	180:1	168:19 182:9
acknowledged	130:16 131:5,17	<b>allowed</b> 127:24	apprised 117:25	asking 5:4 28:9,16
65:20,21	131:25 132:14,20	allows 12:18	<b>approach</b> 9:25 10:5	42:3,7 58:16 59:8
acknowledgments	144:16 145:16	124:15	64:17 65:4	79:24 97:20
76:3	administrators	<b>Ambron</b> 105:10	appropriate 148:25	108:11 140:18
act 148:4	29:18 46:18 47:18	106:1	appropriately	158:24 166:14
acted 142:19	51:14 57:18 58:9	amendments 181:4	149:14 165:21	<b>aspect</b> 12:7,17 48:8
acting 120:14	58:22 59:5 60:8	181:6	approved 156:23	75:9,10 97:18
170:18	61:11 76:17,23	<b>Ames</b> 63:6 101:5	approximate	112:25
action 38:12	79:2 85:6,7,11	amount 31:2	180:12	aspects 16:25 27:24
<b>actions</b> 167:19	88:17,21,21,22	112:24	<b>Arapahoe</b> 13:1,1,2	75:9,12 76:11
activated 43:11	99:7 101:22 118:5	analyze 26:20	14:2,8,9 16:12	94:5 120:10
active 31:16 167:6	118:16 146:3,19	68:12	17:6,22 19:17	145:19 154:16
activity 30:23	150:8 162:17	analyzed 121:9	28:3 41:14 42:8	155:20 158:13
143:9	167:7 168:14	analyzing 26:19	44:12 47:22 48:13	174:16
actual 131:20	adopted 121:13	122:8	48:15,21 50:10,22	assess 38:4,8
<b>ADA</b> 13:11	151:9 153:16	and/or 38:11	53:19,25 58:3	assessment 3:7,10
<b>Adams</b> 91:24	173:10	<b>annual</b> 57:12,22	62:25 63:2 72:25	3:11,13,14,16,18
add 83:5	adoption 151:18	58:4 59:5 61:10	73:21 79:11,15	17:22 18:7,9,11
added 13:2 163:6	adults 9:3 15:2,2	100:10 159:10	80:5 104:22	27:4,7,17 29:21
adding 112:14	73:18	anonymous 49:7	107:22 113:21	32:11 33:15 34:3
addition 14:12	<b>advice</b> 140:19	answer 6:6,20 21:8	118:16 134:19	36:25 37:14,22
112:22	<b>affixed</b> 182:15	39:18 60:22 66:21	138:4 144:20	38:4 40:20,24
Additional 135:19	afflicted 51:20	72:9,13 75:11	148:8,19 157:16	41:2 44:4 52:1,12
135:21	aforesaid 182:8	76:7,20 80:16,17	158:18,20,23	52:13,21,22 53:2
address 34:25	age 175:12	81:13 82:2 83:2	159:16 164:14,25	53:15,17 54:11,12
38:16	agencies 11:13	95:5,6 96:2 100:7	168:13 177:20	54:14,16 60:19,25
addressed 39:1	75:18	121:3 146:7	178:9,11,13	61:3 64:6 65:9
122:2 128:24	agency 125:7 158:4	159:17 162:20	Arapahoe's 137:18	66:1,7,9,11,12
156:20,20	agenda 160:1 162:3	answers 32:13	arbitration 1:4	67:4,14,21,23
addressing 11:14	163:11 164:3	anybody 5:24	4:15,20 17:18	68:1,10,15,17
	<b>ago</b> 168:15	68:14 75:6 136:20	64:4 77:18	69:4 71:20 72:5
	•	1	1	1

74:19 75:3 76:1	103:18 112:12,16	58:8 59:10,15,16	121:6 125:12	96:1,3 97:8,11
77:12,19 78:18	112:18 113:17,22	59:16,20,25 60:4	126:25 139:18	100:2,7,8 101:6
79:16 81:17,23	116:8 117:14	60:9,19 61:17	142:20 150:14	102:16,17,20
83:16 84:25 85:24	124:6 130:6 134:1	62:4,24 84:25	156:15,16,18,22	103:14 107:1,2,20
86:16 87:10,15	134:8,16 136:4	101:9,12,12,23	166:15 169:4	108:1,22 112:3
88:8,18 89:9,13	138:4 141:7,16	110:1 162:23	170:16 176:10,22	113:10 119:6
90:21 91:9,23	142:6,13 145:6	attendees 79:10,12	176:25 177:14	124:5,10 129:4
92:5,12,16,19	146:25 148:1,14	83:15 84:8 98:14	background 71:15	130:15,21 132:16
93:20 94:8 95:3	149:5 150:6,21	109:2	backgrounds 152:3	133:6,21 140:8
95:21 97:9,24	153:18 154:13	attending 31:20	bailiwick 9:17	143:7 144:23
98:11 100:15	161:13,14 163:4	99:15 151:14	barriers 172:16	146:14 148:16
106:13 107:8,10	asset 13:18	152:10,13	based 67:20,22	152:1 160:18,20
108:7 109:3	assign 5:3	attends 54:2 102:4	93:21 145:20	161:16 166:13
110:14 112:9	assigned 9:15	attentive 74:4	172:18	168:1,1,5,6
113:4,13 117:21	19:15 70:10	178:17	<b>bases</b> 26:2	169:11,13 171:14
122:3,7,12,13	assist 14:10,11	<b>August</b> 1:2,13 3:2	<b>basic</b> 163:23	173:14 174:2,19
127:1,6 128:22	162:5,10,25	180:13 182:16	basically 11:9,15	174:23 175:10,10
129:12,22 131:7	assistance 178:17	availability 101:8	93:23,24	175:11 177:10
131:11,21 132:25	assistant 18:16,18	109:5	basis 15:25 45:15	178:12
133:17 134:8,19	19:15,21 24:20	available 12:8	72:18 145:5	believed 161:12
136:1,3,22 137:2	26:25 38:15 40:13	132:17 133:9	159:10,10	174:19
137:10,19 138:2	60:24 61:18	173:7	<b>Bates</b> 33:17	benefit 67:21
138:10,12,14	104:23 106:3,21	aware 17:24 18:10	battlegrounds 15:3	best 3:7 6:8 10:24
139:11,19 144:2,5	107:21 108:20	18:12 23:8 34:8	<b>bear</b> 114:11	84:24 90:5,19
144:13 145:10	<b>Association</b> 175:16	34:13,21 35:6	beginning 174:4	137:4,17 142:1
146:17 147:19	<b>assume</b> 106:16	36:3 42:7,11	behalf 1:12	151:16,25 154:4
148:11 149:17	158:22 168:9	45:13,22 46:10	<b>behavior</b> 142:17,25	160:13 170:6,7
151:22,22 152:5	assumed 111:7	58:1 75:11 77:8	143:13	172:5
153:10 154:2,3,10	assuming 103:2	78:25 79:3 81:3	behavioral 13:25	<b>better</b> 4:23 98:7
154:17 160:13,21	attaboys 165:24	102:10 110:2	17:4 95:24	103:18 150:20
160:22 161:3	<b>attached</b> 181:4,6	158:9 176:14	behaviors 138:15	171:11,11
162:4,10,15,25	attack 138:16	awareness 42:1	138:16,16,17	<b>big</b> 11:23 43:21
165:19 174:13	attack-related	45:14,21 48:12	139:14 155:24	85:10 94:1 113:20
Assessment/Dan	138:17	56:16,20 61:6	<b>belief</b> 174:4	143:5 155:21
3:15	attempted 134:13	73:12,14,21,24	<b>believe</b> 19:19 27:13	167:5 172:1
assessments 14:15	<b>attend</b> 20:13 29:21	81:5 157:23 158:1	27:19 28:3 32:12	<b>biggest</b> 109:11
20:21 26:17 27:5	53:5,22,24 54:23	158:6 179:12	32:16 37:5,11,19	112:10
29:19 30:6,7,17	58:25 62:12 98:15	<b>awful</b> 167:8	38:13 40:22,25	<b>Bill</b> 11:22
31:14,17,18 36:16	99:14 100:1 102:6		46:12 47:7,8	<b>bit</b> 15:18 16:10
39:6,16,23 40:7	106:25 130:16	<u>B</u>	56:15 60:11 63:6	18:13 33:13 35:2
40:16 52:6,9 53:6	155:6 162:9	back 57:9 61:9 63:8	65:17,18 66:13	42:3 51:25 65:3
53:18,25 54:8,23	175:23 176:2,8,17	63:9 65:1 67:14	71:13 72:9,22	66:20 68:14 77:1
55:8,9,19 65:3	178:10	68:22 69:16 70:2	73:18 74:5,5,7	85:19 106:23
71:5 72:3,8,17,20	attendance 84:10	71:1,7 74:15 77:1	77:7,7 78:12	134:4 139:5 140:9
73:1,5,9 76:6	84:11,12,18,23	83:7,11 90:7	80:20,20 83:7	blame 5:4
79:18 80:6,7,24	138:20	96:21 105:19	84:2,16 85:25	<b>body</b> 48:15
87:15,16,16,17,24	<b>attended</b> 32:10,10	110:4 115:24	89:15,19 90:25	<b>bolts</b> 5:16
93:9,17 94:10,18	34:5 53:19,20	116:11,14 120:2	91:13 92:20,21	<b>bond</b> 13:3

1 1 22 12 06 2 2	150.16	17.10	122 10 20 122 10	
book 33:13 86:2,3	152:16	career 17:10	122:18,20 123:19	2:2
116:15 125:16	Bullying 3:14	caring 41:11	123:23 124:7,21	Claire 4:12 17:19
boost 132:12	<b>bumped</b> 90:7	Carol 2:13	124:25 125:4,12	Claire's 4:17
<b>bottom</b> 41:12 64:9	<b>bunch</b> 62:4 75:20	carry 79:21 172:14	challenging 124:22	clarification 36:24
103:3 126:12	92:1	carrying 124:5	chance 43:8	152:22
141:3,4	<b>burden</b> 114:11,15	case 5:18 7:7 95:19	<b>change</b> 14:18 29:6	clarified 36:5
bouncing 96:22	<b>burglary</b> 13:19	133:18 134:23	55:2 134:7 139:10	clarify 28:19 174:6
boundary-probing	bus 177:22,22	137:22 139:7	143:4,5 147:23,24	class 14:17 179:18
138:16	<b>business</b> 18:17	147:23	148:1 161:10	classes 46:20 179:9
Boy 171:16,18	<b>buy</b> 102:15	cases 52:23 81:7	178:8	<b>classroom</b> 15:16
<b>brain</b> 107:3	<u>C</u>	133:8	<b>changed</b> 39:9 56:13	117:10
bravely 166:8	$\overline{\mathbf{C} 2:1}$	CASSLEO 175:18	84:17,17 122:12	Clay 105:8 106:1
168:24	cafeteria 44:13	175:19,20 177:25	134:4 161:7	107:17 108:16
break 6:12,14,21	call 14:11 23:18	catalyst 17:18	178:10	cleanup 67:2
37:3 44:24 66:25	24:25 25:10 44:8	categories 9:6	changes 14:9,20	clear 116:7 124:14
80:3 123:3,8,19	44:14 48:25 49:1	164:25	16:19,21 93:19	124:15 141:15
143:12	49:6,9 51:19	category 9:9	94:17 96:19 97:3	152:9
breaks 6:15	52:15 53:14 56:12	147:18 148:24,24	97:9,22 98:10,17	close 72:23
<b>brief</b> 8:19	56:19 68:18 69:4	caught 143:21	107:12 140:4,5,12	closely 25:21
<b>briefings</b> 157:11	69:13,16 109:15	149:10	141:5 147:17	Clouseau 33:21
<b>bring</b> 70:4 71:7	156:25	cell 129:6	153:22 180:5	coaches 137:17
78:15 100:19	called 5:14 15:5	center 18:24 74:23	characterization	code 3:6 116:24
120:17 154:22	27:11 30:11 34:18	75:4,8 77:25	89:4,5	127:24 128:5,16
<b>broad</b> 8:20 9:5,9	34:20,24 46:25	121:17 176:14	characterized	129:9
11:4,5 35:10 79:8	52:10 68:8 175:16	centralized 139:17	67:19,23 135:13	<b>coffee</b> 6:14
80:2 98:4,4	calling 26:23 49:8	certain 155:17	characterizing	cohorts 170:4
115:15 178:6	callouts 109:15	certainly 14:20	56:13	collaborating 98:6
broadening 154:20	112:24	83:2 85:9 87:14	charter 45:10	collaboration 75:2
<b>broader</b> 63:1 124:5	calls 49:14,14	110:1 119:18,21	check 22:8,10,13	133:13 153:6,8
124:24	51:13 52:14 66:4	129:8 139:4 153:1	22:14 23:10,16,18	collaborative 11:15
broke 20:4	159:8,13,15	168:20,21 174:10	24:10 25:11,12	collaboratively
brought 47:22,24	178:16	certificate 84:9,15	41:3,8 49:22	81:11 171:2
68:25 89:6 112:15	camera 20:2	182:1	51:16 64:15 67:16	collective 25:6
124:6 129:5	cameras 8:16 9:10	certification 31:10	68:21,22 69:14	<b>college</b> 10:13
158:10 160:16	9:16 12:21,25	162:10	93:23 95:12	Colorado 1:12,14
Browser 128:12	13:2,17 20:2	certifications 10:10	132:13 144:22	2:5,9 4:2,24 74:23
Bryan 26:10 55:24	campus 19:4,4,7	10:11 31:7	checked 144:1	75:2,3,8 77:24
69:11 70:6 71:6	94:19,24,25 95:2	certify 181:1 182:5	checking 95:22	175:16 176:13
71:11 72:1 85:13	95:23 103:19	182:11	checks 30:1	182:2,5
153:11	150:21	cetera 57:14 58:6	chiefly 170:1	Columbine 152:2
buddy 170:15	cancer 176:7	137:17	<b>children</b> 119:6	<b>column</b> 127:20
<b>building</b> 10:23		<b>chain</b> 18:14 19:8	choose 111:17	138:7
13:15 19:13 57:17	<b>capacity</b> 165:3 <b>card</b> 12:21 13:8,8	<b>challenge</b> 118:24	chose 148:15	come 7:5 11:13
130:23	· ·	119:11 121:21	church 177:21	15:2 16:6 26:19
<b>buildings</b> 58:23	20:2,2,5 cards 12:22 20:6	122:1,5,17,24	circle 74:15	34:25 41:25 45:9
112:17	care 50:21 85:21	<b>challenges</b> 13:13	city 125:5 182:3	49:15,17 52:11
<b>bullet</b> 41:12 96:24	119:1	102:13 110:13,17	Civil 4:2	53:14,15 54:4
115:24 147:25	117.1	110:18 111:13	Claimants 1:6,12	66:3 69:18 70:5
	1	·	1	1

