	JAG NO: 2015-0665A			
	DEPOSITION OF: ESTHER SONG - October 9, 2015			
	IN RE THE ARBITRATION OF:			
	MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS,			
	Claimants,			
	and			
	LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT,			
	Respondent.			
	PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of			
PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of ESTHER SONG was taken on behalf of the Claimants at 950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202,				
	on October 9, 2015, at 9:01 a.m., before Ashley D. Mahe, Registered Professional Reporter and			
	Notary Public within Colorado.			
	H+G			
	Hunter + Geist, Inc.			
	303.832.5966			

Your Partner in Making the Record

JAG NO: 2015-0665A		I N D E X	
	EXAMINATION October 9, 2	OF ESTHER SONG: PA	A(
DEPOSITION OF: ESTHER SONG - October 9, 2015	By Mr. Roche	2	
		INIT	
IN RE THE ARBITRATION OF: MICHAEL and DESIREE DAVIS,	DEPOSITION E	EXHIBITS: REFEREN	NO
Claimants,	Exhibit 36	The School Shooter: A Threat	
and LITTLETON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT, Respondent.	Exhibit 37	Assessment Perspective The Final Report and Findings of the Safe School Initiative: Implications for the Prevention of School Attacks in the United	3
DUBSHANT TO NOTICE the deposition of	Exhibit 38	States Threat Assessment in Schools: A Guide to Managing Threatening Situations and to Creating Safe School Climates	
PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the deposition of ESTHER SONG was taken on behalf of the Claimants at	Evhibit 20	Threat Assessment & Action Plan	,
950 17th Street, Suite 2400, Denver, Colorado 80202,			
on October 9, 2015, at 9:01 a.m., before	Exhibit 40	Progress Report	2
Ashley D. Mahe, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado.	Exhibit 41	Arapahoe High School, Faculty Meeting, Wednesday, February 12, 2014, 2:30 p.m 3:15 p.m.	2(
	Exhibit 42	E-mail to B. Pierson from Song, 9/10/13, Subject: Re: Karl Pierson, with e-mail attached	2:
	DEPOSITION E	EXHIBITS: (Previously marked)	
	Exhibit 3	Arapahoe High School Staff Handbook	
		2013-2014	
	Exhibit 4	Threat Assessment Best Practices and Procedures, Littleton Public Schools, Spring, 2011	
	Exhibit 5	Essentials of School Threat Assessment: Preventing Targeted School Violence	9
2			
A P P E A R A N C E S For the Claimants: MICHAEL J. ROCHE, ESQ.	Exhibit 9	LPS Threat Assessment/Danger Assessment Training Overview	
Lathrop & Gage, LLP 950 17th Street Suite 2400 Denver, Colorado 80202	Exhibit 10	Surveys, Culture/Climate Surveys, PBIS Surveys, Youth Risk Behavior Survey	
For the Respondent:  STEVE EVERALL, ESQ.	Exhibit 11	Littleton Public School District's Answers and Responses to Claimants' First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents	
Semple, Farrington & Everall, P.C. 1120 Lincoln Street Suite 1308 Denver, Colorado 80203	Exhibit 14	<del>-</del>	_
For Esther Song: J. ANDREW NATHAN, ESQ.	Exhibit 17		
Nathan Dumm & Mayer P.C. 7900 East Union Avenue	Exhibit 19	<del>-</del>	
Suite 600 Denver, Colorado 80237	Exhibit 24	Behavior Detail Report, Name: Karl Halverson Pierson Grade: 12	
Also Present:	Exhibit 33	Progress Report	
Michael Davis Desiree Davis Carol Lembke Lois McClure William Woodward Michael Jones Linda Kanan (Appearing Telephonically)	Exhibit 35	-	

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were taken pursuant to the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### ESTHER SONG,

having been first duly sworn to state the whole truth, testified as follows:

**EXAMINATION** 

BY MR. ROCHE:

2.2

- Q. Good morning, Dr. Song.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. I don't think that you and I have met before.
  - A. I don't think so.
- Q. But I am Mike Roche, and, as you know, I'm representing the Davis family in connection with the arbitration that we are conducting in conjunction with the Littleton Public School District. First, thank you for coming in. I appreciate it. I know you've got a lot going on.
- A. I know, and I appreciate you working with my schedule also.
- Q. Absolutely. I'm going to guess, and I may be wrong, but I'm going to guess that you have not been through a deposition previously?

happens, I ask a question that doesn't make sense that you don't understand, will you tell me so I can try to fix it?

- A. Yes. I'll do my best.
- Q. Perfect. The other thing that I think it's important to know as part of this process is this arbitration is not about recovering any money, the Davises aren't seeking any damages in this case. This is not about trying to prove whether somebody or some institution was negligent. Do you understand that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. It is important, though, because there are -- what this arbitration is about is looking back and looking forward. The backward looking component is trying to figure out how the tragedy on December 13 happened, and the forward looking part is trying to figure out how do we stop it from happening again.

Okay. So all of my questions, and I know some of them are going to be difficult, are done with that in mind. It's not about casting blame. It's about stopping this from happening down the road. Okay?

- A. Yep.
- Q. Do you want to take a minute now?
- A. No, I'm fine. I'm sorry for being

- A. Right.
- Q. So I know you've met with Mr. Nathan about how the process works, and I certainly don't want to intrude into what the two of you have discussed, but there are some rules of the road that I go over with every witness. First, this is not a marathon. So we're going to try to take a break every hour or so anyway, but if there comes a time during the deposition that you want to take a break for any reason, just tell me, and we'll take a break.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Even if it's ten minutes after our last one, that's okay.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. The second rule of the road is Ashley is really good at her job, but she's only got one machine, so it makes it -- she can only take down one person talking at a time. So I'm going to do my best to not talk over you, and it would help if you do the same with me. Although it might seem conversational, it's very important to get a clear record on this.

The third thing, and, again, I tell every witness this, there will come a time when I ask a bad question that doesn't make any sense. When that happens, it's not an if, but a when, when that

<sup>1</sup> emotional already.

- Q. Look, this is an emotional topic, I understand that. It's emotional, frankly, for all of us. But I meant it when I said, if you need a break, just tell me.
  - A. Yes, I will. Thanks.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's start with some of the simple stuff.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Tell me about your education and your background.
- A. So I had my -- I got my clinical psych degree from Tufts and went to DU for grad school and got my PsyD in clinical psych in '99. And then -- so my specialty was in forensic at that point, and I worked for Dr. Wilson with Colorado Assessment and Treatment Center and with John Nicoletti. And then left the country, went to Antarctica and worked for Nicoletti as a subcontractor, and then came back and started working in the Cherry Creek School District in 2001.

And first did a -- sorry, I'm trying to think. I might have worked in the school district in 2000 for covering for somebody who went on maternity leave. And then when I returned from Antarctica in

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

2001, went to Overland High School and worked at Overland High School for four years, full time. Then halftime at Overland High School and the Manor, their alternative high school. And then left in 2008, went to Arapahoe, from 2008 to 2014 -- '13, sorry, 2013. And then left and went to Prairie Middle School in 2000 -- sorry, 2013. Is that right? Sorry, 2014. I'm sorry.

# Q. Let's do this. Let's get a list of the schools, and then we'll work back into the dates.

A. Okay. So right now, I'm at Grandview High School.

#### Q. And it's out in Aurora?

A. Correct. And then last year I was at Prairie Middle School.

#### Q. Okay.

A. And before that, I was at Arapahoe from 2008 until the time I went to Prairie Middle School.

# Q. Okay. So let's start with your time with Nicoletti. You said you worked for Dr. Nicoletti in Antarctica as a subcontractor?

A. Correct.

### Q. Was that the only work that you did with Dr. Nicoletti?

A. No. Prior to leaving for Antarctica, I

police officers on stress management --

A. Correct.

#### Q. -- concerns?

A. Well, I was doing workshops for them primarily and then -- so it would be an eight-hour workshop during the day. It was just part of their training. And the therapy that I would do would be with -- it was usually with their children. I specialized in young adults, teenagers and young adults. So it was usually with their kids.

# Q. All right. But, again, on stress management?

A. Just, I think, everyday issues that they were -- that they had going on in their lives. Not necessarily stress management, but it could have been stress, it could have been school-related or related to family issues.

# Q. All right. And was your work with Dr. Nicoletti full-time work?

A. No, it was part time. That's when I was also working for Dr. Wolfsohn.

#### Q. And who is Dr. Wolfsohn?

A. He is -- well, I don't know if he has since retired, but he was a psychologist in the district who did work with Department of Youth

would do stress management workshops and communication -- verbal communication workshops for some of the sheriff's departments and fire departments in the area and did some therapy with some of the children of fire and sheriff's departments, officers.

### Q. Okay. And during what time period you were doing that work for Dr. Nicoletti?

A. Probably '99 to 2001. I think I may have started in '98 with him, during my last year of grad school.

### Q. Okay. And when did you graduate from DU with your PsyD?

A. '99.

Q. Oh, that was in '99?

A. Correct.

### Q. Okay. And then from '99 to '01, you worked for Dr. Nicoletti, or maybe '98 to '01?

A. Right. '99 to -- October 2000, I left for Antarctica, so I was still working for him, and when I came back to the States in June of 2001, is when I stopped working for him.

Q. All right. And during your time with Dr. Nicoletti, it sounds like you were working most --setting aside your Antarctica piece, which we'll get to, you were working mostly with firefighters and

Corrections. And so I would do groups and individual counseling with kids that were in the youth correctional system, and I would go to halfway houses and run group there or do individual at the office.

### Q. Okay.

A. So I was subcontracting for both of them.

# Q. Got it. And what were you doing in Antarctica?

A. I was trying to set up an employee assistance program essentially for the staff and employees of the United States Antarctica program.

#### Q. Okay.

A. So at that time, Raytheon had taken over that year for Antarctica Support Associates. So they had contracted with Nicoletti that -- they had been contracting with Nicoletti prior to that point to do assessments to see if people would be fit to winter over or if they were -- if they were appropriate candidates to, you know, extend their stays down there. And the year that Raytheon took over, they decided that they wanted to try to actually set up a program down there. So I had volunteered to go. I wanted to go.

#### O. Got it.

A. I went down there for him.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

15

16

13

Q. How long were you there?

A. From October through February of 2001.

Q. So you didn't have to winter over?

A. I did not have to winter over. I would have liked to though.

Q. I actually have a friend who did.

A. Yeah, it's quite an amazing experience.

Q. That's what I hear. After your time with Nicoletti and Wolfsohn, you said you switched to working for Cherry Creek School District sometime around '01?

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. And what caused that shift on your career path?

MR. EVERALL: Just one second. Just remember to answer out loud, because the court reporter has trouble with uh-huhs and nods.

 $Q. \ \ (BY\,MR.\,ROCHE) \ Oh,$  sorry. I meant to do that.

A. Okay. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?

Q. Sure. After your time working for Dr. Nicoletti and Dr. Wolfsohn, you moved into the Cherry Creek School District sometime around 2001, correct?

halftime 2005 to 2008 at Overland High School. And then halftime at the Manor, their alternative program.

Q. And you were halftime at Manor from '05 to '08?

A. Correct.

Q. And what prompted the move to Arapahoe High School in 2008?

A. I really -- I was looking for a full-time position. A couple of years after I decided to do the halftime/halftime split, I decided that I really wanted to be one place full time. There weren't any openings in the district, there wasn't any movement at that time. And also Ron Lee, who was my mentor when I first came in the district, he actually went to Littleton Public Schools earlier that year. So I just -- the position came up, and it ended up being -- seeming like a good fit.

Q. Okay. And you were at Arapahoe from '08 until when?

A. 2014.

Q. And, I guess, I don't know, did you finish out the '13-'14 year at Arapahoe High School?

A. I did.

Q. And then left --

A. I left the following school year.

14

A. Correct.

Q. And what prompted that change?

A. Prior to leaving for Antarctica, I had worked covering someone on maternity leave in Cherry Creek Schools and had enjoyed that environment, I guess. I was working in an alternative middle school program for grades 6 through 9, and it was only about two months, two-and-a-half months. But when I came back from Antarctica, to be quite honest, I was trying to figure out which direction I wanted my career to go in and really wanted to be able to blend -- be able to blend traveling and continuing to work. So when I had gone to Antarctica, we had an around-the-world ticket, so I was able to travel on the way home. So that was an important piece to me. So I came back and contacted Cathy Lines, who was the director of mental health, I think that was her role at the time, to see if there were any openings in the school district.

Q. Got it. And when you started with the Cherry Creek School District, your first assignment was at Overland High School?

A. Correct.

Q. And how long were you at Overland High School?

A. Full time from 2001 to 2005, and then

Q. So when did you start at --

A. So August 2014 was when I started at Prairie Middle School.

Q. Were you still employed by Littleton Public Schools through the summer of 2014 up until you started at Prairie Middle School?

A. I think -- yeah, I think our contract was through the end of July.

Q. Okay.

A. I'm not quite certain what the contract dates were, but I had accepted the position in Cherry Creek Schools at the end of May.

Q. Okay. And you were at Prairie Middle School for one school year?

A. Correct.

Q. And then you started at Grandview at the beginning of the current school year; is that right?

A. Correct.

Q. And were you the -- either the school psychologist at those different schools or one of the school psychologists at those schools throughout that 15-year history that we're talking about?

A. At Overland, I was the only school psychologist, but we also had a social worker. At the Manor, I was the only school psychologist, but it was

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

17

also a school of 30. At Arapahoe, I was the only school psychologist. And Prairie Middle School, there was myself, and then one-and-a-half social workers. And then I'm one of two school psychologists at Grandview.

### Q. Okay. And tell me, if you would, what is -- what are your job responsibilities as a high school psychologist?

A. Meeting with students, when they're having difficulties, going into the classroom, and observing kids doing testing for special education evaluations, consulting with special education teachers, consulting with gen ed teachers as well if there's concerns, working closely with counselors, suicide assessments, threat assessments. We do presentations in health classrooms or in student seminars based on needs, groups at times.

#### Q. Okay.

A. Working with parents and families, consulting with outside agencies.

Q. Now, was it a part of your job at Arapahoe to make outside referrals? You mentioned consulting with outside agencies. Was that a regular part of your job to refer students who needed mental health care to outside agencies?

24 25 well, which talks about the counselor assignments.

- A. We would talk with parents about if we felt like they might -- if students might benefit from more mental health services. So we would make recommendations to parents if we felt like that would be helpful. But, you know, if we had a suicide assessment and we felt the student needed to go to the emergency room or get further evaluated, we would make those recommendations to parents. But I would -- you know, we would make recommendations to Second Wind Foundation if there were students who needed counseling and they couldn't afford it. So, yes, you know, we would make -- we would have contact with outside agencies quite a bit.
- Q. Okay. And how often in a typical school year when you were at Arapahoe would you make recommendations or referrals to a parent that their student should maybe consider going and getting professional mental health treatment?
- A. I really can't say with certainty, but I would -- I would -- I mean, it really was as-needed. I don't know if I could give a number, because I feel like on any given week, it could have been one child, or it could have been the next month I could have had a student that I would have a conversation with the parent. But, again, I mean, it was kids who were

coming to our attention because either parents were calling and asking for help or they were coming up -there was maybe continued issues that might be coming up, so . . .

- Q. Okay. Let me try to get at it this way. It wasn't an uncommon occurrence for you to recommend to parents that they get some form of mental health treatment for a student in your charge, was it?
  - A. It wasn't uncommon, no.
- Q. Okay. As I'm sure you've heard, we've had a number of these depositions, and we've looked at a lot of documents already. I promise we're not going to go through everything you see in front of you, but there are a few things I wanted to ask you about. So I'm going to ask you to take a look at this. And if you want to turn your attention to tab 3. As you can see, tab 3 is the Arapahoe High School Staff Handbook for the 2013-2014 year. Is that a document you're familiar with?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you jump ahead to -- you'll see in the lower right-hand corner there are page numbers, LPS 013 something, something. If you'll jump ahead to LPS 01397, and you can look at the previous page as

You see you're listed there as the school psychologist --

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- in the counselor assignment section?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then on the next page, that 1397 page, it talks about the counseling responsibilities. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- O. And does that outline the responsibilities that you as the school psychologist and the other counselors listed were expected to perform at Arapahoe High School?
  - A. Not my responsibilities, no.
- Q. Okay. Are your responsibilities listed somewhere in this document?
  - A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
- Q. Okay. And that was one of the questions that I had, because this list of counseling responsibilities doesn't really even remotely match up with what I envisioned your job would be. So, for instance, on this page there's no mention of any safety issues or suicide assessments or threat assessments, but those were clearly part of your job, right?

20

21 23 1 A. Correct. 1 don't know --2 2 Q. Okay. Q. Okay. During your time as a school 3 3 psychologist prior to December 13, 2013, do you know A. -- if it's mandatory. It wasn't 4 how many threat assessments you had performed? 4 mandatory in Littleton. It was for mental health. 5 5 A. No, not off the top of my head, but I O. Okav. But not for administration? 6 6 would guess anywhere from five to ten. A. Not that I'm aware of. I think it was 7 7 Q. Okay. And I can tell you, because I've best practices. 8 8 got the documents, that you're probably pretty close Q. Okay. And who performed the training at 9 9 to right because I know you performed two at Arapahoe the Cherry Creek School District on how to perform a 10 10 between 2008 and the threat assessment that you did on threat assessment, whether it's the full training or 11 11 Karl Pierson. So you're thinking you did somewhere the annual refresher? 12 12 between three and seven in the previous -- at your A. I mean, there's been various people, but 13 previous positions? 13 it was Dr. Ron Lee, Dr. Linda Kanan, Marla Bonds, 14 14 A. At Overland, right. I mean, I can Dr. Bonds' husband was involved. 15 15 remember two off the top of my head, so I'm guessing Q. Was it always taught by somebody with a 16 16 there might have been one or two -- I don't know. doctorate? 17 17 There might have been one or two more. So that's why A. I think so. 18 I'm saying maybe five to ten total, but I don't know 18 Q. And how long would the training at Cherry 19 19 with certainty. Creek last? Was it a full-day thing or a couple of 20 Q. But less than one a year? 20 hours or do you remember? 21 21 A. Correct. A. I can't recall. I feel like the main --22 22 Q. Were the two you remember off the top of the big training is maybe a full day, and then the 23 23 refresher is a half day, but I don't know off the top your head at Arapahoe, or were they at other schools? 24 24 A. At other schools, at my other school. of my head. 25 25 Q. Okay. And can you tell me what reading Q. All right. 22 24 1 1 or training you had received on how to do a threat A. And I'm not -- sorry. 2 assessment as a school psychologist? Q. That's okay. Go ahead. A. In either district? 3 3 A. I'm not sure if that also includes the 4 4 O. Yes. suicide assessment training piece. 5 5 A. We had trainings through the mental Q. Okay. And my understanding is that the 6 6 health team in Cherry Creek about how to implement or threat assessment training that was done at Littleton 7 7 how to do a threat assessment and how they would do Public Schools was a two-hour program? 8 it. And then you were expected to do a refresher 8 A. I don't remember. 9 9 every year, but every three years to do, you know, Q. And it was taught by Nate Thompson? 10 10 another training. And at Littleton we also had -- I A. Most likely. 11 remember going to at least one training on threat 11 Q. Do you remember --12 12 assessment, but I don't recall. A. I mean, I'm guessing that it was because 13 Q. Was the training at the Cherry Creek 13 he was the head of the mental health team. 14 **School District mandatory?** 14 Q. Do you know, does Nate have a 15 15 professional degree?

A. For mental health?

Q. For the mental health professionals.

A. Correct.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. What about for the other people who would be involved in the threat assessment process?

A. I'm not sure if it was mandatory. It was always best practices that administration and counselors had the training, but I don't know if it was -- I don't even know now if it is actually mandatory. I think that it's expected for people or at least for people who are on the safety team, but I A. Correct.

correct?

A. He has an LCSW.

Q. Correct. Do you recall during the training that you received on threat assessments at Cherry Creek School District, was there more than one person who performed the training, or was it just one person at a time?

Q. He does not have a doctorate, though,

A. There's -- I feel like -- and I don't

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

27

25

know if I'm confusing times that I have been trained, but I feel like there's always been multiple people involved in the training. So kind of tag teaming, if you will --

#### Q. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. -- on the subject. I feel like there's collaboration between several professionals that will present the information. So I don't think it's one person that's been there the whole day or for the three hours or four hours that you're there.

Q. Okay. And when you performed threat assessments in the Cherry Creek School District, who would typically attend those or who would participate in those?

A. The mental health team and the administrators and some counselors. And not all of the administrators, but there would often be one or two from the building and a counselor or counselors. All of the counselors actually in Cherry Creek are also trained on the process.

Q. And I guess one of the things I'm trying to get at is when you performed threat assessments at the Cherry Creek School District, how many people would be involved in a given threat assessment?

A. Usually -- usually at least three. So

the form together, but I don't know if it actually entailed filling it out together.

Q. And when you actually performed a threat assessment at Cherry Creek, was it reviewed as a matter of course by some other professional?

A. Can you clarify?

O. Sure.

A. I'm not sure what you're asking.

Q. No, that's totally okay. Was it a standard practice at Cherry Creek School District to get some kind of second opinion on the conclusions that the threat assessment team had reached in filling out a threat assessment at Cherry Creek?

A. I think if we felt like they were -- if they were on medium or high level concern, we would often consult with people at the district level, but not typically with a low-level threat.

Q. Okay. And did you do any continuing education or training on threat assessments as part of your professional development after you started working at school districts?

A. I've been to safety conferences that have been statewide, safety conferences I've been to. The Colorado Society of School Psychologists would have presentations on different safety concerns. I don't

26

typically in Cherry -- are we talking about Cherry Creek Schools before or now or --

#### Q. Before.

A. Before. What I recall, because that was still a while ago, was that we would have at least two. Usually we'd have the SRO or -- and I don't -- I say usually, but I don't think there was a usually because we didn't do them with regularity. But when I did do them, what I recall is that we would have a counselor, myself, and the administrator and sometimes an SRO.

Q. So three to four people would participate in the threat assessments at Cherry Creek?

Q. During the training that you received at **Cherry Creek School District on performing threat** assessments, did that training include any role-playing as part of the process?

A. I don't remember exactly, but I feel like we would often do tabletop exercises and, you know, have exercises at -- within the group at the table.

Q. And did your training at Cherry Creek also include actually filling out the form threat assessment document that Cherry Creek used?

A. I don't remember. I know we've gone over

know if it was necessarily on a threat assessment. I'm sure there were some that were. But, yes, I have

and continued training on that.

Q. Okay. And do any of those programs or continuing education efforts that you took -- are they something that stand out in your mind as being particularly relevant to how to perform a threat assessment?

A. I think they were all relevant in helping us continue to look at what factors to be aware of and what things to look for in identifying potential threats.

Q. Okay. Did you -- when you performed the threat assessment on Karl Pierson, did you go back and review any of the training materials that you received from any of those continuing education programs?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Okay. And you mentioned you attended some safe school programs. Were those put on by the Colorado School Safety Resource Center?

A. Yes.

Q. And is that something you attend annually?

A. No. I -- no, I didn't. Sorry. We didn't get to do -- I didn't have the opportunity to 28

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

31

32

29

do a lot of trainings outside of the building.

#### Q. Okay. Just a money issue?

A. That and time. I think the resources within the building, it was hard to have more than one person out at a time logistically.

Q. Okay. I'm happy to mark these or just show them to you. My question is going to be, have you seen this or read this as part of your continuing education? The first one is a department of justice FBI document titled, "The School Shooter: Threat Assessment Respective."

A. I've seen it.

Q. Is this something that you had read prior to December 13, 2013?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So you had seen this since that time, since the shooting at Arapahoe High School?

A. I don't know. I feel like I've seen it at a training or, you know, this may have been mentioned of, but I don't think I've had it in my possession --

Q. Okay.

A. -- to look at.

Q. Fair enough. I'll grab that back.

MR. EVERALL: Shouldn't we make that an

page on the right side it says, "The Secret Service considers threat assessment to be as important to preventing targeted violence as the physical measures it employs." Do you see that?

A. I don't, sorry.

Q. Oh, I'll help you. Now that hopefully you've had a chance to look at that, my question to you is, do you agree with that, that performing threat assessments is as important to preventing targeted violence as physical security measures?

MR. NATHAN: Esther, if you need to read the surrounding documents to put it in context, feel free to do so.

A. Can I take a minute?

#### Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Yes. Absolutely.

A. I can't speak to the physical measures without taking -- I don't know -- I feel like I can't -- I know that threat assessments are important to helping prevent violence, but I don't know -- I can't say with certainty if it's the same or as important as physical measures. And I don't know if you're -- I don't know if that would be the case in the schools.

O. And I'm just asking for your view.

A. Right. Because this is -- I mean, yeah, I don't know. I don't -- I can't speak to the

30

1 physical measure piece.

Q. Okay.

A. But I do think that threat assessments are an important tool in helping to prevent school violence.

Q. And I guess one of the questions that that prompts is if threat assessments are such an important tool in preventing school violence, why, prior to December 13 of 2013, were so few being performed at Arapahoe High School, for instance?

A. I don't know. I can say if concerns were brought to our attention that warranted a threat assessment, they would have been conducted. I don't know if those concerns were being shared with us.

Q. And that's what I'm trying to get at. As I mentioned at the beginning of this deposition, one of the things that is front and center of this arbitration is how do we help schools do a better job of preventing the kind of violence that occurred at Arapahoe High School in 2013. And one way may be to do more threat assessments and certainly more comprehensive ones. So given that in the four years prior to -- three years prior to Claire's death, there were only two threat assessments. That just, frankly, surprises me. So my question is, can you give me any

exhibit?

MR. ROCHE: Yeah, I'm happy to make that an exhibit.

(Deposition Exhibits 36 and 37 were marked.)

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And what's been marked as Exhibit 37 is a document titled "The Final report and Findings of the Safe School Initiative: Implications for the Prevention of School Attacks in the United States." And, again, this is a Secret Service FBI report dated July 2004. Is this a document that you would have read or studied prior to the shooting at Arapahoe High School in December of 2013?

A. I've seen it, and I know I've read it, but I don't know how far -- I mean, it wasn't anytime in close proximity to the shooting. It was at some point, I'm pretty sure, when I was in Cherry Creek Schools.

Q. Okay. Then this one I will ask you a couple questions about, and I'm going to direct your attention -- the print is tiny -- to chapter 1 of this document, page 5. And I'm in the sort of bottom right --

A. Okay.

Q. -- portion of it. In the middle of the

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

35

33

information on why there were so few threat assessments at Arapahoe High School between 2008 and December of 2013?

A. I mean, I honestly think that they -- it wasn't really part of the culture that Booth had set up, the prior principal, that a lot of the concerns that would come up, we would take care of in problem-solving meetings and have -- I don't feel like it ever got to a point where there were threats like that made, or at least I wasn't made aware of them. But if there were -- I feel like if there were concerns about a student, we would have problem-solving meetings. So I honestly can't answer why there weren't more conducted. I don't have an answer to that.

O. Well, let's talk about the culture at Arapahoe High School, because that certainly is one of the things that we want to explore as part of this arbitration. You mentioned that the culture that Ron Booth had set up was one that didn't lend itself or encourage extensive use of threat assessments; is that a fair statement?

A. I don't know if it discouraged the use of them. I think that it just -- a lot of the problems seemed to be taken care of internally.

try to figure out if there were other factors or how we could help support that student. And sometimes it was a good meeting because the -- not sometimes, it was always a good meeting because often the teachers could learn from each other what was helpful in their classroom, and it might benefit another teacher.

Q. And one of the reasons that failing grades could be a trigger for that kind of meeting is because a decline in grades could be a sign of some other underlying, more serious problem?

A. It could be. I think -- I don't know if the teachers were digging that deep at that point. I think that when they noticed the student has failing grades, they would often bring that up to the counselor. If the counselor had gotten similar reports from other teachers or if they would come up -- if there were other issues that might have come up with discipline, sometimes those same kids -- their names would come up. So they would have a meeting at that point.

Q. Okay. And when we're talking about failing grades, I'm going to bounce around a little bit and ask you to look at Exhibit 3 in this book again and at page 1404. And you'll see in the bold language of numbered paragraph 10 -- again, we're in

34

36

#### Q. Okay.

A. Like with having meetings with families and with teachers, we'd have -- and I can't remember what they called them there, but we would have like problem-solving meetings with everyone to discuss a student, the student's behavior, and with the parents to see how we could -- if there were solutions we could come up with.

### Q. And would Mr. Booth generally participate in those meetings?

A. No. It depended on the family or what the issue was, but typically there would be an administrator, counselor, myself, the gen ed teachers, and the student and parents or a parent.

Q. And these problem-solving meetings would involve sitting down, talking with the parents, talking with the teachers, and trying to address whatever --

A. If they were failing --

#### Q. -- concerning behaviors there were?

A. Right. And a lot of times it was failing grades or if there was -- if there were -- typically it was if they were poor grades, and then that would spark the conversation about what was going on with that student. So we would gather everyone together to the staff handbook -- it says that "Teachers are expected to telephone parents of students receiving an 'F' grade. Counselors are to telephone parents of students receiving two or more failure grades." Do vou see that?

A. Uh-huh.

### Q. And the reason for that is just what we discussed, correct?

A. Correct. So that we could try to problem solve before it would escalate into a bigger concern.

Q. Okay. Now, when it refers to counselors there, do you understand that to mean you or somebody else?

A. The guidance counselors.

### Q. So are we talking about Ms. Lou Ellen or are we talking about the grade level folks?

A. So Mrs. Lou Ellen is the -- was considered a post grad counselor, but she was not a counselor.

#### Q. Okay.

A. I don't know if that -- but I -- it means the counselors. To my understanding, it means the counselors, the guidance counselors, the four that we

Q. So, for instance, in Karl Pierson's case,

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

2.4

who was responsible for calling his parents and saying, Karl has got a number of F's on his transcript right now, during the fall semester of 2013?

- A. According to this, it would be the counselor.
- Q. Okay. But I'm asking for who that person would have been.
- A. I'm not sure if it would have been Kelly Talen at that point or Astrid Thurnau, because Kelly was on maternity leave, so I don't know when she came back.
  - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. So I don't know when one -- I don't know when Astrid left and when Kelly came back.
- Q. Okay. Now, going back to the mention you made of the culture at Arapahoe. There is a document, and I'm going to try to find it for you. If you'll look at Exhibit 10 in the binder, you'll see it's just one slide from a very long PowerPoint that was produced to me by the Littleton Public School District as part of this arbitration. And my first question is, do you recall seeing a PowerPoint presentation as part of your time at Arapahoe High School related to culture and climate surveys?
  - A. I don't, but I'm sure that there was one,

Q. And first off, do you recognize Exhibit 38?

- A. I do.
- Q. And Exhibit 38, for the record, is a July 2004 document, again, a Secret Service Department of Education report titled "Threat Assessment in Schools a Guide to Managing Threatening Situations and to Creating Safe School Climates," correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And have you read Exhibit 38?
- A. I'm assuming I did, because I remember having it, but it may have been ten years ago that I read it.
- Q. Okay. As best you can recall, this is something that you read prior to December of 2013?
- A. Yeah, I don't know how thoroughly I read it, but I know I had it.
- Q. Okay. And you will see partway through there's a Chapter 5 that discusses how to conduct a school threat assessment. Do you see that? I'll give you a minute to get there. It's about in the middle.
  - A. Yep.
  - Q. Okay. Are you there?
- A. Yep.
  - Q. And you'll see on the very next page it

but I don't remember seeing this.