	I			I
70:18 73:23 88:4	communication	condition 176:8	continuous 155:11	87:7 89:3 90:17
89:20 99:17	19:24 50:14 70:25	<b>conduct</b> 3:6 58:4	contribute 88:7	93:10,18 94:20
114:18 120:2	93:1,2,8 171:12	86:15 116:24	97:14,25 118:23	96:2,12 119:24
122:9,9 132:11	174:2,3,6,18,23	127:25 128:6,17	130:1	122:16 125:23,25
134:14,15 135:9	175:3	129:9	contributed 87:2	126:17 127:4,19
138:3 140:12,17	communications	conducted 53:25	152:4	127:21,22 128:3,7
141:17 145:13	128:15	131:11 135:19	contributing 65:21	128:9,11,13,20,23
158:6 168:7,12	communicative	146:18	105:13 154:5	129:11,14,20,24
173:17 177:14	175:8	conducting 133:17	<b>control</b> 8:15 10:11	130:1 135:15,15
comes 13:7 25:8	communities 36:17	confirm 80:20	12:3 13:6,14	137:20 138:12,13
40:16 45:19 49:6	<b>community</b> 34:8,13	confused 10:22	15:19	138:17,18,22,24
49:23 53:12 56:9	35:6 36:2 73:13	118:5,6 141:19	controversial	141:8,11,12
68:18,18,23	73:15 74:14 129:1	confusing 116:5	174:11	147:20 148:4,7
117:14 119:11	149:12 154:7	confusion 65:7	controversy 182:7	149:3,22 150:1
comfortable	169:12 170:23,24	115:25 117:15	conversation 6:5	157:19 160:15
170:11,17	172:7 173:9	118:21	conversations	163:24 164:2,5,6
coming 72:8 85:8	174:20,21,22	conjunction 100:14	177:5	167:18 174:24
109:7,12 112:20	179:7	connection 4:14	<b>Cooper</b> 29:2 37:6	<b>correctly</b> 78:9 95:5
145:2,3 162:13	complaint 118:13	consensus 109:12	69:10 70:6 100:24	96:2
180:1	118:18,19	122:8,10 160:20	108:18,19 126:5	correspondence
command 8:17	compliancy 11:21	consequence 17:5	<b>Cooper's</b> 40:12	42:2
11:21 18:14 19:9	comply 31:1	<b>consider</b> 103:18,22	coordinate 22:8	counsel 182:11
22:3 30:9 37:16	composure 178:24	150:20 151:5	23:21,21 32:5	counseling 29:7
43:2 62:19 64:16	178:25	considered 161:2,5	48:10	44:14 138:20
65:12	comprehensive	considering 154:8	coordinated 41:6	counselor 24:22
commander 24:16	92:5,8,9,17	consistency 39:25	158:3	27:1 117:11
25:24	computers 49:11	156:7,21	coordinating 12:10	counselors 79:2
commencement	129:6	consistent 45:15	19:25 20:12 29:25	101:19 105:1
182:6	concept 64:16	88:24 118:20	coordination 13:24	<b>county</b> 91:24,25
commission 181:19	concepts 64:8	consistently 39:17	22:5 31:24 64:14	125:5,5 181:13
182:18	<b>concern</b> 38:8,11	156:20	coordinator 11:10	182:3
committed 17:19	61:4,7 68:9 113:2	constantly 16:24	26:11,14	<b>couple</b> 4:18 30:11
88:1	114:18 115:8	28:13 145:1 156:1	<b>copied</b> 50:23	47:7 59:15 62:1
<b>committee</b> 5:9 94:4	118:13 132:9	157:13	<b>copying</b> 141:25	67:2 92:22 142:18
153:10 157:9	134:12 142:20	construction 13:4	core 67:12 161:21	143:21 178:3
common 23:2	156:10 160:16	consultants 152:2	<b>corner</b> 33:22 77:23	course 105:2
66:19 109:4,5,8	161:10	consulted 154:2	157:18	119:14 136:17
135:25	concerned 27:4	contact 48:10	<b>correct</b> 8:9,23	<b>courses</b> 10:9 20:14
commonplace	49:8 132:4	49:21 51:4,6	16:20 19:6 21:2,5	58:25 151:14
106:5	concerning 117:8	109:7 117:10,11	21:7 22:17 30:6	<b>Court</b> 127:13
communicate	<b>concerns</b> 30:2 38:4	<b>contacts</b> 13:20 66:3	35:21,24,25 36:10	<b>courtesy</b> 65:17,19
12:19 21:25 24:24	38:16,25 95:12	context 78:6	36:13,15 37:24,25	65:20
32:5 117:8 174:12	98:1 109:14,14	continually 82:20	39:8,17 55:4 56:5	<b>cover</b> 64:24 100:20
175:14	171:12 178:15,16	91:18 163:6	57:18,19 59:6,7	178:6 179:16
communicated	concluded 180:12	continue 94:16	61:12 66:18 67:17	<b>covered</b> 26:2 140:4
69:11	concluding 16:20	104:11 125:10	71:23,24 72:3,18	140:8 151:2
communicating	conclusion 91:7	140:3 155:9	72:19 82:4 83:1	162:23
25:23	conclusions 98:8	160:12 161:20	83:18 85:24 86:8	<b>CPI</b> 12:1 20:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

			I	I
30:14,15 31:3,6	data 87:10,15	38:5 39:14 55:3	23:11 51:19	129:6
162:12,14	155:10,17 156:2	81:25 95:20	<b>DEPONENT</b> 56:22	<b>Diane</b> 18:19
<b>CPR</b> 173:3,4	156:22,25	109:13 134:9	123:7,12 180:2,7	differences 135:12
<b>create</b> 103:16	date 77:25 105:16	136:21 150:11	deposition 1:2,11	different 4:22
125:19 179:9	107:2	159:15 165:6	3:5 5:22 7:6,7	11:15 16:13 29:9
<b>created</b> 5:10 74:12	<b>dates</b> 57:9	166:24 167:14	181:2 182:7	39:4 42:4 45:1
74:13 163:9	daughter 119:8	173:25 177:12	depositions 58:17	46:5 121:23 130:8
creates 124:4	166:7	178:24	88:25	134:2 136:9
creating 75:19	<b>Davis</b> 1:5 2:12,12	<b>Decembers</b> 168:15	<b>depth</b> 101:19	145:19 154:16
121:4	181:25	<b>decide</b> 97:21	describe 11:6,7	155:17 160:19
creation 75:24	<b>Davis's</b> 4:12 17:19	<b>decided</b> 10:16,16	14:22	<b>difficult</b> 6:4 67:8
126:7	Davises 4:15	89:12 142:2	described 65:10	111:17 124:12
credible 23:9	169:12	decipher 50:4,7	68:19 137:2	difficulty 121:22
Creek 157:18	day 68:20 80:18	<b>decision</b> 24:8 25:6	152:16	123:21
criminal 143:9	111:23 165:13,16	25:9 27:15,15	describes 83:24	direct 117:10,11
<b>crisis</b> 12:1 30:11	165:19 166:2,12	28:3 41:7 96:11	describing 29:12	<b>directed</b> 31:12,13
43:11 162:18	166:16 167:8	99:9,12 113:9,12	116:6 141:5	99:13
criteria 56:11	168:23 175:12	114:25 115:1	description 115:15	direction 93:24
Crocker 18:25 19:2	176:3 177:14,20	148:16,21 157:4	designated 79:3	directions 82:23
Cross 11:22,24	178:24 180:13	159:1	designed 5:6	directive 99:17
20:10 157:10	181:15 182:16	decisions 114:12	designee 117:7,19	159:6
173:2	days 86:14	121:22	<b>Desiree</b> 1:5 2:12	directly 24:24
<b>crossover</b> 66:13,14	daytime 25:13	deck 32:15 59:11	4:13 170:1 181:25	115:20 157:16
CU 5:8	de-escalate 8:14	59:25 66:8 79:6	despite 60:7	director 7:19,21
cultural 41:21	12:4 15:5,18	108:23	detail 147:13	8:7,11 25:17
<b>culture</b> 41:11,15,16	de-escalating 8:22	deemed 14:5 23:22	detailed 95:13	26:16 50:12 105:8
41:21,22 178:8,10	9:6 14:22	23:22	138:9	105:10
178:22	de-escalation 15:13	deficiencies 165:7	details 128:2	disagree 113:21
<b>cup</b> 6:13	30:17,18,21,22	deficient 164:16	detected 38:11	disciplinary 13:25
curious 66:6	deal 10:1 15:12	<b>defined</b> 153:20	<b>detectors</b> 13:17,20	17:4 27:17 117:3
current 87:9,23	25:4 31:10 98:7	definition 56:11	<b>determine</b> 79:19	117:8 118:17
139:12 148:5	113:20 174:12	132:7	80:23 84:24 96:18	146:25
currently 153:20	dealing 15:11	delivered 42:19	97:2,6 154:6	<b>discipline</b> 14:7,14
custody 15:4	22:11 24:15 30:16	denial 172:8	162:17	14:16 17:8,15
cyber 128:25,25	32:19 111:24	<b>Denver</b> 1:12 2:5,9	determined 97:11	26:18 94:8,22,23
154:18 157:9,9	113:9 133:13	91:25 94:3 182:3	determining	95:2 138:20 142:6
173:16 179:6	134:2 161:18	department 9:15	111:25 122:9	142:13 155:23
D	162:5 176:8	11:1 21:3,15 22:3	<b>develop</b> 88:11 153:9	disclosure 117:3
$\mathbf{D}3:1$	deals 30:16	22:8 27:16 29:17 31:16 34:11 52:1		discouraged
daily 15:25	dealt 149:13,13	64:6 65:24 68:24	<b>developed</b> 88:9 93:11 161:25	168:13 169:9,10 169:10
damages 5:5	<b>debrief</b> 165:5,16 166:24 167:12,19	69:17 74:24 93:3	162:16	discovered 25:14
danger 3:14 60:19	167:22	100:1 154:9,13	developing 41:10	
64:6,19 66:1,7,11	debriefs 165:16	department's	161:20	discovery 64:4 discretion 47:18,20
83:15 84:25 131:7	deceiving 172:12	11:19	development 31:17	discuss 165:6
dangerous 14:6	172:12	departments	71:22 98:23 152:4	discussed 98:20,22
Darrell 19:18	<b>December</b> 16:19	121:10	devices 13:22,23	114:19 120:9
50:25 83:9 85:13	17:2 27:21 29:16	<b>depending</b> 22:6,11	20:3 126:8,10,11	122:20 123:23
20.25 05.7 05.15	11.4 41.41 49.10	ucpenumg 22.0,11	20.3 120.6,10,11	144.40 143.43

	I	I		I
124:11 125:1,6	71:4,20 72:2,5	143:3 145:5 150:6	effect 5:14 57:21	endorsing 102:17
discussing 160:12	149:24 150:2	154:12 158:19	149:6	102:19,19
168:15	153:10 155:1,4	172:16 178:18	<b>effective</b> 33:15 34:2	endurance 6:11
discussion 46:25	district-wide 37:7	<b>Doney</b> 18:19	61:11 80:24	enforced 89:2
48:2 88:16 96:13	71:19 72:18 80:9	<b>door</b> 9:14 13:9,11	<b>effort</b> 27:12 46:4	131:2,4
97:15 98:16 110:9	80:10 103:23	13:20 43:14	<b>eight</b> 33:16	enforcement 11:11
111:21 115:10	146:17 151:6,10	145:17	either 22:24 69:4	15:9 22:15 23:15
121:4 127:23	151:19	doors 13:6,17 32:2	131:11	24:9,13,15 29:25
157:2 161:9 164:8	<b>districts</b> 12:7 46:15	Douglas 91:25	ekl 181:25	31:8,24 49:21
164:13,14,17,20	75:20 90:22,25	dovetails 56:25	elaborated 98:22	51:12 64:14 68:21
164:24 165:5	91:1,4,12 92:5	<b>Dr</b> 91:1 92:10	110:17	69:13 81:11 88:2
177:10,24	93:22 97:24 125:9	110:10,10 151:5,9	electronic 13:10,23	97:25 110:8
discussions 22:6	127:3,6 141:25	151:18 164:7	20:1 126:8,10,11	120:17,17 125:3,7
97:12 119:2,3	145:1	drawing 54:8	128:14 129:23	130:2 133:11
129:3	<b>districts'</b> 91:8,23	<b>drill</b> 45:18 140:8	element 102:1	149:13 158:4
dismissive 73:16	92:16	<b>drills</b> 8:18 12:10,12	elementary 9:2	175:17
<b>display</b> 44:11,13	diverse 15:1	12:14 19:25,25	15:1,11 16:3,5	<b>engage</b> 128:15
disrespect 68:14	diversity 41:20	37:17 45:11,16,17	22:1 24:18 44:16	<b>Englert</b> 113:19
disruptive 31:11	division 74:24	66:17 169:6,8	85:20 101:7	enjoyed 10:17
85:20	divisions 10:24	driven 5:11	105:10 109:8,10	enrolled 117:9
distinction 16:17	11:11	<b>Dry</b> 157:18	elements 130:6	<b>ensure</b> 122:22
17:3 54:9	divisive 175:1	<b>due</b> 113:18	<b>eleven</b> 3:12 77:20	ensured 133:12
distinguish 136:13	document 3:13	<b>duly</b> 4:6 182:6	78:22	136:11
<b>district</b> 1:8 7:24 8:2	63:13,19 64:2	<b>duties</b> 8:10,12	<b>Ellie</b> 1:13 5:22 6:8	ensures 122:22
8:4 11:12 12:18	74:18 75:19,25	19:16	182:4	ensuring 12:14
17:12 18:23 20:10	77:9,9,16 78:3,5,7		eloquently 83:2	132:22
27:3,9 28:8,12	78:9 84:5 103:17		emergencies 10:1,2	<b>entered</b> 10:11,12
39:20,25 40:9,21	109:25 110:12,15	E 2:1,1 3:1	12:1,19 32:3	10:13 165:21
48:23 51:15 52:9	110:16 125:20	e-mail 25:3 50:13	52:25 65:5	enters 173:12
52:11 54:3,4,7,14	127:16 149:6,16	50:14	emergency 7:19,22	entire 73:13 74:14
54:24 55:20 69:7	153:25	e-mails 127:8 128:8	8:8,11,16,18 9:20	149:1
69:18 70:4 71:9	documentation	129:16 <b>Eagle</b> 158:16	9:21,23 10:8	environment 15:10
74:8,14 79:17	110:2	earlier 5:10 33:13	11:20 12:9 64:8	91:17
81:21 88:6 91:19	documented	79:7 125:2 134:23	179:3	<b>ESC</b> 63:5 101:2
94:2 100:4 102:3	109:23	142:14 143:19	emerging 37:24	131:16,25 132:14
102:13 105:4	documents 66:7,16	142.14 143.19	emotional 25:17	132:20 146:19
113:3 137:8	75:16 91:23 127:1	early 34:8,13,16	emphasize 30:22	escalated 55:15
140:24 141:6,11	127:7	35:6,10,15,23	emphasizes 30:20	71:9
142:12 143:3	doing 6:24 12:10	36:3 98:19 99:3	57:12 61:9	escalating 143:13
151:9,19 153:16	13:4 17:10 46:1	99:11,21 100:5,22	employed 182:11	155:24
156:11,17 157:5	46:13 47:9 51:17	101:10,14 129:6	employee 13:7	escorted 145:18
159:2,3 161:21	51:21 54:13 65:10	ease 13:15	155:4	Especially 159:11
163:10 164:19	74:11 88:6 91:4	easy 180:3	employees 11:24	<b>ESQ</b> 2:3,7
166:25 167:11	93:22 94:4,14	educated 118:10	12:22 31:9 36:21	essential 139:18
168:13 173:12,19	95:23 98:3 111:18	education 10:7,14	36:22 145:1 155:1	essentials 3:9 74:18
175:22 176:4,23	111:24 112:9,11	105:8,10	155:1	75:25 76:5
district's 165:8,19	113:12 132:13	educational 18:24	encouraged 88:3	<b>establish</b> 102:23
district-level 55:7	137:7,7,8 142:1	Cuucanonai 10.24	endorse 102:14	144:16
	•	-	-	•

		1		m
established 37:22	exclude 169:1	105:24	feedback 103:13	five-minute 66:25
39:15 103:8,11	excuses 88:22	facilitator 8:5	104:15 105:5	five-year-old 142:8
104:5	executive 86:21	11:10 176:6,7	106:18 108:6	<b>fix</b> 7:14
establishing 36:25	<b>exhibit</b> 3:6,7,9,11	facilitators 108:15	109:1,17,23 111:2	<b>fixed</b> 20:4
<b>Esther</b> 108:3	3:13,15,17 32:8,9	<b>facility</b> 63:6 101:4	111:6 114:19	<b>flip</b> 57:5
et 57:14 58:6	41:10 57:3 59:9	101:5 132:13	139:13	<b>flipped</b> 90:14
137:17	63:9 69:24 70:1	<b>fact</b> 17:20 60:7,23	<b>feel</b> 111:17,23	<b>flyers</b> 36:17 44:9
evacuation 9:24	74:17,18 75:14	61:1 65:8 77:3,3	114:11,15 115:21	<b>focus</b> 167:10
evaluated 151:12	76:19,25 77:14,16	88:16 129:22	166:1	focused 35:2
160:23	83:13 86:1 87:13	142:22 156:19	feeling 44:20	<b>folder</b> 95:10,11
event 163:25 164:1	90:10,11 91:22,24	167:11	<b>fell</b> 113:8 164:25	<b>folks</b> 4:14 5:7,17
166:8	96:18 110:13	<b>factor</b> 48:12 73:25	<b>fellow</b> 136:2	59:14,15,24 61:16
events 85:5 163:23	116:13 125:13,23	111:25 168:21,21	<b>felt</b> 92:13,17	63:25 64:2 75:21
<b>Everall</b> 2:7,8 5:15	125:25 126:3,4,7	<b>factors</b> 73:19 152:9	111:16 168:24	79:5 84:7 168:11
21:17 33:17 63:14	126:13 128:18	<b>faculty</b> 29:19 42:9	<b>FEMA</b> 169:6	<b>follow</b> 22:10 40:4
63:15,17,20,24	129:21 153:5	42:25 43:25 44:25	<b>field</b> 10:15	79:22 80:18
64:3 180:8	EXHIBITS 3:5	46:4 76:17,24	<b>fifth</b> 152:16	119:12 123:22
everybody 15:22	exist 10:25 150:9	78:21 98:19 99:7	<b>figure</b> 113:7	142:19 152:7
15:23 26:12 51:23	150:13	99:10,20 101:23	filling 44:6	158:23 159:25
81:9 94:11 97:12	<b>existed</b> 16:18 130:8	168:14	<b>final</b> 122:17 149:15	<b>follow-up</b> 79:17
97:14 105:16	expand 173:2	<b>faint</b> 33:17	finality 173:18	156:14,15
111:9 145:25	expanded 132:16	<b>fair</b> 17:3 89:4,5,11	finally 7:4	follow-ups 22:23
146:1 149:12,14	155:19	113:1	<b>find</b> 4:16 10:15	followed 38:22
166:7 167:17	expectation 93:14	<b>fall</b> 14:1 113:23	15:5 35:14 55:12	81:3 167:7
168:25 169:1,12	expectations	146:5,12 176:14	55:13 66:14,16	<b>following</b> 4:1 27:11
170:1,3 172:7,12	103:16 125:20	177:8	169:19	81:6,9 149:20
everybody's 68:12	expelled 79:19	falls 42:21	<b>finding</b> 32:23 39:24	156:6,11
evolution 17:2	experience 168:16	familiar 17:20	41:2	follows 4:7
evolve 94:11,11,13	experienced 56:21	35:13 110:15	<b>findings</b> 76:18,24	<b>fool</b> 123:3,6
94:16 163:9	74:8	175:15	fine 111:10 123:10	fools 123:22
evolved 153:20	expertise 30:3	families 51:20	179:5	force 31:2 119:22
evolving 16:24	179:22	120:24,25	fingers 5:3	121:5
28:14 163:7	<b>expires</b> 181:19	family 51:20	finish 24:2 152:20	foregoing 181:2
exact 90:25 162:3	182:18	174:22	firearms 141:24	182:9
exactly 115:12	<b>explain</b> 57:16	far 40:19 47:3	first 4:6,19 5:22	forever 166:12
158:14 159:12	115:9,14 118:8,9	84:15 103:10	11:6 32:8,13 34:7	form 39:9 42:9
169:18 173:1	explained 164:10	109:10 110:7	44:25 51:11 63:8	138:12 182:9
examination 3:2	explanation 73:8	118:8 139:8,24	63:10 64:9 69:12	formal 10:7 48:19
4:8 182:6	expressing 145:22	Farrington 2:8	69:13 70:5 87:6	65:24,25 98:18
examine 87:9	expressly 127:6	<b>fathomed</b> 166:11	90:9 96:15 97:23	99:19 128:22
examined 87:23	expulsion 80:14	fault 7:11	103:15 104:3,4,13	157:4 173:11
example 10:21	82:13	<b>FBI</b> 151:22 152:12	104:18 105:7	<b>formalized</b> 67:25
14:25 30:24 44:12	extreme 120:15	Fe 121:17	111:16 116:15	131:14,15 133:3,4
69:9 104:21 148:5	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	fear 112:10 113:11	130:17 151:17	formalizes 129:21
examples 90:6,19	faced 102:13	123:2 175:13	157:24 158:11	formally 137:1,18
126:21 160:5	facilitate 108:16	February 59:17	167:18 168:18	forms 128:14
164:18	facilitating 29:25	61:23 62:13 83:24	173:2 179:7,10	forth 72:23
exceed 31:6	Tacintating 27.23	85:10	<b>five</b> 49:14,24	forthcoming
	•	•	•	•

170 17	1147207	26 6 51 11 01 17	1 4 70 20	165.0
178:17	general 14:7 28:7	26:6 51:11 91:17	graduate 79:20	165:8
forthright 174:4	36:20 52:4 64:7	goals 5:12	82:20	hanging 43:12
forthrightness	134:20	goes 25:15 50:13,14	graduated 82:22	happen 9:6 55:13
174:18	generally 6:15	50:19 57:16 59:4	graduation 82:12	125:4 137:9
forward 38:20	51:21	94:16 105:15	grand 60:3	happened 7:6 14:9
50:11 94:14	gentlemen 140:16	126:25 148:23	great 74:10 174:12	15:6 26:7 28:3,11
102:24 103:3	getting 20:4 31:1	149:23 156:16,22	grounds 142:7	43:15 55:2,13
129:4 171:3,22	31:25 59:22	160:23	group 23:23,24	65:16,23 73:22
forwarded 20:22	101:16 102:13	going 5:11,22 6:6	24:6,8 30:4 36:11	85:10 136:25,25
20:24	105:5 151:15	6:15 14:4,20 15:7	39:15 75:3 77:4	146:10,22 165:9
four 53:20,21 56:6	152:11,22 178:18	27:3 30:23 31:25	85:8,11 91:7	168:15 173:25
85:3 163:23	Girl 171:18	41:8 43:8 44:24	92:17 97:6,21	happening 69:1
164:10,13,15	give 6:25 37:18	49:22 51:16,24	98:9 108:20 122:2	114:5 135:16
four-stage 103:23	61:4 62:14 68:15	52:25 63:8 66:23	146:22 151:5	171:25
151:6,10,18	82:16 160:5 173:4	70:17,25 74:6,15	160:11 177:25	happens 15:25 24:4
152:15	given 14:1 21:9	89:21 93:25 94:11	groups 46:20 48:3	81:16,22 143:15
four-tier 152:15	169:25 182:9	94:12,12,14	48:4 148:25 149:1	hard 81:8 114:11
163:18	gives 70:10 145:4	103:25 104:22	178:1	167:17
fourth 96:24	giving 12:6 64:18	105:24 116:11	<b>guardians</b> 134:13	hard-to-read 33:25
frame 73:5	glad 168:7,7	119:8 120:25	134:25	harm 170:19
framework 163:18	<b>go</b> 5:9 12:2 13:7	123:3,11 124:8	guess 24:6 35:1	head 7:1,25 24:25
frameworks	14:21 15:17 22:10	132:1 135:21	63:22 65:7 67:3	25:1,1 28:24
164:11,21	23:18 26:17 28:1	136:12,15,17,23	67:12 174:5	165:3
frankly 166:20	33:24 34:1 43:3	142:10 143:12,19	guidance 3:13 75:1	healing 174:25
169:24	43:18,18,19 44:15	144:20,23 151:5	103:17 125:20	health 14:12 15:15
frequencies 91:5	45:3,10,13 46:19	153:24,25 155:19	149:15	23:14 25:1 26:4
freshmen 158:9	50:2 51:24 55:11	156:3 157:13,17	guideline 127:16	28:10 36:8 37:6
friends 44:19	55:15 57:5 59:19	157:20 158:15,18	guidelines 28:23	38:15 57:17 58:9
136:22 170:15	60:16,17 61:9	159:2,21 160:12	37:10 137:3	58:21 61:22 68:25
172:9	65:1 66:18 67:11	163:8,12,17	gun 35:17	69:22 70:3 71:3
front 74:17 86:3	67:16 70:2 77:1	165:10 167:15	guns 35:17	72:2 76:16,23
90:25 159:2	86:3 88:10 89:25	168:20,25 170:11	Guy 1:2,12 3:2 4:5	78:11,17,21 79:1
full 7:17,18	90:7 96:21 97:23	170:19,19,23,24	7:18 50:11 181:1	82:9,25 95:12
function 110:1 further 92:23	105:4 106:2	171:5 172:4,9	181:9,12 182:6	99:8 100:23,23
	116:11,14,23	175:1,21 176:10	guys 65:23 123:8	101:22 105:1
170:24 182:11	120:21 123:14	178:7	gym 158:12	108:2 109:14,15
furthermore 88:11	124:16 125:12	good 4:10 16:14	gymnasium 158:16	114:11,15,17
future 81:22	130:13 134:10	36:6 73:23 123:1	H	119:1,9,10 121:9
G	136:17,22 137:13	135:17 160:10	half 6:16 66:24	121:11,17,19
Gage 2:3	142:20 145:19	166:5,5 180:5	83:12 123:11	133:7 135:10
gather 5:6 46:19	147:13 156:1	Goodrum 2:14	hand 44:4 132:10	137:8 151:13
158:12	169:4,5,13,14	123:17	132:10	155:16,21 162:18
gathered 107:11	170:3,23,24	gotten 17:16 67:14	handbook 116:12	healthcare 119:11
127:2 138:6	171:15,16,22	121:7	handcuffing 30:25	hear 14:6 68:2
gathering 155:10	172:1,21,22 173:1	Grace 1:2,12 3:2	handicapped 13:13	73:16,17 170:3
gathering 155.10 gay 41:18	173:3 179:9	4:5,10 7:18 50:11	handled 23:12	171:21
gender 41:21 87:16	180:10	181:1,9,12 182:6	handling 164:15	heard 8:20 19:3
genuel 41.21 07.10	<b>goal</b> 13:14 15:9	gradually 27:24	nanumg 104.13	30:13 49:3 58:16
	•	•	•	•

				I
88:20,25 118:13	159:19 164:15	19:25 30:9 45:11	171:4	121:9
118:14,18,19	169:20 178:9,11	45:22 62:18 64:18	improved 4:24	individuals 11:12
129:20 146:7	179:15,18,19	64:20 65:2 66:17	92:19 137:2	28:12 38:15 40:14
168:11 169:23	high-level 21:14	66:17 140:8	178:19	50:4 58:10,20
heck 25:20 43:6	26:22 40:7	157:10	improvement 93:5	71:1 88:1,10
113:9,11 169:2,3	high-risk 21:4	idea 86:15 121:12	94:9 95:17 155:11	105:23 106:8
171:17	<b>higher</b> 109:16	identified 151:25	improvements	150:6 157:12
heightened 56:20	112:24 178:21,21	identifies 59:9	170:21	170:9
73:14	higher-level 140:24	identify 142:17	incident 8:17 11:21	<b>Infinite</b> 94:19,24
help 10:20 23:21	141:11	<b>IEP</b> 138:20	13:1 30:9 37:15	94:25 95:2,23
29:24 32:5,18	highs 20:21 39:19	immediate 49:20	43:2 51:8 62:19	103:19 150:21
49:5 53:16 64:7	40:3 141:18	49:23 68:6 69:5	64:16 65:12 73:12	influx 112:19
109:15 112:24	hired 152:2	132:4,8	73:13,20 149:8	information 4:16
115:7 116:19	<b>history</b> 128:12	immediately 49:4	174:7,8 177:13	5:6 22:22 25:11
119:18 120:24	<b>hold</b> 120:19	49:12 51:6,10	incidents 9:6	34:17,19,20 36:16
138:3 143:10,14	home 22:16 23:10	52:16 70:21	144:21 178:13,22	36:20 55:12,14
143:14 172:20	67:16	103:13 106:17	include 24:22	70:9,21 73:18
174:24,25 177:18	honest 35:8 67:7	132:18 142:2	27:16,23 45:15	81:10 87:14,19
<b>helped</b> 82:22 125:8	168:17	156:20	104:25 105:2,7,9	96:8,18 97:2,7,16
152:3 156:24	honestly 168:18	imminent 37:24	132:12 155:20	97:20 107:10
<b>helpful</b> 36:18	hope 169:17 175:5	38:13 99:3,11,21	162:12 178:25	115:18 117:3,8
123:13 137:16	horizon/event	100:5,22 101:10	included 27:18,24	118:15,17,17
143:2 145:2	164:1	impacted 174:21	91:24 101:23	129:17 137:16
163:10,12	horns 170:5 171:1	174:23	173:16	138:6 139:17
helping 12:11	horrible 73:23	<b>impacts</b> 127:14	including 7:7 15:22	144:8 147:7,7
31:21	hospital 120:18,22	166:12	49:11 75:21 93:7	174:12
helps 20:25 31:5	hour 6:15,16 66:24	implement 96:19	154:16 160:1	informed 20:18
91:16 122:22	83:12 123:11	97:3,7,21 98:10	169:12	96:11
hereto 182:7	180:12	98:17	inclusiveness 41:23	initially 144:5
Heritage 16:12	hours 17:10,12	implemented 141:6	inconsistency	initiates 133:12
48:1	26:17 41:7 43:11	146:11	156:11	injuries 172:2
heroes 166:19	64:15 66:3 68:8	implementing	incorporated 76:20	<b>input</b> 97:12 126:6,8
hey 32:2 51:15	69:12 147:14	122:18 123:20,23	77:10 78:12 92:18	157:1
53:14 117:20	house 23:18 41:8	importance 45:23	100:2 101:13	<b>Inquiry</b> 3:11 77:19
hide 175:11	49:11	<b>4</b> 7:1	increase 73:9	<b>inside</b> 9:4 44:11
high 8:25 13:3 14:2	<b>Human</b> 154:9,13	<b>important</b> 4:19 7:4	increased 56:17	Inspector 33:21
16:11 17:23 19:22	<b>humans</b> 170:8	12:13,15,17 16:16	73:24,25 109:13	<b>inspired</b> 92:22 93:5
20:23 41:15 42:8	<b>hurt</b> 169:14,15	26:6 30:14,15,19	indicates 141:10	<b>instance</b> 51:4 73:4
47:22 48:1,14,15	172:13	31:7 45:20 53:13	indicators 146:18	89:7
48:21 50:22 53:19	hurting 49:20	61:6 80:23 93:4	147:5,6	instances 143:22
54:1 55:10 58:4	hyphenate 50:6	94:5 96:7 103:12	individual 11:2	Institute 12:2
72:11,25 79:15	hypothetical	142:17,23 147:9	17:5 22:9 23:20	30:12
107:22 112:1,1,2	136:21	159:5 171:3,12	24:23 27:2,7	instruction 6:25
112:6 113:22		179:2 180:4	35:11 68:2 77:12	7:5
115:2 134:20,24	I	imprisonment	106:2 115:19	instructs 138:19
136:6 141:10,21	<b>I.D</b> 12:22	80:15	145:13 154:6	integral 64:19
145:11 148:9	IC 50:2	<b>improve</b> 97:13	160:24	145:25
154:1 157:16	ICS 11:21 12:10	156:7 170:17	individually 37:8,9	integrate 64:7
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				1
intended 75:1	53:1 54:18 56:6	71:11 72:1 85:13	kept 10:18 80:25	knocked 43:13
<b>intent</b> 172:13	58:23 68:9 69:21	153:12	<b>Kevin</b> 60:18 61:1	know 4:12 5:13 6:5
interaction 19:20	80:17 81:4 82:17	<b>Jimmy</b> 14:16 142:7	62:7 83:25 84:25	9:3 10:24 11:4
interest 52:10	97:13 105:23	<b>job</b> 7:17,19 8:10,12	85:13 113:18	12:6 13:12 14:16
interested 43:21,24	115:20 122:7	14:23 16:18 19:7	<b>key</b> 3:12 77:20	16:8,11,12,13
182:12	134:11,12,18,19	<b>John</b> 77:2 110:10	78:22 110:13,17	21:21 22:4,24
interesting 179:18	134:21,22,23	<b>Johnny</b> 136:14	110:18 121:21	23:3,18 25:19
internally 129:20	135:4,6,7,14	142:7	122:17 125:11	26:4 27:6 31:6
166:25 173:19	136:5,7,9 143:9	<b>Johnny's</b> 136:22	150:7 172:19	32:2,22 36:17,21
Internet 35:18	144:21 145:7,21	<b>joins</b> 64:6	kick 119:25	36:22 40:3 41:14
128:10	149:14 154:15	<b>Jones</b> 2:14 6:13	<b>kid</b> 15:19 30:24	43:7,24 44:11
interoperability	159:24	<b>Jr</b> 1:2,12 3:2 4:5	49:6 68:8,23	45:12,24,25 48:13
12:15,17	involvement 17:4	7:18 181:1,9	82:21 112:5 115:2	48:18,24 50:5
interpret 128:16	17:15 29:20 32:14	182:6	117:20 119:22,25	51:17 53:11,15
155:11	34:12,23 52:3,4	<b>July</b> 70:1	120:14,17,24	54:22 57:20 58:3
interpretations	52:16 92:11,21	<b>jump</b> 6:6 42:12	121:5 123:21	62:12 66:3 67:11
140:14	130:2 152:21,24	59:9 72:15 98:25	124:8,13,15 132:7	67:22 71:15 74:10
interpreting	153:2,23	140:23 144:11	135:10 136:2	75:6 76:4,11,15
101:18	involving 17:5	<b>June</b> 3:18 105:16	142:7,20,24	76:22 77:2,3
intertwining 93:1	141:23 153:17	146:23 164:4	144:22 173:2,11	78:20 79:13,16
intervene 142:21	issue 9:19 14:3	jurisdictional	kid's 26:4 43:14	80:18,18,19 81:6
intervention	15:15 111:21	125:3	139:13	81:20 94:2,7 95:5
154:18 162:19	124:4 155:23	jurisdictions 69:19	kids 12:3 13:12	95:6,9,18 96:22
interventions 17:9	156:13 174:11	69:19	15:16 16:11,13	100:19 101:18,21
17:10 27:9	<b>issued</b> 74:22	<b>justice</b> 139:24	26:23 43:12 44:16	102:5 109:7 110:7
interviewed 68:4	issues 8:22 9:3	juveniles 124:3	44:18 45:24,25	112:6,7,10 113:10
134:6 136:7	10:25 11:16 14:7		46:6,7,20,24 50:5	120:15 122:25
137:14	15:4 17:4 56:16	K	69:18 73:15 79:19	126:2 132:8,9,12
interviewing	70:4 140:1 152:22	<b>K</b> 1:13 103:15	80:25 81:22 82:17	136:8,14,24 137:9
152:23	161:11 175:13	105:17 106:20	82:18,18 116:8	139:8,9 143:8,11
interviews 135:19	item 41:9 96:17,23	182:4	136:7,10 138:3	143:11 144:25
135:22 137:15	102:22 104:14	<b>Karl</b> 17:19,20 18:2	143:8 157:17	145:21 146:6
invaluable 172:24	146:15 149:15	18:3 20:18 40:20	158:19 159:22	148:5,14 149:10
investigate 51:12	150:19 151:4	41:1 48:25 49:1,8	160:6 170:11,12	162:11 166:5
investigating 81:5	153:9,17 154:8	50:9 60:24 67:4	170:25 172:8	167:1,2 168:2,8
investigation 81:9	155:8 156:5	67:19 113:19	173:3,7,21,22	168:19 169:4,15
133:12	160:10 161:19	129:12,16 137:11	177:21 178:9	169:17 170:9,15
<b>invited</b> 46:6 47:15	items 37:23 98:21	137:22 139:7	179:12,23	171:14,17,20
48:14	126:16 177:1	143:21 144:3	<b>kill</b> 17:21 136:15	172:3,8 173:20
<b>involve</b> 154:9,12		146:5,6,11 165:21	<b>killing</b> 141:23	175:1 176:17
159:22	J	174:12	kind 33:8 43:24	178:14,24 179:2,6
involved 10:16	<b>J</b> 2:3	Kathleen 105:9	44:8 50:7 90:7	179:14,14,21
13:5 14:10 15:22	<b>JAG</b> 1:1	106:1 108:16	115:4 135:1	180:3
17:1,8,16 23:25	<b>jail</b> 79:20	keep 15:10 70:14	155:18 159:22	<b>Kolasa</b> 60:18 61:1
24:12,13 27:23	James 113:19	84:9,11 102:3	165:5 179:16	62:7 83:8,25
31:19,20,21 37:12	Jeffco 92:1	114:22 117:25	kinds 94:10 120:3	84:25 85:14
38:22 39:1 50:5	Jesse 26:10 55:24	170:25	127:8 147:10	113:18
51:12 52:1,22	69:11 70:7 71:7	<b>keeping</b> 82:7 102:6	knew 136:11	
		l	l	1