# Q. Did you ever participate in or review a culture or climate survey at Arapahoe High School?

- A. Yes, but I can't remember when that was or -- it's very vague to me right now, but I do remember going over the surveys. But I can't remember the timeline.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall anything about what the findings or conclusions of that culture and climate survey were?
  - A. I don't.
- Q. Do you recall any concerns that were raised as a result of the findings and conclusions of that culture and climate survey?
- A. I don't. But if I had a context of the year or -- I don't know when this was -- when this presentation was.
- Q. Okay. Well, what -- and, again, I can't vouch for this, but I heard that this survey was done sometime in 2010 and that Mary Gottlieb, assistant principal at the time, had some role in it. Is that triggering any memories?
- A. I mean, no, not -- it's not triggering any more memories.

(Deposition Exhibit 38 was marked.)

talks about, "The Threat Assessment Process as a Continuum." Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What do you understand that to mean?
- A. Can I --
- Q. Yes, of course. Actually, we've been going an hour. Do you want to take a few minutes?
  - A. Sure. That would be great. (Recess taken, 9:58 a.m. to 10:12 a.m.)
- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Let me go off on one short tangent. Earlier today you mentioned something
- called the Second Wind Foundation?
  A. Yes.
  - Q. What is that? What do they do?
- A. It's an organization that provides services for children who are suicidal or at risk of committing suicide. So they have therapists that contact them, and they'll provide free sessions for people who can't otherwise afford counseling.
- Q. And that's a resource -- is that a statewide resource?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And it's available without regard to ost?
    - A. Correct. Even -- there are some people

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

2.2

2.0

who have been referred who have insurance, but typically they try to limit it to people who are in need.

- Q. Okay. And that was a resource that you used as a school psychologist to refer parents to send their students to get the care they need?
- A. Correct. Not all the time, but in certain cases, it was one of the referrals.
- Q. Okay. Back to the Secret Service Department of Education document, if we could. I was asking you about the threat assessment process being a continuum, and I wanted to get your take on what you understood that to mean.
- A. I mean, I feel like I would have to read this to really get to understand what they're considering a continuum.
- Q. Okay. Let me try it from a different angle then. Did you consider it important for the threat assessments that you performed to be more than just a snapshot in time of a student's mental state?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And how would you -- strike that.

  I take it that meant it was important to you to, as we are doing here today, look backward into that student's history and then look forward and

Q. And you'll see on the right side of the page that the Department of Education and the Secret Service recommend creating a central point of contact.

- A. Correct.
- Q. Do you see that?
- A. I do.
- Q. And the report goes on to explain why that's important. My first question is, do you agree that it's important to have a central point of contact at a school for these threat assessments?
  - A. I do.
- Q. And you'll see in the discussion of that point it says, "The threat assessment team should know how to designate a member of the team to serve as the initial point of contact for information of possible concern. The availability of this point of contact should be made known community-wide." Do you see that?
  - A. I do.
- Q. Okay. I've got a number of questions about that. First, do you agree with that statement?
  - A. I do.
- Q. Okay. So let's break that down at least as it relates to Arapahoe High School. Was there a specified threat assessment team at Arapahoe High

follow up on how the student was doing after the threat assessment?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Some kind of longitudinal perspective on what trend the student was experiencing in his life or her life?
- A. Correct. We usually had a follow-up date that we would have a meeting.
- Q. Okay. Besides having a follow-up meeting with the student after the threat assessment meeting, what else did you typically do to make sure that the threat assessments that you performed were not just a snapshot in time?
- A. I don't know if I did anything in particular afterwards, besides having a follow-up meeting. Usually if it was a general education student, the counselors or the administrators would have more contact with that student where they would be the contact person. If it was a special ed student, oftentimes myself or the case manager would be the contact person.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to turn a couple of pages down in Exhibit 38, and if you can see it, it's on page 47. Down.
  - A. I'm sorry, I thought you said 38.

<sup>1</sup> School in 2013?

A. Each case was a different team, depending on who the student was working with or who was involved with that student.

- Q. So it was -- there was no --
- A. Are you talking --
- Q. -- established team at the school that said, This is the group of people who are the threat assessment team, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And when -- well, was there a designated member of the threat assessment team who served as the initial point of contact for information of possible concern at Arapahoe High School in 2013?
- A. One person for every threat assessment. Is that what you're asking?
- Q. No. What I'm asking is the Secret Service and the Department of Education emphasize the importance of designating a member of a threat assessment team to serve as the initial point of contact for information of possible concern, right? And my question is, did Arapahoe High School designate such a member?
- A. I guess I'm a little bit confused. I'm sorry.

2.5

2.4

Q. That's okay.

2.0

2.2

A. So are you -- I guess what I'm having confusion about is whether you're asking about this threat assessment, the one with Karl and --

- Q. Oh, okay. The answer to that is no. I'm not asking specifically about the threat assessment with Karl right now. Obviously we're going to get into that.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. What I'm asking now is more structurally, did Arapahoe High School designate a member of a threat assessment team to serve as the initial point of contact for information of possible concern --
  - A I don't -
- Q. -- as recommended by the Secret Service and the Department of Education?
  - A. I don't think there was one designated,
- Q. Okay. And then the same question about, "The availability of this point of contact should be made known community-wide." What did Arapahoe High School do in 2013 to make it known community-wide that there was a designated person to whom they should report information of possible concern?
  - A. At the beginning of the year during

would also be -- at the start of the year for each class there would be a meeting for each grade level that would introduce the counselor and the -- and I would go to those as well and be introduced. I don't know what the -- I can't remember what the introduction would entail, what they were told, but

- Q. Okay. Well, was anything done to let the students at Arapahoe High School know who the designated contact person was if they heard of a threat?
  - A. Sorry, can you repeat that?
- Q. Sure. Were the students ever told, Here is who our designated point of contact is if you hear something threatening?
- A. I don't think it was ever one specific person. It was, Go to your counselor or go to the administrators. But I don't think they ever said there was one person you need to go to.
- Q. Okay. And what about the parents? Was anything communicated to the parents about who the designated member of the threat assessment team was that they should go to with information of possible concern?
  - A. I don't know. I know that the Care Line

faculty meetings, the guidance staff would be introduced and the administrators and myself. And the staff would be made aware that if there were concerns, that they should be able to go and bring their concerns to those people.

Q. Okay.

- A. Didn't specify -- I think that there was -- that year there was counselors by grade, so I think they just pointed out who was working with specific grades.
- Q. Okay. And that was a fairly generic introduction, it wasn't specific to threat assessments?
  - A. Right. It was just about concerns.
- Q. Okay. And what about -- when I hear the term "community-wide," at least to me that would include not just the faculty and staff but also the students?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Do you agree with that?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And the parents --
- A. Yes.
  - O. -- of those students?
  - A. So there would be school letters. There

-- there were different -- that the Care Line was used by parents. I don't know if they were specifically told about it through or by the principal or by Arapahoe. So I don't know.

- Q. Okay. Do you recall whether or not the training that you received at Arapahoe High School on threat assessments included any review of this document?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. And you'll see in Exhibit 38, the document that we're looking at, that there's a number of steps that are recommended as part of performing a threat assessment inquiry, correct?
- A. I would have to look at the document. Sorry.
- Q. Feel free. And you can see they're numbered No. 1, "The Facts that drew attention to the student." No. 2, "Information about the student," those kinds of things.
  - A. Oh, okay.
- Q. Okay. And I don't want to walk you through every single one of these because then we'll be here for days, but let me direct your attention to page 52. Hopefully your eyes are better than mine.
  - A. Okay.

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Q. Okay. One of the recommendations is titled "Collateral school interviews." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.2

Q. And it says, "Students and adults who know the student who is the subject of the threat assessment inquiry should be asked about communications or other behaviors that may indicate the student of concern's ideas or intent." And then it goes through a list of questions, right?

A. Right.

Q. Was that -- strike that.

Was speaking to students and adults who know the student who was the subject of a threat assessment -- was that part of your regular practice in performing threat assessments at Arapahoe High School?

A. Yes. It depended on the level of concern.

Q. Okay. Well, let me -- I'll ask about the threat assessment that was done on Karl Pierson. Did you speak to any students about Karl Pierson as part of your threat assessment inquiry?

A. No.

Q. And as I understand it, the adults that

assessment would be done on one of their students. Is that a concern that you've heard in the past as well?

A. I heard those concerns after the shooting.

Q. Was there a culture in 2013 at Arapahoe High School of not sharing with or among faculty members threat assessments and disciplinary concerns about the students at school?

A. Can you repeat the question? Sorry.

Q. Sure. Well, one of the issues that has come up repeatedly is FERPA -- do you know what FERPA is?

A. (Deponent nodded head up and down.)

Q. And I have seen, as part of the sheriff's investigation, and I have heard as part of this arbitration, that confidentiality concerns created an impediment to effective information sharing among the faculty -- or between the faculty and the administration about students of concern. And my question is, is that your experience at Arapahoe High School that that was a problem or a concern?

A. I feel like it was definitely a concern that was brought up post December 2013. But like prior to, I think there was a feeling that there was lack of communication about -- I can speak for some of

you spoke to about Karl Pierson were Tracy Murphy, right?

A. Correct.

O. Kevin Kolasa?

A. Correct.

Q. Did you talk to Mark Loptien?

A. I can't remember. Maybe just about, I

mean, what happened, that incident.

Q. Did you talk to anybody else about Karl Pierson to get background information on him?

A. Astrid.

Q. Astrid?

A. Yeah.

Q. Anyone else?

A. Not that I recall, no.

Q. None of his teachers?

A. I can't remember if I talked to them

before or after, so . . .

Q. Okay.

A. I don't think so.

Q. And I will tell you one of the things that I've heard over the course of this process is that there were concerns by teachers about the lack of information sharing by the administration. In other words, teachers weren't even told that a threat

my special education students, if they were disciplined, oftentimes the case manager wouldn't know until they were already suspended or sometimes it felt like there was some fact-finding after an incident.

Q. Okay.

A. Or after, you know, things would already happen. So I think there was definitely problems keeping the line of communication at times.

Q. Okay. From what you observed after the shooting, did that culture begin to change after the shooting at Arapahoe High School?

A. I think so.

Q. Okay. Now, did you actually go back and work in the building after the shooting?

A. I did.

Q. Okay. So you were there the entire second semester?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I want to talk about the threat assessment training that Nate Thompson did, and it's Exhibit 4. You'll probably want to turn your book sideways like I did. Do you recognize this as the PowerPoint presentation that Nate Thompson used as part of the threat assessment training?

A. I honestly don't remember.

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

55

56

53

Q. Do you remember anything about that training?

A. I don't. I feel like some of the slides look familiar but I --

O. Okav.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. I don't -- I feel like some of them are familiar because I've seen them at other trainings.

Q. Okay. Well, why don't you move ahead to the slide that starts with, "Goals for Today," if you would. Okay. Now, this slide indicates that one of the things that Nate Thompson talked about was the purpose and process of conducting an effective threat assessment. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. What did you understand to be the purpose of conducting an effective threat assessment?

A. To help identify students who had potential risk factors and who were a potential for committing an act of violence.

Q. And was a part of that so that you could then get those students the help that they needed to prevent that act of violence from actually occurring?

A. Yes. I feel like the threat assessment process is a tool that the school uses as a screener to try to help in the next steps for a student.

A. I don't know if I did.

Q. Okay. Now, I take it from the list of goals for today that one of the things that was done as part of this process was discuss and debrief real situations. Do you recall anything about that part of the training?

A. I really don't remember this training. I'm sorry.

Q. No, that's fine.

A. I don't even know who would have gone with me.

Q. Let's jump, I don't know, another half-dozen slides in if you would. There at the top it says, "What does effective Threat Assessment look like?" There.

A. Sorry.

Q. That's okay. It's the next one after that slide.

A. Oh, this way.

Q. Yes. That one. Got it. And you can see the very first bullet point on this slide says that an important part of an effective threat assessment is to be sure that the school community is aware of early warning signs and reporting procedures. Do you see that?

54

Q. Okay. We'll get into the process here in a minute, but the next item on the list of goals for the training that is described here is, "Confirm the roles and tasks of a building Threat Assessment Team." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And, again, I know I've asked you, and I've asked a lot of people, do you have any idea why there was no defined threat assessment team for the Arapahoe High School building?

A. I don't know if that was the top concern for Mr. Booth.

Q. Okay. Well, what about for Ms. Pramenko? She had been principal for about 18 months before Claire was murdered, right?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Did she ever establish a threat assessment team for the building?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

Q. And am I right, you're personal friends with Ms. Pramenko, aren't you?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever have any discussions with Ms. Pramenko about the need to establish a building threat assessment team?

1 A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell me anything about what Arapahoe High School was doing in 2013 to make sure that the school community was aware of the early warning signs and reporting procedures?

A. I can't. I know that we did slide shows and a video for early warning signs of suicide, but I can't -- I don't think that included threat assessments when we were playing those at lunchtime for students to make sure they were aware of who to go to, but I don't know.

Q. Okay. You can see the next point is,
"Establish Threat Assessment Teams in each school,"
and I think we've covered that. The next bullet point
emphasizes the importance of a timely response to
imminent and emerging threats. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell me what Arapahoe was doing in 2013 to ensure that it had a timely response to imminent and emerging threats?

A. I don't know if I understand the question correctly. What procedures they had or --

Q. Yes. I mean, what were they doing to make sure that if there was an imminent and emerging threat that Arapahoe had a timely response to that?

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

59

57

A. I mean, I think that we always let teachers know that they were our eyes and ears, and students know that they were our eyes and ears. And if they heard of anything or saw anything that they should come and report it. But as far as -- I mean, I feel like once a referral was made or something was given to an administrator or a counselor, I don't know what the response time was or what the expected time was.

#### Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I don't know if there was an expected timeline.

- Q. Okay. And what can you tell me about the systematic process to assess the level of concern that existed at Arapahoe High School in 2013?
- A. I think it was conducting a threat assessment using that tool.
- Q. The form template that was used in this case?
  - A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
- Q. And as best as you can explain, what does balance intervention measures refer to?
  - A. I have no idea.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. I don't know what he was -- what Nate

ago that falls into this category?

A. When I first started in Littleton, they had parent partnership like forums. So they'd have those offered, and I can't remember if it was once a month or once every two months, but they would have those on different topics that -- around substance abuse or different issues that were impacting students.

Q. Okay.

A. So I remember those, and they had -- I don't know -- I don't know what else specifically. But those stand out in my head. I remember thinking those were really valuable.

- Q. And those stopped at some point --
- A. They did.
- Q. -- during your time there?
- A. Maybe 2010. 2009 or '10.
- Q. And do you have any understanding as to why those stopped?
- A. I don't. I don't know if it was budget or funding issues. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. Again, several slides in there's a reference to Safe2Tell. My question is, do you know in 2013 did Arapahoe High School do any student training on the Safe2Tell program?

58

would have been talking about or thinking of at that

-- without -- I don't know without talking to him about it. Q. Okay. And were there any particular

programs in place at Arapahoe in 2013 that were focused on developing a safe and caring culture as mentioned toward the bottom of this slide?

A. I mean, just besides the messages that were relayed to students and staff, I don't know if there was -- there wasn't any specific programs that I knew of.

Q. Okay. And then the final bullet point on this slide refers to ongoing district and community support. What kind of ongoing district and community support did Arapahoe provide in that regard?

A. I don't know if there was anything community-wide. I think that -- I think there was more when I first started in the district, and then I don't know if there were -- I don't know what there was several years ago, but I think that it was on a case-by-case basis that we would offer that support to specific families or people as issues arose. But I don't know if that was district-wide -- or community-wide.

Q. Okay. Well, what existed several years

A. I know the information was relayed. I just can't remember how. I don't know if it was in a freshman seminar or if I'm confusing the -- maybe it was health. But I know that it was given to students by -- pretty sure by counselors, but that's something that I feel like every year was told to students.

Q. Okay. Did you have any role in presenting at assemblies or anything like that any information about Safe2Tell?

A. No.

Q. Now, one of the things that's mentioned here is that "Schools can develop their own anonymous tip systems (boxes, voicemails, et cetera.)" Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Did LPS have its own anonymous tip system?

A. I believe so.

Q. And what was it called?

A. Oh, I'm sorry, with Safe2Tell, that's what I was thinking.

Q. Okay.

A. That was Safe2Tell, and the information would be then given to the principal of the high school. And then the principal would contact the

60

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Davis v. Littleton Public School District **ESTHER SONG** 61 63 1 1 training, but there was training then. appropriate people. 2 2 Q. Okay. And I ask because my understanding O. Okav. 3 3 is that Cherry Creek has its own anonymous tip system; A. So I don't know what carryover there was 4 is that right? for what staff member. 5 5 A. They have a Care Line. Q. Okay. Do you know why there was not 6 Q. LPS didn't have anything like that? 6 annual training for all staff on how to recognize the 7 7 A. Not that I'm aware of. warning signs for threats, suicides, et cetera, other 8 8 Q. Okay. The next slide that is part of than the training you just mentioned? 9 this training program that you attended talks about 9 A. No. 10 10 "Warning Signs Training." Do you see that? Q. Was it something that you ever proposed 11 11 A. Yes. to Mr. Booth or Ms. Pramenko to do? 12 12 Q. And first bullet point says that there A. No. 13 should be annual training for all staff on how to 13 Q. And it sounds like even the suicide 14 14 recognize warning signs, threat, suicide, et cetera, training that you mentioned only happened after there 15 15 and what to do, right? had been a number of suicides in a fairly short window 16 A. Correct. of time at Arapahoe, right? 17 17 A. Right. I think it was in response to Q. And it goes on to say that it should be 18 led be the building mental health providers and 18 that. 19 19 administrators, right? Q. How many were there? 20 20 A. There were two in 2011, and then there --A. Yes. 21 21 Q. And it goes on to say that administrators that I recall. There was several after that date. 22 22 need to be present and supportive, correct? Q. Okay. How many suicides were there at 23 23 A. Correct. Arapahoe before -- in the two years before this 24 24 Q. And then it discusses that one of the training -- suicide training was finally implemented 25 purposes is to help differentiate -- help staff 25 for the staff? 62 64 1 1 differentiate between imminent versus early warning A. I don't remember. I don't know if it was 2 signs, correct? 2 -- I don't know if -- those two stood out to me 3 3 because I -- they were in close succession to each A. Correct. 4 4 Q. Did LPS ever provide annual training for 5 5 all staff on how to recognize the warning signs for Q. Okay. If you would turn to the next 6 6 threats and suicides? slide, it's titled "Turn and Talk." And look through 7 7 A. I don't think so. these items on the team implementation plan. Do you 8 8 Q. And I take it, then, as the building see that? 9 9 mental health provider, you didn't perform that A. Yes. 10 training, did you? 10 Q. Do you recall any discussion of whether 11 A. Correct. 11 or not the staff at Arapahoe was trained to recognize 12 12 and respond to warning signs? Q. And I take it that the administrators 13 13 A. Sorry. No. weren't present or supported for any such training, 14 14 Q. Okay. Let's jump ahead. There's a slide correct? 15 15 titled "Sources of Information." Do you see that? A. Correct. 16 16 Take a minute. It's after this white slide, after Q. And as a consequence, the staff at 17 Arapahoe High School, unless they did it on their own, 17 those too. 18

weren't able to differentiate between imminent and early warning signs, were they?

A. Not all staff. After we had some suicides at our school, we actually all -- the entire staff participated in a training, an all-day training on looking at warning signs of suicide.

Q. Okay.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. So, you know, I know that was just one

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. Yep.

assessment inquiry?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And is this a list of potential

sources of information to look at as part of a threat

Q. And I understand that these are done on a

case-by-case basis, but are these all sources that you

have used in the course of performing threat

2.4

assessments over your career?

A. Yes.

- Q. And certainly the reports that we looked at earlier today talk about how important it is to make sure that your threat assessment inquiries are comprehensive, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Because the more information you have, the better decisions you can make, right?
  - A. Right. Can I go back to this?
  - O. Sure.
- A. Sorry, the search of the room or home, I don't know if that's been part of anything I've had in a threat assessment. So we might have talked to parents about if there were weapons, but I don't know if I've ever had to actually send somebody over to search.
  - Q. Okay. But that's --
  - A. I just wanted to clarify.
- Q. And I understand that. But that is certainly one of the potential sources of information that's available to you, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. If you go a couple slides in, there's a slide titled "Making versus Posing a Threat." That's

A. Okay.

- Q. Have you heard that incident?
- A. I didn't.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask, did Kevin Kolasa ever express to you that he felt that Karl's threat against Tracy Murphy was no big deal?
  - A. I don't know if he used those words.
- Q. Did he express that concept to you that it was not a big deal and some other words?
- A. I don't know if he necessarily put out that concept. I think -- you know, when it happened, when he asked me to be at the reentry meeting, I asked if we should do -- conduct a threat assessment based on a statement. So I don't know if he had thought about -- I don't think he was thinking that it was a violent threat.
- Q. Did you get the impression from Kevin Kolasa for any reason that he wasn't taking the threat that Karl Pierson had made against Tracy Murphy terribly seriously?
- A. It's hard for me to say. I don't know if it's -- I feel like we had our hands in so many things at that time that I don't know if -- I don't know if he was flippant about it. I don't know if he was thinking it wasn't a big deal. I don't know if he --

the one. And you'll see down at the bottom it says, "Before ruling out a threat as no big deal, get more information and get a second opinion." Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you agree that that's important?
- A I do
- Q. In the case of Karl Pierson's threat assessment, did anyone provide a second opinion?
- A. No. And I don't know if a second opinion -- to me that means also consulting. So I don't know if that's -- I don't know if he was referring to getting consultation or to getting a second opinion with another mental health provider. To me that means consulting.
- Q. Okay. And the person that you were consulting with was Kevin Kolasa, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. During the sheriff's investigation into this shooting, Deputy Englert was interviewed. And one of the things that he reported, Englert did, is that Kevin Kolasa told him about the threat that Karl Pierson had made against Tracy Murphy. And Deputy Englert went on to explain that Kevin Kolasa described that threat to James as no big deal. Okay?

I don't mean to be vague. I don't know if he thought it wasn't a big deal, but I also don't know if he thought it was that significant of a threat.

- Q. Can you tell me anything about what he told you about how seriously he, Kevin Kolasa, was taking the threat that Karl Pierson had made against Tracy Murphy?
- A. I don't know how serious he was taking it because it didn't -- I don't think it crossed his mind to do a threat assessment until it was brought up to his -- brought up to him.
- Q. And you're the person who brought that up to him?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is it possible that the reason it wasn't occurring to Kevin Kolasa to do a threat assessment was because he had never been trained on how to do one?
  - A. It's possible.
- Q. Let me ask this, when you were performing the threat assessment on Karl Pierson, did you know that Kevin Kolasa had not been trained on how to do a threat assessment?
  - A. No. I think I --
  - Q. Go ahead.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

A. I think I assumed that all of the administrators had been.

Q. Do you now know that that isn't the case?

A. Yes.

2.2

Q. Were you surprised to learn that Natalie Pramenko, Kevin Kolasa, and several other administrators at Arapahoe High School had not taken the threat assessment training that you had taken prior to December of 2013?

A. I don't know if I knew who didn't take it, to be honest.

Q. Okay.

A. I didn't know that Natalie hadn't taken it. But I -- yeah, so I don't know who had not received the training. I found out that Kevin hadn't --

Q. Afterwards?

A. -- afterwards.

Q. Okay. How did you learn that?

A. So I was told that Kevin had said that I was the expert and that he was not trained in threat assessments.

Q. And who told you that?

A. Steve Everall.

Q. Okay. Let's jump another six or eight

what kind of monitoring was appropriate for low level threats?

A. I don't -- I don't know if I did or not, to be honest.

Q. Okay. Well, what kind of monitoring would you typically perform or ask someone else to perform for students whom you deemed a low level threat?

A. Typically, we would set up a follow-up meeting to see how the student had been doing or meet with the student or the parents, depending on who we felt should be involved in that meeting. And that was -- I mean, depending on what happened at that meeting, I think then we would determine whether there needed to be more follow-up or if we felt comfortable with the process as is.

Q. Okay. And for medium level threats, the intervention continuum describes intervention and mobilize support and resources. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. What do those terms mean in the context of the threat assessments that you performed?

A. I would probably have to look at some of the threat assessments to see. I don't know how clearly I'm thinking, I mean, without looking -- I

/(

slides in, and I'll show you the slide that I'm looking at. It's titled "Threat Intervention Continuum." I'm trying to -- sorry, these are numbered, but it's impossible to read. I'll tell you it's slide No. 513. So it's five pages after that.

MR. NATHAN: There it is.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) There you go. And this slide describes the three different categories of threat assessment levels, right?

A. Right.

Q. Low, medium, high, and the gist of this slide is that the higher the threat, the more comprehensive the response, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And this slide makes clear also that even for low level threats, ongoing monitoring is necessary. Do you agree with that?

A. So it says to monitor, but it's -- I don't know if there's ever been -- I don't know what ongoing means. I don't know if that's ever been clarified if there's -- does that mean for the school year? Does that mean for the next -- until the follow-up meeting? I don't think there's that clarification.

Q. Okay. Well, did you ever ask anybody

think I'd have to look at -- reference some things.

Q. Sure. Here we've got -- and this is a large stack of threat assessments.

(Deposition Exhibit 39 was marked.)

Q. And I will tell you Exhibit 39 is a group of approximately -- I think it's exactly 17 threat assessments that were performed at Arapahoe High School over the last several years. Only two of them were performed by you. So take a minute and look at the first two. One is a 2011 assessment, and one is a 2012, which I think there was a third one that you performed. But it was after December of 2013. Okay. So as we look at -- well, tell me, after you've had a chance to review them. Okay?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. The first threat assessment that's listed relates to an incident that occurred on February 28, 2011. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And this involved a student who made the comment, I wish I had a gun. If I had a bomb, that would be even better. I have visions of bombing the school, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Do you recall that incident?

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

2.0

2.4

A. I don't recall that specific incident but I'm -- because the student had a parapro, and I'm guessing that this is a student that I had in special education. And, also, based on the monitoring, it says continued weekly meetings with school psych, I was already meeting with the student, so I probably had a different context or relationship with the student.

- Q. Okay. And this was a threat assessment that you performed?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. And you characterize this as a low level of concern, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And as part of this threat assessment, you learned from the father that this student at home had made statements about wanting to blow up the school when he was angry, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And even though this was a low level of concern and involved a student who was on an IEP, the student was nonetheless suspended, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And even though this was a low-level concern, the student was directed to have

assessment that was done in December of 2012. Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And this is a student who got into a fight and had written a rap song that had some violent content, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And then there was a picture of this person flashing a gang sign and holding a gun, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And this was something that you characterized as a high level of concern, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Do you recall that incident?
  - A. I do.
- Q. What caused you to conclude that this was a high-level concern?

A. So from looking -- according to this paperwork and from what I recall, it's because there were prior hospitalizations for suicidal ideation for a suicide attempt. There were other mental health issues, so the student had been involved with mental health, that he had brought a weapon to school prior. There was a picture of him with a weapon, so there were weapons in the home. I think those were the --

- follow-up intervention contact with you, his or her case manager, and Darrell Meredith on a weekly basis, correct?
  - A. Well, meet with me on a weekly basis.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Not all three of those.
- Q. Okay. But there were three people assigned to follow up, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And then down below that it says community resources and interventions have been reviewed with parents or caretakers, and then there's a handwritten note that says Arapahoe Douglas Mental Health --
  - A. Network.
- Q. -- Network. What can you tell me about what that discussion entailed?
- A. I'm assuming from the notes that I probably gave the parents -- talked to them about seeking counseling or resources through Arapahoe Douglas.
- Q. And would you follow up with the parents to confirm that they had done so?
  - A. Not necessarily.
  - Q. Okay. And let's look at the next threat

there was a history of fighting. I think those were the big ones. He did have practicing behaviors. He was shooting things in the backyard, it was reported too.

- Q. Okay. As part of the threat assessment on this student, do you know -- did you or somebody on behalf of the school search this student's backpack?
- A. I didn't. I never searched students' belongings.
- Q. Did you ask someone to search any students' belongings?
- A. I'm sure that -- that was -- that usually did happen. I don't recall if I did in this specific instance, but I'm almost certain that I would have, that that would have happened.
- Q. And I guess that's what I'm trying to get at is, what was your practice in terms of requesting that somebody search a student's belongings as part of a threat assessment?
- A. I think that would come up in different situations. We would have a conversation about it if -- you know, did anybody check at home, did anybody check in the school their backpack or their locker.

  But I feel like that was common practice. I don't know if I would specifically say it, but we would all

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

2.2

brainstorm it as a team. But I feel like that would come up in your team process.

- Q. So that was something that was standard, somebody would bring up, Should we search this student's backpack, car, locker, home, phone --
  - A No

2.2

2.2

- Q. -- computer, Facebook page, all of those things?
- A. So I should clarify, because that was not standard if there was no history or any reason to believe that the student -- we would ask -- it was a standard question to ask parents if there were weapons in the home, but we didn't always search students' belongings or --
- Q. Right, and that's what I'm trying to get at is when would you request that somebody search a student's belongings? What were the threshold factors that drove that decision?
- A. I think if they had -- if they had already brought weapons to school in the past, if there were threats made with a weapon or, you know, that they would use a weapon. But it wasn't during every threat assessment.
- Q. Okay. And that's something that candidly I did not see in part of Exhibit 4, the slides that

assessment did you go to whatever Web site is referred to go see what all was there?

- A. I think that we did to see -- I think there were different songs, but I can't say with certainty. I feel like we went on the Web site. What I recall is that we went on the Web site, but I don't remember what was exactly on there.
- Q. And you'll see that attached to this threat assessment is a printout from the Web site with the song, Reverbnation. So I assume somebody went and looked at that?
  - A. Right.
- Q. But you don't recall if it was you or not?
- A. I feel like we did it as a team. What I remember is that we were in a room with this team looking at the Web site.
- Q. And then there's also some photographs that are attached to this threat assessment, and you can see obviously they're blacked out so we can't see who the student is or what was there.
  - A. Yeah, I don't know what was there.
- Q. Do you recall how you got these photographs?
  - A. I don't.

we've been looking at. I don't see anything in there that says, Here is when you should request a search of a student's property or home or car or locker. So I'm --

- A. I don't know if that was ever relayed to us either.
- Q. And did you, yourself, have -- based on your other training, experience, continuing ed, have a criteria for when you would ask for a search to occur?
- A. No. I mean, I feel like during the course of a threat assessment, if it would -- you know, if -- I don't think I had a criteria for each time that I had conducted a threat assessment, no.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall how you became aware of the pictures on the Web site flashing a gang sign and holding a gun that are described here?
- A. If I remember correctly, I think a student brought it to a teacher, and a teacher brought it to us. But honestly I can't say like a hundred percent.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. It wasn't brought to me directly, so I know I got the information from somebody, but I can't remember who that was.
  - Q. Do you recall as part of this threat

Q. And you can see also attached to this threat assessment is one page of the student's behavioral detail report. Do you see that? It's right after the photographs.

- A. Yeah.
- Q. And is that a document that you would review as a matter of course as part of every threat assessment?
- A. Yes, we would look at their log entries or their discipline report.
  - Q. The contact log?
- A. And contact log and the discipline reports.
  - Q. Okay. And why would you do that?
- A. To gather more information going into the meeting.
- Q. As we were discussing, the level of threat is one of the major factors that drives what level of monitoring or follow-up occurs with respect to that student, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And when I look at this threat assessment, I don't see anything marked for ongoing monitoring, other than that this kid was suspended for three days. Do you see that?

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

)avis	v. Littleton Public School District ESTHE	R SONG	5 10/9/201
	81		83
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) So you did not write up
2	Q. So can you tell me why there was no	2	this threat assessment?
3	ongoing monitoring indicated in this action plan for a	3	A. Correct.
4	student who was designated as a high level of concern	4	Q. Okay.
5	and who had been previously hospitalized for suicidal	5	A. There's no I did not.
6	ideation?	6	Q. Well, that may answer one of the
7	A. I can't.	7	questions that I had, which is you'll see in this that
8	Q. Wouldn't it seem like this is a student	8	this was a low-level threat assessment, correct?
9	who ought to have fairly extensive ongoing monitoring?	9	A. Correct.
10	A. Yes. So I am I feel like yeah, I'm	10	Q. And even though it was a low-level
11	surprised as well. I feel like I would have I feel	11	threat, a number of steps were included in the action
12	like there's maybe more context to this, maybe there	12	plan, right?
13	was further follow-up, but	13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. Well, you mentioned that you	14	
15		15	Q. One was to move him out of his English class?
16	recalled this student and this circumstance, correct?  A. I remember I don't know if I I	16	
17		17	A. Correct.
18	remember this incident, yes.	18	Q. Another was to ask the student's current
	Q. Okay. Do you recall what, if any,	19	teachers to report any concerns?
19	follow-up you did with the student who was the subject	20	A. Correct.
20	of this incident?		Q. Another was to refer the student to some
21	A. I don't.	21	place for mental health care?
22	Q. Did you have any ongoing meetings with	22	A. Correct.
23	him after this threat assessment was performed?	23	Q. And another was to keep the student on a
24	A. I don't remember.	24	security team monitor list. Do you see that?
25	Q. Do you recall if you ever had any	25	A. Yes.
	82		84
1	conversations with any outside mental health	1	Q. What can you tell me about the security
2	professionals who were helping this student?	2	team monitor list?
3	A. I don't, but I see the note that I asked	3	A. I don't know what that is.
4	for Mom to fax release forms to speak with the	4	Q. Okay. I take it, then, that you weren't
5	therapist and psychiatrist.	5	referring students to the security team monitor list
6	Q. And do you recall if you ever spoke with	6	as part of your threat assessment protocol at Arapahoe
7	the therapist and the psychiatrist who are referenced	7	High School?
8	here?	8	A. Correct.
9	A. I don't.	9	Q. Okay. And then in addition to all of
10	Q. Now, if you'll take a look at the next	10	those steps, another element of the action plan for
11	threat assessment, it's from February 2014, and this	11	this student was a weekly check-in with his counselor?
12	was done a couple of months after Claire was killed,	12	A. Correct.
13	right? That one.	13	Q. Correct. And then there was also
14	A. Okay.	14	supposed to be follow-up intervention contact by
15	Q. And	15	support staff, and then the box "Counselor" is
16	A. Yes.	16	checked. Do you see that?
17	Q. And this appears to be another threat	17	A. Yes.
18	assessment that you performed?	18	Q. And then another step was to arrange a
19	A. I think this was done by Nate or written	19	facilitated meeting with somebody to talk about their
20	up by Nate Thompson and I was there.	20	recent interaction and resolve any lingering issues?
21	Q. Okay.	21	A. Yes, I see that.
22	MR. EVERALL: For the record, which one	22	Q. Were you part of that?
	initial and initial and included with the	1	Q. Were you part or mat:

are we looking at?

00017, February 5, 2014.

MR. ROCHE: The one that starts on LPSTA

23

24

25

23

24

25

A. I don't think so.

Q. Okay. And then --

A. I guess it was the counselor.

Q. Okay. And then down at the very bottom, there's a notation that staff and teachers alerted on a need-to-know basis, and it says that Kevin Kolasa e-mailed this student's current teachers and informed them to alert him of any concerns, correct?

A. Correct.

2.0

Q. So even though this student was a low-level threat, all of those steps were taken, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And if you move to the back, there's a two-page, single-spaced document that lays out the reasons for the threat assessment and the summary of decision factors and the threat level. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that something that Nate Thompson prepared?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever prepare a similar document for any of the threat assessments that you performed at Arapahoe High School?

A. I -- no, not based on the ones that we saw, but I don't know what else you have. I can't remember if I did, but I don't think I did.

seen a similar explanation, whether typewritten or handwritten or otherwise, that summarized the considerations that led you to characterize a student as a low-level risk or a medium-level risk or a high-level risk, correct?

A. Right.

Q. And I'm asking, and, again, I'm not trying to trap you because if I had, I would just show it to you. Was it your standard of practice to write down the factors that led you to conclude that a student was a given level of risk?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall why Nate Thompson was involved in the threat assessment that was done on February 5?

A. He was involved in -- I don't know why he's -- he -- I don't know why the district or the school had him come in to do threat assessments then or after.

Q. Okay. Typically he wasn't involved with threat assessments before the shooting?

A. Correct.

Q. And typically he was involved afterwards?

A. Correct.

Q. And nobody explained to you why that

Q. I don't think you did either. I'm not trying to trick you or trap you. I could tell you that.

A. I don't think I did. I typically would write them in the moment or write them as I was going, but I didn't type them out like that.

Q. Okay. And you didn't typically write out a summary of the decision factors for how you reached a conclusion what level of threat to assign to a particular student?

A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?

Q. You'll see on page 2 of this document that Nate Thompson listed a summary of factors that led him to conclude that this was a low-level threat, correct?

MR. NATHAN: He's talking about the two-page summary.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Yes, I'm sorry. The two-page summary. So you'll see there that Nate Thompson has written out a summary of the factors that led to his decision to characterize the student as a low-level threat, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And having looked at the threat assessments that you performed at Arapahoe, I have not

1 changed?

A. Correct.

Q. How did you learn that that was --

A. I didn't know if that was going to be standard practice. Sorry I cut you off.

Q. That's okay.

A. I was assuming there were some concerns about -- possibly some concerns about my performance. I mean, that's -- or that they just wanted more support, district support. But I was never really told why or when it was happening.

Q. How were you told that Nate Thompson was going to be participating in threat assessments that you were involved in after the shooting?

A. I think I was told that day or when we would be getting people together to do the threat assessments that he would be part of it.

Q. And who told you that?

A. I don't know honestly if he like would show up at the -- would show up at the school and say he was involved. I feel like when it would come up, he was contacted. I don't know if that was Natalie or what administrator, but then he would be there for the threat assessments.

Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anyone at

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

Arapahoe or LPS why he was now going to be part of the threat assessment process?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Who did you ask?
- A. I'm pretty sure I asked Natalie Pramenko.
- Q. And what did she say?
- A. I think that she -- to the best of my recollection, that it was around just getting more support in doing them, getting more eyes on the student. It was never about my -- me not being able to perform my job. It was -- it seemed to be more about making sure that we were looking at all angles or getting more mental health support.
- Q. Okay. And that obviously goes back to what you mentioned a minute ago, which was whether there were any concerns about your performance. Did you ever hear anybody at LPS or Arapahoe express any concern about your performance with respect to threat assessments?
  - A. No.
- Q. And did you have this conversation with Natalie Pramenko about the fact that Nate Thompson would be participating in future threat assessments?
- A. I don't know if we had a specific conversation about him participating in all of the

A. Consulting with administration, helping facilitate some of the groups with kids that were in different locations in the building. He was trying to support our staff, but I don't know on a daily basis what he was doing.

# Q. Okay. Was anybody else from the district in the building on a regular basis that second semester of the 2013-2014 school year?

A. I mean, Melissa Cooper was there. I don't know if it was a regular basis. But, I mean, there was a lot of people from the district in our building that first several -- you know, the first couple of months to lend their support. So, I mean, I say -- but I don't know. A lot of it's very blurry to me.

### Q. Okay. From what you observed, what was Nate Thompson or Melissa Cooper doing to support the staff or the students at Arapahoe in those first couple of months after the shooting?

A. You know, there was a lot of, I feel like, crisis responding, kids who were struggling. So I felt it was all hands on deck. And so we had a lot of support from outside resources and other psychologists in the district. And Nate and Melissa were also helping out with meeting with students and

future threat assessments. I mean, he was there all throughout the second semester, so I feel like he was pretty actively involved with Arapahoe. So I don't -- I don't know. I feel like his presence -- he was there all the time.

Q. Okay. And I guess that's, you know, just a logistical question. Was he at Arapahoe essentially every day for the second semester of the 2013-2014 school year?

A. I mean, I feel like in the first month or two, he was there with regularity. I don't know if it was daily. And then I think as time went on, I think they felt like they wanted to be less of a presence, and they wanted, you know, the administrators and staff to be able to take a little bit more control or be -- I think they wanted us to be more of the presence or kind of hand the reins back to us.

### Q. Okay. Where was Mr. Thompson officing at Arapahoe during that second semester?

A. I don't know if he had a -- I don't know. I think he was in the main office and using the guidance counseling office. I don't think he had a designated spot.

Q. And do you have an understanding of what he was doing?

groups of kids and helping where they could. I feel like filling in the holes. I feel like initially the first couple of weeks too there was -- and I don't know if they were -- I feel like some teachers needed -- you know, would have difficulty being in classrooms. So there would be different people helping out covering classrooms and stuff. So I don't know if they did that, but they may have.

# Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 5, if we could.

- A. Where is Exhibit 5?
- Q. It's in here.
- A. Oh, sorry.
- Q. No, you're fine. And you'll see that Exhibit 5 is a document from the Colorado School Safety Resource Center titled "Essentials of School Threat Assessment: Preventing Targeted School Violence," right?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. And it's dated July of 2013. Is this a document that you were familiar with prior to the shooting that occurred at Arapahoe High School?
  - A. Can I look through it?
  - O. Sure.
  - A. I don't remember seeing it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

95

93

Q. Okay. Have you seen it since the shooting?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 9, and I will tell you that Exhibit 9 is a document that was provided to me by Littleton Public Schools, and you'll see it's a description of the threat assessment/danger assessment training that was done at Littleton Public Schools.
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And then on the second page of this document is a list of the Arapahoe High School staff who attended the training. Okay. And you'll see that your name appears as having participated in the training that we've been talking about in February of 2011, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And it says Darrell Meredith, Kirk Bast, Scott Wadsworth, and Steve Sisler, and that it was a two-hour program. Do you recall -- I know we've covered this in some respect, but do you recall those other people being at that training?
- A. I don't. Although, I mean, Darrell and I would do -- Darrell, since he was the head of safety and security, we did a lot of trainings together that

MR. NATHAN: Okay. When we took the break, it was to discuss a matter of privilege. The reason that I was concerned is I don't know this case well enough to know where my client has gotten all of her information. If it came from Mr. Everall before I represented her, I believe from discussions with her and Steve, that there was an attorney-client relationship established until I came into the case. And, therefore, I should object on the basis of the attorney-client privilege.

Having said that, I've instructed Esther that if the source of information was Steve Everall before I came into the picture, then she should indicate that she has a question of privilege. At the same time, she's indicated that one of the pieces of information that she attributed to Steve was actually not from Steve, according to her recollection that she wants to correct that portion of the testimony.

MR. ROCHE: Okay. I will bear all of that in mind.

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And why don't you tell us what it is that you would like to correct.
- A. It was about when I said that Kevin had referred to me as the expert and had not been trained, I'm not clear as to where exactly I got that

94

-- so I don't know if it was this particular one. I'm assuming it was, but we had done several together.

- Q. Okay. You do recall, though, after the shooting Kevin Kolasa telling you that he had not received any threat assessment training?
- A. Kevin Kolasa did not say that to me directly.
- Q. Oh, okay. How did you learn that Kevin Kolasa had not received any threat assessment training?

MR. EVERALL: Could we stop for a minute on this? I would like to talk to Andy outside for a bit.

MR. ROCHE: Is there an objection to the question? I actually do want --

MR. NATHAN: I think there is a concern about privilege, and if so, it's appropriate for us to --

MR. ROCHE: Well, if you've got a privilege concern, that's a different issue, and I'm happy to take a break on that.

MR. EVERALL: That's part of it.

MR. ROCHE: Okay. If that's the issue, then sure.

(Recess taken, 11:40 a.m. to 12:42 p.m.)

information in the line of -- like in that --

- Q. Okay.
- A. -- time frame, so . . .
- Q. So it might have been from Mr. Everall, it might have been some other source?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But it is clear that you were informed that Kevin Kolasa had not been trained on how to perform a threat assessment, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And I want to clear that up, because this is now the second time in a deposition that a witness has, after an interruption by Mr. Everall, changed their testimony about whether or not -- or modified their testimony about whether or not Kevin Kolasa received that training, because that is a significant concern that everybody on this side of the table has. Absolutely no reflection on you whatsoever, but it's important to us to get to the bottom of whether or not Kevin Kolasa received that training. And it's clear in your mind that based on what you were told, that he had not received that training, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- O. I appreciate that. Let's talk now about the threat assessment that was done in connection with

96

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

2.0

2.4

the incident involving Karl Pierson's threat against Tracy Murphy, and I do have copies of those.

- A. Do I still need this?
- Q. You actually need this book, because it's Exhibit 35, but keep that one handy because we're going to be referring back to it as well. Do you recognize Exhibit 35?
  - A. I do.

2.0

- Q. And is that your handwriting on Exhibit 35?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How did you first become aware of the threat that Karl Pierson voiced against Tracy Murphy?
  - A. I believe I was told by Kevin.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall when that happened?
- A. I think that it was the day after. I don't think it was that day, because I think it was after school. So I think it was the following day.
- Q. Okay. And what did Kevin Kolasa tell you?
- A. What I recall is that he said Tracy had met with Karl and his mother to let him know that he would not be involved in leadership on the speech and debate team that year and that he was pretty upset and

Q. You had never spoken to him, as far as you know?

- A. As far as I know, I was told he was a student assistant in the counseling office, but I don't know when exactly that was. I didn't have a lot of interaction with the student assistants, unless I needed a pass run, but there was a slot to do that, so I didn't have a lot of interaction with the student assistants.
- Q. So at the time that Kevin Kolasa told you that Tracy Murphy -- or that Karl Pierson had threatened to kill Tracy Murphy, your mind was, relatively speaking, a blank slate about this kid, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Because you didn't know anything about him?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And when you heard about this threat that Karl had made, what was your response?
  - A. Should we do a threat assessment?
- Q. Okay. And is that something you were asking Kevin Kolasa because that was --
  - A. No. Well -- sorry.

MR. NATHAN: Let him finish his question.

he was overheard in the parking lot by Mr. Loptien saying, I'm going to kill that guy.

- Q. Where was this conversation with Mr. Kolasa?
- A. I don't remember. I don't remember if it was in his office or in my office or -- yeah, I don't know.
- Q. Do you recall how long of a conversation it was?
- A. I don't think the initial conversation was that long.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. I don't know length of time.
- Q. Prior to being told that Karl Pierson had threatened to kill Tracy Murphy, had you ever heard of Karl Pierson?
  - A. So I didn't recall at the time, but then looking back, I think we had received an e-mail a year prior, or I had, that was to myself and his counselor about getting upset in the classroom. But besides that e-mail, I didn't have any working knowledge of Karl.
  - Q. Okay. Didn't know him by reputation, even?
    - A. No.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And the reason I'm asking, and we'll break it down. Earlier today, you mentioned that you had asked Kevin whether a threat assessment was appropriate, and I want to follow up on that. Was that a question that you asked him?

A. I believe so. I don't know if I asked him or I said, I think we need to do one.

Q. Okay.

A. So I don't know if it was asked or it was presented to him.

- Q. Well, that was going to be my next question is, whose idea was it to do the threat assessment?
  - A. What I recall is that it was mine.
- Q. Okay. And had you encountered a situation where a student had threatened to kill a teacher previously in your career, or was this the first time?
- A. I think that was -- that was the first time. I think there were -- right, first time.
- Q. Okay. And from what I've seen, you had not done a threat assessment in almost a year prior to this, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And that you had only done two in the

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

103

101

previous	four	vears.	right?
previous	IUUI	y cars,	11gm,

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So after the decision was made whether it was yours or Kevin's or some combination of that, did you do anything to refresh your memory about how to do a threat assessment before actually conducting this one?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you have any discussions with Kevin about what an effective threat assessment involved?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you speak with anybody other than Kevin Kolasa about who should be involved in the threat assessment process?
  - A. In this threat assessment?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. No, not -- no, not that I recall.
- Q. And, again, I'm going to point you to a document, you are free to refer to it. Exhibit 11 is a list of questions and answers in writing that Littleton Public Schools answered as part of this case. And I would jump to page 14 of those, and you'll see at the bottom it says, "Describe in detail the entire investigation, inquiry, analysis, or interviews performed as part of the threat assessment

Q. And I take it from your earlier testimony that when you were doing the threat assessment on Karl Pierson, you did not know that Kevin Kolasa had never been trained on how to do one?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, in the threat assessment document, Exhibit 35, there's a template, if you will, right?
- A. Right.
- O. And it identifies who the threat assessment team usually consists of, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that would be the administrator, that would be Kevin Kolasa, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. The school psychologist or social worker, that would be vou?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. A counselor assigned to the threat assessment team?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And no counselor was assigned to a threat assessment team for this threat assessment, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And so no counselor participated in this threat assessment, right?

102

performed with respect to Karl Pierson in September 2013. In responding to this Interrogatory, identify every document that Esther Song reviewed, every person with whom she spoke, any other information that she considered." And you can see there's an answer there, but it's for Littleton Public Schools, it's not your answer. So I point that out simply as a reference point, because I really am not trying to trap you in something that was said here and is different now. I just want to make that available to you. Okay?

- A. Okay.
- Q. When you mentioned or discussed with Kevin Kolasa the idea that it was appropriate to perform a threat assessment, what was his reaction?
  - A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. When you and Kevin Kolasa were talking about doing a threat assessment, did he agree that was an appropriate step, or did he think that was overkill?
  - A. No, I think he thought it was proper.
- Q. Okay. And during your conversations with him, Kevin Kolasa, about the threat assessment process, did he ever indicate to you whether he had done a threat assessment in the past?
  - A. No.

A. Right.

- Q. And it says the school resource officer as needed or available?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And the school resource officer did not participate in this threat assessment, did he?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And then another person who is usually on the threat assessment team is a teacher, counselor, or other person who knows the student. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And in the threat assessment that was done on Karl Pierson, it did not include a teacher, counselor, or other person who knew Karl, correct?
  - A. His parents.
- Q. His parents. Okay. But no teacher and no counselor?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Can you tell me why not?
- A. I'm -- I know that Kevin spoke with Loptien beforehand and with Tracy Murphy, so I thought that -- I mean, I think the information was gathered from them by Kevin, so that's why we didn't have anybody there.
  - Q. Okay. Why wasn't the school resource

104

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

2.0

2.2

officer included in the threat assessment team for this threat assessment?

- A. I know that Kevin had talked to James Englert prior to, so I think because there wasn't any criminal action or any ticketing or anything that was going to be happening, but I don't know. I don't have an answer to that besides the one I just gave you.
- Q. Okay. And why wasn't a school counselor assigned to the threat assessment team for this threat assessment?
- A. I don't have an answer for that either. I know Kelly Talen was on maternity leave, so I don't know -- again, I spoke to that before, that there was an interim counselor. So I don't know if that's part of the reason why that happened.
- Q. Okay. And you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that being a part of the threat assessment team is different from being one of the sources of information that that team evaluates, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So being on the team means you gathered the information and then you evaluate it and then you decide what actions are necessary, correct?
  - A. Correct.

this threat assessment being conducted, right?

- A. Right.
- Q. Did you speak to Tracy Murphy or Mark Loptien prior to this threat assessment?
- A. I know I spoke to them afterwards, and I can't recall if I spoke to Tracy before or immediate -- you know, after, but I -- so I don't know the timeline. Honestly, I don't know if it was before or after.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned that you had a conversation with Kevin Kolasa where he related to you what Mark Loptien had told him. Did you also have a conversation prior to the threat assessment where Kevin shared with you what Tracy Murphy had told him, again, before the threat assessment?
- A. What Tracy had told him about the incident?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Tell me about your conversation with Kevin Kolasa prior to the threat assessment.
  - A. He just told me the same thing that -not the same thing, but the beginning part of that,
    that he -- Tracy had had a conference or a meeting
    with Karl and his mother after practice and informed

- Q. And asking Mark Loptien or somebody else what happened isn't the same as having them on the team participating in the evaluation?
  - A. Yeah, I mean, it's not the same thing.
- Q. Right. So I guess my question is, we've got a list of six different types of professionals or paraprofessionals who are meant to be on the threat assessment team, and of those six, four were not. Can you tell me why they weren't part of the team?

MR. NATHAN: I'm going to object to the form of the question. You may answer.

- A. I can't, but even reading on this thing, I'm not absolving myself of any responsibility in doing that. But it also says the administrator should assemble a building threat assessment team and staff.
- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Agreed. And I'm not saying that it was your job to do it. I'm just asking if you have an answer as to why those -- all six of those people weren't included on the team?
- A. I don't. And I don't know if all six are expected to be on the team. I mean, even according to this, it says as needed. But I don't have an answer for that.
- Q. Okay. You mentioned that Kevin Kolasa spoke to both Mark Loptien and Tracy Murphy prior to

him that he would not be part of the speech and debate leadership or -- I don't know what they call it, but I think the leadership and that he left and he was very upset.

- Q. Did Kevin tell you anything about what he had learned about Karl's tone of voice, mannerisms, physical behavior as this threat was being made?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. So he didn't tell you that Mark Loptien described it as the sound of an animal dying?
  - A. No
- Q. Didn't tell you he was waving his arms and screaming and yelling and gesticulating wildly, anything like that?
- A. I never heard about him sounding like an animal dying. I knew that he was upset. I don't know if I heard those words about him waving his arms in the air. I don't know if I heard those descriptions.
- Q. Okay. In this sort of instructional bubble on the threat assessment and action plan document, it mentions the fact that "It is important that information be shared among all the team members." Do you see that?
  - A. Uh-huh. Yes.
  - Q. Wouldn't that have been important

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

Davis v. Littleton Public School District **ESTHER SONG** 109 111 1 1 information for Mr. Kolasa to share with you? this threat assessment, did you also pull the 2 2 A. Yes. disciplinary records on Karl Pierson? 3 3 Q. These instructions go on to say that "The A. As part of my preparation for this? 4 information for this assessment can be gathered from 4 Q. Yes, for the threat assessment that you 5 5 sources that include eyewitness reports, academic and performed. 6 6 discipline records, staff/parent observations, and A. Oh, for -- I'm sure I looked at them, but 7 7 student interview." Do you see that? I don't think there was anything -- as far as I 8 8 remember, I don't think there -- I think there was A. Yes. 9 9 Q. As part of this threat assessment like -- I don't know if there was any suspensions or 10 10 process, did you pull Karl Pierson's academic records? -- I don't know. I'd have to look back and refer. 11 11 A. I'm sure I looked at them. I don't know Q. Well, as you sit here today, do you 12 12 if -- I'm sure I looked at them. recall anything about Karl Pierson's previous 13 13 Q. Do you recall what his academic records disciplinary history that affected your evaluation of 14 14 showed in terms of a trend or a pattern? him in September of 2013? 15 15 A. I don't recall. A. No. 16 Q. Did you make any notes of what you did in 16 Q. And you don't recall whether he had been 17 connection with this threat assessment other than what 17 suspended? 18 exists on Exhibit 35? 18 A. I don't think he had been suspended. I 19 19 A. Whatever you have is what exists. think there was something about the incident in his 20 20 Q. Okay. You don't have a notebook or math classroom the year before, but that's all I 21 didn't keep anything separate from this? 21 recall. 2.2 22 A. No. I had a file, and it was all gone Q. Okay. And in addition to looking at his 23 23 academic record and his disciplinary record, did you when I returned to the school. I mean, it was all --24 I didn't have anything. Like it was the threat 24 review any other documents as part of your threat 25 assessment and --25 assessment? 110 112 1 Q. Did you keep a separate file? A. I don't believe so. 2 A. I don't have a separate file. There was 2 Q. And did you or, to your knowledge, Kevin 3 3 log entries. If there was a log entry, it would be in Kolasa take any written statements from anybody as 4 4 there, but I don't have separate notes. Whatever I part of this threat assessment process? 5 5 A. I never did. That was never part -- I've took was on here. 6 6 never done that. So I didn't -- I don't know if Kevin Q. Okay. Well, when you said you got back 7 7 to the school, the file was all gone. What are you 8 8 referring to? Q. I have heard over the course of this that 9 9 A. Just my file, because I keep a copy of Kevin Kolasa referred to Karl Pierson as either the 10 10 the threat assessment or whatever I had. I looked to strangest kid he ever encountered or one of the 11 see if there was anything in the file cabinet because 11 strangest kids he ever encountered in education. My 12 12 I keep a copy of my -- if there is any copy of things. question to you is, did Kevin share that with you at 13 13 Q. So did you -any point? 14 14

A. I don't -- I mean, I don't have anything. I don't remember there being anything more than this.

- O. And I guess what I'm trying to get at is was there a threat assessment file or was there a Karl Pierson file?
  - A. Just a threat assessment file.
- Q. And it was just for this threat assessment?
- A. Well, I guess it must have been a Karl Pierson file with the threat assessment in it. I didn't have any other contact with him.
  - Q. Okay. As part of your preparation to do

- A. I don't believe so. I don't know if I heard those -- I don't know if I recall that.
- Q. Is that something that would have been useful for you to know?
- A. Sure. I'd have to know, I mean, I guess what context he was talking about, because I think it's strange. I don't know. I'd want some more explanation.
- Q. And the only way to get that explanation is if he would have shared that with you because then you could have asked him, What do you mean, right?
  - A. Right.

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

116

Davis v. Littleton Public School District **ESTHER SONG** 113 1 1 Q. Did you, as part of looking into or 2 2 preparing for this threat assessment, have any contact 3 3 or pull any law enforcement records that may have been A. Past history. 4 4 there? 5 5 6 6 Q. Wouldn't that have been important for you 7 7 to know whether or not Karl had any criminal process? 8 8 background? 9 9 A. Sure. 10 10 Q. So can you tell me why you didn't make 11 any effort to find out whether or not Karl had a 11 12 12 criminal background? 13 13 A. I believe that we did ask family. 14 Q. Okay. But you did not ask law 14 15 15 enforcement to try to verify whatever you got on that? 16 A. I did not, and I guess that isn't -- I 16 A. Yes. 17 have -- that wasn't my practice to do that with every 17 18 threat assessment. 18 19 19 Q. So you mentioned that you pulled Karl's A. Correct. 20 20 contact log and behavioral detail report, correct? 21 21 A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Let's look at those. They are 23 23 Exhibit 24. So the reason you were looking -- well, 24 tell me why were you looking at the behavioral detail 24 A. Correct. 25 report. 25 114 1 1

A. To see if there was a past history of aggression or discipline.

Q. And do you recall what you learned from looking at his behavioral detail report?

A. I didn't -- I mean, I don't think that --I think, looking at this, I don't know what I was thinking when I -- two years ago. I don't -- or two-and-a-half years ago when I looked at it.

Q. Okay. And the reason I ask is this behavior of detail report shows a history of violence and aggression, doesn't it?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. It shows that back in 2003, he hit two students with a lunch box when he was eight years old because they weren't moving fast enough in the lunch line, right?

A. Right.

Q. Did you remember that prior to --

A. I didn't.

O. And it also shows an incident in December of 2003 where he kicked a student in the stomach and hit another student in the head while they were sitting on a wall. Do you see that incident as well?

A. Yep, I do.

Q. And incidents of youthful aggression are

one of the important factors to look at in performing a threat assessment, aren't they?

Q. Right. And did you have any discussion with Mr. Kolasa about these two incidents of violence and aggression as part of this threat assessment

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Did you discuss the fact that Karl had a history, going back a long ways, of violence and aggression with anybody as part of the threat assessment that you performed?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. And if you look at Exhibit 19, you said you also looked at this contact log?

Q. Right. And, again, you were looking for other red flag behaviors or attitudes, right?

Q. And that's because a history of violence, aggression, and any number of other behaviors or attitudes could and should influence how you performed your threat assessment, right?

Q. And the contact log shows multiple

incidents, doesn't it, that could fairly be characterized as violent or aggressive attitudes, right?

A. One prior to the incident.

Q. Okay. And that one is the November 2011 incident where he told a kid to go cut or gut himself, right?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

O. And where he said he was going to make other kids his bitch because he had been bullied his whole life?

A. Yes.

Q. And is that something that stood out about Karl Pierson as you were performing his threat assessment?

A. It was something, yes, I'm sure that we took into consideration.

Q. And what did that incident tell you as you were -- or I guess how did you take that incident into consideration as you were performing this threat assessment?