	lesbian 41:18	listening 173:14	93:1,25 97:17,17	141:17
<u>L</u>	lesson 171:13	lists 35:23 75:18	98:5 104:13	low-level 55:9,19
lack 118:15,25	lessons 169:21,25	literally 43:12	105:15 107:2	56:13 67:20,24
laid 76:19,24 79:6	173:24	131:1	116:12 120:23	low-risk 39:23
138:11	let's 18:13 22:1	litigation 182:13	131:20 133:11	40:23
large 154:21	23:11 32:2 53:11	little 18:13 33:13	138:15 141:21	lower 33:21
larger 148:25	55:5,11 104:3,13	35:2 42:3 51:25	142:4 144:7 145:9	lows 21:6 39:20
late 85:2	114:7 123:11	65:3 66:20 68:13	147:9,11 156:7	LPS 3:14,15,17
Lathrop 2:3	125:12 130:11	77:1 79:8 85:19	165:23 173:1,6,15	4:15 20:17 28:25
law 11:11 15:9			178:22	
22:15 23:14 24:9	144:11 152:20	106:23 120:12		29:24 30:5 34:20
24:13,14 29:25	174:9 178:2	140:9	looked 39:15,19	35:4 36:1,19,24
31:8,24 49:21	<b>letting</b> 121:6	<b>Littleton</b> 1:8 3:6,8	40:15,17 67:7,10	38:3 39:6 42:24
51:11 64:14 68:21	level 16:3,5,6 38:8	3:17 7:20,22	90:21 91:3,3	43:25 45:1 46:8
69:13 81:11 88:2	40:1 54:3,8,14,24	16:12 42:7 69:17	127:7,8 129:6,15	46:16 50:12 57:20
97:25 110:8 117:7	55:20 71:9 87:17	75:6,23 76:4,18	looking 17:7 21:13	58:3 63:16,25
117:18 120:16,17	105:7 109:8,10	77:17 85:23 92:6	35:17,18 41:10	64:2,6 76:23
125:3,6 130:2	111:17 113:4	92:12 93:20	42:1,13 54:13	78:21 79:15 80:6
133:11 140:14	115:2 122:10	121:18 157:5	59:11 60:1 81:5	80:12 81:17 84:7
149:13 158:3	134:24 141:10	159:21 165:4	93:21,25 100:12	84:8 86:16 87:9
175:17	levels 38:4 55:12	178:23	117:2 121:8	87:23 89:11 92:18
laws 140:13	105:12	live 159:2	127:14 128:19	92:19 101:21
lawsuit 5:4	liability 161:11,17	lives 43:6 73:22	138:10 141:14,16	105:10 110:14,18
lawyer 63:14	liaisons 105:11	LLP 2:3	141:17,20 142:5,6	113:3 118:25
lead 29:17	Liebenow 1:13	location 132:3	142:8	121:13,21 122:18
leader 69:6	182:4	lockdown 10:4	looks 55:14 85:9	127:24 130:17
leadership 27:20	lies 65:8	12:12 19:25 45:11	134:6	132:2 143:23
27:20,21 28:5,8	life 17:19 43:14	167:6	loop 14:8 68:25	145:11 146:18,19
28:16,16 29:7	lightning 10:2	locker 145:18	loose 127:18	149:5,25 154:12
82:10 106:7	liked 175:9	locks 9:10,12,15	133:11 148:24	166:14 173:10,24
leads 132:25	<b>limited</b> 119:15,17	10:21,22 13:5	loose/tight 103:16	174:11 175:8,19
133:11	<b>limits</b> 140:21	logistic 122:18	125:20	176:4,5,17 178:8
learn 4:21 99:21	Lincoln 2:8	123:19,23 124:7	<b>losing</b> 123:9	178:11
169:20 173:25	line 36:19 56:25	124:25	loss 56:23	<b>LPS's</b> 174:18
179:13,24	list 25:3,3 37:23	logistical 122:20	<b>lot</b> 8:14 9:1,2 10:14	lying 172:3
learned 93:21	59:20,24 61:16	long 7:21	13:12 27:12,12	
169:19,25 171:13	63:2 65:18 79:9	longest 164:4	31:4 44:9 50:3,15	<u>M</u>
176:23 177:7	83:15,25 87:7	longitudinal	66:2,6 69:18	M 1:2,12 3:2 4:5
learning 15:10	96:17,25 102:23	142:24	70:17 77:4 87:14	181:1,9 182:6
40:13 169:16	103:4 104:14	look 8:12 11:18	89:21 98:3 112:17	machine 182:8
<b>led</b> 29:17 144:16	125:12,19 135:13	14:10,13,24,24	112:20,22 134:2	main 8:12 150:16
164:7	138:9 149:24	16:24 28:2 32:7	142:11 148:13	maintain 81:21
<b>left</b> 77:23	150:3,7,9,19	33:15,24 34:3	159:7 169:3	91:16
legal 140:19 152:22	151:4 153:17	38:9 40:4,6 41:17	172:20 177:10,24	maintained 150:4
175:12,12	155:8 160:10	45:24 49:18,19	179:5 180:4	maintains 150:2
legality 175:12	161:19	55:5 58:13 61:9	love 89:18	maintenance 9:15
legislative 5:9	<b>listed</b> 34:7 60:18	66:8 69:23 77:6	low 20:24 21:9	10:23 20:1
Lembke 2:13	75:20,21 84:4	77:12,13 82:17	55:11 72:16 112:1	major 8:21 14:17
	<b>listen</b> 68:11	83:13 84:24 87:13	112:1,7 115:2	16:15 147:25
	l	l	l	
		HINTED - CEICT INC	202	222 5066/200 525 2400

10.22	70 11 110 0 6	114111515		0 < 24 00 10 05 10
majority 19:23	72:11 112:2,6	114:11,15,17	monitoring 144:12	86:24 88:10 95:18
making 11:24 12:8	115:2 154:1	119:1,10 121:9,11	144:17 145:8,9	100:24 101:25
12:24 17:11 23:25	medium-level	121:16,19 133:7	146:5,11,16 148:6	102:2 108:17,19
26:1,2,3 34:12,21	26:22	135:9 137:7	149:20,24 150:3	126:5 131:22
35:5 36:2 80:24	mediums 20:21	151:13 155:16,21	month 87:15	150:5 162:21,22
81:8 82:19 87:25	39:19 40:3 141:17	162:18	157:24	176:19,22 177:6
89:23 112:4,12	meet 26:16 106:6,8	mention 5:2	months 27:10 62:1	Nate's 77:3 89:16
115:1 121:22	106:9,14	mentioned 30:10	81:8 167:13,20	nationwide 76:14
maliciously 43:9	meeting 26:24,24	31:23 62:19 79:9	morning 4:10	natural 10:2
manage 144:17	86:9,10,22,23	106:19 159:7	25:10 26:16 49:16	necessarily 23:22
mandated 133:10	87:1 89:7 90:4	Meredith 19:18	50:16 51:22 68:23	necessary 15:9
148:21	103:15 105:14,18	50:25 83:10 85:13	motion 13:17,20	23:22 25:24
mandatory 58:17	105:18 106:20,25	met 4:11 18:2,3	mouth 169:13	necessities 23:17
58:18,19 88:23	107:4 108:4,5,12	48:4,5 145:17	movies 46:23	necessity 23:16
89:1,2,23 93:2	108:14 109:3,12	<b>Michael</b> 1:5 2:3,12	moving 102:24	need 4:23 6:16 7:1
131:21	110:6,10 111:5,13	2:14 4:11,13 7:18	103:3	50:10,11 51:13
March 77:25	114:17 142:3	181:25	multiple 27:8 48:3	60:8 61:11 88:6,6
marked 3:5	meetings 52:13	<b>middle</b> 8:25 15:12	85:6,7 86:13	88:20 96:19 97:3
master's 71:14	106:2 108:9 110:9	16:3,5 44:16	100:20 122:6,6,14	107:6 118:10
material 66:17	158:11 175:23,24	68:20 127:20	multiple-day 86:10	123:7,9 136:24
76:21 78:10 79:4	176:2	<b>Mike</b> 170:1	multiples 72:25	148:4 158:5,5
91:2 94:14 126:20	meets 145:15	military 10:12	murder 4:17	168:8 169:25
126:22	<b>Melissa</b> 29:2 37:6	mind 70:14 114:22	<b>Murphy</b> 17:21	170:6,9,10,16,17
materials 20:7	40:12 69:10 70:6	123:1	20:19 86:20	171:21 172:9
46:22,23 47:5	100:24 108:17,19	minds 102:21	107:15 113:20	173:17,19 178:18
77:5 91:9 92:17	126:5	160:19	myriad 136:8	needed 20:5,6,13
94:15	member 95:20	<b>mine</b> 9:18		38:17 97:7,21
matter 105:18	134:18 135:4	<b>minimum</b> 93:16	NOTE	98:9,17 106:12
matters 182:7	175:19,20,24	135:13	N 2:1 3:1	127:11 132:13
mean 12:16 28:17	176:2	ministers 137:16	name 7:17,18 53:13	153:15
64:11 67:12 94:11	members 29:19	minus 72:20	149:24 160:13	needs 26:4 59:5
111:22 113:23	42:10 44:25 48:6	<b>minute</b> 63:15	161:6,10	96:10 162:18
114:1,8 142:2	98:2 115:16	152:20	names 28:9 50:4,6	170:3 178:8
143:1 146:1	122:24 125:9	minutes 49:24	161:1,4	neglect 89:22
155:14 157:25	158:19 163:11	52:17 69:16 70:5	naming 161:18	neither 60:23 61:1
172:18 174:6	168:14	178:3	Natalie 51:1,4,7	network 49:10
177:9,18 179:5	mental 14:12 15:15	misheard 106:19	53:22 54:22 60:9	never 18:2,3,4,6,7
means 6:1 128:4	23:14 25:1 26:4	misleading 58:13	61:2 62:9 85:14	18:9 40:21 47:24
measures 167:12	28:10 36:8 37:5	mistake 112:3	89:8 107:19	49:2 60:9 61:19
mechanical 5:21	38:15 57:17 58:8	mistakes 112:4	Nate 25:16 26:15	72:7 88:17 89:8
mechanics 49:6	58:21 61:21 68:25	165:7	29:8,12,22 30:4	89:10 95:17
media 127:7 128:6	69:22 70:3 71:2,3	<b>model</b> 103:23	32:11 36:9 37:6	118:19 134:19
129:16,19,23	72:2 76:16,23	151:6,10,18,20	37:19,19 40:12	146:7 166:11
174:11	78:11,17,21 79:1	152:15	55:25 56:1 62:22	new 20:5,6 27:20
medical 11:25	82:9,25 95:12	<b>module</b> 163:3	62:23 69:9 70:6	27:21 28:16 56:19
176:8	99:7 100:23,23	<b>modules</b> 161:20,24	71:6,15 72:1 76:8	56:20 71:22 74:12
<b>medium</b> 20:23 21:4	101:22 105:1	162:4,16	76:9,15 81:18	74:13 89:24 94:14
21:14 40:6 55:9	108:2 109:13,14	money 5:5	82:9 83:2 86:23	127:16 130:8,11
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		1 <b>i</b>		I
130:18,19,24	0	40:10 59:19 63:1	97:1,5,19 98:8,16	<b>old</b> 27:20 28:5,8,10
131:12,13,18	o'clock 25:9,9 32:3	63:18 90:9 116:21	98:24 99:1,19	28:15,21,21,23
133:2 137:2 145:1	49:15,15,16 50:15	141:2	100:13,16 101:9	<b>on-line</b> 126:16
145:1,3 146:19,21	53:12	okay 5:2,11,24,25	101:21 102:8,10	129:16 156:25
156:2,2,24 162:7	objective 90:4	6:3,10,17,18,22	102:22 104:2,10	once 165:21 176:10
162:7,16 163:3,7	objectives 87:7	6:23 7:2,9,12 8:20	104:13,18 105:4	ones 29:22 48:7
163:8,10 170:2,3	96:17,25 102:22	9:5,13,16,22 10:6	105:20,25 106:18	54:2,4,4 81:4
newfound 17:3	observation 39:3	10:20 12:23 13:24	107:14 108:5	130:8 134:2,11,23
nice 143:10 171:20	39:19	14:19 15:24 16:16	109:21 110:12,22	135:5 152:12
179:8	observed 162:24	17:13,17 18:20,25	111:7,11,20 112:5	159:24
Nicoletti 77:2,6,11	177:1	19:3,12 20:16,25	112:13 114:10,14	ongoing 26:6 98:23
91:1 92:10 110:10	obtain 97:24	21:8,20,21 23:24	114:21,24 115:6	98:23 103:20
152:7,15 163:18	<b>obviously</b> 13:3,12	24:4,13 25:5 26:9	115:11 116:5,14	110:9 119:3
164:7	16:17 17:17 49:7	26:13 27:14 28:1	116:16,20 117:1	139:25
Nicoletti's 77:4	94:9 97:19 140:12	28:2,15,22 29:10	118:12,20,24	open 99:20 125:16
103:23 151:5,9,18	149:7	29:14,15 30:4,10	119:14 120:6	178:7
152:10	occasions 135:9	31:12,15 32:13,20	122:17 123:5,8	<b>opened</b> 99:14 162:8
<b>night</b> 51:11 68:20	occur 140:24	33:2,4,7,19 34:1,7	124:20,23 125:11	openly 23:7 67:19
<b>NIMS</b> 11:21 64:17	occurred 4:22	35:1 36:5,6,14	125:14,17,24	opens 13:9
nonphysical 31:2	174:14	37:21 39:2,22	126:2,6,9,25	operation 94:3
nonviolent 15:20	occurring 176:15	40:19 41:7,9,13	127:15 129:21	operations 18:17
normal 56:20,20	occurs 141:11	41:14 42:12,16,21	130:4,11,20,25	<b>opinion</b> 27:22,22
74:12,13 89:25	offender 124:2,3,9	43:23 44:2 45:7	131:2,10,23 132:1	30:21 38:6 166:19
94:14 138:15	offenders 124:3	46:11,16 49:5,19	132:5,24 133:5,16	167:9 175:5,6
170:2,3	offer 120:24 179:5	50:1,8 51:3,9,24	133:22 134:5,17	opportunities 42:1
<b>Notary</b> 1:14 181:18	offered 99:16 100:8	52:8,19 53:3,21	135:3,12,18,24	<b>option</b> 121:18
182:5	101:7 129:1 155:3	54:7,22 55:5,16	137:1,13,21 138:1	<b>options</b> 16:8 93:2
notebook 32:8	offering 119:18,18	55:22 56:11 57:4	138:5 139:6	93:23 118:25
<b>noted</b> 110:13,18	office 27:3 38:21	58:15,20 59:1,4	140:15,18,22,25	119:15,17,18
118:24 121:21	40:17 41:5 49:24	59:18,21,23 60:13	142:9 143:4 144:6	140:6
122:17 137:25	50:19 132:10	60:14,18 61:8,23	144:9,11 145:23	order 5:14 124:14
NOTICE 1:11	156:16	62:3,21 63:4,8,18	146:15,24 147:2	124:14,15 125:4
notification 21:22	officer 8:5 24:15	64:1,12,23 66:5	147:12 148:2	orders 124:12
52:17	27:2 93:7 109:6	66:23 68:6,7,16	149:15 150:14,15	organization
notified 131:17	officers 8:25 11:2	69:23,25 70:13,24	151:4,17,24 152:6	154:21,22 175:15
147:22 148:4,9	14:13 18:23 19:5	71:2,10,18 74:2	152:14 153:1,7	organizations 90:6
149:11	26:1 38:17 44:6	74:15 75:13 76:10	154:8,25 155:8	90:20 126:21
<b>notify</b> 118:6 132:2	45:6 46:1 47:4	76:22 77:15 78:6	156:5 157:2,15	175:25
134:13 148:15,25	93:4 109:5	78:14,20 79:5,15	158:21 159:20	organized 155:5
notifying 115:25	offices 44:14	80:4 81:12,20	160:3,7 161:15	ought 92:18
November 182:18	official 19:7 104:6	82:3,7 83:4,21	162:14 163:3,14	out-of-control
nowadays 73:17	167:22	84:4,14 85:9,22	163:19 164:10,20	30:24
<b>number</b> 33:25	officially 121:14,15	86:12,15,22,25	165:15,18 168:4	outcome 182:12
35:14 59:14 72:17	129:4	87:3,21 88:24	168:11 169:18	outreaches 41:19
73:1,9 91:22	officials 172:6,21	89:11 90:1,10,13	170:13 171:7,25	41:20
113:2	175:17	90:17 92:15,24	172:2 174:9,17	outset 4:19
numbers 44:14	<b>oh</b> 9:13 33:20 34:1	93:16 94:17 95:8	175:2 176:1,20	<b>outside</b> 11:12 27:8
<b>nuts</b> 5:16		95:15 96:1,13,23	178:2 179:25	121:10 135:8
				I

127 15 22 164 19	(2.15.20.64.2.12	20 10 24 21 20 15	40 0 50 10 15	25 12 46 0 40 20
137:15,23 164:18	62:15,20 64:3,13	28:18 34:21 39:15	48:9 52:10,15	25:12 46:9 48:20
outward 82:23	77:17 86:25 88:15	40:11 43:7 45:21	54:17 59:16 68:2	51:12 84:24 93:13
overdone 15:21	89:12,23 90:18	45:21 50:15 56:6	68:11 69:10 70:3	96:15 99:20 125:5
oversee 8:15,16	91:22 92:3,10	58:21,24 59:10,20	102:12 123:2,2,3	133:9 146:14
9:12 12:20 13:4	93:12 98:16 99:9	60:4 62:4 66:20	133:9 135:7	148:15 149:9
17:1	101:16 103:8	69:15 70:9,17	145:14,15,21	168:9,22 170:5
overview 3:16	111:2 116:24	74:3 77:12 79:13	148:3 149:10	182:8
64:18	124:10 127:2	79:14 89:20 90:2	159:2	placement 158:7
P	128:22 129:8,21	92:21 93:17 97:17	person's 142:25	<b>places</b> 173:21
	136:2 137:4,13,18	98:14 99:14,15	personal 80:19	<b>plan</b> 27:21 34:25
<b>P</b> 2:1,1	139:4 140:7	100:19 106:7	126:15 175:4,6	82:20 122:19,22
P.C 2:8	143:23 145:25	108:3 112:4,19	179:3,3	122:25 123:1,3,4
<b>p.m</b> 123:16,16	148:6 157:2	113:21 115:7,21	personally 53:5,19	123:6,20,22,24
178:4,4 180:12	158:25 161:9	121:10 122:7,14	80:17 114:1,8	124:1,7 132:11
page 3:2 32:22 33:7	168:12 173:7	132:19,23 135:10	135:17 143:8	139:4 144:17,22
33:8,10,11,14,23	participants 87:5	135:14 140:11	personnel 20:5,13	145:8,9 146:5,7
33:24 34:2 41:9	110:5	145:2,3 151:13	22:15 78:24 79:2	146:11,14 148:6
42:15 57:3,5	participate 71:4,18	155:6 162:8,8	102:14 117:4,25	149:20,20
59:19 61:25 63:8	72:3 75:7,24 76:5	166:6 169:14	146:2	planned 145:4
63:10 64:9 75:15	76:12,13 135:7	170:17 172:20	persons 79:23	<b>planning</b> 7:20,22
83:22,24 87:6	participated 75:19	173:14 178:16	137:15	8:8,11,16,17,18
90:9,16 96:21	86:7 88:2 122:2	people's 170:8	perspective 172:25	10:8 11:20 12:9
98:25 99:2 103:4	165:5	perceived 58:24	pertinent 103:12	12:12,14 103:24
110:19 111:2	participates 54:15	59:2	104:7,7	138:16 151:6,10
116:11,17 126:12	71:19	perception 112:5	<b>phone</b> 25:3 49:12	151:13,19
130:13,13 132:24	participating 154:1	115:11 122:21	129:6 178:15	<b>plans</b> 9:21,23 17:2
139:18 140:23	154:3,19	159:19 162:23	<b>physical</b> 9:10 11:18	30:2 81:3,4
141:2 150:17	particular 47:19	168:3	12:4,20 13:13,16	124:12 136:11
152:16 163:20	70:11 145:16	perceptions 115:13	27:6 30:19	<b>play</b> 140:17
<b>pages</b> 33:16	particularly 61:8	121:23 122:14	picture 33:21	<b>Plus</b> 72:20
<b>paid</b> 169:20 170:1	particulars 92:15	177:12	154:23 155:21	<b>point</b> 5:3 50:20
pamphlets 20:6	parties 182:7,11	<b>perfect</b> 10:21 143:1	<b>piece</b> 12:9 24:19	52:24 68:12,13
<b>paper</b> 42:2	parts 13:11 44:24	143:17 170:5,6	62:17 93:2 156:25	83:11 92:11 96:24
paperwork 95:13	116:5	171:8	174:23	114:7 115:25
97:16	pass 105:24 124:17	<b>perform</b> 24:9 29:19	<b>pieces</b> 81:10	147:25 152:16
<b>parent</b> 34:19	124:19	performed 17:22	<b>Pierson</b> 17:19 18:2	161:7 172:3
parents 4:13 15:6	passed 45:4,5 78:10	18:8 67:4 71:5	18:3 20:19 40:20	<b>points</b> 41:12
18:4,6 27:2 42:9	84:20 178:15	72:17 73:1,10	48:25 49:2,8	164:15
51:20 54:18,20	passing 73:17	80:6,7 85:23	50:10 67:4,19	police 22:3,7 25:10
73:19 115:9,10,14	passion 89:18	129:13 134:8	113:19 137:11	43:13 69:17
115:16 118:25	pattern 142:24	149:5	143:21 144:3	121:10 170:12
119:4,5,19 121:20	patting 166:15	performing 113:4	146:5,6,12 174:13	policies 20:16
134:13,15,25	peaceful 15:10 31:3	performs 79:16	<b>Pierson's</b> 41:1	policy 21:1,10,11
135:1 149:1 172:7	peers 136:2	period 21:13 23:8	60:25 129:12,16	46:9,10 48:20
173:4	people 15:3 18:20	24:8 25:6 50:23	137:22 139:7	57:20,24 58:2
part 10:22 14:22	18:22 20:6,12	74:16	pinpoint 83:9	128:1 173:10
26:7 32:21 34:23	23:14,23,24 24:6	person 6:1 25:2	pipe 162:13	pool 96:8
34:24 53:16 57:11	24:8 26:9 28:11	37:11 38:12 43:9	place 20:17 23:21	population 15:1
	20 20.0 20.11	3,.1123.12 13.9	F-300 20.17 20.21	r-P
1 1 1 1 -1		HINTED - CEICT INC	202	022 5066/000 525 0400

			I	I
portion 42:17	60:8 61:12 86:25	principals' 105:18	99:20 106:13	122:22 158:7
164:4	108:17 123:17	<b>prior</b> 6:17 8:3	107:8,11 108:7	161:18
<b>pose</b> 118:1	presentation 32:11	16:18 17:2,20	109:3 110:14	properly 113:8
<b>poses</b> 115:2 139:19	42:18 46:21 48:12	18:4 20:17 21:1	118:14 122:4,7,13	proponent 11:23
position 40:12	48:14 57:8,11	28:25 29:16 37:2	128:22 129:22	43:6,21
89:16,16	62:13 65:9 78:13	37:3 38:5 39:6,14	130:22 132:15,25	protection 13:19
positive 47:10	129:3 156:2 163:4	40:13 51:7 58:17	133:18 134:6	<b>protocol</b> 127:17,18
possibility 112:8	presentations	60:5,9,20,21 61:3	137:19 139:11	156:6
possible 10:19	107:12 152:11,12	71:25 72:16 74:16	152:5 154:10,17	protocols 3:18
170:7	157:10	77:5 80:5 81:25	160:14 161:2,16	85:24 86:17
<b>possibly</b> 143:17,17	presented 62:20,22	83:18 85:1 128:22	168:12 171:4	<b>proud</b> 166:17
143:18,24,25,25	91:1,2 107:13	130:8 134:8,11	process-oriented	<b>provide</b> 20:8,14,15
post 24:7 25:5 26:6	108:19	135:8 136:20	68:17	29:24 42:25 44:23
164:1	presenters 62:16	138:4,25 144:20	processes 90:22	46:16 48:11 51:18
posted 158:8	preshooting 21:13	147:23 148:8	91:11,19 97:18	84:8 157:4
potential 64:19	23:7	150:9 159:15	98:3 104:11,12	<b>provided</b> 36:8 47:6
124:17 147:22	<b>pretty</b> 16:4 86:24	165:11 167:20	produce 29:22	82:22 126:20
potentially 55:15	preventative	<b>priority</b> 89:13,15	produced 64:3	157:21
PowerPoint 108:23	167:12	89:17 176:4	77:17	provider 135:8
129:2	Preventing 3:10	private 115:18	productive 175:2	providers 57:17
<b>practice</b> 23:8 46:12	74:19	probably 69:15	profession 179:11	121:11 135:9
72:1 88:8 135:25	Prevention 12:2	94:5 106:23 108:4	professional 1:13	provides 43:25
137:17 144:19	30:11	108:17 156:14	72:2 133:8 182:5	100:21
154:4 159:23	previous 182:5	158:10,12	professionals 76:17	providing 12:6
<b>practices</b> 3:7 28:21	previously 3:5 89:1	<b>problem</b> 102:17,18	76:23 78:11 99:8	31:22 100:4
28:21 78:18 90:5	<b>Prezi</b> 108:23	119:6,13,13 121:1	101:22 105:1	154:25 178:17
90:19 91:2 137:4	<b>price</b> 169:20 170:1	problems 11:14	108:2 121:19	<b>psych</b> 179:17
142:1 151:16,22	<b>pride</b> 166:17	13:25 80:14 95:25	137:8	psychologist 24:22
151:23,25 152:8	<b>primarily</b> 9:1 16:2	175:21	<b>program</b> 16:9 44:7	25:1 27:1 69:7
170:7 172:5	30:5	<b>procedure</b> 4:3 92:6	44:18 45:23 48:21	71:11,16
<b>Pramenko</b> 51:1,4,7	<b>primary</b> 30:8 35:5	procedures 3:8	50:9 66:1 83:25	psychologists 26:15
53:22 54:23 60:9	principal 19:15	20:16 34:9,14	85:1 88:18 98:11	28:25 29:7 70:10
61:2 62:9 85:14	24:20,20 26:25,25	35:7 36:4 87:10	98:18 101:23	70:15,22 71:8
89:8 107:19	38:14 52:8,16,23	87:20 92:12	121:12 157:22	psychology 28:25
pre 24:7	56:3,8 60:23,24	proceeding 45:9	173:18	179:15,16,17,19
pre-December	61:17 69:8 104:22	proceedings 4:1	programs 84:9	<b>PTO</b> 48:3,3
138:11	117:7,19 178:14	180:11 182:10	100:3 101:10	<b>Public</b> 1:8,14 3:6,8
<b>Pre-event</b> 163:25	principal's 52:2,4	process 27:17 28:4	102:4 173:18	3:17 7:20,23 42:8
preparation 32:15	principals 19:21	28:13 30:13 38:1	179:6	74:24 75:7,23
<b>prepare</b> 63:17	38:15 52:12,20	38:3,5,7,10 52:2,3	progress 88:12	76:4,18 77:17
169:5,5	61:19 103:13	52:22 64:4 68:10	91:14 139:13	85:23 92:12 93:20
<b>prepared</b> 63:14,19	104:15,23 105:5	71:20 72:10 84:17	156:4 157:8	94:3 157:5 159:21
63:20,24 64:2,21	105:11,14 106:3,3	87:11,20,24 88:5	173:14	165:4 178:23
65:5 75:2 84:7	106:14,20,21	89:12 91:18 93:8	promote 44:18	181:18 182:5
126:2	107:21 108:6,20	93:20 94:8,8 95:7	173:19	publication 74:22
preparing 51:22	108:21 109:2,17	95:9 96:20 97:4	propaganda 44:8	pull 46:22 105:13
present 2:11 41:24	113:2 114:20	97:10,13,22,23	<b>proper</b> 26:3 30:18	pulled 29:13
47:22,25 59:5	148:14	98:7,10,12 99:10	66:18 115:22,22	<b>purpose</b> 4:20,21,21
	1	1	·	<u> </u>