A. That he had a history of feeling bullied.

Q. And that's one of the specific factors that you look at when you're performing a threat assessment, right?

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

117 119 1 1 A. One of them, yes. Q. And anyone else? 2 2 Q. And in looking at this contact log, and A. Maybe Astrid. I don't know. 3 3 Q. What else did you do to prepare for the in looking at the behavioral detail log, there's no 4 4 threat assessment other than review those documents reference to a suspension of Karl Pierson, is there? 5 5 A. Where was the behavior log? and talk to those individuals? 6 6 Q. Oh, it's 24. Here, I've got an extra A. I don't know if I did anything else. 7 7 copy. You can look at both at the same time. Q. And the reason I ask is I'm looking at 8 8 Exhibit 4. It talks about all the sources of A. I don't see any. 9 9 Q. Okay. And you had mentioned an incident information that the participants on a threat 10 10 involving a math class, that was Dan Swomley's class, assessment team should at least consider examining. 11 11 right? One is past and present school records, right? And 12 12 we've talked about the school records you've looked A. Correct. 13 13 Q. And that doesn't appear in either of at, right? 14 14 A. Right. these two school records, does it? 15 15 A. No. Q. The next one is Internet, written, and 16 16 Q. Were you aware at the time that you artistic materials. I take it you didn't look at any 17 17 performed the threat assessment on Karl Pierson that, Internet, written, or artistic materials from Karl? 18 in fact, he had been suspended for an outburst in 18 A. There was no indication that there was 19 19 Mr. Swomley's class? any to look at. 20 20 A. I didn't know he was suspended for it. Q. What does that mean? 21 Well. I wasn't aware of -- I don't think I was aware 21 A. Usually we look at those if there's, you 2.2 22 of the incident until after. know, something written in an English class or there 23 23 O. Oh, so you didn't even know about the is something brought to our attention, you know, that 24 24 March of 2013 incident? there's something that somebody drew or something -- a 25 A. I don't think so, no. 25 poem or something that was written on a Web site, 118 120 1 1 Q. Okay. Would that have been something we'll look at that source of information. But we 2 don't necessarily go looking for it unless there's an that would be important for you to know about as part 3 3 of performing a threat assessment? indication that there was that information to look 4 4 for. Does that make sense? 5 5 Q. Have you had any discussions with anybody Q. Well, let me ask this, did you ask Karl's 6 6 since the shooting about why that information wasn't teachers, Has he written anything concerning or drawn 7 7 shared with you or included on those records? anything concerning? 8 8 A. I did not. A. I don't believe so. 9 9 Q. So other than the behavioral detail log Q. Why not? 10 10 and the contact log and his academic -- I mean, his A. I don't have an answer for that. 11 grades, did you look at anything else as part of your 11 Q. And did you or anybody from the school 12 12 threat assessment of Karl Pierson? look to see if there was anything concerning on his 13 13 A. In the documentation? Facebook page or his Twitter feed or Instagram or 14 14 Q. Yes. whatever else the kids were using back then? 15 15 A. I didn't. A. I don't think so. 16 16 Q. And I want to be as clear as I can on Q. Why not? 17 17 that, that's all the documents you looked at? A. I don't have -- I mean, I typically don't 18 18 go looking at kids' Facebook and Instagram and Twitter A. I believe so. 19 Q. And the people you spoke to prior to the 19 or whatever else they're using unless there is an 20 20 threat assessment were Kevin Kolasa, right? indication that there is an issue or somebody is 21 21 A. Right. saying that there was something written on one of 22 22 Q. And you don't know, but maybe Tracy those -- a post or something written. 23 23 Murphy, but you can't remember if that was before or Q. So it is fair to say that unless somebody 2.4 24 tells you there's something you should see on a kid's

A. Correct.

25

25

Facebook page or in their homework assignments, you

121 123 1 1 don't inquire about whether or not there's anything with staff, witnesses, and peers, right? 2 2 vou should be aware of? A. Right. 3 3 A. I don't know if I did as a general rule. Q. And I understand Kevin Kolasa talked to 4 4 Q. Do you now? Mark Loptien and Tracy Murphy, but did you interview 5 5 A. No. any staff, witnesses, or peers? 6 6 Q. All right. Next item on the list here is A. I did not. 7 7 law enforcement records, and I think we covered that. Q. Do you know if Kevin Kolasa interviewed 8 8 Instead of checking law enforcement records, you asked any of Karl's peers as part of this? 9 9 Karl's parents whether he had a criminal history; is A. I don't know. 1.0 10 that right? Q. If he did, wouldn't you expect that he 11 11 A. Yeah. would have told you about it? 12 12 Q. Okay. A. Yeah. 13 13 A. Sorry, I was looking at the -- can I look Q. And you're not aware of any peer 14 14 at the threat assessment again? interviews that were done? 15 15 Q. Yes. The next source of information on A. Not regarding that incident, no. 16 16 Q. Okay. The next is the interview with the the list in the slide from the training that Nate 17 17 targeted individual. Again, that is something that Thompson presented refers to a search of a student, a 18 locker, and a car. Did you or anybody involved with 18 Kevin Kolasa did, not you? 19 19 Karl Pierson's threat assessment search Karl, his A. Right. 20 20 locker, or his car? Q. Were you at all concerned about Kevin 21 21 doing that interview, given that he had no training on A. I did not. 22 22 Q. Do you know of anybody who did? threat assessments? 23 23 A. Well, I didn't know he didn't have 24 24 Q. Did you ask anyone to do that? training but also --25 25 A. We didn't ask for a search. We asked Q. Fair point. 122 124 1 1 parents if there was any weapons in the home. A. -- you know, we all -- people on the team 2 2 do different aspects of information gathering. So I Q. Okay. Did anybody search Karl's phone, 3 3 tablet, laptop, daily planner, anything like that? wasn't really concerned at that point, no. 4 4 A. I did not. I don't know if anybody else Q. And that's a good point. What was the 5 5 division of responsibility between you and Kevin did. 6 6 Kolasa as it related to this threat assessment? Q. If they did, they didn't tell you about 7 it? 7 A. I mean, I don't think we sat down and 8 8 A. Right. divvied -- you know, divided things up, but, you know, 9 9 Q. And you didn't ask anyone to search any in general not one person can do all of the pieces or 10 10 we don't -- you know, people would bring all of their of those things, did you? 11 11 information together. So Kevin had the information 12 12 O. The next item on the list is a search of about the threat from Loptien. He had talked with 13 13 the room or home in which Karl resided; right? Kevin -- or, sorry, Tracy. I had looked on the 14 14 A. Right. discipline -- on the logs and --15 15 Q. And, again, you know that there was no Q. Sure. 16 16 search of Karl's room or home done, right? A. -- I was assuming that Kevin would have 17 A. As far as I know, right. 17 already had that working knowledge, since he was 18 O. And didn't ask for one to be done? 18 involved with the student prior to that time. So that 19 19 A. I didn't ask for one, no. was my assumption. And he had spoken with James 20 2.0 Q. There was a student interview done, Englert, and I know that he had informed or had a 21 21 right? discussion with Natalie. And that's what I remember. 2.2 A. Correct. 22 Q. Okay. And going back to Exhibit 4, the 23 Q. And there was a parent interview done? 23 last item on this list of sources of information 24 24 A. Correct. refers to contact with community agencies. To your

Q. The next item on the list says interview

25

25

knowledge, did you or Kevin or anybody from Arapahoe

125 127 1 1 have any contact with any community agencies about break, we were talking about how this threat 2 2 Karl Pierson in September of 2013? assessment form was filled out, and it's your 3 3 A. I had asked Mom for these forms, and she recollection that it was all filled out during the 4 4 had never returned them. meeting, other than some that may have been filled out 5 5 Q. Okay. shortly after? 6 A. So I don't know if -- I can't -- I don't 6 A. Correct. 7 7 know if Kevin had contact. I don't know what other Q. Okay. Do you recall what would have been 8 8 people -- if other people did. filled out after the meeting was completed? 9 Q. Now, when did this document, Exhibit 35, 9 A. I don't. I may have just been looking it 10 10 get filled out? over and making sure before we wanted to sign it. 11 A. During our meeting. 11 Q. Okay. Now, as you can imagine, I've got 12 12 Q. Okay. a number of questions about this form. One is in 13 13 A. I don't know if it was completed then and Step 1, make sure all students are safe. It's the 14 14 first page. The box is checked for locate and detain signed off on right then. 15 15 Q. And that's what I'm trying to get at, was the student under constant adult supervision. Do you 16 16 part of it filled out before the meeting, and part of see that? 17 17 it during? A. Yes. 18 A. No, I don't think before. 18 Q. Why is that box checked? 19 19 A. I couldn't answer you. I don't know. I Q. Okay. 20 20 honestly don't know because everything else that was A. I think it was all done during. 21 21 Q. When you found out as part of this checked was like by hand, so . . . 22 2.2 process that Karl was removed from team leadership on Q. Right. That was going to be one of the 23 23 the speech and debate team, did you dig any deeper to questions I had. Is that just automatically checked 24 24 find out what had prompted Tracy Murphy to make that by the computer? 25 decision? 25 A. It might be. I don't -- I honestly don't 126 128 1 1 A. Tracy and I had a conversation about it, remember. 2 but I didn't -- I don't recall it being anything 2 Q. Okay. 3 3 A. But I feel like if I checked that, I really alarming at that point. 4 4 Q. Well, let's break that into a couple of would have checked it by hand. 5 parts. Was that discussion before or after you did 5 Q. In the next step in this process it says 6 6 this threat assessment? make immediate notifications, right, on the form? 7 7 A. After. A. Yes. Sorry. 8 8 Q. So you didn't ask him before the threat Q. And there are four of those boxes 9 assessment why he had been removed from team 9 checked. The first one being --10 10 leadership at the --A. There's three. 11 A. I think he had that conversation with --11 Q. Oh, you're right. I'm sorry. I misread 12 sorry I cut you off. 12 it. The first is school building administrators, 13 Q. Go ahead. 13 review the need for a threat assessment. That's a 14 A. I think he had had that conversation with 14 reference to your discussion with Mr. Kolasa? 15 Kevin. 15 A. Correct. 16 16 Q. Okay. Did Kevin share with you what Q. Did you speak with any other 17 Tracy had told him about the reason for that decision? 17 administrators about Karl Pierson prior to this threat 18 A. I'm sure he did, but I can't remember 18 assessment reentry meeting? 19 exactly -- I can't remember exactly what the reasons 19 A. Oh, not that I'm aware of, no. 20 20 were. Q. The box for contacting emergency 21 21 Q. Let's see. responders school resource officers or the district 22 A. Sorry, can I take a break? 22 security office as needed is also checked. Was that

Q. Of course.

(Recess taken, 1:32 p.m. to 1:49 p.m.)

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) So before we took the

23

24

25

23

2.4

25

A. Correct.

based on Mr. Kolasa's discussion with Deputy Englert?

Q. Was anybody else in law enforcement or

**ESTHER SONG** 129 131 1 1 district security notified about this threat Q. And it says in the form itself in bold 2 2 assessment happening? and underlined that the threat assessment team members 3 3 A. Not that I'm aware of. are to provide notes explaining the evidence next to 4 4 each statement that's checked. Do you do that now? Q. Okay. And then the next box related to 5 5 contacting district administration is not checked, A. I haven't, no. 6 6 right? Q. Don't you think that affects negatively 7 7 the reliability and the accuracy of the threat A. Right. 8 8 Q. And I assume that's because the level of assessment that you perform? 9 9 threat was not school district or community-wide? A. Not necessarily, no. I mean, I don't 10 10 know how I'm supposed to -- you know, with this one A. Correct. 11 11 react to discipline calmly. I don't know what notes I O. Okay. And then the last box that's 12 12 checked is to indicate that Karl's parents were would write next to it as evidence, I mean . . . 13 13 notified prior to conducting the threat assessment, Q. Well, I mean to use your example, one 14 14 right? note that could go in that column is, I spoke to his 15 15 teachers, they all say he reacts to discipline calmly. A. Right. 16 16 Q. Okay. At the bottom of the first page of A. Okay. 17 17 the threat assessment and action plan is a note about Q. Except for Mrs. Smith, who says he threw 18 how to review the threat assessment factors, right? 18 a fit and, you know, launched his history book at me. 19 19 A. It says it -- right, it's a guide -- or a I mean, there's any number of things that could go in 20 20 guideline or a guide to reviewing that threat those notes, but not taking them or making them or 21 21 assessment process. explaining the process you went through, you can 22 22 Q. Right. And it explains that "The purpose certainly understand, I would think, that calls into 23 23 of this process is to determine whether a student doubt how thorough this threat assessment was. Don't 24 24 poses a threat to the safety of others," right? you think? 25 25 A. Correct. A. Okay. 130 132 1 1 Q. That was what you understood you were O. So let's walk through the threat 2 2 doing? assessment factors you filled out, and the first one 3 3 A. Correct. asked was the threat direct or indirect/veiled, right? 4 4 Q. And the instructions indicate that, among 5 5 other things, the threat assessment team was to, and Q. And, first, can you explain to me what 6 6 you understand to be the difference between a direct this is bolded and underlined, "Provide notes 7 7 explaining the evidence next to each statement and an indirect or veiled threat? 8 8 checked." Do you see that? A. A direct threat would be a verbal threat, 9 9 indirect would be a gesture or -- and I understand A. Yes. 10 10 Q. Okay. And obviously there are a number that that's contradictory, and I had explained that 11 of things checked here that don't have any notes 11 when I first talked about this that that was 12 12 explaining the evidence associated with them, right? admittedly a mistake that I made in that check box. 13 13 A. Right. Q. And to whom did you explain that? 14 Q. Why is that? 14 A. When I was first interviewed. 15 15 A. I don't have an explanation. We didn't O. By whom? 16 16 MR. NATHAN: You can say who it was. write notes. I mean, that was not my practice to 17 write notes next to every check mark. 17 A. So it was Darrell. 18 18 Q. Okay. Well, we've walked through Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Darrell Farrington? 19 19 Exhibit 4, which talks about how important it is to A. Yeah.

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

A. Yes.

A. Uh-huh.

document the threat assessment process, right?

Q. And it does say that, doesn't it?

Q. The Nate Thompson training slides?

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Okay. I just wanted to make sure it

you had any discussions with anybody other than

Mr. Farrington about the mistake there?

Q. Okay. And did you explain that or have

wasn't Darrell Meredith.

A. No.

	133		135
1	A. No.	1	looked at everything possible, yes, I would have.
2	Q. That would include anybody working at	2	Q. Okay.
3	LPS, didn't talk to any of them about it?	3	A. And I yeah.
4	A. Correct. Nobody else ever asked me about	4	Q. Now, in the next column actually, it's
5	it.	5	a couple of columns down, this document asks has the
6	Q. That includes Natalie Pramenko never	6	student communicated ideas or intent to attack. Do
7	asked you about it?	7	you see that?
8	A. No.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Scott Murphy never asked you about it?	9	Q. And you've got the box marked no. Help
10	A. No.	10	me to understand why you marked no.
11	Q. Okay. Nobody from the sheriff's office?	11	A. He made the verbal threat, but when we
12	A. No.	12	were talking about the threat, there was he was
13	Q. In the threat factors it also asks was	13	saying that there was no intent behind it, that he
14	the threat verbal, nonverbal, symbolic, hand or body	14	didn't he said it out of anger, that he had no
15	gesture, written or via technology. There's several	15	intention of doing that.
16	different boxes there, right?	16	Q. Okay. And the next item on the list is
17	A. Correct.	17	access to weapons, and you checked none known, right?
18	Q. And you marked down that it was a verbal	18	A. Right.
19	threat, which it was, right?	19	Q. And what was the basis for checking that
20	A. Right.	20	box?
21	Q. My question is on the written and via	21	A. Conversation with parents.
22	technology boxes there, and I think I know the answer,	22	Q. Okay. What do you ask the parents?
23	you didn't look at any of Karl's writings or	23	A. If there are any weapons. If there is
24	electronics to see if there was any evidence of a	24	any access to weapons in the home, if there's any
25	threat, did you?	25	you know, a lot of times people will say, yeah,
	424		420
	134		136
1	A. No.	1	there's knives in the kitchen or but we always ask
2	Q. And you knew, did you not, in the fall of	2	if they have access.
3	2013 that the faculty and staff and counselors had the	3	Q. Okay.
4	right under the student handbook to search phones,	4	A. Most parents will say if they have access
5	laptops, electronics at school, didn't you?	5	to like a hunting gun or if there's things in the
6	A. I did not.	6	home.
7	Q. I guess, is that the first time you've	7	Q. Okay. And do you recall who did you
8	heard that the school has the right to search a	8	ask? Was it Mr. Pierson or Mrs. Pierson or both?
9	student's electronics?	9	A. I'm sure I asked both because they were
10	A. I knew the school does, but I didn't know	10	both there.
11 12	every employee of the school was allowed to.	11	Q. Okay.
	Q. Okay. Fair enough. That's a fair		A. I know that he was at both homes.
13	distinction. Did you know in the fall of 2013 that	13	Q. Do you recall where he spent the majority
14	the school had the right with reasonable suspicion to	14	of his time?
15	search a student's electronics?	15 16	A. His mother's house.
16	A. Yes.		Q. Okay. Now, when you were analyzing the
17	Q. Okay. And other than it wasn't your	17	question about whether or not Karl had the ability to
18	practice to do so, was there a reason you didn't	18	carry out a plan to kill Tracy Murphy, you had three
19 20	request to search Karl's laptop, phone, iPad, tablet?	19 20	choices: no ability, possible ability, and reported
21	A. There wasn't any indication that there		ability and training. Do you see that?
. / 1	were any threats made besides the verbal one.	21	A. Right.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22	O II 11 1 4 91 1994 6
22	Q. Okay. But wouldn't you want to look to	22	Q. How did you select possible ability?
22 23	Q. Okay. But wouldn't you want to look to see if there is anything else out there?	23	A. I'm guessing based on his history that
22	Q. Okay. But wouldn't you want to look to		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

139

140

137 Q. Okay. Did you know -- I'll be more direct. Were you aware, as you were filling out the factors about access to weapons and ability to carry out the plan, that Karl had been a Boy Scout and had spent summers gaining marksmanship certificates as part of the Boy Scouts, shooting pistols, rifles, shotguns? A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Would that have been important for you to know?

A. Yes.

Q. When you asked about access to weapons, did you ask anything of his parents about whether or not Karl knew how to shoot, liked to shoot, had any training in shooting, anything like that?

A. I don't recall if I did, but I'm -- I mean, I usually do.

Q. Usually you do?

A. Ask parents -- you know, ask the family if they've had any experience with weapons or if they've ever used them.

Q. Okay. Do you recall what his parents said?

A. I don't.

Q. The next item on the list is the motive

Q. Didn't know that Karl was unhappy with Tracy for not giving him the praise that he felt he was due for making nationals?

A. No.

Q. Okay. So the only thing you knew about the relationship between Karl Pierson and Tracy Murphy was that Tracy had kicked him off of the captain's seat on the debate team, but you weren't sure why, right?

A. Right. Well, I know that -- I mean, there were reasons, yeah. So I don't know -- I can't remember the specific reasons why.

Q. Okay. And then in the early warning signs and factors that's on Exhibit 35, there's a number of different factors listed, correct? One of them is violent behavior towards others, and you've checked that Karl had a significant history of such violent behavior, right?

A. Correct.

Q. Do you recall what led you to characterize his history of violent behavior as significant?

A. I think based on Mom's report and then looking at the discipline log.

Q. Okay. Now, what violent behavior towards

138

to threat or harm targeted others. And, again, you've checked possible reasons. And your notes make clear that that's a reference to Karl being demoted from the

A. Correct.

Q. How do you differentiate between a possible motive and a definite motive?

captain's position on the debate team?

A. You know, I'm -- possibly if there was a history of conflict between the two.

Q. Did you know whether there was a history of conflict between Karl and Tracy Murphy?

A. I don't think -- I don't believe I was ever told there was. I don't think there was. To the best of my knowledge, I don't remember Tracy saying that there was a history.

Q. Okay. So you hadn't heard that Karl was unhappy that Mr. Murphy hadn't accompanied him to nationals six months previously, five months previously?

A. That he was upset with Tracy for not going to nationals?

Q. Yes.

A. No.

Q. Didn't know that?

A. (Deponent shook head side to side.)

1 others did Mom report to you?

> A. I don't think she reported specific incidences, not from home.

Q. Okay. You'll see in -- I'm sure you have seen that in the margins you've written that "Mom reports 'deep seeded' anger and Karl agrees he's had anger issues for a while," correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And was that part of your reasoning in marking that Karl --

A. Yes.

Q. -- had a significant history of violent behavior?

A. Correct.

Q. What did you do to probe with Mom and with Karl those anger issues?

A. I don't -- I didn't really probe what was behind the anger issues. The parents just reported there was a history, that he had been in therapy.

Q. Don't you think it would have been important to know why Karl was so angry as part of performing an accurate threat assessment?

A. I think that would be helpful information.

Q. Okay. So why didn't you probe Karl and

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

#### his parents about those issues?

2.2

- A. I mean, I'm -- you know, looking back, I'm sure we had a conversation about him having anger issues. I don't know how in depth -- I really don't know how in depth they were.
- Q. Well, when you heard about these deep seeded anger issues that both Karl and his mom acknowledged existing, did that lead you to conclude that Karl ought to be getting some professional help with those issues?
- A. Well, I knew that he was. I mean, reportedly that's what Mom had said.
- Q. Okay. And did you think that he needed professional help for those anger issues based on what you heard?
- A. Yeah. I mean, I think it's always helpful.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me anything more about what you did to investigate these deep seeded anger issues that both Karl and his mother reported to you?
- A. Nothing at that time. I asked Mom for a release form to talk to the therapist.
  - Q. Right.
  - A. So that I could have communication, but I

in Exhibit 33, which is a document from the sheriff's investigation, Mrs. Pierson reported that Karl totaled his car on August 11 after he left McDonald's, where he worked. She told the police that Karl was very angry over something that happened and totaled his car after running a stop sign and hitting somebody else. So that's the incident that you were referring to, correct?

- A. The incident that you --
- Q. The car accident.
- A. That you were referring to?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Yeah.
  - Q. And you didn't know it happened because he was angry, you just knew he had been in a car accident?
  - A. Right. That it was stressful because he had been in a car accident.
  - Q. Okay. Would it have been important to you to know that Karl's anger could reach the point where he would run through a stop sign in a rage --
    - A. Absolutely.
    - O. -- and wreck his car?
- A. Absolutely.
  - Q. Okay. Now, the early warning sign factor

didn't have -- I don't know what further conversation I had about specific issues.

- Q. Okay. Did you ask either Karl or his mom how those anger issues manifested themselves in Karl's life?
- A. I'm sure I had a conversation, but I don't remember.
- Q. Well, did you know as you were filling this document out that just a couple of weeks before threatening to kill Tracy Murphy, Karl had totaled his car leaving work in a rage?
- A. I think Mom had referenced having a car accident, but I don't think she said that -- I don't remember her saying that it was because he left in a rage.
- Q. And did you do any further probing or questioning of Karl or his mom about the car accident?
- A. I don't think so. I don't see it noted on here.
- Q. Okay. And if you had dug a little deeper, the answers would have been noted somewhere on this form?
  - A. I'm assuming so, yes.
- Q. Okay. And the reason I'm asking about that is because -- you're welcome to look along, but

that is there for violent/threatening themes conveyed in stories, diary entries, essays, letters, songs, drawings or videos, that's not checked, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And part of why that's not checked is because you didn't look at any of those items, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that's because nobody had told you that there was anything to see in his stories, diaries, essays, letters, et cetera, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And because you didn't talk to any of his teachers about whether there was anything concerning in any of those categories, right?
- A. Correct, they usually -- so I'm not pointing a finger at the teachers, but usually they would bring us -- they bring it to us if there was something concerning, a student's writing or artwork.
- Q. Okay. Well, the teachers weren't told that Karl was going to be the subject of a threat assessment, were they?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. And none of the other boxes in the early warning sign factors are checked, are they?
  - A. No.

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

2.2

2.5

Q. And that includes the early warning sign factor for a history of perception of being bullied or victimized by others, right?

A. Right.

2.2

- Q. I take it that was another mistake?
- A. I'm assuming so.
- Q. Can you shed any light on why that isn't checked given the fact that Karl said almost those exact words in the behavioral detail report we just looked at?
- A. I mean, my -- honestly, and it's not -- I don't want it to sound like I'm making excuses for anyone or anything that we did, but I feel like, yeah, if I could have gone back, I would have taken all the time in the world to sit there and make sure I was doing everything as -- sorry.

#### Q. It's okay.

A. But I feel like we did the best that we could in the moment, and it's not an excuse, but I feel like -- yeah, if there were boxes that were mischecked or not checked, it was my fault for not doing that, but I guess I just -- I don't really have an excuse for not having that done. I don't think I answered your question.

Q. Okay.

Q. So from elementary to middle, middle to high?

- A. Yeah. Often -- yeah, if there's concerns about a student or usually there's concern about a student, they'll have that information transition with the student.
- Q. Okay. Now, the next box is difficulty controlling impulses or emotions. That one is checked as well?
  - A. Right.
- Q. What was the basis for checking that? Was it just the fact that there had been a threat?
- A. The threat, the outburst that I noted above.
  - Q. Oh, in Mr. Swomley's class?
- A. Yeah. I'm sure looking at the behavior reports.
- Q. Okay. Now, you do not have checked the box for significant psychiatric/medical conditions or medication needs, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. I've read in a couple of different places, including in the sheriff's report and some other witness statements, that you've referred to Karl as a narcissist and in some even as the most

1 narcissistic person you had ever met Do y

A. Sorry.

Q. It's okay. Let's talk about the at-risk factors. You've marked that Karl had a history of school discipline that included the oppositional misconduct category, right?

A. Right.

- Q. Did not include the -- didn't check the box for suspension, because you didn't know that he had been suspended; is that right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. A couple of other boxes here I wanted to ask you about. One is violence/abuse is modeled at home or in the community. That one is obviously not checked?
  - A. Right.
- Q. My question is, how do you, as a school psychologist, find out whether or not violence is modeled at the home, because the parents certainly aren't going to tell you that. So how do you dig into that issue?
- A. So that -- a lot of times, we look at if there is a history of domestic violence or abuse at home or if there is a record of that. Oftentimes we do know because it's information that's relayed to us from level to level or --

narcissistic person you had ever met. Do you recall saying those things?

- A. I don't think I've ever said he's the most narcissistic -- not that I recall ever saying that he was the most narcissistic person I've ever met. I don't recall ever saying that. I remember saying that he was narcissistic.
- Q. Okay. And would that narcissism be something that would normally cause you to indicate that he had a significant psychiatric issue?
- A. Not necessarily. I mean, I think that there's a lot of kids who are narcissistic at that age because I think that's the nature of being a teenager as well. But it could definitely be a factor.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Sorry.
- Q. It's okay. Now, you also marked that Karl had angry reactions that were extreme or disproportionate to the situation, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Is that a reference back to what happened in the math class and to the threat to kill Mr. Murphy because he was demoted on the debate team?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did anything else factor into that?

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

151

152

149

- A. I'm guessing the behavior logs, but I didn't note that on here.
- Q. Now, you've also noted that staff is fearful of the student. Obviously Mr. Murphy's name is written next to it. Had you talked to any other staff to find out what their perceptions were of Karl Pierson?
  - A. Not before this assessment, no.
- Q. Now, you did not note that Karl had a sensitivity to feedback or criticism, right?
  - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And given the description of his reaction to what happened in the math class, it seems like that box probably should have been checked as well; don't you think?
  - A. Sure. Yeah.
- Q. Sorry, I missed one. There's also a box for whether or not the student externalizes blame. What did you know about that as it related to Karl Pierson?
- A. I don't know. I don't know what you're referring to, honestly.
  - O. Okav.
- A. Do you have an example or -- I don't know.

150

Q. Well, with respect to being kicked off the debate team, there were a couple of things that led up to that, and I'll ask if these refresh your recollection. One was he no-showed for back to school night where he was supposed to distribute water to the folks who showed up. Did you know about that?

- A. No.
- Q. And then there was a weird incident where he stood up in a debate tournament and announced that his penis had fallen off. Had you heard about that?
  - A. No. No.
- Q. And he didn't feel like he should be punished for either of those incidents.
- A. I didn't know that because I wasn't aware of those situations either, and I think the water, as far as I knew, that was all volunteer stuff. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Now, in the list of at-risk factors, another item is the student tends to hold on to resentments or harbors a grudge. Do you see that?
- Q. Did you do anything to find out whether or not Karl was the kind of kid who held on to resentments or harbor ed grudges?
  - A. Besides talking with the family, no.

Q. Okay. So help me understand how the discussions occurred during this meeting. Karl was there, right?

- A. Right.
  - Q. His parents were both there?
- A. Right.
  - Q. And you and Kevin were there?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. And this meeting happened in the counseling office?
    - A. Kevin's office.
    - Q. Kevin's office. How long did it last?
- 13 A. Maybe an hour.
  - Q. Okay. And was there somebody who sort of ran the meeting?
  - A. I mean, I suppose I was because I was asking him questions off of this form.
  - Q. Okay. And that's kind of what I figured. That's what I wanted to get at. Did you walk them through, that is Karl and his parents -- did they have a copy of this form in front of them?
    - A. No.
    - Q. So you had a copy in front of you, right?
    - A. Right.
    - Q. And you were walking through all of these

factors saving, for instance, Does Karl have access to weapons? And then the next item --

- A. So I --
- Q. -- does Karl have an ability to carry out his plan or was he serious about this?
- A. So, no, I didn't go through each check box, and in hindsight, I would have.
- Q. So tell me how that happened. How is this -- how did this conversation occur? What did you say? What did they say?
- A. I mean, some of it's in natural conversation you have, so you get information based on -- I mean, it's, you know, kind of a flowing conversation. So you get a lot of information that way.
  - Q. Right.
- A. And some of them are more direct questions like, Does he having access to weapons? So I feel like it's -- you know, if there's parts missing or from -- when you have conversation, then we go back and look and see what else -- what other questions we could ask.
- Q. Okay. And did you ask anything about whether or not Karl was the kind of kid who held on to resentments or harbored a grudge?

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

153

155

156

A. I don't remember.

#### O. Okav.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. I wish I did. I wish I went back through every check box on here, but, to be honest, I don't --I mean, I don't know -- I think everyone differs in how they do it, the process, and so I don't know -- I have -- when I've conducted threat assessments with other people, I haven't had them go through every check box either. Although, the last school I was at, the counselors were really good about going through each check box or each section.

#### Q. Okay.

A. And I don't know -- I feel like some of that is also -- in the last couple of years, I think people have become a little bit more thorough.

Q. Okay. The next item on the list of at-risk factors is had the student recently experienced emotional trauma, rejection, humiliation, loss of a family member or peer, victimization by peers, or severe disciplinary action, and none of those are checked.

A. We always ask about -- or I always ask about if there's any incidences or if there's been any kind of events outside of school or anything that's significant that has happened in their lives. That

factors also.

#### O. Sure.

A. I mean, he was pretty engaged in the school.

Q. Right. And we're going to get to those as well. I'm just trying to figure out which factors were increasing your level of concern and which ones were decreasing. And the fact that he had a long history of anger was one that increased your level of concern, right?

A. Right.

Q. And what about in the margins here? You've written that Karl understands his reaction was inappropriate, but does not seem to be remorseful or understanding of Tracy Murphy's feelings of being threatened. Did his lack of remorse and lack of empathy increase your concerns?

A. It did.

#### Q. Why?

A. Because I was concerned about the fact that he -- I mean, it was concerning that he didn't have that -- he didn't feel bad about Tracy feeling threatened. I don't know how else to explain it.

Q. Well, I'm certainly not a psychologist, and don't pretend to be. But I have read that lack of

154

may explain their -- you know, their outburst or what -- you know, that might help give some explanation or insight into their behaviors.

Q. Okay. And you didn't get an answer from Karl or his parents about -- that provided any insight into why he was so angry or why he was having this history of outbursts that he had been having, right?

A. When I did ask Mom, she just said that he had been angry since childhood.

#### Q. How did that affect your evaluation of Karl in this threat assessment, that he had been angry since childhood?

A. I mean, it's always a factor, but when we look at -- we're looking in the moment. I mean, we're taking into account the history, but we're also looking at, is this person a threat right now, and is he in imminent danger now. And so it's always a factor.

#### Q. Okay. And having a long-standing history of anger would increase generally the concern level that you would have about a student, wouldn't it?

A. It would, but I think also because there was some protective factors because he was in therapy, because he had -- reportedly the parents were supportive of him, Mom was. There's some of those

remorse and lack of empathy are two of the hallmarks of a sociopath. Am I right about that?

A. I'm sure they're characteristics of that. I don't know if it's hallmarks.

Q. Okay. Characteristics.

A. Okay.

Q. Did you ever have the thought that, Okay, this kid shows poor impulse control, no remorse, and no empathy, those are characteristics of a sociopath? Did you think about that as you went through this process?

A. I'm sure I did.

#### Q. Okay. I take it that increased your concern level as well?

A. Yep.

Q. And --

A. And I think I was missing pieces of information as well.

#### Q. Agreed.

A. So, yeah, if I could look back and see all of those pieces, absolutely, my level of concern would be different, and we would probably be having a different conversation.

Q. And one of the things that change is, based on the level of concern that you reach at the

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

157 159 1 1 end of this process, how much monitoring or messages? 2 2 supervision is provided to the student who is the A. No. I wasn't -- I don't have a reason. 3 3 subject of the threat assessment, correct? I should have. 4 4 A. Right. Q. Okay. Is it something you do now? 5 5 Q. Okay. Now, you had mentioned the A. It's conversations I have with parents 6 6 protective factors, and that's at the bottom of the all the time. I don't know if I have with every 7 7 page we're looking at on the threat assessment, right? threat assessment. 8 8 A. Right. Q. Okay. Another question you ask or that 9 Q. And -- well, first off, will you explain 9 is listed on the threat assessment form is does the 10 10 what protective factors are? student have supportive relationships, right? And 11 11 A. They're things that help children with that's empty. There's nothing marked. Can you tell 12 their resiliency and with their ability to cope with 12 me why that is? 13 stressful situations and things that help protect 13 A. No. It might just have been because I 14 14 didn't ask that question. I mean, I don't have a them. 15 15 Q. Protect them and protect other people 16 16 from them, right? Q. Is that a standard question that you 17 17 A. Right. normally ask? 18 Q. And the first item listed in protective 18 A. I mean, it usually comes out in the 19 19 factors asks what does a student do when he is in conversation. So typically, yes -- I mean, if it 20 20 distress, right? hasn't come up. 21 21 A. Right. Q. And oftentimes that's a coach or someone 22 2.2 Q. There are four different potential like that, right, that's who --23 23 responses, three of them are bad, and one of them is A. A coach, a parent. 24 24 good, right? Q. -- would go in that category? Right. 25 A. Right. 25 A. Administrator or counselor. 158 160 1 1 Q. And all three of the negative factors are Q. And, in fact, the one coach Karl had was 2 checked, but not the single positive factor, right? 2 Tracy Murphy, who had just frankly rejected him by 3 3 A. Right. demoting him from the captain seat, right? 4 4 Q. So that too, I assume, increased your A. Yeah, but -- yeah. 5 5 level of concern? Q. How did you select -- in the next item in 6 6 the protective factors, you list level of self-control A. Right. 7 7 Q. And then you talk about adult is okay with supports. How did you come to that 8 8 conclusion? supervision, and you've marked that his parents are 9 9 sometimes monitoring him? A. I'm assuming it was based on conversation 10 10 A. Right, because they're working. They're with parents and when -- with Kevin when discipline 11 11 had been put in place or conversations I would have not home all the time. 12 12 had with the student. Q. What kind of questions do you ask about 13 13 what parents are doing to monitor a student like Karl? Q. Okay. 14 14 A. Just when they're interacting with him, A. But I don't know. 15 Q. Do you recall anything that Karl's 15 what kind of time they spend with him. But I knew 16 16 parents said about his self-control? that Mom had him in therapy. 17 17 A. Not besides what was listed and what Q. Well, do you ask, for instance, Do you 18 18 look at their Facebook page? Do you have access to we've talked about in here. 19 Q. Okay. And you mentioned a few times now 19 their e-mail? Can you see their text messages? 20 that you knew Karl was in therapy, right? 20 Anything like that? 21 21 A. Right. A. Sometimes -- well, not in this case I 22 22 Q. And I think I'm reading these notes didn't, but I do in other cases. 23 23 correctly, but down in the lower right it says Q. Is there a reason that you didn't ask 24 psychiatrist first appointment 9/6. Am I reading that 24 about his electronics, his phone, his media, his 25 25 laptop, whether the parents could see the text right?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

163

164

161

MR. EVERALL: Psychologis
--------------------------

A. Psychologist.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

#### Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Oh, psychologist. Do you see the note I'm referring to?

A. Yeah. I think that was after her -- she had brought him to -- she had brought him to get evaluated after he -- sorry.

#### Q. It's okay.

A. When he -- when Mom had decided to keep him home for those three days after he threatened Tracy, and then they made the appointment to see that psychologist.

#### Q. Right. Am I reading that correctly that the first appointment that you were aware of came after the threat?

A. With that psychologist. Mom had reported that he had seen therapists in the past, so I don't know -- I don't have a chronology or history of exactly when and who. So underneath it says previous measure that have been effective, and was reported by Mom that therapy had been.

#### Q. Okay. But you didn't -- I guess what follow-up did you ask of --

A. I asked --

two years ago?

Q. -- Mrs. Pierson about that?

she never completed them and returned them.

Q. Right. It looked like there was one e-mail exchange between you and Barbara Pierson where essentially she asked, Can we get Karl on an IEP?

- A. Right.
- Q. Independent education plan.
- A. Can I start an IEP process.
- Q. Then you wrote her back and said, Yes, but he needs a diagnosis basically, right?

A. No. not that I recall, but if I can see the document.

#### Q. Yeah, I'll have to dig those out. I think I have them here.

A. I don't -- I mean. I don't think I've ever told a parent that you need a diagnosis, because that's not the case.

#### Q. But that e-mail exchange was the only follow-up communication that you had with Barbara Pierson after this threat assessment, correct?

A. Right.

Q. So she sent you --

A. I think so.

Q. -- one e-mail, and you sent her one?

A. I think so.

Q. And you didn't talk to Barbara Pierson at any time after this meeting on September 9?

162

A. I asked Mom for the release forms to talk with the psychologist and family practitioners, and

### Q. Okay. Did she tell you when that therapy had occurred? Are we talking back in second grade or

A. I honestly can't remember. It sounded like -- I mean, as far as I can remember, it was throughout, you know, his childhood and adolescence. But I honestly can't remember.

Q. And then the last line of handwritten notes there talks about -- it says talked to something at AHS?

A. Talk with assistant principal.

Q. Okay. And what does that refer to?

A. That Kevin had had conversations or intervention -- I don't know if it was interventions, but conversations with Karl in the past, so . . .

Q. Okay. And you've mentioned a couple of times the e-mail exchange you had with Barbara Pierson after this threat assessment was done. And you had asked her to sign some releases?

A. That I asked her to do at this meeting. I gave her the release forms to complete and the -yeah, there was one e-mail exchange, I think, after. 1 A. No. There was a follow-up meeting, and 2 that I was not involved in.

Q. Right.

A. Right.

Q. And the same with Mr. Pierson, Karl's dad, you didn't have any communications with Mr. Pierson after September 9, did you?

A. No.

Q. Now, going to the next page of the threat assessment document, it starts with step 4. It says you reviewed the findings with the building team to determine the level of concern, right?

A. Right.

Q. And the point of that review is to determine or ask the question to what extent does a student pose a threat to school or student safety, right?

A. Right.

Q. Tell me about the process that you went through to convene the threat assessment team to answer that question.

A. I don't know if there was a specific process. I mean, we had a conversation about the factors, how we felt, you know, based on the information that we got. You know, and it's based on

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

- the definitions that are underneath.
  - Q. Right.

- A. And that's what guides us.
- Q. So here is my -- one of my questions.

  Did you and Kevin Kolasa or you and Kevin and others get together after Karl and his parents left the room to talk about what level of concern applied to Karl Pierson in this situation?
- A. I think Kevin and I did, and I don't know -- I can't remember if we consulted with James. I know that Kevin had a conversation with Natalie, but I don't know the chronology of -- I don't know. I can't speak for him.
- Q. So when the Piersons left Kevin's office after this meeting, that box wasn't checked, right?
  - A. Yeah, I don't -- not that I'm aware of.
- Q. So how long after the Piersons -- after your meeting with the Piersons concluded did you and Kevin --
  - A. I'm sure --
- Q. -- discuss which of these three boxes to check?
- A. Oh, I'm sure that -- I mean, I'm not a hundred percent sure, but I'm pretty sure that we probably talked about it after they left. So

please consult with Nate Thompson," and then it gives his phone number, right?

- A. Right.
- Q. Did you do that?
- A. No, because I'm assuming that we didn't -- again, were on -- had a consensus about it or felt the same way.
- Q. Okay. But you can't remember or can't share with me anything about the substance of the conversations that you and Kevin Kolasa had about how to characterize the threat level that Karl Pierson posed?
  - A. I'm not withholding anything. I mean --
  - Q. I'm not meaning to imply that you are.
- A. I honestly can't remember that specific
  -- I can't remember specifics about our conversation.
  I can't even really remember -- I'm assuming we had it
  in his office, but I honestly can't remember.
- Q. And you've mentioned that you -- if you had had certain additional information your characterization of what level risk Karl posed would have been different, right?

MR. NATHAN: Object to form. You may answer.

A. Yeah, I think that -- I think if we -- I

undetermined, but, again, I don't know.

- Q. Do you recall anything about your conversations with Kevin Kolasa after the meeting with the Piersons on September 9?
  - A. Not specifics, no.
  - Q. What about even generally?
- A. I mean, I think we had -- I'm sure we had a conversation about where we thought his level of concern was, but, I mean, besides having this information to guide us, I don't know if we had other conversations.
- Q. And do you recall, was there any question in your mind or in Kevin Kolasa's mind about how to characterize the threat level for Karl Pierson?
- A. I don't know what -- I mean, I -- so based on the information I had, no, I felt like he was -- I would -- I felt like he was a low level. Again, we've talked about if I had other pieces of information, my determination probably would have been different. But I don't know -- I mean, you know, we both signed it, so I'm guessing that we didn't have a disagreement about the level.
- Q. Okay. And part of why I'm asking that is in the instructions for completing step 4 it says, "If you have difficulty determining the level of concern,

mean, honestly, if we had -- I think that if we had more staff at the time -- you know, I feel like if we had -- I think we were spread thin, and it's not an excuse, but I think we, again, did the best with the information that we had or the time we had to gather it in.

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Well, what information that you now know would have changed your characterization of Karl Pierson in this threat assessment?

MR. NATHAN: Object to form. You may answer.

- A. I would probably have to go back and look at the examples that you gave me, to be honest. I mean, all that's going through my head right now is -- is nothing. I'm having trouble recalling. I know you've talked about him being a Boy Scout and having marksmanship experience, the car accident, leaving in a rage. I don't -- I don't know what else right off the top of my head.
- Q. Okay. And according to the form, a threat should be characterized as a medium level of concern if you believe it could be carried out, although it may not appear entirely realistic and present, right?

169 171 1 1 A. Right. out in the threat assessment training that Nate 2 2 Q. And that's not how you felt about what Thompson had provided? 3 A. Did I reference that? Karl Pierson had done? 4 A. Correct. Q. Yes. 5 5 Q. And a threat should also be characterized A. No. 6 as a medium level of concern if it is somewhat 6 Q. Did you go back even in your own head and 7 7 plausible and concrete, correct? try to answer the question, Did Karl or his behavior 8 8 A. Correct. raise any of the red flags listed in the early warning 9 O. What would make a threat somewhat 9 signs that you were aware of? 10 10 plausible and concrete? A. I think I always do. 11 11 MR. NATHAN: Object to the form. Go Q. Okay. And one of the consequences of 12 12 ahead and answer. characterizing a student as either a low, medium, or 13 13 A. If there is a plan or an intent to -high level of concern is how much follow-up or 14 14 monitoring occurs, right? intent. Depending on the plan, if they have access to 15 15 that weapon or those weapons. A. Right. 16 16 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Okay. Would the O. And in this case -- strike that. 17 17 existence of a motive on the part of the student So for a medium-level threat, the 18 affect whether or not you considered a threat to be 18 follow-up and monitoring is closer and more thorough, 19 19 somewhat plausible and concrete? right, than a low level? 20 MR. NATHAN: Object to form. You may 20 A. Yes. 21 21 answer. Q. Okay. 22 22 A. Yeah, that would factor in. A. I think when I've done mine, most of mine 23 23 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And also refers to a have been low level. So I'm not -- I don't know if I 24 24 medium-level threat as one where the person performing can -- I mean, I'm sure that answer is yes, but I 25 the threat assessment has moderate or lingering 25 don't know which ones I've done with a medium or a 170 172 1 1 concerns about the student's potential to act moderate, sorry. 2 violently. Do you see that at the very end of the 2 Q. Okay. And is there a reason that you 3 3 medium description? characterize most of your threat assessments as low 4 4 A. Just moderate or lingering concerns is 5 5 that what you're -- A. Just based on the information that I 6 6 received or gathered during the process. Q. Yes. It says for a medium-level concern, 7 7 that would include situations where the person Q. Okay. 8 8 MR. NATHAN: Need a break? performing the threat assessment has moderate or 9 9 THE DEPONENT: Yes. lingering concerns about the student's potential to 10 10 act violently, right? MR. ROCHE: That's fine. Sure. 11 11 (Recess taken, 2:58 p.m. to 3:08 p.m.) A. Right. 12 12 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) As we were walking Q. Did you not have any moderate or 13 lingering concerns about Karl Pierson's potential to 13 through all of the different early warning signs and 14 at-risk factors, a number of times you mentioned the 14 act violently given the significant history that you 15 15 fact that there was information that you didn't know noted? 16 16 that might have changed your ultimate conclusions in A. I know that there was a history of --17 17 this threat assessment, correct? from 2003 of acting out towards other kids, and I know 18 18 that there was verbal. But I didn't have information A. Right. 19 Q. And from what you said to prepare for 19 available to me, other information that I felt like

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

post 2003 that would indicate that he would act

Q. Okay. And did you go back to -- as part

questions or the imminent warning signs questions laid

of figuring out what level of threat Karl posed and

try to answer for yourself the early warning signs

violently towards another person.

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

this threat assessment, you talked to Kevin Kolasa,

Q. That's okay. Sure. You also said that

you looked at Karl's grades, you looked at his

behavioral detail report, and you looked at his

contact log and that was it, right?

A. Sorry, can you just repeat --

173 175 1 1 in preparing for this threat assessment, you spoke and/or parents or guardians is also checked, right? 2 2 with Kevin Kolasa, you looked at Karl's grades, you A. Right. 3 3 looked at his behavior detail log, and you looked at Q. That relates to the fact that Kevin 4 4 Kolasa spoke to Tracy Murphy, right? the contact log, and that was everything, right? 5 5 A. Right. A. Right. 6 6 Q. Okay. Well, here's what I want to know, Q. No suicide risk screening performed? 7 7 and I've got it open. This is Exhibit 38. And this A. Right. 8 8 is the threat assessment in school's document that we Q. No mental health evaluation performed, 9 9 looked at earlier, and I think you said that this is right? 10 10 one of the documents that you actually read? A. Right. 11 11 A. I don't know if I read it in its entirety Q. And then there's additional measures to 12 or if I -- you know, but I'm sure I looked at it. 12 ensure safety. That box is checked? 13 13 Q. Okay. And this document lays out a A. Right. 14 14 number of principles that it says are essential to Q. And it says one type per week psych 15 15 performing a successful threat assessment, and one of office or psych appointment? 16 16 them is the one that I've highlighted there for you or A. Right. 17 17 opened the page to. And that is the people O. Is that a reference to --18 performing --18 A. Not to me. 19 19 Q. -- you? A. I don't know where that is. 20 20 A. To outside therapy. Q. Oh, sure. Over on the right side it says 21 21 principle No. 3, right? Do you see that? Q. Okay. And what did you or anyone at 2.2 22 Arapahoe do to verify that Karl was actually going to A. Yes. 23 23 Q. And it says, "An investigative, a weekly psych appointment? 24 24 skeptical, inquisitive mindset is critical to A. I didn't do anything to verify that. 25 successful threat assessment." Do you see that? 25 Q. Okay. 174 176 1 1 A. Yes. A. And we recommend or make suggestions a 2 2 lot of times to outside therapists, but we can't Q. Do you agree with that? 3 3 A. Yes. enforce at the school that parents take their children 4 4 -- we can't -- you know, we can make recommendations Q. Do you believe that you and Mr. Kolasa 5 5 applied an investigative, skeptical, and inquisitive but -- so, yeah, I mean, I didn't -- I guess to answer 6 6 mindset to the threat assessment that you performed on your question, I didn't do anything further with that. 7 7 Q. Okay. Why not? **Karl Pierson?** 8 8 A. I don't have an answer. A. To the best of our abilities, yes, and at 9 9 Q. Wouldn't it be important to you to know that time, yeah. 10 10 Q. Okay. Let's talk -- we'll go back to the whether a student who had threatened to kill a faculty 11 threat assessment document itself. And we were 11 member was getting any ongoing psychological help? 12 12 A. It would be important and I -- but I also talking about the fact that differing levels of 13 13 think that I didn't have the same -- you know, I had threats result in differing levels of supervision or 14 14 the low level of concern. So I think if it was a follow-up; right? 15 higher level of concern, I might have had more 15 A. Right. 16 16 concerns about him following through with therapy. Q. And in Karl Pierson's case, the action 17 17 Q. Well, this was a measure that you thought plan is laid out on this document, correct? 18 18 was appropriate to ensure safety, correct, that's why A. Correct. 19 19 Q. And there was no medical care called for. you checked the box, right? 20 2.0 A. Right. right? 21 Q. And that was not just Karl's safety but 21 22 2.2 -- it was to ensure Karl's safety, right? Q. The box for police responds is checked, 23

A. Right.

but it also says no action taken, right?

Q. The box saying intended victim was warned

23

24

25

24

25

Q. And it was also to ensure the safety of

Tracy Murphy, right?

	177		179
1	A. Right.	1	et cetera, with anybody at the school?
2	Q. And everybody else in that school,	2	A. Correct.
3	including Claire Davis, right?	3	Q. And, again, that's because you and
4	A. Right.	4	Mr. Kolasa concluded that he was a low-level threat?
5	Q. So is there a reason that you didn't	5	A. Correct.
6	follow up with Mrs. Pierson and say, Once a week, send	6	Q. There's no contact contract in place
7	me an e-mail that says Karl went to therapy or	7	with Karl Pierson and anybody else, right?
8	anything like that to verify he was actually doing	8	A. Not that I was aware of.
9	what you recommended?	9	Q. Okay. Well, you would have been the
10	A. No. I mean, the only thing that I	10	person you and Mr. Kolasa would have been the ones
11	what I go back to is that I was the one person in a	11	to put that in place, right?
12	school of 2100, and it's not an excuse, but I feel	12	A. You mean during this process?
13	like I didn't have I didn't have consistent	13	Q. Yes.
14	follow-up then.	14	A. Oh, I didn't realize you were looking
15	Q. Okay. Do you now?	15	yeah.
16	A. I do. Yeah, at the school I'm at, we	16	Q. And a little further down it talks about
17	have a really strong team process and follow-up	17	a follow-up intervention contact by support staff and
18	process.	18	the box psychologist is checked?
19	Q. And those were all what we just	19	A. Uh-huh.
20	discussed, those were all of the safety measures that	20	Q. Is that a reference to you?
21	were part of the action plan that Arapahoe High School	21	A. Right. I think that was in reference to
22	put in place for Karl Pierson after he threatened to	22	that follow-up meeting.
23	kill Tracy Murphy, right?	23	Q. Okay. And that's the meeting that's
24	A. Right.	24	described in
25	Q. And then when it comes to disciplining	25	A. It will meet in two weeks.
	178		180
1	and monitoring, that's the next section of the threat	1	Q your handwriting?
2	assessment form, right?	2	A. Right.
3	A. Right.	3	Q. And those are all of the discipline and
4	Q. And Karl was not suspended for	4	monitoring steps that were put in place by you and
5	threatening to kill Mr. Murphy, right?	5	Mr. Kolasa, right?
6	A. Right.	6	A. Right.
7	Q. And in the box marked disciplinary action	7	Q. And we're obviously going to come back to
8	taken, there's a reference to a reentry meeting.	8	the meeting. Now, let's talk about the notifications,
9	That's the meeting	9	because you and Mr. Kolasa characterized Karl as a
10	A. Right.	10	low-level threat, LPS security wasn't notified of this
11	Q where this document got filled out,	11	threat assessment?
12	right?	12	A. Right.
13	A. Right.	13	Q. No staff and teachers were alerted?
14	Q. Okay. There were no daily or weekly	14	A. Right.
15	check-ins?	15	Q. The box where building administration has
16	A. Correct.	16	discussed need to know issue of informing the
17	Q. And that's something that was sometimes	17	community is checked, right?
18	done with threat assessments, right?	18	A. Right.
19	A. I think, depending on the level, yes.	19	Q. What can you tell me about that
20	Q. Was there a reason why Karl wasn't	20	discussion?
21	subjected to a daily or weekly check-in with someone?	21	A. Kevin had a discussion with Natalie on
22	A. I'm assuming because it was a low level	22	that date.
23	of concern.	23	Q. Okay. And that was a discussion about
24	Q. Okay. And Karl was also not required to	24	informing the community of the threat, right?
25	check in and out his belongings, backpack, coat,	25	A. I'm assuming so, yes.

2.4

Q. Did Kevin tell you about that conversation you had with Ms. Pramenko?

A. Just that he had a conversation with Natalie.

Q. Okay. And certainly the community wasn't informed of this threat assessment at the time it occurred, was it?

A. No.

2.2

Q. The community meaning -- well, you tell me. What does informing a community mean to you? Is that the kids, the parents?

A. I would think it means the school community or -- but I guess it would be on a -- I'm assuming a case-by-case basis, depending on who the threat was made towards.

Q. But the short answer is, nobody was notified of this threat assessment other than Natalie Pramenko, right?

A. And the counselor.

Q. And that was Kelly Talen or Astrid?

A. No, it was Astrid.

Q. Okay. Not even Karl's teachers were notified?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Okay. And then there is a list for what

did.

## Q. Well, do you have an independent recollection of ever calling her?

A. No, I don't. I think that Kevin had met with her when -- I think they might have discussed it at that two-week follow-up meeting but I don't -- you know, I think that other people had asked about it. Because they were meeting with Mom, but I don't think for me -- but I don't think -- I mean, I didn't have a conversation.

# Q. Okay. Did you ever consider requiring Karl to or his mother to sign those waiver forms and share the results of his therapy as a condition to reentry at the school?

A. It's my understanding that we can't have that as a condition to reenter school. I think if there was a safety concern -- as far as I knew, we can't make that a required condition that a student has to go to therapy or be seen by somebody in order to come back to return.

## Q. Right. Except in cases where there is an articulable safety concern, right?

A. Right.

Q. Well, Karl had threatened to kill a teacher.

the parents or guardians are expected to do in terms of follow-up steps, right?

A. Right.

Q. And that was go see the psychologist once a week, right?

A. Right. I'm actually looking at that note about the next one, so --

Q. Sure.

A. Go ahead and ask me.

Q. Tell me about the note on the next one that talks about parents giving permission to share information.

A. So based on that, I know I had the conversation with Mom about signing the release forms, and so I must have noted that Mom was going to think about it. And if she wanted us to have wraparound services, she would contact me if she wanted to sign those release forms or have that communication.

Q. And she did not --

A. Right.

Q. -- ultimately give those to you?

A. Right.

Q. And you never called her to follow up on those release forms, correct?

A. I don't have it noted anywhere that I

A. Right. And Mom --

Q. Isn't that an articulable safety concern?

A. And Mom had had him assessed per -- Kevin had had a conversation with Mom that he had taken him to get assessed that weekend in between and that they had deemed him to be safe to return.

## Q. Okay. And you could have conditioned his reentry on seeing what that therapist actually said because, you know, parents sometimes lie?

A. I could have. And I -- I mean, when we do -- when we have kids that go to the hospital and when we do a mental health reentry, we usually ask them for -- to bring whatever paperwork or discharge summaries from the hospitals with them, and I didn't think of that with this.

# Q. Okay. And I guess that's my question, why didn't you condition Karl's reentry on seeing what the other therapist actually said, rather than what Mom said that therapist said?

A. I don't know. I mean, I know that when this happened -- and then I feel like I was -- the incident happened, and then I felt like I was informed while he was out of the school that he would be -- I was asked to be part of a reentry meeting, then we talked about the threat assessment, so I feel like it

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

2.2

2.4

happened and -- yeah, there was pieces that I obviously could have -- that I could have had more information about. And I don't have an answer to why -- I mean, other than what I'm giving you about it.

- Q. Okay. There was a reentry -- well, I guess I think it has been characterized as an action plan review meeting on September 26. You're familiar with that meeting?
  - A. I was not in attendance at that meeting.
- Q. Agreed. Understood. That was my first point. You know the meeting happened, right?
- A. Right, because that was the follow-up meeting that was supposed to happen after this.
- Q. Right. What was the purpose of that meeting as you understood it?
- A. To see where Karl was at in terms of feelings towards Tracy to check and see how he was doing with being back at school, see how things have been going over the course of -- since his reentry.
- Q. So to check on whether or not the threat had dissipated; is that right?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And I understand that you did not participate in that September 26 meeting. My question, though, is between September 9 when this

usually teachers would come to us with any concerns that they had, or it would go to the counselor first, and then the counselor would let us know their concerns. So I feel like -- I'm sure that there wasn't.

- Q. Do you recall any of your conversations with Kelly Talen during this time frame about Karl Pierson?
  - A. She wasn't in the building.
- Q. What about any conversations you may have had with Astrid during this time period between the threat assessment and the action plan review meeting?
- A. I mean, I remember telling her that the threat assessment was done. I know that Kevin asked her to be part of that follow-up meeting, or I think she was part of that follow-up meeting. I know she had -- I'm pretty sure that she was -- she had helped out with speech and debate the year before, so she knew Karl.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall any conversations with Astrid about checking on how Karl had been doing in that two-week period?
- A. I'm pretty sure I did, but I don't know -- you know, I feel like we -- I'm sure I had a conversation with her.

form was filled out and September 26, what, if anything, did you do to check on how Karl Pierson was doing with his reentry into the school and his mental state?

A. I think just having conversations with Kevin, with the counselor, to see if there were any -- if anything had come up. I know Tracy and I had touched base. It was that e-mail with Mom.

Q. Okay. Anything else?

- A. Not that I  $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$  -- not -- no, not that I recall.
- Q. Okay. What can you tell me about the conversations you had with Kevin Kolasa between September 9 and September 26 about Karl Pierson?
- A. I don't recall anything happening. I mean, I think it might have just been -- I honestly don't recall. I feel like there were conversations, but I don't know if they were formal conversations.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. More of like a check-in.
- Q. Okay. Did you check in with any of Karl's teachers and see what their impression was of how Karl was doing?
- A. Not that I remember, no. Because I don't remember -- I don't -- I don't think I did because

O. Okav.

A. I mean, she had to -- we had to have had some conversations, because she knew that she was going to be part of that meeting.

- Q. And that's one of the questions I had is, can you tell me why you weren't a part of that follow-up meeting?
- A. Well -- and I wasn't indicated in that meeting with -- when I -- when we first set up the meeting, I asked Kevin if I should be part of that, and he didn't think that it would be necessary. So that wasn't part of it. And I also -- I know when it actually was scheduled, I did ask if I should be part of it, and I think there was a conflict. I actually wasn't in the building when it occurred. So I think that's another reason why Astrid was in the meeting.
- Q. Okay. And what did you do to help prepare Astrid for that meeting?
- A. I don't remember. I don't know if I -- I don't know if Kevin had more conversations with her. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. But you didn't, as best as you can recall, sit down with Astrid and walk her through your notes on this document?
  - A. I don't think so. As best as I can

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

recall, I don't think so.

### Q. Okay. Did you ever talk to Karl Pierson after September 9?

A. I mean, there was one time where he dropped by after Mom had asked about testing.

#### Q. Oh, right.

A. He did drop by and ask if I -- if I ever did cognitive testing or IQ testing, and I said that I did for special education evaluations, but I typically didn't just to test kids.

### Q. And that was after this threat assessment?

A. Right. It might have been a couple months later.

#### Q. Oh, it was a couple months?

A. Or a month. Maybe like a month. After the mom e-mailed, so maybe a month later, because I think Mom e-mailed like two or three weeks later with a question or a month later. I can't remember. It was pretty close to that time, because I think he asked, and I said, Oh, I think your mom e-mailed me about that.

Q. Okay. And I've read in the sheriff's report that that was -- that struck you as sort of odd and confirmed your belief that he was a narcissist?

Q. Okay. Did you come away from this September 9 threat assessment meeting thinking that Karl was a kid who needed help?

A. I'm sure that I did.

#### Q. Okay. I mean --

A. And I know he was getting help through, you know, outside counseling, and it seemed like there was -- that there had been contact with Kevin and that people had him on their -- that people had worked with him

#### Q. My question, though, was going to be this, what did you do to make sure that he was actually getting that help?

MR. NATHAN: Objection. Asked and answered. You may answer.

A. I mean, I feel like you've asked me that. I've -- you know, I had the -- I don't know how else to respond. Do I wish I had done more, absolutely.

## Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Now, we talked about the fact that you weren't at the September 26 meeting?

A. Right.

## Q. Did someone report back to you after that meeting what had happened?

A. I think I asked Kevin.

Q. And what did he tell you?

A. I guess if I said that -- I think it's -- I mean, most kids don't come up -- I think most kids don't come up and ask if they can get IQ testing.

## Q. Did it strike you as odd that Karl asked you for an IQ test?

A. I don't recall saying those words that it was odd. I think that most -- I guess maybe it was not as odd because Mom had just asked about special ed testing, but I don't have a lot of kids come up and ask me to do IQ testing.

#### Q. Okay. Other than when Karl came to see you to ask for an IQ test, did you speak to Karl at any other time after December -- or after September 9?

A. I think I might have said hi in the hallway, but I didn't have contact with him.

# Q. Okay. Is there a reason you didn't call him into your office and just ask him, How are things going, how are things with Murphy, anything like that just to connect with him?

A. No. And I think, again -- it's not an excuse, but I think that I had a lot of -- I had a lot of special ed kids that I needed to take care of that -- other responsibilities, and I know I didn't follow up. So I don't have any reason besides the fact that --

A. That they talked, and it seemed like it
was okay for him to go back to participate in speech
and debate. That's pretty much what I recall.

#### Q. Okay. And you now -- well, strike that. We've talked about the fact that

teachers, security, and other people weren't notified of the threat assessment that you had done on Karl, right, because he was characterized as a low-level threat?

A. Right. I mean --

# Q. And you've mentioned that your expectation was that if there were concerning behaviors, they would be brought to your attention, right?

A. Right. They're typically brought to the counselors first or the administrators, and then if they wanted -- if they felt like there was further consultation or they needed more help, they would ask.

Q. Do you think that those teachers and other people at the school would be more likely to report concerning behaviors if they knew that there had already been concerning behaviors involving a particular student?

A. So I guess I'm unsure of your question.

Q. If, for instance, Karl's teachers had

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

193 195 1 1 spoken to his parents? been told he threatened to kill Tracy Murphy, and we 2 2. had to do a threat assessment on this kid, keep an eye MR. NATHAN: Same objection. You may 3 3 out on him and let us know if anything strange comes answer. 4 up, they would be more likely to report to you or 4 A. Probably, yeah. I mean, somebody would 5 5 Kevin Kolasa this happened or that happened? have. 6 6 A. I'm assuming so, yes. Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Sure. And is it possible 7 7 Q. And the reason I'm asking about that is you might have wanted someone to search his computer, 8 8 there were a number of incidents after -his daily planner, or his phone, his tablet, any of 9 9 A. Right. those things that we all know now by that point had 10 10 Q. -- your threat assessment that I'm information about his plans to kill as many people as 11 assuming weren't brought to your attention? 11 possible at Arapahoe High School? 12 12 A. Correct. MR. NATHAN: Same objection. You may 13 13 Q. Okay. And I'm going to ask you to take a answer. 14 14 look at Exhibit 11, which are those written questions A. Yes. 15 15 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And what about the and answers --16 16 incident on November 1, 2013, in Mrs. Lombardi's A. Yep. 17 17 Spanish class talking about drinking tequila in class? Q. -- that I mentioned. Do you have those? 18 18 A. What's your question? 19 O. My question is, was that an incident you 19 Q. Go to page 9, if you would, please. And 20 20 there's a numbered item 11. Do you see that? were aware of? 21 21 A. Yep. A. No. 22 22 Q. And that's an incident where Karl was Q. Would that qualify as a concerning 23 23 behavior to you in this context? seen looking at guns on his computer in the cafeteria, 24 24 right? A. In the context of doing this threat 25 25 assessment, I mean, I'm sure it would be a factor to A. Right. 194 196 1 1 Q. Were you aware of that incident prior to look at. I mean, I feel like a lot of kids talk about 2 the shooting? 2 drinking, I mean . . . 3 3 A. No. Q. Okay. What about the next incident where 4 4 Q. And is that the kind of thing that would Karl apparently made a heckling remark to some 5 5 have been important for you to know, having just students during the presentations in Mr. Hansen's 6 6 performed a threat assessment on him the month class? Is that something you were aware of? 7 previously? A. No. 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. Is that something you would have liked to 9 9 Q. And would you have done something with have known about? 10 this information had it been provided to you? 10 A. Sure. 11 11 Q. What about the incidents on December 11 12 12 MR. NATHAN: Objection to the form of the when Karl got locked out of Mrs. Lombardi's class? Is 13 question. You may answer. Your answer was yes? 13 that something you were made aware of? 14 14 A. Yes. A. No. 15 Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) What do you believe you 15 Q. Were you in school on December 11? It 16 would have done if you had been told that Karl 16 was a Wednesday, two days before the shooting. 17 Pierson, who had just threatened to kill a teacher, 17 A. To the best of my recollection, I was. 18 was found looking at guns in the cafeteria? 18 Q. Okay. And the reason I ask is you've 19 MR. NATHAN: Same objection. You may 19 said your expectation is the teachers or 20 2.0 answer. administrators are going to bring concerning behaviors 21 A. I would have reported it to Englert, the 21 to you, right? 2.2 SRO. I would have had conversations probably with 22 A. They bring -- teachers report stuff to 23 Mr. Meredith and -- but I would have reported it to 23 their counselors or administrators first. That was 24 the authorities or to James, who was in the building. 2.4 usually the steps that they would take, and then those

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Sure. Would you have

25

25

people would consult or -- not always, but . . .