5:3 108:5 117:24	<b>radio</b> 12:16	108:25,25 109:11	refined 82:5 91:18	176:25
purposes 5:12	ran 47:10 86:22,23	108.23,23 109.11	92:18 93:14,15	<b>Reporter</b> 1:13
pur poses 3.12 pursuant 1:11 4:2	108:14	119:2 122:1 124:2	103:21 130:12	182:5
pursuant 1.11 4.2 pushed 142:7	Range 91:1	125:1 129:7 139:3	156:1 157:13	REPORTER'S
put 32:12 36:16	ranks 8:6	160:19,24 161:5	refinement 91:13	182:1
38:20 44:9,10	rat 170:14,15,16	177:9,14	93:11	reporting 34:9,14
72:23 107:12	rate 82:13,13,13	receive 78:22 82:22	refinements 94:10	35:7 36:3 130:22
120:18 129:4	rates 82:12	received 22:23	reflected 59:11,25	131:21
136:10 159:2	ratting 44:19	34:16 61:2 78:25	137:10	reports 14:14,16
172:15 176:13	re-evaluate 151:15	Recess 67:1 123:16	regarding 36:18	17:8 26:18 46:15
179:22	reach 21:22 22:2	178:4	155:18 168:2	47:12 50:3,24
putting 30:1,25	24:14 26:21 27:12	recognition 65:25	regardless 49:17	70:23 95:13
98:6 103:19	69:6,10 158:4,4	recognize 57:13,22	regards 148:12	129:20 142:14
150:21	read 49:18 67:20	58:5 162:17	155:18	156:6,12,13,19
130.21	78:8 117:22,23	recommendation	Registered 1:13	represent 63:13,23
Q	127:16 137:12,24	127:11 160:17	182:4	64:1
qualify 132:20	141:9 146:13	recommendations	regular 14:15 72:1	representatives
question 6:5,20,20	170:12 181:2	103:4 104:14	related 4:16 8:19	71:3
7:8,10 11:4,5 18:1	readable 126:23	125:19 150:20	110:14 147:8	representing 4:12
21:9 24:2,7 32:14	reader 13:8 20:5	152:21 153:3	151:1,17 152:22	request 6:19 25:7
35:1,9,10,12 36:1	readers 12:21	155:9 160:11	159:16 182:11	requested 41:3
42:4 46:2,8 55:16	reading 59:18	recommended	relates 117:2	182:21
67:12 68:17 71:10	61:15 66:22	37:11 119:9	118:25 154:8	required 96:4
75:12,13 76:8,9	182:21,23,25	record 4:11 7:16	relating 75:25	98:15 117:7
76:16 80:1,3,12	real 157:15	103:20 123:15	relation 182:7	127:17,20 130:5
80:16,17 81:14	realize 10:22	150:22	relationship 19:21	139:11 182:25
82:2 95:19,20	really 5:5 6:24	records 81:21 82:7	154:20	requirement
96:2 104:4,18	17:16 49:13 56:23	82:12 95:23 102:3	relatively 72:16	117:25 130:18,19
109:8 114:10	58:18 68:6,10	102:6 138:21	released' 149:16	133:7 138:23,25
118:4 121:2	72:7 92:25 104:4	146:25	relevant 21:24	144:15 146:20,21
127:17 138:5	114:8 134:25	<b>Red</b> 11:22,24 20:10	26:24	requirements
148:18 151:17	172:14 175:2	157:10 173:2	reluctance 113:3,8	127:15 130:7
162:21,22 169:24	180:1	Redirection 16:10	113:11	131:3,12 140:6
questioning 56:25	reason 6:17 75:13	reduced 182:8	remains 160:22,22	requires 117:19
questions 3:12 5:11	78:15 125:18	refer 28:5 68:20	remember 83:9	research 90:5,19
21:23 37:4 66:5	reasonable 127:25	104:19 128:1	85:8,18 179:17	resolution 31:3
67:3 77:20 78:23	reasons 16:13	reference 77:24	repercussions	resource 8:25 11:1
91:5 99:8 132:18	58:15 136:9	115:1	171:23	14:13 25:25 27:1
132:21 180:9	rebuilding 177:15	references 66:7	rephrase 80:1	38:17 44:5 45:6
182:9	recall 32:25 57:9	115:4	report 18:15,16,20	45:25 47:3 74:23
<b>quiet</b> 168:19	60:15 63:7 78:9	referral 121:20	18:22 19:8,10,12	75:4,8 77:25 93:3
quite 13:2 15:18	83:10,14 84:12	referred 19:4 28:15	19:14,14 45:19	93:7 98:9 109:5,6
16:10 47:11 134:4	85:5 86:11,18	66:10,11 126:9	49:19 50:11 51:5	176:14
139:5 141:15	88:8 90:24,24	147:4	51:7 70:15 110:4	resources 96:19
quote 160:12,13	91:10 92:14,15	referring 28:6	137:25 156:15,22	97:3,16,20 98:17
	94:2,2 96:16	85:17 139:17	156:25 170:12	115:4 120:23
R	105:17 106:24	refine 104:12	176:22	154:21,22 158:8
<b>R</b> 2:1	107:16,23 108:18	155:10	reported 118:21	172:24
	107.110,23 100.10	100.10	ported 110.21	1,2,2,

	I			I
respect 10:25 13:25	restrained 124:13	72:12,21 73:2	180:3,10	171:20 172:23
17:17 39:22 52:6	restraining 124:12	74:11,24,25 75:4	<b>role</b> 9:1 29:9 31:16	173:11 178:15
79:17 87:23	124:13,14,15	75:16 76:1 79:11	32:18 65:25	179:1
106:12 107:7	125:4	80:8 81:1 85:11	174:13	<b>safer</b> 178:9
113:18 130:5	restraint 30:19	87:11,17 90:16,23	roles 179:4	safety 5:10 10:12
140:5 149:4 153:1	restraints 12:4	94:13 101:15	rolling 32:6	10:13,15 22:14,14
174:5	31:1,8	103:5,25 107:3	room 5:24 15:23	23:18 24:10 30:2
respectfully 113:21	restroom 6:13	108:12 111:14,18	91:12,13	41:3 64:7 68:21
114:4	result 5:6 111:3	113:6 116:9	<b>ropes</b> 43:12	69:13 74:23,24
respecting 170:8	180:5	117:16,21 118:2	roughly 13:22	75:4,7 77:24 81:2
respective 105:12	resulted 39:23	118:22 120:20	round 85:2	81:4 118:1 122:22
respectively 5:8	results 110:6	121:4 125:21,22	rules 4:2 5:21	123:20 124:7,11
respond 8:13 11:25	111:12	126:12,13,16	<b>ruling</b> 127:13	132:4,8,11,22
65:5 70:21 132:17	reunification	127:3,9,18 128:2	run 16:12	136:11 139:20
145:5 166:9 172:6	177:11,11,15,17	128:5,18,19 129:8	running 12:24	144:17,22 145:9
responded 49:4	177:21	129:13,23 132:25		146:2,4,11,14,18
165:21 166:6,8,15	review 3:17 54:4,15	133:19,20 134:9	S	147:4,6,8 148:6
168:24	55:14 71:20 85:24	135:14 136:13,16	S 2:1	154:19 157:9,9
<b>Respondent</b> 1:9 2:6	86:7,16 88:16	136:18,19 138:6	sad 73:20 172:15	170:4,21 171:12
responder 8:13	89:7,12 90:5,18	138:21 139:14,20	safe 4:24 15:10,21	173:16 176:13
166:10 176:3	90:20 92:4 93:6	141:2,7 142:15,22	23:19 26:5 41:11	179:6
responding 38:24	94:2,4 96:14 99:9	142:22 143:16	44:20 81:1,9	safety/supervision
155:22	102:23 103:9	144:18 147:19,23	82:17,19 91:16	122:19 124:1
responds 37:16	110:5 122:3 127:2	148:6,11 149:2,21	100:17 112:12	Santa 121:17
response 8:14 9:20	130:21 138:19	149:25 150:16,25	170:25 176:12,23	Sarah 2:14
11:15,17 17:6,11	140:24 141:11	152:17,18 153:16	177:7	satisfied 38:25
26:3 31:25 32:1	146:18,24 153:10	157:17,18 159:8	<b>Safe2Tell</b> 17:9	save 43:5 173:4
37:24 49:22 51:11	156:5 157:3 159:1	159:12 160:21	22:21 25:8 27:10	saved 43:14 73:22
51:22 64:19,21	161:25 164:4	163:23 164:1	33:2,11 34:19	saw 18:7,9 57:23
68:7 69:12 101:17	165:1,15	165:22 167:1,8,10	42:13,21,25 43:4	67:22
134:3 156:17	reviewed 39:24	167:14 172:25	43:20,22 44:1,3,7	<b>saying</b> 42:6 56:19
165:14 166:24	54:24 55:20 72:10	rights 170:8	44:10,13,17 45:2	136:14 142:4
177:20 179:3	130:22	<b>rigorous</b> 98:11,13	45:11,18 46:5,9	172:18
responses 7:1	reviewing 54:5	98:14	46:13,15,17,21,22	says 5:24 50:9 60:7
responsibilities	91:8	risk 56:13 58:24	46:22 47:1,4,6,12	64:5 66:9 97:2
10:25 14:21 16:15	reviews 55:7 71:4	87:17 100:15	47:15,23 48:4,12	114:14 117:6
responsibility 8:21	141:6	111:17 112:22	48:17,21,25 49:1	121:5 127:24
11:18 29:18 30:9	revised 27:19	113:4 115:2 118:1	49:10,18,24 50:3	141:9,20 146:17
35:5 36:22 42:22	right 12:23,24	127:25 141:10	50:9,11,24 51:5,7	147:21 148:3
50:18 95:22 179:4	21:13 25:9 32:6,7	161:14 162:6	52:14 64:13 65:10 68:18 69:4 70:15	149:19 155:9
responsible 13:9,10	32:23 33:4,10,11	road 5:21 80:13		156:5 160:11
13:21 30:5 36:2	33:22 35:17 39:7	robust 92:13	70:23 75:21 156:6	scare 171:17
36:11,24 37:23	41:12 42:3 43:7	Roche 2:3 3:3 4:9	156:12,13,15,17	scenario 45:18
48:7 81:15 125:7	43:14 47:15 48:22	4:11 21:19 33:20	156:18,19,22,23	159:22,25
144:12 146:16	49:22 50:12 51:16	56:24 63:16,18,22	157:3,6,7,22	scenarios 155:5
173:8	57:10 59:17,24	64:1 66:23 67:2	158:8,10,13,13	schedule 44:23
rest 62:21	60:21 61:19 65:14	123:10,14,18	159:4,8,13,16,21 160:1 170:10,14	48:20 105:14
restorative 139:24	66:2 67:16 68:14	178:2,5 179:25	100.1 1/0.10,14	scheduled 45:10
			•	•

<b>school</b> 1:8 3:9,10	127:2,5 128:5,15	<b>Schools'</b> 92:12	57:6,14,15 59:12	Senate 11:22
5:10 7:24 8:2,24	129:9,23 132:11	93:20	59:13 60:1,2,5,6	send 22:7 42:9
10:7,12,13,15	133:7 134:20,24	Scott 86:20 107:15	60:14 61:23 62:5	176:6
11:1,2,12 12:18	139:20 141:10	Scouts 171:16,18	62:6,8,10,11	sending 22:13
13:3,19 14:1,2,4	142:7 143:12	171:19	63:12 64:8 74:17	sense 7:8 98:4
14:12,13 15:8,8	144:16,25 145:11	screen 44:13	74:20,21 75:5,14	124:5,24
16:3,5,9,10 17:11	145:13,15,18	screening 119:9,10	75:17,22 76:2,2	sensitive 73:19
17:23 19:16 21:22	146:3 147:18	screens 44:11	77:2,18,20,22	169:11
22:1 23:13,15	148:9,17 149:1,9	search 126:15	78:1,2 80:13	sensitivity 56:18
24:18,23 25:15,16	149:11 154:7	128:6 129:23	83:22 84:21 87:6	separated 124:16
25:22,25 26:5,25	155:5 156:17	140:6	87:19 90:6 91:3	September 67:14
27:1 28:24,25	157:5,16,16,24	searches 126:11	91:21 92:20 95:1	142:3 144:2
29:7,18 30:25	158:3,8 159:11,13	127:23,24 140:8	95:23 96:20 99:4	sequestration 5:14
31:9 32:1 34:7,12	159:19 163:8	153:2	99:5 102:16,18,24	serious 56:19 90:3
34:23 35:5,11,15	165:20,21 166:18	searching 140:4	103:3,6,7 104:16	102:20 112:10,11
36:2,17 37:1,8,13	168:13 170:4	second 21:17	104:17 110:23	124:4
37:14,15,17 38:14	171:25 172:6,21	second-guess	114:12,13 117:4,5	seriously 23:4,5
38:17 41:15 42:8	173:8 175:16	166:21	117:12,13,17	113:14,15,16,22
44:5,21 45:5,6,22	176:4,13 178:9,11	secondary 105:8	118:3 120:11,12	178:13
45:25 46:15,24	179:15,18,19,23	<b>Secret</b> 3:12 77:20	121:24,25 122:6	seriousness 178:12
47:3,8,18,19,22	school's 119:13	78:16 151:21	135:9,11 137:25	178:21
47:24 48:1,9,14	164:15 165:8	152:3,12	141:1 142:18	served 26:5
48:15,21 49:14	174:13	section 117:2	143:13 144:13,14	<b>service</b> 3:12 18:24
50:22 52:10,11	schools 3:6,8,17	126:19 131:10	146:13 149:17	77:20 78:16
53:10,12,13,19	4:24 7:20,23 8:13	133:16 137:14	150:22,23 151:7	151:21 152:3,12
54:1,10,12 56:4,9	8:15,22,25 9:1,2,4	164:8	154:10 155:11,13	services 18:17
58:4,9,22 68:22	9:7,25 12:12,14	<b>secure</b> 81:1 91:17	155:15 156:2,7,9	31:22 40:13 61:22
69:7,7,8 70:11	12:18 14:22,25	security 7:19,22,25	160:14,15 161:22	79:1 82:23,25
72:25 74:8,13,18	15:1,2,3,7,11,12	8:4,8,11 9:10,16	164:3 170:12	154:9,13
74:19,23 75:4,7	16:7,11 19:22	10:7,25 11:17,18	171:21	servicing 139:25
75:20,25 76:6	26:19,21 30:20,24	11:19 12:21 13:16	<b>seeing</b> 98:1 144:24	175:22
77:24 79:15 88:5	31:4 42:8 43:3,4	18:23 19:5,15,22	seeking 115:5	session 32:2 59:10
90:22,25 91:1,4,8	43:20 44:10,11,17	20:13 21:3 22:14	seen 32:9 41:1,17	85:16,19 96:14
91:12,16,19,23	45:1,10 46:5,19	27:16 29:17,24	41:19,20,21,23,23	159:11
92:4,16 93:3,7	47:5,9,15 50:14	32:18 34:20 36:19	41:24 43:5,10	sessions 29:21
95:24,25 99:3,24	71:5,8 75:2,7,23	36:22 38:21 40:17	52:20 58:7 67:3,6	155:6
100:6,9,22 103:14	76:4,18 77:17	41:5 50:12,19	67:6,13 78:3,5,7,9	set 37:10,10,12,17
104:8,8,15,19,20	85:21,23 88:1	58:21,22 64:6	78:10 84:12,18	37:17,20 38:16
104:21,24,25	89:22 94:3 101:3	65:24 66:4 79:14	110:12,25 133:21	44:22 49:11
105:5,21,22	101:20 103:17	93:3 100:1 122:23	135:16 138:2	105:14 145:12,14
106:17,21 107:22	112:12 121:20	131:22 132:3,10	142:18 145:7,24	setting 41:2
108:6 109:2,5,18	125:21 136:6	132:12,22 146:2	154:19 163:7	seven 60:3
113:22 114:5	140:9 155:2	146:19 165:3	seldom 16:5 23:3	sex 124:2,2,3,8
116:7,25 117:3,9	159:21 160:5	170:21 172:22	seldomly 14:3	shakes 7:1
117:25 119:14,15	165:4 171:15	175:16	select 113:3	share 115:9,9,14,15
119:22,25 120:7	173:22 176:13,23	see 20:12 33:10,25	semiannual 100:10	115:22,23
121:5,6 122:23	177:7 178:23	34:3,9 40:3 41:11	100:12	shared 21:14
124:16 125:9	179:13	41:13 44:8 51:10	Semple 2:8	115:19 117:16
	1		1	1