2.2

1.8

2.2

2.5

a

Q. Okay. And is it concerning to you as a school psychologist that there are a number of, certainly what I would characterize as concerning, behaviors that occurred involving Karl Pierson in the two months after your threat assessment, and none of them made their way to you?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And does that change your view on how proactive and inquisitive you think you ought to be as you follow up on these types of threat assessments?
- A. I think that I am proactive and inquisitive. I mean, I think that it would change maybe the need to know or how to -- you know, communicating with teachers so that they could -- would be more aware of situations so that they could alert us if they had information to share.
- Q. Okay. Sorry to jump around a little bit, but one of the things I wanted to ask you about was your discussion with Tracy Murphy shortly after the threat assessment. And you mentioned that you recalled talking with Tracy, right?

A. Yep.

Q. And one of the things that he told you in that conversation was that he was seriously considering quitting his job?

conversations, but I don't -- maybe one. I don't feel like we talked again about it.

## Q. But you don't remember taking his concerns to anybody other than Kevin Kolasa?

- A. I know I talked to Kevin about it, but I don't remember -- I feel like I talked to -- yeah, I can't say with certainty like who else I did.
- Q. Okay. Did you -- tell me about the conversation you had with Kevin Kolasa about Tracy's concerns.
- A. I think it was just that Tracy was continuing to have concerns.
- Q. Did that cause you to rethink whether or not your assessment of Karl as a low-level threat was correct?
- A. I still -- you know, the -- with the information I had, I still think the determination that we made at that point was accurate. But I think if I had all of these other pieces -- I mean, yeah, I was also concerned about Tracy, of course, but I think if we had other pieces of information that would have been helpful.
- Q. Let's take a very short break. I need to get one more document.

(Recess taken, 3:51 p.m. to 4:04 p.m.)

A. Right.

Q. Had you ever heard a teacher indicate that they were thinking of quitting their job to get away from a threat that had been made by a student?

A. That's the only threat that I recall being made towards -- you know, to kill them, so no.

- Q. What was your reaction when Tracy told you he was seriously thinking about quitting his job to minimize the threat that Karl posed?
- A. I was alarmed and worried about Tracy and what his concerns were and wanted to try to reassure him or talk to him about his feelings.
- Q. And what did you say to Tracy after he told you that he was thinking about quitting his job because of the threat that Karl Pierson had made?
- A. I encouraged him to talk to administration, to Kevin, about his concerns.
- Q. Okay. Did you talk to anybody in the administration about Tracy Murphy's concerns?
- A. I think I talked to Kevin about it, and I can't remember if I talked to Natalie.
- Q. Okay. Did you do any follow-up with Tracy about his concerns?
- A. I don't remember. I feel like we've -- I honestly can't remember. I feel like we had

(Deposition Exhibit 40 was marked.)

- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Exhibit 40 is a document that I suspect you haven't seen previously, but I'll ask, have you seen this document?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. It is a progress report from Arapahoe Sheriff's Office relating to the school and describes or summarizes some student interviews that were done. Towards the bottom of page 1 of Exhibit 40, the author, who is an investigator with the sheriff's department, writes that he was informed by Lieutenant Kevin Heaton that a female, later identified as blank, had told the school psychologist, Dr. Song, that Karl Pierson told her that he had bought a shotgun named Kurt Cobain prior to December 13. Do you see that?
  - I do see that.
- O. Is that true?
- A. I don't recall that ever -- that conversation ever happening.
- Q. Let me ask it differently. Did you know prior to the shooting that Karl had a shotgun?
- A. No.
  - O. Would that be a conversation --
  - A. If I --

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

- Q. -- that would have stuck in your mind?
- A. Yes. And if I had known, I would have done something about it.
- Q. Okay. Obviously, I don't know who this student is that's referred to here. Do you have any idea who that is?
  - A. No.

- Q. Okay. Had you seen that statement or heard about that statement prior to just now?
  - A. No.
- Q. And I assume you've read the sheriff's report?
  - A. I haven't.
  - O. You have not --
- A. I have read excerpts, but I have not read it in its entirety, no.
- Q. Well, in the sheriff's report, you may have seen that -- something like a dozen different students reported knowing that Karl had a shotgun. Do you recall seeing that in the report?
  - A. No.
- Q. Were you aware prior to right now that there were multiple students who were aware and had been shown photographs of the shotgun that Karl bought?

Q. Now, it's my understanding -- well, strike that.

Were you at school on December 13?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And how did you become aware that there was a shooting?
- A. I was in the main office, and all of a sudden there was commotion. And I heard the -- we were told that -- told to go -- I think somebody said there is a lockdown, and we were told to go into the conference room. And we were in the conference room, and there were several people with radios, so we heard a lot of the radio transmissions.
- Q. Okay. Some witnesses, for instance, Tracy Murphy, said as soon as he heard the first shot, he knew it was Karl before he ever saw him. Did you have that same thought?
- A. It was definitely a thought that ran through my head.
- Q. And when you found out afterwards that it was Karl, you weren't surprised, were you?
- A. I mean, it -- no, not really. I mean, I was -- I don't know how to answer that. I mean, it's always -- it's shocking, yeah.
  - Q. After the shooting occurred, the sheriff

MR. NATHAN: You can answer all of these questions, but do not discuss discussions you and I had.

MR. ROCHE: Agreed.

- A. Can you repeat the question? Sorry.
- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Sure. Were you aware prior to today that multiple students at Arapahoe High School knew that Karl had bought a gun?
- A. I think I had heard since -- I mean, I have a few students, yes.
- Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that not one of those students reported the fact that Karl had bought a gun to anyone at the school?
- $A. \quad Right. \ That's -- \ I \ mean, \ I -- \ right, \\ that's \ my \ understanding.$
- Q. Does it raise any concerns in your mind about the culture at the school or the training provided to the students that so many kids knew Karl had bought a gun and yet none of them reported it to the school?
- A. It's alarming, yes, that students hadn't reported it to the school or to their parents. I'm assuming that if they had reported it to some parents, they would have done something as well. So, yes, it's alarming.

wanted to talk to you, didn't he -- or the sheriff's office?

- A. Not that day, I don't think.
- Q. Okay. How soon after the shooting did the sheriff's office reach out to you as part of its investigation?
- A. I think it was -- we went back to school -- I think we went back on Tuesday, the counselors and administrators and myself, and then I think staff went back Wednesday, Thursday. So it was one of those days, Wednesday or Thursday.
  - Q. The 17th, 18th, 19th window?
- A. One of those days.
- Q. And the sheriff's report is marked as Exhibit 14 in, I think, the first volume of books in front of you. And you said you've read parts of this, but not all of it, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Is there a reason you haven't read the whole thing?
- A. I haven't really been able to read or -- I have difficulty reading even -- yeah, a lot of the -- I just had difficulty reading the report.
- Q. Okay. You understood that one of the reasons the sheriff's office and its investigators

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

2.4

wanted to speak to you was because you had performed the threat assessment that said Karl was a low-level threat, and in retrospect, he clearly was a very serious threat, right?

- A. Correct. But, again, I think when we conducted the threat assessment, I still stand by that decision and I -- with the information that we have now, I mean, I feel like the question that you're asking is a little misleading.
- Q. Okay. Well, actually, what I'm trying to get at is this, you knew that it was important to the sheriff's department to gather as much information as it could about how this happened, right?
  - A. Right.

2.2

- Q. And it was important to Claire's parents to find out everything they could about what led up to the shooting of their daughter, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And so part of that was for the sheriff's investigators to talk to you, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And if you'll turn to page 13 of the sheriff's investigative report, you'll see that there's a reference to you, right?
  - A. Right.

don't know -- I don't know why it would say -- I don't know what other information they asked me, but I answered all of their questions.

- Q. Because in fairness, I read this and got the impression that you declined to cooperate with the investigation.
- A. I mean, I wouldn't have any reason not to. I remember feeling very on the defensive because I was scared. I mean, it's not typical that you get -- I mean, we were all sitting there, I think, on the first or second day back as staff and to have investigators come through and just kind of pull you is very, I mean, shocking. I don't know what -- I mean, I don't know what else to say.
- Q. Okay. But there were no questions that the sheriff's department or its investigators asked you that you declined to answer?
- A. I honestly don't recall ever saying I was declining to answer any questions. I feel like I sat there and answered questions that they presented to me and questions that they had.
- Q. Okay. And I've heard from a number of witnesses in this case that they were discouraged from discussing the shooting after they got back to school. My question to you is, was that your experience as

- Q. And it says that you provided the following information, that you had performed the threat assessment for Karl after he made the threat toward Tracy Murphy, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. It says you did not provide any further information regarding Karl, correct?
  - A. That's what it says.
- Q. Okay. And I've reviewed all of the materials from the sheriff's department, and I don't see a statement from you. Okay?
- A. And I don't think I was ever asked for one. I -- they came to the school, they asked me for -- or they said that they needed to talk to me. I was taken into the main office conference room. There was two investigators there. One was videotaping, the other was asking me questions. And we sat there for, I don't know how long, half an hour, 45 minutes. I don't know how long. My sense of time was a little bit off.
  - Q. Okay. Well, my --
  - A. But I did sit there with them.
- Q. Okay. And you answered all of their questions?
  - A. I answered all of their questions. So I

well?

- A. I think it was -- I mean, I don't know if it was -- I felt like I wasn't supposed to be discussing anything with anyone.
- Q. Okay. And what was the source of that feeling? How did you get that impression that you weren't supposed to be talking about what happened with anyone?
- A. I think because I had met with the attorney.
- Q. Okay. And that I don't want to get into -- I mean, I do but I'm not allowed.
- MR. NATHAN: You can just say advice of counsel.

THE DEPONENT: Right.

- A. But I wasn't advised at that point. I think I just was -- I don't know if anybody ever explicitly said, Don't talk to anyone but I think --
- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Okay. And were you ever part of any sort of debrief within the school about mistakes that maybe got made or lessons that could be learned from this tragedy?
- A. We had debriefs after. I mean, I think we had a lot of conversations about things that could have been done differently, but I also think that in

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

2.0

2.4

that first semester after, I think emotions were so high and I think a lot of people -- I don't know if we could really see lessons learned at that point clearly, because we were in the midst of it, of learning what lessons needed to be learned.

Q. Okay. And I forgot to tell you this at the beginning of the deposition, which is on me, but I will tell you right now, my last question will be that, what lessons do you think can be learned and need to be learned from what happened at Arapahoe a year ago in December. So we'll get back to that. There were a couple of things I wanted to ask you about.

(Deposition Exhibit 41 was marked.)

- Q. Exhibit 41 is a document that I received from LPS as part of this arbitration, and you'll see it's a PowerPoint presentation that was presented at a faculty meeting on February 12, 2014, about FERPA. Do you see that?
  - A. Yep.
  - Q. Were you at this faculty meeting?
  - A. I was.
- Q. And was this a meeting that was called to discuss FERPA as a result of concerns about how information was shared about Karl Pierson?

Q. Okay. And what were those impediments as you understood them?

A. I mean, I just -- I felt like -- I don't know if it was necessarily due to FERPA. I feel like it was -- I don't even know if I have an answer to that. I don't know. I honestly don't know. I don't -- you know, I feel like information regarding students is kept private, unless there's, I think, the potential risk, and I think in that -- I don't know about the events afterwards and what kind of information should be relayed or the -- I don't know what information can be relayed about each student to general staff and teachers, because I think that there has to be some protection of confidentiality to protect that student's rights.

- Q. Well, let me ask this, at the time that you performed the threat assessment on Karl Pierson, what was your understanding of when you were permitted to share what you knew about Karl Pierson with other members of the faculty and staff at Arapahoe High School?
  - A. When we conducted a threat assessment --
  - Q. Yes.

A. -- what was my knowledge about what information I could relay?

lt of

A. Yeah. Yeah. I'm sure it was a result of that.

Q. Okay. What can you tell me about the concerns that had been expressed about how FERPA affected information sharing about Karl Pierson?

A. I don't know if I understand what you're asking. Sorry.

Q. Okay. I'll give you some broader context. One of the things that is happening here is the very smart gentleman at the end of the table works for the University of Colorado, and he and a colleague are going to be writing a report about what is learned through this arbitration. And among other things, it's going to be presented to a committee at the legislature that is studying school safety issues and making recommendations on how to make schools safer. One of the issues that has come up during the course of this arbitration is whether or not certain FERPA restrictions impeded the effective flow of information in the case of Karl Pierson.

First, let me ask you very simply, do you believe that there were impediments or obstacles to the effective flow of information at Arapahoe High School about Karl Pierson?

A. Yeah.

Q. At that point in time, what did you understand that you were allowed to share with Karl's teachers, for instance?

A. I didn't -- I don't know if I knew that I was able to share that the threat assessment was conducted. I felt like that was information that was still private for that child.

- Q. Okay. So you believed you were not permitted to share the fact that a threat assessment had been conducted with Karl's teachers?
- A. Yeah, just like a -- you know, typically with suicide assessments.
- Q. And when you attended the presentation on FERPA that was done in February of 2014, was there a discussion of any misunderstandings or confusion about how FERPA applied --
  - A. I'm sure that there was.
- Q. Tell me about those discussions, if you would.

A. If I can be -- honestly, all I -- I think that there were moments during that meeting and subsequent ones that I don't know if I was fully -- if I was fully present mentally, you know, the entire meeting, so . . .

Q. And I understand that, but my question is

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

to the extent you can remember, what can you tell me about the discussions about confusion within the school about what FERPA permitted and what it prohibited?

A. I honestly can't remember from that meeting, I'm sorry. I can look over the PowerPoint and try to --

- Q. Well, if you look at page 4222, there's a list of exceptions to when information can be shared without parental consent, or I should say a list of exceptions to the requirement that parental consent is needed to share information. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And the very first exception is, "School officials with a legitimate educational interest," right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Were you aware at the time you were doing Karl Pierson's threat assessment that that was an exception to the FERPA requirement for parental consent?
  - A. I don't think so.
- Q. And what about the exception for disclosure to appropriate officials in cases of health and safety emergencies?

A. I believe I did.

- Q. And what about the next bullet point? Do you have any understanding of what the process is for determining who has a legitimate educational interest?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. And the final bullet point indicates that one of the things to consider is whether or not a staff member has direct student contact when deciding whether or not that person has a right to know information about a student, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Did you consider, as part of Karl Pierson's threat assessment, telling his teachers what you learned during that threat assessment?
  - A. I did not.
  - Q. Take a look, if you would, at Exhibit 17.
    MR. NATHAN: It's in the next book.
    MR. ROCHE: Is that where it cuts off?
- Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) And Exhibit 17 you'll see is a June 24 document titled "Administrative Review of LPS Threat Assessment Protocols." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes
- Q. And on the second page of it, there's a list of participants. And you are not listed among them.

A. I mean, I -- yeah, I think -- is that

- Q. Well, I'm asking --
- A. Yeah, I think --

referring to authorities?

- Q. I'm asking what you understand it to mean.
- A. Well, yeah, if there's safety concerns that -- that, yes, you can notify.
- Q. And if you go to the next slide, it discusses what constitutes a legitimate educational interest. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And it talks about disclosing to persons with a need to know and a need to act. That wasn't something you knew at the time you did Karl Pierson's threat assessment; is that right?
  - A. Let me just read -- can I read this?
  - Q. Of course.
  - A. So can you repeat your question?
- Q. Sure. Did you know at the time that you did Karl Pierson's threat assessment that there was an exception to FERPA that permitted you to disclose educational records to people who had a need to know and a need to act on the information contained in those records?

- A. I was -- right.
- Q. Were you gone by then?
- A. Well, I had accepted the other position end of May, so I was still, I think, under the official contract, but, you know, being paid through July 1, I think, but I wasn't --
- Q. Did you have any input into this administrative review of the LPS threat assessment protocols?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Have you seen this document before?
- A. I have not.
- Q. Do you recall being asked by anybody after the shooting about the challenges that you as a school psychologist faced in performing threat assessments?
- A. Not for the purposes -- not for these purposes.
- Q. Well, were you asked that for any purposes?
- A. I was asked that by colleagues or -- you know, colleagues, but . . .
- Q. Tell me about those discussions. Who were they with?
  - A. I think other counselors. I don't know

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

2.0

specifics, but I know I've had -- I mean, I think it was the checking in about how people were doing, how -- I think it was a general conversation about frustrations with the process.

## Q. Do you recall who any of those conversations were with?

A. I mean, I'm sure I had them with the other counselors, like Kirk Bast and Scott Wadsworth, the counselors that were in the building.

#### Q. Okay.

2.1

A. But I don't remember particular specific conversations. I feel like we all had conversations throughout that semester.

Q. And if you'll jump ahead, I know that this isn't a document that you've studied or helped prepare, but if you look at page 4049, and you'll see there's a list of seven key challenges noted by LPS staff in performing threat assessments. I'll give you a minute to look at them all, and are those all the frustrations that you -- are those all frustrations that you shared with the threat assessment process?

A. I don't know about the third one.

Q. That's the issues with how to explain to parents and what to share or not share?

A. Correct.

A. That I feel or that I've shared?

#### Q. No, that you feel.

A. The first one, choosing a level of risk, feeling like mental health bears a hard burden, definitely the lack of options when parents or students don't want mental health care or they're not accessing mental health care.

#### Q. Okay.

A. Definitely the follow-up plans. I think the last one.

## Q. The logistical challenges of implementing a safety supervision plan?

A. I think it was more about the follow-up, the follow -- being able to -- yeah.

## Q. Can you tell me what prompted the decision to leave Arapahoe at the end of the '14 school year?

A. So I had been actually from the -- I went into Arapahoe or Littleton in 2008, and I actually had tried a couple of times to go back to Cherry Creek Schools. And so I think that thought had already been in my head, but I think after this it -- I had always felt like there was a really -- personally, I felt like there was a really strong mental health team in Cherry Creek Schools. I still had colleagues there

#### Q. That was not a frustration you had?

A. I think that it was just when we did a threat assessment, you had conversations with parents. You have to notify them and talk with them about it and I don't -- I don't think I really had an issue with it.

#### Q. Okay.

A. And I feel like we had to share information about their child with them.

## Q. Did you have frustrations or concerns or challenges about the threat assessment process in addition to those listed here?

MR. NATHAN: Have you finished your review of which ones you were suffering from? THE DEPONENT: No. I don't know.

#### O. (BY MR. ROCHE) Oh, sorry.

A. That's okay. I don't know if at the time there was confusion about notifying teachers and other staff. And then making a decision when staff have very different perceptions of the student. I mean, that -- these aren't -- those weren't all ones that I had ever shared, so . . .

Q. Why don't you walk through the list and tell me which ones were concerns or frustrations that you shared.

that I would consult with, and I felt like I always had a lot of support there. And I appreciated the team process that I had. So, I mean, those were just more things to kind of push me in that direction. So I had -- knowing that I wanted to get back to Cherry Creek Schools, even prior to the shooting, but I think it kind of solidified my desire to go back.

## Q. Okay. As with any answer, that prompted about three new questions.

A. Great.

Q. First, you mentioned that you consulted with folks at Cherry Creek from time to time. I assume, since I haven't heard about it, that you did not consult with any of them about Karl Pierson?

A. Right.

Q. And you mentioned that part of what drew you to return to Cherry Creek was that there was a very strong mental health team and a team approach and a strong support for the mental health staff in that district. My question is, how would you characterize Littleton's approach to mental health? Is it less team oriented, less strong, less supportive?

A. Well, there's -- the numbers are -- I mean, significantly -- the numbers are significantly lower. So, I mean, the number of schools that they

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

223

224

221

have in Cherry Creek, they have a significant -- I mean, the team was exponentially bigger than the team at Littleton. So just based on schools, even. And they would have monthly meetings and, you know, do inservice trainings in Littleton, but I didn't personally feel -- I felt like there were a couple of people I could consult with, but I didn't -- I never felt that attached to like a team in Littleton.

Q. Do you believe that the Cherry Creek School District places more of an emphasis on mental health and mental health care for its students than did Littleton?

A. I do. But I also think it's because we didn't have the staffing. In Littleton, it was a smaller district, they didn't have the same allocations, I believe, so . . .

Q. Okay. And you're now in the Aurora **School District, right?** 

A. No, Cherry Creek Schools.

O. Oh, Rangeview is not Aurora?

A. Grandview.

Q. Oh, Grandview is not Aurora?

A. No. Cherry Creek Schools.

Q. Oh, it's still Cherry Creek?

A. Right.

you know, you would be able to catch more things.

Q. Okay. Any other recommendations?

A. I mean, no, not right now. No.

Q. Okay. Thank you for coming in and testifying. I know nothing about this is easy. I also know that Mr. Everall has a few questions for you, but thank you.

A. Thanks.

MR. NATHAN: I'm going to stand here so I can hear.

**EXAMINATION** 

BY MR. EVERALL:

Q. Ms. Song, I'll be as brief as I can.

MR. EVERALL: Could you mark this as an exhibit, please.

(Deposition Exhibit 42 was marked.)

Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) Do you recognize Exhibit 42 as what was referred to earlier in the deposition as the IEP e-mail exchange between you and Mrs. Pierson?

A. Yes.

Q. And the date of Mrs. Pierson's e-mail to vou is Tuesday, September 10, down at the bottom here --

A. Right.

222

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. Right.

Q. So that would have been one day after the threat assessment meeting?

Q. -- 2013 at 6:01 a.m., correct?

A. Right.

Q. And your response to her is that same day, Tuesday, September 10 at 7:40 a.m., correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And you copied Kolasa, Mr. Pierson, Karl Pierson, Kelly Talen, Astrid Thurnau, correct?

Q. Did you ever hear back from Mrs. Pierson about your response there?

A. No.

Q. Did you hear back from Mr. Pierson?

A. No.

Q. And did you hear back from Karl?

A. Just that one interaction where he asked about testing.

Q. Did he specifically reference this e-mail exchange?

A. No, I think I brought it up.

Q. Okay. Then, as I recall on the threat assessment form itself, Exhibit 35, there's a reference to your offer to Mrs. Pierson of wraparound

MR. ROCHE: Let's just take a one-minute break, and I will be right back. If I'm not done, I'm really close.

(Recess taken, 4:44 p.m. to 4:49 p.m.)

Q. (BY MR. ROCHE) Okay. Back on the record. As I mentioned a little bit ago, my last question in every deposition is the same and it's -right now you're talking to the committee at the legislature. What do you think can be done better to help prevent these -- what are your recommendations for how to make schools safer?

A. I mean, one of the biggest things to me is ensuring that there's the appropriate staffing, that we have -- we have, you know, the recommended ratios, if possible, you know, staff. I'm talking about counselors and mental health. And I feel like we had half of what we probably should have had. So I feel like that's one of the biggest.

And I do -- actually, I do feel that same way with being back in Cherry Creek Schools, you know, we've got deans and administrators and I feel like we need -- there could be more people doing specifically discipline or behavior. I think that there are too many people trying to do too many jobs. So to me, I feel like that's one of the biggest ones that if --

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

	225	227
1	services. Do you need to look at that document?	in the bottom right-hand corner of page 3 of the form.
2	A. No. I mean, I know what you're referring	<sup>2</sup> MR. ROCHE: Okay.
3	to.	THE DEPONENT: In my notes.
4	Q. Do you remember that?	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) Here, why don't you
5	A. Yes.	5 read your notes.
б	Q. What are wraparound services?	6 MR. ROCHE: Let me come around because,
7	A. Services so that communication can happen	as I said, the handwriting, no offense, but it's a
8	about the whole to look at the whole child	8 challenge.
9	involving outside agencies or the school, parents	THE DEPONENT: I know, it's terrible.
10	ensuring communication.	A. Possible mom will contact psych if
11	Q. So the concept is wrapping around the	services wanted for wraparound.
12	child; is that correct?	MR. ROCHE: That says wraparound?
13	A. Correct.	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. Well, it says wrap
14	Q. And, specifically, were you referring to	and then ARD.
15	communications with the	MR. ROCHE: Okay. Thank you.
16	A. Therapist.	MR. EVERALL: Sure.
17	Q private therapist?	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) This commitment by
18	A. Correct.	just to clarify the record, it seems like what your
19	Q. Okay. And just to be clear here,	memory is is that Karl was already seeing a therapist
20	Mrs. Pierson never got back to you on that offer; is	at the time that you went in there on a regular basis?
21	that right?	A. That's what I recall, but that's I
22	A. Correct.	mean, it doesn't look that that from the notes,
23	Q. And the same for Mr. Pierson?	23 so
24	A. Correct.	Q. Well, it does talk about an evaluation
25	Q. Did any of them at the meeting, did	that occurred on September 6, which would have been
		• /
	226	228
1	any of them indicate a desire to use those services?	<sup>1</sup> Friday before, correct?
2	A. At which meeting?	A. I don't know. I'd have to reference
3	Q. Well, the threat assessment meeting.	3 that.
4	A. No, I think I noted that Mom said she	Q. I know it's late and you've been
5	wanted to think about it. I don't know.	<sup>5</sup> A. No, I just don't I don't know where it
6	Q. Did she say why she wanted to think about	6 is.
7	it?	7 MR. ROCHE: It's 35 in the book.
8	A. I think a lot of times parents are	8 Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) Here, I can show you
9	cautious about or they would like to sometimes	<sup>9</sup> mine.
10	parents are guarded about it. They want their	MR. EVERALL: Can I share mine?
11	1' 6 4' 4 1 1 1 4 4 6	
	personal information to be personal and not part of	MR. ROCHE: Sure.
12	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it	MR. ROCHE: Sure.  Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from,
13	•	
13 14	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from,
13 14 15	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct.	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with
13 14	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to
13 14 15	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct.	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to A. Deal.
13 14 15 16 17 18	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct. Q. But did Mrs. Pierson say anything about  A. About I don't recall.	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to A. Deal. Q with future
13 14 15 16 17	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct. Q. But did Mrs. Pierson say anything about  A. About I don't recall. Q. Okay.	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from,  again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to A. Deal.  Q with future A. It says therapist, but I'm sure that was
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct. Q. But did Mrs. Pierson say anything about  A. About I don't recall.	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to  A. Deal.  Q with future  A. It says therapist, but I'm sure that was a mis I'm sure it was to discuss those the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct. Q. But did Mrs. Pierson say anything about  A. About I don't recall. Q. Okay.  A. I don't know if she I don't think she gave me a reason.	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to A. Deal. Q with future A. It says therapist, but I'm sure that was a mis I'm sure it was to discuss those the therapist, but to deal with future issues or future
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct? A. Correct. Q. But did Mrs. Pierson say anything about A. About I don't recall. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if she I don't think she	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to A. Deal. Q with future A. It says therapist, but I'm sure that was a mis I'm sure it was to discuss those the therapist, but to deal with future issues or future incidents.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct. Q. But did Mrs. Pierson say anything about  A. About I don't recall. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if she I don't think she gave me a reason.  MR. ROCHE: Steve, can you point me to where on Exhibit 35 you're referring to these	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to A. Deal. Q with future A. It says therapist, but I'm sure that was a mis I'm sure it was to discuss those the therapist, but to deal with future issues or future incidents.  Q. And then you here is the entry.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the school record. I think sometimes parents want it to be private.  Q. That's your experience, correct?  A. Correct. Q. But did Mrs. Pierson say anything about  A. About I don't recall. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if she I don't think she gave me a reason.  MR. ROCHE: Steve, can you point me to	Q. (BY MR. EVERALL) I'm reading from, again, page 3, Karl will discuss anger management with therapist and strategies to A. Deal. Q with future A. It says therapist, but I'm sure that was a mis I'm sure it was to discuss those the therapist, but to deal with future issues or future incidents.  Q. And then you here is the entry. A. Right. Possible mom will contact psych

	229	231
1	family MD and psychologist one time a week?	WHEREUPON, the within proceedings were
2	A. Right. It's the same.	<sup>2</sup> concluded at the approximate hour of 5:01 p.m. on the
3	Q. So what is the interaction, if you will,	<sup>3</sup> 9th day of October, 2015.
4	between a psychologist and the therapist?	4 * * * * *
5	A. I'm	5
6	Q. Same? Different?	6
7	A. I guess I'm using it the same,	7
8	psychologist and therapist.	8
9	Q. And then on page 2, you've written under	9
10	protective factors, community agencies, you've checked	10
11	the box family practitioner	11
12	A. Right. Psychologist to be determined	12
13	appointment 9/6, tbd.	13
14	Q. Isn't that first?	14
15	A. I don't know.	15
16	Q. You don't know your own writing?	16
17	A. Yeah, is says first. Sorry.	17
18	Q. So it does say psychologist, first	18
19	appointment 9/6?	19
20	A. Right.	20
21	Q. So that would have been the Friday	21
22	before?	22
23	A. Right.	23
24	Q. And is that when Mrs. Pierson told you	24
25	that the results from that appointment were that Karl	25
	230	232
1	was not a threat to himself or others?	I, ESTHER SONG, do hereby certify that I
2		have read the above and foregoing deposition and that
3	<ul><li>A. Did she tell us at this meeting?</li><li>Q. Yes.</li></ul>	the same is a true and accurate transcription of my
4	A. I'm assuming so, because I noted that	testimony, except for attached amendments, if any.
5	they had that first appointment. I don't have it	Amendments attached ( ) Yes ( )No
6	written down there, so	
7	Q. Well, that would have been a natural	
8	question to ask, correct	
9	A. Right.	ESTHER SONG
10	Q what were the results? And if there	
11	had been a problem detected by this psychologist at	
12	that 9/6 meeting or the once-a-week promise as it were	
13	going forward, would you have expected the	The signature above of ESTHER SONG was
14	psychologist to contact you?	subscribed and sworn to before me in the county of
		, state of,
15	A. If there were safety concerns, yes.	, State of,
15 16	A. If there were safety concerns, yes.  O. And that never happened?	this, state of, 2015.
	Q. And that never happened?	
16	<ul><li>Q. And that never happened?</li><li>A. I did not ever receive a call from anyone</li></ul>	
16 17	<ul><li>Q. And that never happened?</li><li>A. I did not ever receive a call from anyone else, from an outside provider.</li></ul>	
16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And that never happened?</li> <li>A. I did not ever receive a call from anyone else, from an outside provider.</li> <li>MR. EVERALL: That's all.</li> </ul>	
16 17 18 19	Q. And that never happened?  A. I did not ever receive a call from anyone else, from an outside provider.  MR. EVERALL: That's all.  MR. ROCHE: Thanks very much. You'll be	this, 2015.
16 17 18 19 20	Q. And that never happened?  A. I did not ever receive a call from anyone else, from an outside provider.  MR. EVERALL: That's all.  MR. ROCHE: Thanks very much. You'll be given, through Mr. Nathan, a copy of your deposition.	this, 2015.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And that never happened?  A. I did not ever receive a call from anyone else, from an outside provider.  MR. EVERALL: That's all.  MR. ROCHE: Thanks very much. You'll be given, through Mr. Nathan, a copy of your deposition. You'll have the opportunity to review it, make any	this, 2015.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And that never happened?  A. I did not ever receive a call from anyone else, from an outside provider.  MR. EVERALL: That's all.  MR. ROCHE: Thanks very much. You'll be given, through Mr. Nathan, a copy of your deposition.	this, 2015.