	i	-	i	
118:16	35:10,15,24 36:3	<b>slip</b> 94:6	158:25 172:23	132:15 155:23
sharing 81:10	38:12 56:17 57:6	small 43:8 64:12	specifically 28:13	157:12
she'll 176:10	57:13,22 58:5	154:22	106:9 138:15	started 10:18
sheet 84:10,11,12	98:19 99:3,11,22	<b>smart</b> 172:13	spitball 14:16	105:21 106:17,22
84:18,23	100:5,22 101:11	snapshot 142:23	142:14	142:3 152:10
sheets 84:19	130:22	social 25:17 71:14	<b>spoke</b> 18:4,6	165:22 175:4
shelter 9:24	similar 91:11 94:3	127:7 128:6	spot 32:17	starting 20:9
sheriff 69:17	167:11,19	129:16	spouting 169:13	starts 157:16
shooter 167:6	simple 104:4	society 74:6 124:10	Spring 3:8	state 4:6 75:8 117:7
<b>shooting</b> 16:18,21	simpler 55:17	170:16	SRO 21:25,25 22:2	117:18 136:5
17:6,18,25 18:5	sir 19:2,19 33:12	solidify 127:12	24:16,18 26:1	181:14 182:2,5
19:18 20:9,17	114:9 116:14	solutions 11:13	48:9,10,13 51:1,2	stated 11:19 24:14
21:1,18,20 24:7	<b>Sisler</b> 85:14	solved 109:9 125:8	131:22 145:17	30:8 34:15 38:21
24:12 25:6 27:16	sit 53:14 103:21	somebody 11:9	152:23 153:2,22	40:3,16 62:17
28:4 29:1 31:18	169:4	24:17 25:2 34:18	153:24,24,25	68:3 69:12 78:24
39:10 41:17 46:14	site 41:25 46:23	43:8 49:20 66:15	SROs 25:25 48:7	88:9,19,20 89:10
55:3 56:14 58:18	94:19 103:14	67:16 68:8 69:21	88:3 110:8 153:17	91:14 101:13
58:18 60:5,10,21	104:16,19,20	89:15 110:4	157:11	125:2 133:25
61:4 62:2 67:5	105:6 108:7 109:2	115:19 124:18	ss 182:2	134:22 150:4
71:23,25 72:16,24	109:18 161:21	132:17 141:24	staff 11:20 12:5,5	155:22 158:18
74:16 77:2,6 80:5	163:10,13,15	142:19 158:15	22:3 42:25 43:25	160:20,24 162:8
85:22 89:9 122:12	sites 47:6	170:18 171:22	44:6 45:4,19 48:5	statement 34:16
128:23 139:2	<b>Sitting</b> 158:16	172:11,16 173:4	48:5 53:10 56:18	statements 152:23
165:10,14,17,19	situation 14:6	somewhat 16:22,22	57:13,21 58:5,9	statewide 76:14
165:22 174:14	21:24 22:4,24	39:9,11 78:12	58:11,12,23 59:3	statistics 72:22
175:9 178:11	24:21 34:25 51:15	152:25	60:16,17 76:17,24	81:21 82:8,16
short 53:10 164:25	51:19,21 64:20	son 119:7	78:21 86:19 98:2	112:16
shortcomings	73:24 85:21 109:9	<b>Song</b> 108:3	98:19 99:7,10,25	stay 10:16 149:24
165:7	115:20 122:8	soon 49:23 103:15	110:14,19 111:16	step 68:24 125:19
shorthand 182:8	133:13 135:5	sorry 24:3,5 56:22	114:11,15,17	151:2
show 46:23 65:13	145:17 149:12	59:19,22 63:19	115:16 116:1	stepped 29:8
82:12 135:1	166:10 169:2,3	96:22 116:21	118:7,25 121:19	steps 68:19 92:23
showing 38:12	situations 8:14	169:17	121:22,22 122:18	102:24 103:2,4
44:13 157:17	10:4 12:3 15:6,18	sort 5:21 9:9 18:13	122:24 125:9	104:14 125:13
shown 61:2	22:22 30:18 32:19	19:20 47:17 75:14	126:24 130:23,23	127:21 150:19
shows 121:7	52:25 98:7 118:1	89:1 125:8 142:24	131:1 134:18	152:20 153:17
shut 32:2	130:2 136:8	177:15,25	135:4,20 147:21	155:9 160:11
side 13:17	148:24	sought 154:24	148:3,15 149:1	161:19
sign 153:25,25	six 27:10 49:14	sounded 121:3	155:7 158:19	Steve 2:7 5:23 6:12
signature 181:12	skipping 95:25	sounds 46:3 172:19	161:21 162:9,16	85:14
182:16	slap 6:8	172:22	163:11,15 166:7	stop 143:14
significant 80:14	sleeping 50:15	<b>space</b> 101:8	166:18 171:16	<b>stopped</b> 171:24
97:15 134:7	70:17	speak 6:7 46:6,25	<b>stamp</b> 33:18	stories 160:8
139:10	slide 32:15 42:13	99:17 102:12	stand 120:7 158:15	street 1:12 2:4,8
significantly 39:12	59:11,25 62:15,17	106:15 108:9	standard 23:8	19:1,2 177:22
signing 84:12	62:20 66:8 78:13	144:4 168:7	30:15 31:4,5	stressful 22:25
182:21,23,25	79:6	specific 35:11	standardized 92:9	strictly 19:12
signs 34:8,13 35:6	<b>slides</b> 65:13	128:1 148:19	start 104:8 105:22	<b>strike</b> 13:8,10 38:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		10 14 10 12 04 13		10.10.04.14.00.1
55:5 60:25 70:1	stuff 16:14 180:4	18:16 40:13 86:18	swing 74:9,9	18:13 21:16 22:4
92:3 102:10 120:7	<b>subject</b> 17:22 54:18	supervision 123:20	swipe 13:8	29:23 32:17,17
130:4	69:3 79:18 81:16	supervisor 24:17	swore 168:17	33:3 35:15 43:3,4
<b>strikes</b> 9:14 13:6	81:23 95:3,22	25:25	sworn 4:6 181:13	43:20 44:3,16,17
strokes 8:20	106:21 107:4	supervisors 19:4,7	182:6	45:10 46:4 47:4
struck 92:7	116:9 117:21	106:7	synopsis 8:19	47:15 64:25 65:2
struggle 115:17	119:2,16 136:1	support 24:25	<b>system</b> 8:17 11:21	68:2 100:17
struggling 115:17	139:12 145:10	84:22 138:3	13:7,14 20:2	103:21 111:23
<b>student</b> 3:6 15:15	148:10	supportive 59:6	29:11 30:9 43:2	114:7 134:24
15:22 24:24 26:24	subjects 80:25	60:8 61:12	43:10,16 62:19	136:1,10,17,22
34:20 38:10 48:15	submitted 157:1	supposed 21:15	64:16 78:22 121:4	158:12 160:4,7
50:10 54:19 69:3	subscribed 181:13	132:9	143:23,23	165:10 168:22
85:20 95:1,3	subsequent 16:20	Supreme 127:12	systematic 38:1,3,7	169:10 170:9,11
116:12,24 117:9	55:3 72:24	sure 7:3 11:24 12:5	38:9,10	171:22 174:9
117:10,12 121:23	success 160:8	12:24 17:11 23:19	systems 8:18 20:2	180:3
122:15 128:16	successful 81:1	25:18 26:1,2,3	179:23	talked 14:21 45:24
129:9 134:12	149:19	28:20 34:12 35:3	T	47:14 62:18 64:13
139:12,19,25	successfully 96:19	35:5 36:2 38:23		123:21 146:21
144:12,18 145:9	97:3,7,21 98:10	39:16 42:5,14	tab 94:22,23 95:2	150:24 153:4,11
146:16 147:21	98:18	50:17 55:18 57:2	table 5:8	162:14,15 177:13
148:10 149:16	<b>sudden</b> 112:17,20	57:21 63:11 66:18	tabletop 12:10	179:10
152:23,23 158:11	112:22 113:16	67:9 68:24 69:2	45:16	<b>talking</b> 6:2 16:17
159:3 162:18	suffered 172:2	69:20 70:19 71:14	tainted 68:14 168:7	22:12,13 41:18,22
student's 22:16	suggested 127:18	80:24 81:8 82:19	take 6:12,14,15,20	44:24 70:16,16
23:10 42:1 95:22	148:23	85:4 87:25 90:9	6:21 21:8 23:5,6	72:4 79:7 85:3
103:20 117:20	suggestion 120:4,5	111:8 112:12	23:21 31:9 32:7	99:6 104:22
126:15 128:6	120:6,8	113:1 120:13	37:21 42:21 47:17	107:10 111:5,14
132:3 134:25	suicidal 22:22	128:1 130:14	48:19 50:20 55:5	122:14 123:19,25
136:1 138:20	120:16 162:6,6	132:16 140:10	66:24 67:3,18	134:20 137:24
139:12 140:6	suicide 3:14 23:2,5	144:24 146:4	68:18 69:23 70:8	147:13 165:12
148:9 149:23	23:9 27:8 57:14	150:18 151:15	70:20 84:23 85:21	talks 41:10 110:13
150:22	80:15 100:15	157:23 158:7,9,20	113:23 119:5,8	132:24 137:15
students 17:5 31:11	112:23 154:18	158:23 166:22	120:25 123:7,11	140:23
42:9 45:5,6 46:9	161:14	173:5	126:22 133:9	tangent 51:25
46:17 47:23 48:6	suicides 58:6	surmise 65:19	148:15 164:7	target 79:23 147:22
48:20 79:18 80:6	Suite 1:12 2:4,9	66:21 143:24	171:10 178:2	targeted 3:10 74:19
80:7,13 81:16	summaries 78:16	Surmising 73:11	takeaway 167:5	79:23
119:1 122:23	summarize 155:10	surprised 134:25	taken 1:12 4:2 7:6	tasked 95:21
133:17 135:20	summary 3:11	surrounding 4:16	10:9,10 23:4	teacher 117:9
136:2 140:1 149:1	77:19 90:15	survey 41:15,16	31:16 67:1 113:14	118:14 135:7
149:19 157:5,14	156:16,18	42:8,11	113:15 123:16	teachers 45:4,12
157:21 159:16	summer 5:10 76:6	<b>Susie</b> 136:15,18	131:1,5 167:20,20	95:1,6 98:2
162:5 163:16	105:16,21 107:12	suspect 5:15	168:9 178:4,13	115:16,25 116:7
172:23 178:25	107:12 156:24	suspended 79:19	182:8	117:16,20 118:5,6
179:13	162:1	suspending 133:16	takes 25:12 68:24	118:22 135:5,20
students' 38:25	summit 176:13,15	suspension 80:14	70:9,9 170:24,25	139:13 148:5,9
studies 35:14	176:18,24 177:7	82:13	172:20	165:20 167:7
<b>study</b> 5:7,10 76:5	superintendent	suspicion 127:25	talk 5:16 6:12,13	168:14
	I	1	1	1

				-
team 14:11,11,12	180:2,5,7	170:10,22 171:5	3:15,17 14:14	129:12,22 130:6
20:8 34:25 37:6	thanks 75:18	171:19 172:2,19	17:22 18:7,9,10	131:11,21 132:25
37:14,16,20 38:14	thing 4:19 5:20	173:16 175:13	20:18,21 21:14	133:17 134:1,7,8
43:11 45:22 64:21	6:11 12:13 15:14	177:23 178:9	22:9,11 23:5,9,9	134:15,19 135:25
71:3 82:9 86:21	34:7 44:15 62:18	179:7,7,12,13,21	23:12 25:13 26:17	136:3,4,14,21
88:10 95:21 96:10	80:19 94:1 109:11	179:23	26:22,22 27:3,5,7	137:2,10,18 138:2
105:12 122:13	109:11 112:2	think 6:7 10:21	27:17 29:19,21	138:4,10,11,14
136:1 138:10,15	113:7 135:17	32:13,24 33:4	30:6,7,17 31:13	139:11,19 141:6
138:19 139:11	166:5,6 167:17	45:20 58:12,12	31:17,18 32:11	141:16 142:5,13
142:4 144:16	171:3 172:1	61:5 63:9 68:6,13	33:15 34:3 36:16	144:2,5,13 145:6
147:9 150:7	179:16	73:14 74:11 82:5	36:18,25 37:13,22	145:10,20 146:17
153:11 161:21	things 4:19 8:14	85:16 86:1,13	38:4,13 39:6,16	146:25 147:19
165:20	9:10,14,23 11:13	89:23 92:25 93:4	39:23 40:7,15,20	148:1,10,13 149:5
teams 36:8,25	12:20 13:16,20	93:5 94:6,8 112:9	40:23 41:1 44:4	149:17 150:6,21
37:22 44:4 64:18	15:4,16,17,17	112:14,20 114:16	49:19,20 52:1,6,9	151:22,22 152:4
78:17 101:1	16:6,7,11,23,25	115:21 118:9	52:12,13,21,22	153:10,18 154:1,3
103:14 104:16,19	20:7,8,11 22:6	121:8 123:8	53:2,6,11,15,17	154:6,10,13,17
104:20,21 105:6	25:4 26:18,20,20	125:11 130:24	53:18,24 54:8,11	155:20 160:12,21
108:7 109:2,18	27:4 31:22,23	133:14 134:3	54:12,13,16,23	160:22 161:2,13
136:22 161:21	32:6 35:16,18,20	135:16 137:4,6	55:7,9,19 60:25	161:18 162:4,10
172:22	36:18,20 37:18	139:23 140:2	61:3 65:3,9 66:1,9	162:15,25 163:4
technology 16:25	38:20 41:17,21,23	141:15,25 142:16	66:12 67:3,14,20	173:22 174:13
tell 6:14 7:13 27:14	41:24,25 43:1	143:2,7,14 145:2	67:21,23,24,25	threatened 17:21
30:12 34:11 35:4	45:12,16,16,24	155:25 168:23,24	68:9,17 69:4 71:5	threats 3:14 21:6
42:24 47:10,21	50:6,7 52:24	170:13,14 171:2	71:20 72:3,5,8,17	23:3 27:6 37:24
52:2 87:22 111:20	53:10 64:5,15,20	171:10 173:13,17	72:20 73:1,5,9	57:13 58:5 74:3
117:19 118:12	65:2 66:2,4 70:18	173:24 174:17	74:19 75:3 76:1,6	80:25 81:7 141:23
122:19 130:7	73:15 74:6 76:13	175:1,3 178:8,19	77:11,19 78:18	155:18,19
144:7 161:1,24	76:13 78:11 79:23	178:20 179:1,19	79:16,18,21 80:6	three 8:21 26:8,9
162:2 171:19	81:10 82:23 89:21	179:22,25 180:5	80:7,24 81:17,23	27:9 55:23,23
172:9 177:6	89:21 90:3 91:19	thinking 83:6	85:24 86:16 87:10	60:4,20 61:3 70:9
<b>telling</b> 120:12	93:24,24 94:6,13	<b>third</b> 9:19	87:14,23 88:7,18	86:14 93:16
tells 138:14	95:10,11,13,14	Thompson 25:16	89:8,13 90:21	147:14
template 39:5	98:4,5 99:16	26:15 29:8,13	91:8,23 92:5,12	three-people 14:11
ten 33:16 72:20	100:17,20 101:8	30:4 32:11 36:9	92:16,19 93:8,17	threshold 56:12
80:7 112:16	104:5 109:12	37:6 55:25 56:1	93:20 94:7,10,18	163:25 164:11,16
123:11 170:12	110:17 112:20	62:22,23 69:9	95:3,21 97:9,24	threw 14:16
term 19:6 30:13	115:5 120:3,22	70:6 71:6,15 72:1	98:11 103:18	throw 13:18 15:16
66:19	121:20 122:11	76:8,9,15 81:18	106:13 107:7,7,10	83:11
terrible 22:24	124:11,19 127:1,8	86:23,24 95:19	108:7 109:3	throwing 142:14
166:2	128:5 129:25	100:25 101:25	110:14 111:25	thunderstorms
test 6:12	134:4 139:7,14	102:2 126:5	112:9,11,16,18	10:3
testified 4:7 67:15	143:9 144:25	131:22 150:5	113:4,7,13,17,19	tie 115:24
testify 182:6	145:20 147:10	176:19	113:22 116:8	tiers 164:13
testimony 5:17	152:13 154:17,18	<b>Thompson's</b> 40:12	117:14,20,21	tight 122:19 123:20
181:4 182:9	155:6,25 156:3	thought 63:19	118:17 122:3,7,10	123:25 124:7
thank 7:16 75:14	162:13 163:16	64:22 94:4	122:12,13 124:6	127:17 130:5,15
111:4,11 114:6	168:6 169:6	threat 3:7,9,11,13	127:1,6 128:22	132:2
	•	•		•

tightened 131:19	163:17 177:7	85:16,18 87:10,20	11:13 12:3 45:3	91:21 94:18 95:4	
131:24 132:1,2	tool 43:5	87:24 88:2,3,4,9	45:14 80:3 142:21	99:12,15 100:11	
133:14,15	tooting 170:4	88:18,22,25 89:9	<b>trying</b> 10:23 12:5	105:25 106:6,13	
time 6:2 7:5 10:11	top 77:23	89:13 94:15 98:11	27:11 30:21,22	108:8 111:12	
10:14,19 12:25	<b>topic</b> 106:17 178:5	98:18,23 99:6,13	89:19 105:25	113:25 114:3,6,9	
16:14 19:17 20:9	<b>topics</b> 106:14	99:14,19 100:3,5	107:14 158:15	115:12 121:2,17	
20:20 21:13 23:7	tornado 10:2 12:14	100:10,12,21	166:21,23 167:10	126:1,4 130:10	
24:8,16 25:12	<b>total</b> 60:3	101:10,23 102:4	Tuesday 26:16	140:21 144:24	
32:4 34:22 49:17	<b>totally</b> 111:10	102:15,20 130:16	<b>twist</b> 66:20	150:12 151:11,21	
50:23 51:1 60:20	touch 57:1	131:7 154:15,16	<b>two</b> 14:18 26:8 37:4	152:1,8,9 163:8	
66:16 73:4 79:20	touched 72:14	154:25 155:5	44:24 85:8 86:14	167:15 176:12	
81:8,19,24 82:3	tough 112:2 169:3	157:4,20 159:20	86:14 105:23	177:18	
85:8 88:12 92:6	tout 171:1	159:25 160:1	168:15	understanding	
94:16 97:11	touting 170:4	161:20,24 162:4,4	<b>type</b> 14:8 22:11	11:25 35:9 66:10	
102:19 103:22	track 80:12 84:21	162:5,11,16 163:1	54:9 74:9 78:25	71:11 82:11 86:6	
105:15,17 107:3	103:18 150:20	163:3,4,11 165:2	79:4 102:14,19	129:18,19 146:1,9	
109:20 112:15	159:12	167:6,6 171:11	113:10 145:20,20	152:11 165:18	
114:5 119:4	tracking 61:21	172:23 173:2,6,11	155:17	167:24 175:7	
129:17 132:8	81:15 155:16,17	178:25	<b>types</b> 9:25 10:4	understood 20:20	
133:21 134:3	155:24 156:3	trainings 58:7,8	11:16 41:23 64:15	58:20 62:21 65:7	
142:1 144:2	<b>Tracy</b> 17:21 20:19	60:12,16 65:1	65:5 75:15 101:17	114:20,22,23	
145:13,14 149:25	113:20	77:5,11 83:8 84:3	112:18 134:1	119:20 126:23	
156:1,16 159:18	<b>tragedy</b> 4:22 74:9	84:3 89:20 94:15	154:21 155:17	140:11 147:15	
160:23 171:3	165:6,24 174:21	97:25 99:16 100:1	172:24 178:21	148:18 166:13	
176:7 177:9 178:1	train 46:9 48:20	100:15,18,20	179:2	176:9	
182:8	99:10	101:6,14 102:9	typewritten 182:9	underway 121:4	
timeline 103:11	trained 11:25	129:1 151:14	typically 19:14	unfortunately 10:3	
104:6,7	76:18,24	157:10,11 162:24	24:1,12 48:7	33:5 129:15	
timelines 102:24	training 3:16 10:7	transcript 182:9	49:14 75:15 85:6	unhappy 118:15	
103:7,8,10 104:5	11:20,20,23 12:2	transcription 181:3	101:5 133:22	uniform 39:5	
timely 37:23	12:6,7 20:6,10,11	transition 27:20	U	uniformly 39:17	
times 12:8,11 22:19	20:15 29:18,21,23	28:11	uh-huh 7:1	University 157:18	
22:20,23 25:4	30:5,12 31:5,6,17	transmitted 40:21	ultimate 41:2	unknown 74:10	
30:11 43:15 50:3	31:20,21 34:5	transport 177:22	Um-hum 47:16	unquestionably	
142:18	36:8 41:25 42:18	trash 15:16	85:15	114:16	
tips 22:21 36:18,20	42:24 43:3,25	traumatic 73:13	UNC 5:8	unsure 132:3,7,14	
36:21	44:23,25 46:16,23	treatment 119:23	uncomfortable	<b>up-to-date</b> 10:18	
title 7:17,19 19:7	47:2 48:8,11 57:6	120:3 121:6,7	111:18,24 115:21	10:19	
25:18,20 26:11	57:12,16,22 58:4	tremendous 94:9	underlying 54:16	<b>updated</b> 90:5,18	
99:3	58:10,17 59:5,10	tried 10:19	54:23	<b>uploaded</b> 94:19	
titled 74:18 77:18	59:15,25 60:4,9	trio 26:8 92:21 94:1	understand 4:25	urgent 89:24	
titles 37:11,11,18	60:15,20 61:2,9	95:11	5:18 7:10 10:20	usage 128:10	
today 4:13 45:9,10	61:10,17,19,21,22	trouble 43:9	10:23 12:15 20:23	use 6:13 30:19 31:4	
79:7 158:19	62:5,24 63:4 64:7	true 181:3 182:9	20:25 36:23 37:14	43:8,9 44:20,23	
told 5:15 21:4,10	66:1,8,17 76:21	truly 171:14	39:5,21 40:19	47:5 120:23 159:4	
21:12 46:13	77:5 78:22,25	trust 177:16	49:5 55:1 63:2	useful 174:18	
113:19 116:7	79:6 83:7,16,25	truth 4:6 182:7	78:19 88:4,5,13	usual 47:13	
118:22 147:19	84:9,19,25 85:11	<b>try</b> 6:7 7:13 11:3,10	70.17 00.7,3,13	<b>usually</b> 85:7 101:5	

utilized 77:4	111:8 140:10	169:9,16,19	words 35:20,20	62:1 63:3 79:13
121:18	178:6	170:19 171:5	66:20	79:14 80:2 81:2
	warner 34:18	174:20,20	work 5:8 11:11	88:14 90:7,11
V	warning 34:8,13,16	we've 13:2 17:15	14:25 15:7,8 16:7	98:13 108:13
vacation 105:16	35:6,10,15,23	43:10,15 51:16	16:8,10,14 18:23	110:18,21 141:4
valuable 43:5	36:3 56:17 57:6	52:10 66:23 91:24	19:23 21:24 24:20	147:6,16 166:3
139:23 140:2,3	57:13,22 58:5	110:9 123:10	25:21 27:13 38:16	year 12:11 47:9
<b>variety</b> 106:14	98:19 99:3,11,22	129:1 133:6,10	38:16 49:13 71:14	49:15 53:20 72:18
various 28:12	100:5,22 101:10	134:2 140:4 145:6	75:3 81:8 88:11	72:21 73:2 80:8
100:3	130:22	153:11 162:14,15	89:18 91:14,17	82:20,20 87:16
vary 145:16	warnings 101:14	169:7 171:2 172:4	95:7,9 112:2	91:16 100:8,9,9
verbal 7:1 30:18	wasn't 20:5 56:19	173:3,15	120:16 132:10	104:9 105:21,23
verbally 177:4	92:9 96:14 103:10	weapons 23:17	156:4 157:8 171:2	106:22 116:25
<b>verbiage</b> 66:14,15	104:6 113:8 116:6	149:10	171:4 173:13	129:10 130:17
versus 178:20	128:21 133:22	wear 12:22	worked 8:5 25:14	131:8 142:3 149:9
<b>video</b> 47:2,11	138:25 139:7	Web 41:25 46:22	91:20 103:12	163:8
169:14	143:23 144:1	47:6 94:19 163:10	156:23 160:5,6	years 7:25 10:10
videos 47:2,7 160:2	161:4 172:1	163:12,15	working 9:1,2	14:18 60:4,20
view 68:12,13	water 123:9	week 47:11 49:14	19:21,24 20:5	61:3 72:15 78:16
<b>violence</b> 3:10 35:16	way 8:5 10:3 14:15	157:17,22	24:21 25:22,22,23	78:17 85:3 143:19
38:13 74:20 81:7	15:19,20,21 20:20	weekly 146:18	31:24 38:18,18	169:5
99:4,24 100:6,22	37:19 39:4 49:9	159:10	52:14 91:20 98:6	young 142:25
143:22 171:25	55:15 58:19 65:22	weeks 167:13,20	135:10 163:9	143:22
violent 22:21 23:9	68:4,7 69:6 73:15	weird 135:2	170:22	youth 137:16
23:12 30:23	81:14 88:20 96:2	welfare 22:8,9,12	works 25:16 91:16	YouTube 47:7
vortex 139:17	100:11 101:18	23:10,16 24:10	153:22 159:4	
***	112:20 113:6	25:11,12 30:1	world 120:12	Z
<u>W</u>	117:23 120:11,21	41:3,8 49:22	worried 112:4	
wait 21:17 63:15	122:6 162:12	51:16 64:15 67:16	worth 27:13	0
83:20	171:5 174:24	68:21 118:2	wouldn't 28:7	1
waiting 69:16	179:16	wellness 25:17	174:14	13:6 111:25 116:13
waived 182:23	ways 156:7 179:20	went 43:13 156:18	wreck 123:6	128:18 139:18
wake 49:12	<b>we'll</b> 6:14,21 37:3	166:25 167:1	wrist 6:9	128.18 139.18 1:40 178:4
walk 4:18 103:25	130:13 152:14,19	169:1,2 177:12	write 126:18	1:45 178:4 1:45 178:4
130:7,11 152:19	we're 6:15 13:4	weren't 18:10	writing 66:15	<b>1:48</b> 180:12
walked 65:8	14:19 24:15 26:1	169:10	145:4 177:2	<b>10</b> 69:15 70:5
walking 90:15	26:2,3,19 32:4	WHEREOF	written 57:24 58:2	<b>10</b> 69:13 76:3 <b>10:54</b> 67:1
159:22	41:10 54:5,13,13	182:15	64:4 139:3 140:11	<b>11:09</b> 67:1
want 6:6,12 13:18 20:13 33:5 44:8	59:11 60:1 70:16	<b>Whitman</b> 101:7	wrong 6:9 119:7,8	<b>11.0</b> 5 07.1 <b>1113</b> 116:21,22
56:12 89:17 99:21	70:16 85:3 94:4	William 2:13	167:1,2,4	<b>1120</b> 2:8
119:1,11,12	94:13 103:25	witness 169:24		<b>113</b> 116:17,18
123:12,13,13	104:22 111:13	182:15	X X 1 102 21	<b>116</b> 3:6
136:13 140:23	117:2 121:6	witnesses 5:18 6:25	<b>X</b> 3:1 182:21	<b>12</b> 1:2,13 3:2 18:22
168:6 171:19,23	127:14 132:1	wondered 143:11	<u> </u>	103:15 105:17
174:5	134:1 137:24	179:8	yeah 16:4 21:20	106:20
wanted 4:18 46:3	141:14 142:5,6	Woodward 2:13	25:19 30:3 33:1	<b>12:18</b> 123:16
57:1 83:5,11	151:15 154:22	word 6:17	33:20 51:2 57:25	<b>12:35</b> 123:16
37.1 03.3,11	157:13 168:20	wording 58:13	33.40 31.4 31.43	
	ı			ı

				rage 200
<b>125</b> 3:13	129:9			
		7		
<b>12th</b> 180:13	<b>2014</b> 3:18 61:24	<b>7</b> 3:13 125:16,23,25		
<b>13</b> 136:21	85:10 146:22,23	126:3,4,7,13		
<b>1308</b> 2:9	176:14 177:8	129:21 153:5		
<b>13th</b> 16:19 17:2	<b>2014-2015</b> 73:4	<b>70</b> 73:5		
109:13 165:6	<b>2015</b> 1:2,13 3:2	<b>72</b> 120:18		
166:24 167:14	180:13 181:15	<b>72-hour</b> 120:18		
177:12	182:16,18	<b>77</b> 3:11		
<b>15</b> 69:16 70:5	<b>2015-0655A</b> 1:1			
<b>17</b> 3:17 86:1 87:13	<b>23rd</b> 146:23	8		
90:10,11 91:22	<b>24</b> 3:18	<b>8/12/15</b> 181:25		
96:18 110:13	<b>24/7/365</b> 31:25 32:4	<b>80202</b> 1:13 2:5		
125:13 150:14	<b>2400</b> 1:12 2:4	<b>80203</b> 2:9		
<b>17th</b> 1:12 2:4	<b>24th</b> 61:23 105:16	<b>86</b> 3:17		
<b>18</b> 182:18	164:4			
<b>181</b> 11:22	<b>25th</b> 182:16	9		
<b>19</b> 77:25	<b>26</b> 7:25	<b>9</b> 3:15 59:9 63:9		
<b>1999</b> 8:1,3,8	<b>27</b> 112:16	83:13		
	<b>28th</b> 83:24	<b>9:36</b> 1:13		
2		<b>950</b> 1:12 2:4		
<b>2</b> 25:9 49:15 50:15	3			
83:22,24 112:1	<b>3</b> 25:9 33:23 49:15			
126:12 132:24	53:12 140:23			
<b>2,000</b> 157:17,21	<b>32</b> 3:7			
<b>2006</b> 129:7				
<b>2008</b> 11:22	4			
<b>2010</b> 40:14 77:25	<b>4</b> 3:3,7 32:8,9 41:10			
83:7	49:16 57:3			
<b>2011</b> 3:8 57:9,21	<b>4049</b> 110:19,20			
59:15 65:8,13	<b>4056</b> 98:25 99:1			
78:13 83:7,24	<b>480</b> 13:1			
84:19	<b>483</b> 33:22,24 34:1			
<b>2012</b> 57:21 59:16	<b>491</b> 42:15			
64:5 65:16 84:19	5			
<b>2013</b> 17:2 27:22				
29:16 38:5 39:6	<b>5</b> 3:9 32:3 69:24			
39:14 50:23 55:3	70:1 74:17,18			
57:21 59:17 67:15	75:14 76:19,25			
70:1 76:6 82:1	<b>5,000</b> 13:22			
83:18 84:19 95:20	<b>50</b> 142:12			
109:13 113:23	<b>52</b> 142:11			
130:9 134:9,11	<b>580</b> 12:25			
138:11 144:2	<b>59</b> 3:15			
146:5,12 147:23	6			
148:8 150:11				
152:8 159:15	<b>6</b> 3:11 77:14,16			
174:1	<b>60</b> 73:5 142:12			
<b>2013-2014</b> 116:25	<b>69</b> 3:9			
			l l	