Michael Davis, et al. 10/9/15 (am)

233	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
STATE OF COLORADO ) ) ss.	
CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER )	
I, ASHLEY D. MAHE, Registered Professional	
Reporter and Notary Public, ID 20084033353, State of Colorado, do hereby certify that previous to the	
commencement of the examination, the said ESTHER SONG	
was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in	
relation to the matters in controversy between the	
parties hereto; that the said deposition was taken in machine shorthand by me at the time and place	
aforesaid and was thereafter reduced to typewritten	
form; that the foregoing is a true transcript of the	
questions asked, testimony given, and proceedings had.  I further certify that I am not employed by,	
related to, nor counsel for any of the parties herein,	
nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this	
litigation.	
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my	
signature this 23rd day of October, 2015.	
My commission expires September 24, 2016.	
_X Reading and signing was requested.	
neading and bighting was requested.	
Reading and signing was waived.	
Reading and signing was not required.	

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATI	E OF	COLORAI	00		)	
					)	ss.
CITY	AND	COUNTY	OF	DENVER	)	

I, ASHLEY D. MAHE, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, ID 20084033353, State of Colorado, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination, the said ESTHER SONG was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth in relation to the matters in controversy between the parties hereto; that the said deposition was taken in machine shorthand by me at the time and place aforesaid and was thereafter reduced to typewritten form; that the foregoing is a true transcript of the questions asked, testimony given, and proceedings had.

I further certify that I am not employed by, related to, nor counsel for any of the parties herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this litigation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my signature this 23rd day of October, 2015.

My commission expires September 24, 2016.

_X	Reading	and	signing	was	requested.
	Reading	and	signing	was	waived.
	Reading	and	signing	was	not required.

Ashley D. Mahe

Registered Professional Reporter

Page 234

$\mathbf{A}$	129:17 153:20	115:11,21	angry 73:18 140:21	apparently 196:4
<b>a.m</b> 1:13 40:9,9	174:16,23 177:21	aggressive 116:2	143:5,15 148:18	appear 117:13
94:25 224:1,7	178:7 185:6	<b>ago</b> 26:5 39:12	154:6,9,11	168:24
<b>abilities</b> 174:8	187:12	58:20 59:1 89:15	animal 108:10,16	Appearing 2:19
ability 136:17,19	actions 105:24	114:7,8 162:6	announced 150:9	appears 82:17
136:19,20,22	actively 90:3	209:11 222:6	<b>annual</b> 23:11 61:13	93:14
137:3 152:4	addition 84:9	agree 31:8 43:8,21	62:4 63:6	applied 165:7
157:12	111:22 218:12	46:20 66:6 70:17	annually 28:23	174:5 212:16
able 14:11,11,14	additional 167:20	102:17 105:16	anonymous 60:12	appointment
46:4 62:18 89:10	175:11	174:2	60:16 61:3	160:24 161:11,14
90:15 204:21	address 34:17	<b>Agreed</b> 106:16	answer 13:16 33:13	175:15,23 228:25
212:5 219:14	administration	156:19 185:10	33:15 45:5 83:6	229:13,19,25
223:1	22:21 23:5 50:24	202:4	102:5,7 105:7,11	230:5
absolutely 5:23	51:19 91:1 129:5	agrees 140:6	106:11,18,22	appreciate 5:19,21
31:15 96:18	180:15 198:17,19	<b>ahead</b> 19:21,23	120:10 127:19	96:24
143:22,24 156:21	administrative 4:9	24:2 53:8 64:14	133:22 154:4	appreciated 220:2
191:18	215:20 216:8	68:25 126:13	164:21 167:24	approach 220:18
absolving 106:13	administrator	169:12 182:9	168:12 169:12,21	220:21
abuse 59:7 146:22	26:10 34:13 57:7	217:14	170:24 171:7,24	appropriate 12:18
academic 109:5,10	88:23 103:12	<b>AHS</b> 162:13	176:5,8 181:16	61:1 71:1 94:17
109:13 111:23	106:14 159:25	air 108:18	185:3 191:15	100:4 102:13,18
118:10	administrators	al 232:25	194:13,13,20	176:18 213:24
accepted 16:11	25:16,17 42:17	<b>alarmed</b> 198:10	195:3,13 202:1	222:13 230:23
216:3	46:2 47:18 61:19	alarming 126:3	203:23 207:17,19	approximate 231:2
access 135:17,24	61:21 62:12 69:2	202:21,25	211:5 220:8	approximately
136:2,4 137:3,12	69:7 90:14 128:12	alert 85:5 197:16	answered 101:21	72:6
152:1,18 158:18	128:17 192:16	<b>alerted</b> 85:2 180:13	145:24 191:15	<b>Arapahoe</b> 3:15,20
169:14	196:20,23 204:9	all-day 62:22	206:23,25 207:3	4:7 9:5,17 15:6,18
accessing 219:7	222:21	allocations 221:16	207:20	15:22 17:1,22
accident 142:13,17	admittedly 132:12	<b>allowed</b> 134:11	answers 4:5 101:20	18:15 19:17 20:13
143:10,16,18	adolescence 162:9	208:12 212:2	142:21 193:15	21:9,23 29:17
168:18	adult 127:15 158:7	14 49 0 4		*
	addit 127.13 130.7	alternative 9:4	Antarctica 8:18,25	30:13 32:10,20
	adults 11:9,10 49:5	14:6 15:2	9:21,25 10:19,24	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23
accompanied 138:17	<b>adults</b> 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25	14:6 15:2 <b>amazing</b> 13:7	,	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25
accompanied 138:17	<b>adults</b> 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 <b>advice</b> 208:13	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16
accompanied 138:17	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8 act 53:19,22 170:1	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12 agencies 17:20,23	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6 140:7,16,18 141:3	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25 128:25 132:24	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20 84:6 85:22 86:25
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8 act 53:19,22 170:1 170:10,14,20	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12 agencies 17:20,23 17:25 18:13	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6 140:7,16,18 141:3 141:7,14,20 142:4	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25 128:25 132:24 133:2 179:1,7	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20 84:6 85:22 86:25 89:1,17 90:3,7,19
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8 act 53:19,22 170:1 170:10,14,20 214:14,24	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12 agencies 17:20,23 17:25 18:13 124:24 125:1	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6 140:7,16,18 141:3 141:7,14,20 142:4 143:20 154:20	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25 128:25 132:24 133:2 179:1,7 198:18 199:4	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20 84:6 85:22 86:25 89:1,17 90:3,7,19 91:18 92:22 93:12
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8 act 53:19,22 170:1 170:10,14,20 214:14,24 acting 170:17	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12 agencies 17:20,23 17:25 18:13 124:24 125:1 225:9 229:10	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6 140:7,16,18 141:3 141:7,14,20 142:4 143:20 154:20 155:9 228:13	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25 128:25 132:24 133:2 179:1,7 198:18 199:4 208:17 216:13	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20 84:6 85:22 86:25 89:1,17 90:3,7,19 91:18 92:22 93:12 124:25 175:22
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8 act 53:19,22 170:1 170:10,14,20 214:14,24 acting 170:17 action 3:13 4:14	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12 agencies 17:20,23 17:25 18:13 124:24 125:1 225:9 229:10 aggression 114:2	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6 140:7,16,18 141:3 141:7,14,20 142:4 143:20 154:20 155:9 228:13 angle 41:18	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25 128:25 132:24 133:2 179:1,7 198:18 199:4 208:17 216:13 <b>anytime</b> 30:15	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20 84:6 85:22 86:25 89:1,17 90:3,7,19 91:18 92:22 93:12 124:25 175:22 177:21 195:11
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8 act 53:19,22 170:1 170:10,14,20 214:14,24 acting 170:17 action 3:13 4:14 81:3 83:11 84:10	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12 agencies 17:20,23 17:25 18:13 124:24 125:1 225:9 229:10	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6 140:7,16,18 141:3 141:7,14,20 142:4 143:20 154:20 155:9 228:13	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25 128:25 132:24 133:2 179:1,7 198:18 199:4 208:17 216:13	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20 84:6 85:22 86:25 89:1,17 90:3,7,19 91:18 92:22 93:12 124:25 175:22
accompanied 138:17 account 154:15 accuracy 131:7 accurate 140:22 199:18 232:3 acknowledged 141:8 act 53:19,22 170:1 170:10,14,20 214:14,24 acting 170:17 action 3:13 4:14 81:3 83:11 84:10	adults 11:9,10 49:5 49:13,25 advice 208:13 advised 208:16 affect 154:10 169:18 affixed 233:15 afford 18:11 40:19 aforesaid 233:8 age 148:12 agencies 17:20,23 17:25 18:13 124:24 125:1 225:9 229:10 aggression 114:2	14:6 15:2 amazing 13:7 amendments 232:4 232:5 analysis 101:24 analyzing 136:16 and/or 175:1 ANDREW 2:12 Andy 94:12 anger 135:14 140:6 140:7,16,18 141:3 141:7,14,20 142:4 143:20 154:20 155:9 228:13 angle 41:18	9:21,25 10:19,24 12:8,11,14 14:3,9 14:13 <b>anybody</b> 50:9 70:25 76:22,22 89:17 91:6 101:12 104:24 112:3 115:11 118:5 120:11 121:18,22 122:2,4 124:25 128:25 132:24 133:2 179:1,7 198:18 199:4 208:17 216:13 <b>anytime</b> 30:15	30:13 32:10,20 33:2,17 37:16,23 38:3 43:24,25 44:14,22 45:11,21 47:9 48:4,6 49:16 51:5,20 52:11 54:10 56:3,18,25 57:15 58:5,15 59:24 62:17 63:16 63:23 64:11 69:7 72:7 74:13,20 84:6 85:22 86:25 89:1,17 90:3,7,19 91:18 92:22 93:12 124:25 175:22 177:21 195:11

	I			I
209:10 210:23	157:19	110:23 111:1,4,25	87:21 88:13,17,24	attendance 185:9
211:20 219:16,19	aspects 124:2	112:4 113:2,18	89:19,23 90:1	attended 28:18
arbitration 1:4	assemble 106:15	115:2,6,12,23	123:22 153:7	61:9 93:13 212:13
5:17 7:7,13 32:18	assemblies 60:8	116:15,21,25	172:3 178:18	attention 19:1,16
33:19 37:21 51:16	assess 57:14	117:17 118:3,12	197:10 212:12	30:21 32:12 48:17
209:16 210:13,18	assessed 184:3,5	118:20 119:4,10	216:16 217:18	48:23 119:23
<b>ARD</b> 227:14	assessment 3:7,11	121:14,19 124:6	assign 86:9	192:13 193:11
area 10:3	3:13,22,24 4:1,10	126:6,9 127:2	assigned 74:8	<b>attitudes</b> 115:18,22
arms 108:12,17	4:14 8:16 18:6	128:13,18 129:2	103:18,21 105:9	116:2
arose 58:22	21:10 22:2,7,12	129:13,17,18,21	assignment 14:20	attorney 208:10
around-the-world	22:19 23:10 24:4	130:5,20 131:2,8	20:4	attorney-client
14:13	24:6 25:24 26:24	131:23 132:2	assignments 19:25	95:7,10
arrange 84:18	27:4,12,13 28:1,8	140:22 144:21	120:25	attributed 95:16
articulable 183:22	28:14 29:11 31:2	149:8 154:11	assistance 12:10	<b>August</b> 16:2 143:3
184:2	32:13 39:6,20	157:3,7 159:7,9	assistant 38:20	Aurora 9:13
<b>artistic</b> 119:16,17	40:1 41:11 42:2	162:21 163:18	99:4 162:14	221:17,20,22
<b>artwork</b> 144:18	42:10 43:13,25	164:10,20 168:10	assistants 99:6,9	<b>author</b> 200:10
as-needed 18:20	44:9,12,15,20	169:25 170:8	associated 130:12	authorities 194:24
<b>Ashley</b> 1:13 6:15	45:4,6,12 47:22	171:1 172:17,20	Associates 12:14	214:2
233:4	48:13 49:7,15,21	173:1,8,15,25	<b>assume</b> 79:10	automatically
<b>aside</b> 10:24	49:23 51:1 52:20	174:6,11 178:2	129:8 158:4	127:23
asked 49:7 54:7,8	52:24 53:13,16,23	180:11 181:6,17	201:11 220:13	availability 43:16
67:12,12 82:3	54:4,9,18,25	184:25 187:12,14	assumed 69:1	45:20
89:5 100:3,5,6,9	55:14,22 56:13	189:12 191:2	assuming 39:11	available 40:23
112:24 121:8,25	57:17 64:21 65:5	192:7 193:2,10	74:18 88:7 94:2	65:22 102:10
125:3 132:3 133:4	65:14 66:9 67:13	194:6 195:25	124:16 142:23	104:3 170:19
133:7,9 136:9	68:10,17,21,23	197:5,20 199:14	145:6 160:9 167:5	Avenue 2:13
137:12 141:22	69:8 70:9 72:10	205:2,6 206:3	167:17 178:22	aware 20:17 23:6
161:24 162:1,22	72:16 73:9,15	211:17,22 212:5,9	180:25 181:14	28:10 33:10 46:3
162:23 163:3	75:1 76:5,19	213:19 214:16,21	193:6,11 202:23	54:19 55:23 56:4
183:7 184:24	77:23 78:11,13	215:13,14,21	230:4	56:10 61:7 78:14
187:14 188:10	79:1,9,19 80:2,8	216:8 217:21	assumption 124:19	93:3 97:12 117:16
189:5,21 190:4,8	80:23 81:23 82:11	218:3,11 224:4,24	<b>Astrid</b> 37:9,14	117:21,21 121:2
191:14,16,24	82:18 83:2,8 84:6	226:3	50:11,12 119:2	123:13 128:19
206:12,13 207:2	85:13 87:14 89:2	assessment/danger	181:20,21 187:11	129:3 137:2
207:16 216:13,19	92:17 93:8 94:5,9	4:1 93:8	187:21 188:16,18	144:22 150:14
216:21 224:18	96:9,25 99:21	assessments 12:17	188:23 224:10	161:14 165:16
233:9	100:4,13,22 101:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	at-risk 146:2	171:9 179:8 194:1
asking 19:2 27:8	101:10,14,15,25	21:4 24:21 25:12	150:18 153:17	195:20 196:6,13
31:23 37:6 41:11	102:14,17,22,24	25:22 26:13,17	172:14	197:15 201:22,23
44:16,17 45:3,6	103:2,6,10,19,22	27:19 31:9,18	attached 3:18 79:8	202:6 203:5
45:10 87:7 99:23	103:22,25 104:6,9	32:3,7,21,24 33:2	79:19 80:1 221:8	213:18
100:2 106:1,17	104:12 105:1,2,9	33:21 41:19 42:12	232:4,5	B
142:24 151:17	105:10,18 106:8	43:10 46:13 48:7	attachment 4:8	
166:23 193:7	106:15 107:1,4,13	49:16 51:7 56:9	attack 135:6	<b>B</b> 3:17
205:9 206:17	107:15,21 108:20	65:1 69:22 71:22	<b>Attacks</b> 3:9 30:9	back 7:13 8:19 9:10
210:7 214:3,5	109:4,9,17,25	71:24 72:3,7	attempt 75:21	10:20 14:9,15
asks 133:13 135:5	110:10,17,19,21	85:21 86:25 87:18	attend 25:13 28:22	28:14 29:24 37:11
	I	l	l	l .

		İ	Ī	
37:14,15 41:9	147:11 181:14	<b>big</b> 23:22 66:2,25	176:19 178:7	<b>call</b> 108:2 190:16
52:13 65:10 85:11	227:20	67:6,9,25 68:2	179:18 180:15	230:17
89:14 90:17 97:6   <b>Bast</b> 93:18 217:8		76:2	229:11	<b>called</b> 34:4 40:12
98:18 110:6	<b>bear</b> 95:19	<b>bigger</b> 36:10 221:2	boxes 60:13 128:8	60:19 174:19
111:10 114:13	<b>bears</b> 219:4	<b>biggest</b> 222:12,18	133:16,22 144:23	182:23 209:23
115:10 120:14	beginning 16:17	222:25	145:20 146:11	<b>calling</b> 19:2 37:1
124:22 134:25	32:16 45:25	<b>binder</b> 37:18	165:21	183:3
141:2 145:14	107:23 209:7	<b>bit</b> 18:13 35:23	<b>Boy</b> 137:4,6 168:17	calls 131:22
148:21 150:4	<b>behalf</b> 1:12 76:7	44:24 90:15 94:13	brainstorm 77:1	<b>calmly</b> 131:11,15
152:20 153:3	<b>behavior</b> 4:3,12	153:15 197:17	<b>break</b> 6:7,9,10 8:4	candidates 12:19
156:20 162:5	34:6 108:7 114:10	206:20 222:6	43:23 94:21 95:2	candidly 77:24
163:7 168:13	117:5 139:16,18	<b>bitch</b> 116:10	100:2 126:4,22	captain 160:3
170:22 171:6	139:21,25 140:13	blacked 79:20	127:1 172:8	captain's 138:4
174:10 177:11	147:16 149:1	<b>blame</b> 7:20 149:18	199:23 222:2	139:7
180:7 183:20	171:7 173:3	<b>blank</b> 99:13 200:13	<b>brief</b> 223:13	car 77:5 78:3
185:18 191:22	195:23 222:23	<b>blend</b> 14:11,12	<b>bring</b> 35:14 46:4	121:18,20 142:11
192:2 204:7,8,10	behavioral 80:3	<b>blow</b> 73:17	77:4 124:10	142:12,17 143:3,5
207:11,24 209:11	113:20,24 114:4	<b>blurry</b> 91:14	144:17,17 184:13	143:10,15,18,23
219:20 220:5,7	117:3 118:9 145:9	<b>body</b> 133:14	196:20,22	168:18
222:2,5,20 224:12	172:22	<b>bold</b> 35:24 131:1	broader 210:8	care 17:25 33:7,25
224:15,17 225:20	behaviors 34:20	<b>bolded</b> 130:6	brought 32:12	41:6 47:25 48:1
230:24	49:8 76:2 115:18	<b>bomb</b> 72:21	51:23 68:10,11,12	61:5 83:21 174:19
background 8:11	115:21 154:3	bombing 72:22	75:23 77:20 78:18	190:22 219:6,7
50:10 113:8,12	192:13,21,22	<b>Bonds</b> 23:13	78:18,22 119:23	221:11
<b>backpack</b> 76:7,23	196:20 197:4	<b>Bonds'</b> 23:14	161:6,6 192:13,15	career 13:13 14:10
77:5 178:25	<b>belief</b> 189:25	<b>book</b> 35:23 52:21	193:11 224:22	65:1 100:17
backward 7:14	<b>believe</b> 28:17 60:18	97:4 131:18	<b>bubble</b> 108:20	caretakers 74:12
41:24	77:11 95:6 97:14	215:17 228:7	<b>budget</b> 59:20	caring 58:6
backyard 76:3	100:6 112:1,14	books 204:15	<b>building</b> 25:18 29:1	Carol 2:17
bad 6:23 155:22	113:13 115:8,13	<b>Booth</b> 33:5,20 34:9	29:4 52:14 54:4	carried 168:23
157:23	118:8,18 138:12	54:12 63:11	54:10,18,24 61:18	carry 136:18 137:3
balance 57:22	168:23 174:4	<b>bottom</b> 30:22 58:7	62:8 91:3,7,12	152:4
<b>Barbara</b> 162:20	181:24 194:15	66:1 85:1 96:19	106:15 128:12	carryover 63:3
163:2,17,24	210:22 215:1	101:23 129:16	164:11 180:15	case 7:8 31:22
base 186:8	221:9,16	157:6 200:9	187:9 188:15	36:25 42:20 44:2
based 17:17 67:13	believed 212:8	223:23 227:1	194:24 217:9	52:2 57:19 66:8
73:4 78:7 85:23	<b>belongings</b> 76:9,11	bought 200:15	bullet 55:21 56:14	69:3 74:2 95:3,8
96:21 128:23	76:18 77:14,17	201:25 202:8,13	58:12 61:12 215:2	101:22 136:25
136:23 139:23	178:25	202:19	215:6	158:21 163:15
141:14 152:12	benefit 18:2 35:6	<b>bounce</b> 35:22	<b>bullied</b> 116:10,22	171:16 174:16
156:25 160:9	best 3:22 6:18 7:4	box 84:15 114:14	145:2	207:23 210:20
164:24,25 166:16	22:21 23:7 39:14	127:14,18 128:20	<b>burden</b> 219:4	case-by-case 58:21
172:5 182:13	57:21 89:7 138:14	129:4,11 132:12	C	64:24 181:14
221:3	145:18 168:4	135:9,20 146:8	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C} 2:1}$	cases 41:8 158:22
<b>basically</b> 163:8	174:8 188:22,25	147:7,19 149:14	<b>cabinet</b> 110:11	183:21 213:24
<b>basis</b> 58:21 64:24	196:17	149:17 152:7	cafeteria 193:23	casting 7:20
74:2,4 85:3 91:4,7	better 32:18 48:24	153:4,9,11 165:15	194:18	catch 223:1
91:10 95:9 135:19	65:9 72:22 222:9	174:22,25 175:12	17 <del>1</del> .10	categories 70:8
		•		

	<u> </u>		]	
144:14	characterized	<b>children</b> 10:4 11:8	<b>coach</b> 159:21,23	communicating
category 59:1	75:12 116:2	40:16 157:11	160:1	197:14
146:5 159:24	168:22 169:5	176:3	coat 178:25	communication
<b>Cathy</b> 14:16	180:9 185:6 192:8	choices 136:19	<b>Cobain</b> 200:15	10:1,2 51:25 52:8
cause 148:9 199:13	characterizing	choosing 219:3	cognitive 189:8	141:25 163:17
caused 13:13 75:16	171:12	chronology 161:18	collaboration 25:7	182:18 225:7,10
cautious 226:9	charge 19:8	165:12	Collateral 49:2	communications
center 8:17 28:20	check 76:22,23	circumstance	colleague 210:11	49:8 164:6 225:15
32:17 92:16	130:17 132:12	81:15	colleagues 216:21	community 55:23
central 43:3,9	146:7 152:6 153:4	Citizens 4:7	216:22 219:25	56:4 58:13,14
certain 16:10 41:8	153:9,11 165:22	<b>CITY</b> 233:3	Colorado 1:12,14	74:11 124:24
76:14 167:20	178:25 185:17,20	Civil 5:2	2:5,9,14 5:2 8:16	125:1 146:13
210:18	186:2,21	Claimants 1:6,12	27:24 28:20 92:15	180:17,24 181:5,9
<b>certainly</b> 6:3 32:21	<b>check-in</b> 84:11	2:2	210:11 233:2,5	181:10,13 229:10
33:17 65:3,21	178:21 186:20	Claimants' 4:5	<b>column</b> 131:14	community-wide
131:22 146:18	check-ins 178:15	Claire 54:15 82:12	135:4	43:17 45:21,22
155:24 181:5	checked 84:16	177:3	columns 135:5	46:16 58:17,24
197:3	127:14,18,21,23	Claire's 32:23	combination 101:4	129:9
certainty 18:19	128:3,4,9,22	205:15	<b>come</b> 6:23 33:7	complete 162:24
21:19 31:20 79:5	129:5,12 130:8,11	clarification 70:24	34:8 35:16,17,19	completed 125:13
199:7	131:4 135:17	clarified 70:21	51:11 57:5 76:20	127:8 162:3
CERTIFICATE	138:2 139:17	<b>clarify</b> 27:6 65:19	77:2 87:18 88:21	completing 166:24
233:1	144:3,5,24 145:8	77:9 227:18	159:20 160:7	component 7:14
certificates 137:5	145:21 146:14	<b>class</b> 47:2 83:15	180:7 183:20	comprehensive
<b>certify</b> 232:1 233:5	147:8,18 149:14	117:10,10,19	186:7 187:1 190:2	32:22 65:6 70:13
233:10	153:21 158:2	119:22 147:15	190:3,9 191:1	computer 77:7
<b>cetera</b> 60:13 61:14	165:15 174:22	148:22 149:13	207:12 210:17	127:24 193:23
63:7 144:10 179:1	175:1,12 176:19	195:17,17 196:6	227:6	195:7
challenge 227:8	179:18 180:17	196:12	comes 6:8 159:18	<b>concept</b> 67:8,11
challenges 216:14	229:10	classroom 17:10	177:25 193:3	225:11
217:17 218:11	checking 121:8	35:6 98:20 111:20	comfortable 71:15	concern 27:15
219:11	135:19 147:11	classrooms 17:16	<b>coming</b> 5:19 19:1,2	36:10 43:16 44:14
<b>chance</b> 31:7 72:14	187:21 217:2	92:6,7	19:3 223:4 230:25	44:21 45:13,24
<b>change</b> 14:2 52:10	<b>Cherry</b> 8:20 13:10	<b>clear</b> 6:21 70:15	commencement	47:24 49:19 51:2
156:24 197:8,12	13:24 14:4,20	95:25 96:7,11,20	233:5	51:19,21,22 54:11
<b>changed</b> 88:1 96:13	16:11 22:6,13	118:16 138:2	comment 72:21	57:14 73:13,21,25
168:8 172:16	23:9,18 24:22	225:19	commission 232:21	75:12,17 81:4
chapter 30:21	25:12,19,23 26:1	clearly 20:24 71:25	233:18	89:18 94:16,20
39:19	26:1,13,16,22,24	205:3 209:4	commitment	96:17 147:4
characteristics	27:4,10,13 30:17	client 95:4	227:17	154:20 155:7,10
156:3,5,9	61:3 219:20,25	<b>climate</b> 37:24 38:3	committee 210:14	156:14,21,25
characterization	220:5,12,17 221:1	38:10,14	222:8	158:5 164:12
167:21 168:9	221:9,19,23,24	<b>Climates</b> 3:12 39:8	committing 40:17	165:7 166:9,25
characterize 73:12	222:20	<b>clinical</b> 8:12,14	53:19	168:23 169:6
86:21 87:3 139:21	<b>child</b> 18:22 212:7	<b>close</b> 21:8 30:16	<b>common</b> 76:24	170:6 171:13
166:14 167:11	218:9 225:8,12	64:3 189:20 222:3	commotion 203:8	176:14,15 178:23
172:3 197:3	<b>childhood</b> 154:9,12	closely 17:14	communicated	183:17,22 184:2
220:20	162:9	<b>closer</b> 171:18	47:21 135:6	concern's 49:9
	l	l	l	l

concerned 95:3	203:11,11 206:15	consulting 17:12	conversation 18:24	65:7,22,23 66:18
123:20 124:3	conferences 27:22	17:13,20,23 66:11	34:24 76:21 89:21	70:13,14 72:23,24
155:20 199:20	27:23	66:15,17 91:1	89:25 98:3,8,10	73:11,14,18,19,22
concerning 34:20	confidentiality	contact 4:11 18:12	107:11,13,20	73:23 74:3,8,9
120:6,7,12 144:13	51:16 211:14	40:18 42:18,19,21	126:1,11,14	75:6,7,10,12,13
144:18 155:21	<b>confirm</b> 54:3 74:23	43:3,9,15,16	135:21 141:3	80:20,21 81:15
192:12,21,22	confirmed 189:25	44:13,21 45:13,20	142:1,6 152:9,12	83:3,8,9,13,16,19
195:22 196:20	<b>conflict</b> 138:9,11	47:10,14 60:25	152:14,20 156:23	83:22 84:8,12,13
197:1,3	188:14	74:1 80:11,12	159:19 160:9	85:5,6,9,10 86:15
concerns 11:3	confused 44:24	84:14 110:24	164:23 165:11	87:5,22,24 88:2
17:14 27:25 32:11	confusing 25:1	113:2,20 115:15	166:8 167:16	95:18,22 96:6,9
32:14 33:6,12	60:3	115:25 117:2	181:2,3 182:14	96:10,22,23 99:15
38:12 46:3,5,14	confusion 45:3	118:10 124:24	183:10 184:4	99:18 100:23,24
50:23 51:3,7,16	212:15 213:2	125:1,7 172:23	187:25 197:24	101:2 103:5,11,13
83:18 85:5 88:7,8	218:18	173:4 179:6,17	199:9 200:20,24	103:14,17,20,23
89:16 147:3	conjunction 5:17	182:17 190:15	217:3	104:7,14,18
155:17 170:1,4,9	<b>connect</b> 190:19	191:8 215:8	conversational	105:21,24,25
170:13 176:16	connection 5:16	227:10 228:22	6:20	113:20 115:19,24
187:1,4 198:11,17	96:25 109:17	230:14	conversations 82:1	117:12 118:25
198:19,23 199:4	consensus 167:6	contacted 14:16	102:21 159:5	122:22,24 127:6
199:10,12 202:16	<b>consent</b> 213:10,11	88:22	160:11 162:16,18	128:15,24 129:10
209:24 210:4	213:21	contacting 128:20	166:3,11 167:10	129:25 130:3
214:7 218:10,24	consequence 62:16	129:5	186:5,13,17,18	133:4,17 138:5
230:15	consequences	contained 214:24	187:6,10,20 188:3	139:15,19 140:7,8
conclude 75:16	171:11	content 75:6	188:20 194:22	140:14 143:8
86:14 87:10 141:8	consider 18:17	context 31:12	199:1 208:24	144:3,4,7,10,11
concluded 165:18	41:18 119:10	38:15 71:21 73:7	217:6,12,12 218:3	144:15 146:10
179:4 231:2	183:11 215:7,12	81:12 112:19	conveyed 144:1	157:3 163:18
conclusion 86:9	consideration	195:23,24 210:9	<b>Cooper</b> 91:9,17	169:4,7,8 172:17
160:8	116:17,20	continue 28:10	cooperate 207:5	174:17,18 176:18
conclusions 27:11	considerations	continued 19:3	<b>cope</b> 157:12	178:16 179:2,5
38:9,13 172:16	87:3	28:3 73:5	copied 224:9	182:24 193:12
<b>concrete</b> 169:7,10	considered 36:18	continuing 14:12	copies 97:2	199:15 205:5
169:19	102:5 169:18	27:18 28:5,16	<b>copy</b> 110:9,12,12	206:7 217:25
condition 183:13	considering 41:16	29:8 78:8 199:12	117:7 151:21,23	224:1,7,8,10,11
183:16,18 184:17	197:25	continuum 40:2	230:21	225:12,13,18,22
conditioned 184:7	considers 31:2	41:12,16 70:3	corner 19:22 227:1	225:24 226:14,15
conditions 147:19	consistent 177:13	71:18	correct 9:14,22	228:1 230:8
<b>conduct</b> 39:19	consists 103:10	contract 16:7,10	10:15 11:2 13:25	correctional 12:3
67:13	<b>constant</b> 127:15	179:6 216:5	14:1,22 15:5	corrections 12:1
<b>conducted</b> 32:13	constitutes 214:10	contracted 12:15	16:15,18 21:1,21	230:23
33:14 78:13 107:1	consult 27:16 167:1	contracting 12:16	22:17 24:18,19,20	correctly 56:22
153:7 205:6	196:25 220:1,14	contradictory	36:8,9 39:8,9	78:17 160:23
211:22 212:6,10	221:7	132:10	40:25 41:7 42:7	161:13
conducting 5:17	consultation 66:13	control 90:15 156:8	43:4 44:9,10	cost 40:24
53:12,16 57:16	192:18	controlling 147:8	48:13 50:3,5	counsel 208:14
101:6 129:13	consulted 165:10	controversy 233:6	61:16,22,23 62:2	233:11
conference 107:24	220:11	convene 164:20	62:3,11,14,15	counseling 12:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>

18:11 20:7,19	Creek 8:20 13:10	5:16 177:3 232:25	141:6,19	71:18 200:8
40:19 74:20 90:22	13:24 14:5,20	Davises 7:8	deeper 125:23	description 93:7
99:4 151:10 191:7	16:12 22:6,13	day 11:6 23:22,23	142:21	149:12 170:3
counselor 19:25	23:9,19 24:22	25:9 88:15 90:8	defensive 207:8	descriptions
20:4 25:18 26:10	25:12,19,23 26:2	97:17,18,19 204:3	defined 54:9	108:18
34:13 35:15,15	26:13,16,22,24	207:11 224:3,7	definite 138:7	designate 43:14
36:18,19 37:5	27:4,10,13 30:17	231:3 232:16	definitely 51:22	44:22 45:11
47:3,17 57:7	61:3 219:20,25	233:16	52:7 148:14	designated 44:11
84:11,15,25 98:19	220:6,12,17 221:1	days 48:23 80:25	203:18 219:5,9	45:17,23 47:10,14
103:18,21,24	221:9,19,23,24	161:10 196:16	definitions 165:1	47:22 81:4 90:23
104:9,14,17 105:8	222:20	204:11,13	degree 8:13 24:15	designating 44:19
105:14 159:25	criminal 105:5	deal 66:2,25 67:6,9	demoted 138:3	desire 220:7 226:1
181:19 186:6	113:7,12 121:9	67:25 68:2 228:15	148:23	<b>Desiree</b> 1:5 2:17
187:2,3	<b>crisis</b> 91:21	228:19	demoting 160:3	detail 4:12 80:3
counselors 17:14	<b>criteria</b> 78:9,12	deans 222:21	<b>Denver</b> 1:12 2:5,9	101:23 113:20,24
20:12 22:22 25:16	critical 173:24	<b>death</b> 32:23	2:14 233:3	114:4,10 117:3
25:18,19 36:3,11	criticism 149:10	<b>debate</b> 97:25 108:1	department 11:25	118:9 145:9
36:14,22,23,23	crossed 68:9	125:23 138:4	29:9 39:5 41:10	172:22 173:3
42:17 46:8 60:5	<b>culture</b> 33:5,16,19	139:8 148:23	43:2 44:18 45:16	<b>detain</b> 127:14
134:3 153:10	37:16,24 38:3,9	150:2,9 187:18	200:11 205:12	detected 230:11
192:16 196:23	38:14 51:5 52:10	192:3	206:10 207:16	determination
204:9 216:25	58:6 202:17	<b>debrief</b> 55:4 208:20	departments 10:3	166:19 199:17
217:8,9 222:16	Culture/Climate	debriefs 208:23	10:3,5	determine 71:14
country 8:18	4:2	December 7:15	depended 34:11	129:23 164:12,15
county 4:7 232:14	current 16:17	21:3 29:14 30:13	49:18	determined 229:12
233:3	83:17 85:4	32:9 33:3 39:15	depending 44:2	determining
<b>couple</b> 15:9 23:19	<b>cut</b> 88:5 116:6	51:23 69:9 72:12	71:11,13 169:14	166:25 215:4
30:20 42:23 65:24	126:12	75:1 114:20	178:19 181:14	develop 60:12
82:12 91:13,19	cuts 215:18	190:13 196:11,15	Deponent 51:13	developing 58:6
92:3 126:4 135:5		200:16 203:3	138:25 172:9	development 27:20
142:9 146:11	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D}}$	209:11	208:15 218:15	diagnosis 163:8,14
147:22 150:2	<b>D</b> 1:13 3:1 233:4	<b>decide</b> 105:24	227:3,9,13	diaries 144:10
153:14 162:19	dad 164:6	<b>decided</b> 12:21 15:9	deposition 1:2,11	<b>diary</b> 144:2
189:13,15 209:12	daily 90:12 91:4	15:10 161:9	3:5,19 5:25 6:9	difference 132:6
219:20 221:6	122:3 178:14,21	deciding 215:8	30:4 32:16 38:25	different 16:20
course 27:5 40:6	195:8	decision 77:18	72:4 96:12 200:1	27:25 41:17 44:2
50:22 64:25 78:11	damages 7:8	85:14 86:8,21	209:7,14 222:7	48:1 59:6,7 70:8
80:7 112:8 126:23	<b>Dan</b> 117:10	101:3 125:25	223:16,19 230:21	73:7 76:20 79:4
185:19 199:20	danger 154:17	126:17 205:7	232:2 233:7	91:3 92:6 94:20
210:17 214:18	<b>Darrell</b> 74:2 93:18	218:19 219:16	depositions 19:11	102:9 105:18
<b>court</b> 13:16	93:23,24 132:17	decisions 65:9	depth 141:4,5	106:6 124:2
covered 56:14	132:18,21	deck 91:22	<b>Deputy</b> 66:20,24	133:16 139:15
93:21 121:7	date 42:7 63:21	decline 35:9	128:23	147:22 156:22,23
covering 8:24 14:4	180:22 223:22	<b>declined</b> 207:5,17	Describe 101:23	157:22 166:20
92:7	dated 30:11 92:20	declining 207:19	described 54:3	167:22 172:13
created 51:16	dates 9:10 16:11	decreasing 155:8	66:25 78:16	201:18 218:20
creating 3:12 39:8	daughter 205:17	deemed 71:7 184:6	108:10 179:24	229:6
43:3	<b>Davis</b> 1:5 2:16,17	deep 35:12 140:6	describes 70:8	differentiate 61:25
L	1	1	1	1

	1	1	1	1
62:1,18 138:6	discusses 39:19	101:19 102:3	<b>drove</b> 77:18	eight-hour 11:5
differently 200:21	61:24 214:10	103:6 108:21	<b>DU</b> 8:13 10:11	<b>either</b> 16:19 19:1
208:25	discussing 80:17	125:9 130:20	due 139:3 211:4	22:3 78:6 86:1
<b>differing</b> 174:12,13	207:24 208:4	135:5 142:9 143:1	dug 142:20	105:11 112:9
differs 153:5	discussion 43:12	163:10 164:10	duly 5:6 233:6	117:13 142:3
difficult 7:19	64:10 74:17 115:4	173:8,13 174:11	<b>Dumm</b> 2:12	150:13,15 153:9
difficulties 17:10	124:21 126:5	174:17 178:11	dying 108:10,16	171:12
difficulty 92:5	128:14,23 180:20	188:24 199:24		electronics 133:24
147:7 166:25	180:21,23 197:19	200:2,4 209:15	E	134:5,9,15 158:24
204:22,23	212:15	215:20 216:11	<b>E</b> 2:1,1 3:1	element 84:10
dig 125:23 146:19	discussions 54:23	217:15 225:1	<b>e-mail</b> 3:17,18	elementary 147:1
163:11	95:6 101:9 118:5	documentation	98:18,21 158:19	<b>Ellen</b> 36:15,17
digging 35:12	132:24 151:2	118:13	162:20,25 163:2	emergencies
direct 30:20 48:23	202:2 212:18	documents 4:6	163:16,22 177:7	213:25
132:3,6,8 137:2	213:2 216:23	19:12 21:8 31:12	186:8 223:19,22	emergency 18:7
152:17 215:8	disproportionate	111:24 118:17	224:20	128:20
directed 73:25	148:19	119:4 173:10	e-mailed 85:4	<b>emerging</b> 56:16,20
direction 14:10	dissipated 185:21	doing 10:7 11:4	189:17,18,21	56:24
220:4	distinction 134:13	12:7 17:11 41:24	earlier 15:15 40:11	emotional 8:1,2,3
directly 78:22 94:7	distress 157:20	42:1 56:3,18,23	65:4 100:2 103:1	153:18
director 14:16	distribute 150:5	71:10 89:9 90:25	173:9 223:18	emotions 147:8
disagreement	<b>district</b> 1:8 5:18	91:5,17 102:17	early 55:23 56:4,7	209:1
166:22	8:20,23 11:25	103:2 106:14	62:1,19 139:13	<b>empathy</b> 155:17
discharge 184:13	13:10,24 14:18,20	123:21 130:2	143:25 144:23	156:1,9
disciplinary 51:7	15:12,14 22:3,14	135:15 145:16,22	145:1 170:24	emphasis 221:10
111:2,13,23	23:9 24:22 25:12	158:13 177:8	171:8 172:13	emphasize 44:18
153:20 178:7	25:23 26:16 27:10	185:18 186:3,23	ears 57:2,3	emphasizes 56:15
discipline 35:18	27:16 37:20 58:13	187:21 195:24	<b>East</b> 2:13	employed 16:4
80:10,12 109:6	58:14,18 87:17	213:18 217:2	easy 223:5	233:10
114:2 124:14	88:10 91:6,11,24	222:22	ed 17:13 34:13	employee 12:9
131:11,15 136:24	128:21 129:1,5,9	domestic 146:22	42:19 78:8 150:24	134:11
139:24 146:4	220:20 221:10,15	doubt 131:23	190:8,22	employees 12:11
160:10 180:3	221:18	<b>Douglas</b> 74:13,21	education 8:10	employs 31:4
222:23	District's 4:4	dozen 201:18	17:11,12 27:19	empty 159:11
disciplined 52:2	district-wide 58:23	<b>Dr</b> 5:10 8:16 9:20	28:5,16 29:9 39:6	encountered
disciplining 177:25	districts 27:21	9:24 10:7,17,23	41:10 42:16 43:2	100:15 112:10,11
disclose 214:22	divided 124:8	11:19,21,22 13:23	44:18 45:16 52:1	encourage 33:21
disclosing 214:13	division 124:5	13:23 23:13,13,14	73:4 112:11 163:5	encouraged 198:16
disclosure 213:24	divvied 124:8	200:14	189:9	ended 15:16
discouraged 33:23	doctorate 23:16	drawings 144:3	educational 213:15	enforce 176:3
207:23	24:17	drawn 120:6	214:10,23 215:4	enforcement 113:3
discuss 34:5 55:4	document 19:18	drew 48:17 119:24	effective 51:17	113:15 121:7,8
95:2 115:9 165:21	20:16 26:24 29:10	220:16	53:12,16 55:14,22	128:25
202:2 209:24	30:7,11,22 37:16	<b>drinking</b> 195:17	101:10 161:20	engaged 155:3
228:13,18	39:5 41:10 48:8	196:2	210:19,23	Englert 66:20,21
<b>discussed</b> 6:5 36:8	48:11,14 80:6	drives 80:18	<b>effort</b> 113:11	66:24 105:4
102:12 177:20	85:12,20 86:12	drop 189:7	efforts 28:5	124:20 128:23
180:16 183:5	92:15,21 93:5,12	dropped 189:5	eight 69:25 114:14	194:21
100.10 103.3	72.13,21 73.3,12	Gropped 107.5		171.21

F 11.00.11		07.57.10.101.15		150 10 152 1
<b>English</b> 83:14	events 153:24	97:5,7,10 101:19	exponentially	150:19 152:1
119:22	211:10	103:7 109:18	221:2	153:17 154:23
enjoyed 14:5	<b>Everall</b> 2:7,8 13:15	113:23 115:14	<b>express</b> 67:5,8	155:1,6 157:6,10
ensure 56:19	29:25 69:24 82:22	119:8 124:22	89:17	157:19 158:1
175:12 176:18,22	94:11,22 95:5,12	125:9 130:19	expressed 210:4	160:6 164:24
176:24	96:4,13 161:1	139:14 143:1	extend 12:19	172:14 229:10
ensuring 222:13	223:6,12,14,17	173:7 193:14	extensive 33:21	<b>Facts</b> 48:17
225:10	226:25 227:4,16	200:1,2,10 204:15	81:9	<b>faculty</b> 3:15 46:1
entail 47:6	227:17 228:8,10	209:14,15 215:16	<b>extent</b> 164:15	46:17 51:6,18,18
<b>entailed</b> 27:2 74:17	228:12 230:19	215:19 223:15,16	213:1	134:3 176:10
<b>entire</b> 52:16 62:21	everybody 96:17	223:18 224:24	externalizes 149:18	209:18,21 211:20
101:24 212:23	177:2	226:23	<b>extra</b> 117:6	<b>failing</b> 34:19,21
entirely 168:24	everyday 11:13	Exhibits 3:5,19	extreme 148:18	35:7,13,22
entirety 173:11	<b>evidence</b> 130:7,12	30:4	<b>eye</b> 193:2	failure 36:4
201:16	131:3,12 133:24	<b>existed</b> 57:15 58:25	eyes 48:24 57:2,3	fair 29:24 33:22
<b>entries</b> 80:9 110:3	<b>exact</b> 145:9	existence 169:17	89:9	120:23 123:25
144:2 228:24	<b>exactly</b> 26:19 72:6	existing 141:8	eyewitness 109:5	134:12,12
entry 110:3 228:21	79:7 95:25 99:5	exists 109:18,19		<b>fairly</b> 46:11 63:15
environment 14:5	126:19,19 161:19	<b>expect</b> 123:10		81:9 116:1
envisioned 20:21	examination 3:2	expectation 192:12	F' 36:3	fairness 207:4
escalate 36:10	5:8 223:11 233:5	196:19	F's 37:2	<b>fall</b> 37:3 134:2,13
<b>ESQ</b> 2:3,7,12	examining 119:10	expected 20:12	Facebook 77:7	<b>fallen</b> 150:10
essays 144:2,10	example 131:13	22:8,24 36:2 57:8	120:13,18,25	<b>falls</b> 59:1
essential 173:14	149:24	57:11 106:21	158:18	<b>familiar</b> 19:19 53:4
essentially 12:10	examples 168:14	182:1 230:13	faced 216:15	53:7 92:21 185:7
90:7 163:3	exception 213:14	experience 13:7	facilitate 91:2	<b>families</b> 17:19 34:2
Essentials 3:24	213:20,23 214:22	51:20 78:8 137:20	<b>facilitated</b> 84:19	58:22
92:16	exceptions 213:9	168:18 207:25	fact 89:22 108:21	<b>family</b> 5:16 11:17
establish 54:17,24	213:11	226:14	115:9 117:18	34:11 113:13
56:13	excerpts 201:15	experienced 153:18	145:8 147:12	137:19 150:25
established 44:7	exchange 162:20	experiencing 42:5	155:8,20 160:1	153:19 162:2
95:8	162:25 163:2,16	expert 69:21 95:24	172:15 174:12	229:1,11
Esther 1:2,12 2:11	223:19 224:21	expires 232:21	175:3 190:24	<b>far</b> 30:15 57:5 99:1
3:2 5:5 31:11	excuse 145:19,23	233:18	191:20 192:5	99:3 111:7 122:17
95:11 102:3 232:1	168:4 177:12	<b>explain</b> 43:7 57:21	202:12 212:9	150:16 162:8
232:8,13 233:5	190:21	66:24 132:5,13,23	fact-finding 52:4	183:17
et 60:13 61:14 63:7	excuses 145:12	154:1 155:23	factor 143:25 145:2	Farrington 2:8
144:10 179:1	exercises 26:20,21	157:9 217:23	148:14,25 154:13	132:18,25
232:25	<b>exhibit</b> 3:6,8,11,13	explained 87:25	154:18 158:2	<b>fast</b> 114:15
evaluate 105:23	3:14,15,17,20,22	132:10	169:22 195:25	<b>father</b> 73:16
evaluated 18:7	3:24 4:1,2,4,7,9	explaining 130:7	factors 28:10 35:1	<b>fault</b> 145:21
161:7	4:11,12,13,14	130:12 131:3,21	53:18 77:17 80:18	fax 82:4
evaluates 105:19	30:1,3,7 35:23	explains 129:22	85:14 86:8,13,20	<b>FBI</b> 29:10 30:10
evaluation 106:3	37:18 38:25 39:2	explanation 87:1	87:10 115:1	fearful 149:4
111:13 154:10	39:4,10 42:23	112:21,22 130:15	116:23 129:18	<b>February</b> 3:16 13:2
175:8 227:24	48:10 52:21 72:4	154:2	132:2 133:13	72:18 82:11,25
evaluations 17:12	72:5 77:25 92:9	explicitly 208:18	137:3 139:14,15	87:15 93:15
189:9	92:11,15 93:4,5	explore 33:18	144:24 146:3	209:18 212:14
scheduling@huntergeist.com HINTER + GEIST INC 303-832-5966/800-525-8490				

		I	I	l
<b>feed</b> 120:13	fighting 76:1	213:14 219:3	186:1 194:12	G
feedback 149:10	<b>figure</b> 7:15,17	220:11 229:14,17	224:24 227:1	Gage 2:3
feel 18:21 23:21	14:10 35:1 155:6	229:18 230:5	233:8	gaining 137:5
24:25 25:2,6	figured 151:18	<b>fit</b> 12:17 15:17	<b>formal</b> 186:18	gang 75:9 78:15
26:19 29:18 31:12	figuring 170:23	131:18	forms 82:4 125:3	gather 34:25 80:15
31:17 33:8,11	<b>file</b> 109:22 110:1,2	<b>five</b> 21:6,18 70:5	162:1,24 182:14	168:5 205:12
41:14 48:16 51:22	110:7,9,11,17,18	138:18	182:18,24 183:12	gathered 104:22
53:3,6,23 57:6	110:19,23	<b>fix</b> 7:3	forums 59:3	105:22 109:4
60:6 67:22 76:24	<b>filled</b> 125:10,16	<b>flag</b> 115:18	forward 7:14,16	172:6
77:1 78:10 79:5	127:2,3,4,8 132:2	<b>flags</b> 171:8	41:25 230:13	gathering 124:2
79:15 81:10,11,11	178:11 186:1	<b>flashing</b> 75:9 78:15	<b>found</b> 69:15 125:21	gen 17:13 34:13
88:21 90:2,4,10	<b>filling</b> 26:23 27:2	flippant 67:24	194:18 203:20	general 42:16
91:20 92:1,2,4	27:12 92:2 137:2	<b>flow</b> 210:19,23	Foundation 18:10	121:3 124:9
128:3 145:13,18	142:8	<b>flowing</b> 152:13	40:12	211:13 217:3
145:20 150:12	<b>final</b> 3:8 30:7 58:12	focused 58:6	four 9:2 25:10	generally 34:9
152:19 153:13	215:6	<b>folks</b> 36:16 150:6	26:12 32:22 36:23	154:20 166:6
155:22 168:2	finally 63:24	220:12	101:1 106:8 128:8	generic 46:11
177:12 184:21,25	<b>find</b> 37:17 113:11	<b>follow</b> 42:1 74:8,22	157:22	gentleman 210:10
186:17 187:4,24	125:24 146:17	100:4 177:6	<b>frame</b> 96:3 187:7	gesticulating
191:16 196:1	149:6 150:22	182:23 190:23	frankly 8:3 32:24	108:13
198:24,25 199:1,6	205:16	197:10 219:14	160:2	gesture 132:9
205:8 207:19	<b>findings</b> 3:8 30:8	<b>follow-up</b> 42:7,9,15	<b>free</b> 31:13 40:18	133:15
211:4,7 217:12	38:9,13 164:11	70:23 71:9,15	48:16 101:19	<b>getting</b> 18:17 66:13
218:8 219:1,2	<b>fine</b> 7:25 55:9	74:1 80:19 81:13	freshman 60:3	66:13 88:16 89:8
221:6 222:16,18	92:14 172:10	81:19 84:14	<b>Friday</b> 228:1	89:9,13 98:20
222:19,21,25	<b>finger</b> 144:16	161:23 163:17	229:21	141:9 176:11
feeling 51:24	<b>finish</b> 15:22 99:25	164:1 171:13,18	friend 13:6	191:6,13
116:22 155:22	finished 218:13	174:14 177:14,17	friends 54:20	<b>gist</b> 70:11
207:8 208:6 219:4	<b>fire</b> 10:3,4	179:17,22 182:2	<b>front</b> 19:13 32:17	give 18:21 32:25
feelings 155:15	firefighters 10:25	183:6 185:12	151:21,23 204:16	39:20 154:2
185:17 198:12	<b>first</b> 4:5 5:6,18 6:6	187:15,16 188:7	frustration 218:1	182:21 210:8
<b>felt</b> 18:2,4,6 27:14	8:22 14:20 15:14	198:22 219:9,13	frustrations 217:4	217:18
52:3 67:5 71:12	29:9 37:21 39:1	<b>following</b> 5:1 15:25	217:20,20 218:10	given 18:22 25:24
71:15 90:13 91:22	43:8,21 55:21	97:19 176:16	218:24	32:22 57:7 60:4
139:2 164:24	58:18 59:2 61:12	206:2	<b>full</b> 9:2 14:25 15:11	60:24 87:11
166:16,17 167:6	72:10,16 90:10	follows 5:7	23:10,22	123:21 145:8
169:2 170:19	91:12,12,18 92:3	foregoing 232:2	<b>full-day</b> 23:19	149:12 170:14
184:22 192:17	97:12 100:18,19	233:8	<b>full-time</b> 11:19	230:21 233:9
208:3 211:3 212:6	100:20 127:14	forensic 8:15	15:8	<b>gives</b> 167:1
219:23,23 220:1	128:9,12 129:16	<b>forgot</b> 209:6	<b>fully</b> 212:22,23	<b>giving</b> 139:2
221:6,8	132:2,5,11,14	<b>form</b> 19:7 26:23	funding 59:21	182:11 185:4
female 200:12	134:7 157:9,18	27:1 57:18 106:11	<b>further</b> 18:7 81:13	<b>go</b> 6:6 12:3,22,23
<b>FERPA</b> 51:11,11	160:24 161:14	127:2,12 128:6	142:1,16 176:6	14:10 18:6 19:13
209:18,24 210:4	185:10 187:2	131:1 141:23	179:16 192:17	24:2 28:14 40:10
210:18 211:4	188:9 192:16	142:22 151:17,21	206:6 233:10	46:4 47:4,17,17
212:14,16 213:3	196:23 203:15	159:9 167:23	<b>future</b> 89:23 90:1	47:19,23 52:13
213:20 214:22	204:15 207:11	168:11,21 169:11	228:16,19,19	56:10 65:10,24
<b>fight</b> 75:5	209:1 210:21	169:20 178:2		
	I	I .	I .	I .

68:25 70:7 79:1,2	grad 8:13 10:9	guns 193:23 194:18	<b>harbor</b> 150:24	188:17 191:3,6,13
109:3 116:6 120:2	36:18	<b>gut</b> 116:6	harbored 152:25	192:18 222:10
120:18 126:13	grade 4:12 36:3,16	guy 98:2	harbors 150:20	<b>helped</b> 187:17
131:14,19 152:6	46:8 47:2 162:5		hard 29:4 67:21	217:15
152:20 153:8	grades 14:7 34:22	H	219:4	<b>helpful</b> 18:5 35:5
159:24 168:13	34:23 35:8,9,14	half 23:23 206:18	<b>harm</b> 138:1	140:23 141:17
169:11 170:22	35:22 36:4 46:10	222:17	head 21:5,15,23	199:22
171:6 174:10	118:11 172:21	half-dozen 55:13	23:24 24:13 51:13	<b>helping</b> 28:9 31:19
177:11 182:4,9	173:2	<b>halftime</b> 9:3 15:1,2	59:12 93:24	32:4 82:2 91:1,25
183:19 184:11	graduate 10:11	15:3	114:22 138:25	92:1,7
187:2 192:2	<b>Grandview</b> 9:11	halftime/halftime	168:15,20 171:6	hereto 233:7
193:19 203:9,10	16:16 17:5 221:21	15:10	203:19 219:22	<b>hi</b> 190:14
214:9 219:20	221:22	halfway 12:3	health 14:17 17:16	high 3:15,20 9:1,2
220:7	great 40:8 220:10	hallmarks 156:1,4	17:25 18:3,18	9:3,4,12 14:21,23
goals 53:9 54:2	<b>group</b> 12:4 26:21	<b>hallway</b> 190:15	19:7 22:6,15,16	15:1,7,22 17:7
55:3	44:8 72:5	Halverson 4:12	23:4 24:13 25:15	19:17 20:13 27:15
goes 43:7 49:10	groups 12:1 17:17	hand 90:17 127:21	60:4 61:18 62:9	29:17 30:13 32:10
61:17,21 89:14	91:2 92:1	128:4 133:14	66:14 74:14 75:21	32:20 33:2,17
going 5:20,23,24	<b>grudge</b> 150:20	handbook 3:20	75:23 82:1 83:21	37:23 38:3 43:24
6:7,18 7:19 11:14	152:25	19:17 36:1 134:4	89:13 175:8	43:25 44:14,22
17:10 18:17 19:12	grudges 150:24	hands 67:22 91:22	184:12 213:24	45:11,21 47:9
19:15 22:11 29:7	guarded 226:10	handwriting 97:9	219:4,6,7,24	48:6 49:16 51:6
30:20 34:24 35:22	guardians 175:1	180:1 227:7	220:18,19,21	51:20 52:11 54:10
37:15,17 38:6	182:1	handwritten 74:13	221:11,11 222:16	56:3 57:15 59:24
40:7 42:22 45:7	guess 5:23,24 14:6	87:2 162:11	hear 13:8 46:15	60:24 62:17 69:7
80:15 86:5 88:4	15:21 21:6 25:21	<b>handy</b> 97:5	47:14 89:17	70:11 72:7 75:12
88:13 89:1 97:6	32:6 44:24 45:2	<b>Hansen's</b> 196:5	223:10 224:12,15	81:4 84:7 85:22
98:2 100:11	76:16 84:25 90:6	<b>happen</b> 52:7 76:13	224:17	92:22 93:12 147:2
101:18 105:6	106:5 110:16,22	185:13 225:7	heard 19:10 38:19	171:13 177:21
106:10 115:10	112:18 113:16	happened 7:16	47:10 50:22 51:2	195:11 202:7
116:9 124:22	116:19 134:7	50:8 63:14 67:11	51:3,15 57:4 67:2	209:2 210:23
127:22 138:21	145:22 161:22	71:13 76:15 97:16	98:15 99:19	211:20
144:20 146:19	176:5 181:13	105:15 106:2	108:15,17,18	high-level 75:17
153:10 155:5	184:16 185:6	143:5,14 148:21	112:8,15 134:8	87:5
164:9 168:15	190:1,7 192:24	149:13 151:9	138:16 141:6,15	<b>higher</b> 70:12
175:22 180:7	229:7	152:8 153:25	150:10 198:2	176:15
182:15 185:19	guessing 21:15	184:21,22 185:1	201:9 202:9 203:8	highlighted 173:16
188:4 190:18	24:12 73:3 136:23	185:11 191:23	203:12,15 207:22	hindsight 134:24
191:11 193:13	149:1 166:21	193:5,5 205:13	220:13	152:7
196:20 210:12,14	<b>guidance</b> 36:14,23	208:7 209:10	<b>Heaton</b> 200:12	<b>history</b> 16:22 41:25
223:9 230:13	46:1 90:22	230:16	heckling 196:4	76:1 77:10 111:13
<b>good</b> 5:10,11 6:16	<b>guide</b> 3:11 39:7	happening 7:17,21	held 150:23 152:24	114:1,10 115:3,10
15:17 35:3,4	129:19,20 166:10	88:11 105:6 129:2	<b>help</b> 6:19 19:2 31:6	115:20 116:22
124:4 153:10	guideline 129:20	186:15 200:20	32:18 35:2 53:17	121:9 131:18
157:24	<b>guides</b> 165:3	210:9	53:21,25 61:25,25	136:23,24 138:9
gotten 35:15 95:4	gun 72:21 75:9	<b>happens</b> 6:25 7:1	135:9 141:9,14	138:10,15 139:17
Gottlieb 38:20	78:16 136:5 202:8	<b>happy</b> 29:6 30:2	151:1 154:2	139:21 140:12,19
<b>grab</b> 29:24	202:13,19	94:21	157:11,13 176:11	145:2 146:3,22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

154.7.15.10.155.0		:	226.1	200.11
154:7,15,19 155:9	I	impossible 70:4	226:1	200:11
161:18 170:14,16	<b>ID</b> 233:4	impression 67:17	<b>indicated</b> 81:3	<b>informing</b> 180:16
hit 114:13,22	idea 54:8 57:23	186:22 207:5	95:15 188:8	180:24 181:10
hitting 143:6	100:12 102:13	208:6	indicates 53:10	initial 3:5 43:15
hold 150:19	201:6	impulse 156:8	215:6	44:13,20 45:12
holding 75:9 78:16	ideas 49:9 135:6	impulses 147:8	<b>indication</b> 119:18	98:10
holes 92:2	<b>ideation</b> 75:20 81:6	inappropriate	120:3,20 134:20	initially 92:2
home 14:14 65:12	identified 200:13	155:14	indirect 132:7,9	<b>Initiative</b> 3:8 30:8
73:16 75:25 76:22	identifies 103:9	incidences 140:3	indirect/veiled	input 216:7
77:5,13 78:3	identify 53:17	153:23	132:3	inquire 121:1
122:1,13,16	102:2	incident 50:8 52:4	individual 12:1,4	inquiries 65:5
135:24 136:6	identifying 28:11	67:2 72:17,25	123:17	inquiry 48:13 49:7
140:3 146:13,18	<b>IEP</b> 73:21 163:3,6	73:1 75:14 81:17	individuals 119:5	49:23 64:21
146:23 158:11	223:19	81:20 97:1 107:17	influence 115:22	101:24
161:10	imagine 127:11	111:19 114:20,23	<b>information</b> 25:8	inquisitive 173:24
homes 136:12	immediate 107:6	116:4,6,18,19	33:1 43:15 44:13	174:5 197:9,12
homework 120:25	128:6	117:9,22,24	44:21 45:13,24	inservice 221:5
honest 14:9 69:11	<b>imminent</b> 56:16,20	123:15 143:7,9	47:23 48:18 50:10	insight 154:3,5
71:4 153:4 168:14	56:24 62:1,18	150:8 184:22	50:24 51:17 60:1	<b>Instagram</b> 120:13
honestly 33:4,13	154:17 170:25	193:22 194:1	60:9,23 64:15,20	120:18
52:25 78:19 88:19	impacting 59:7	195:16,19 196:3	65:8,21 66:3	instance 20:22
107:8 127:20,25	<b>impeded</b> 210:19	incidents 114:25	78:23 80:15 95:5	32:10 36:25 76:14
145:11 149:22	impediment 51:17	115:5 116:1	95:12,16 96:1	152:1 158:17
162:7,10 167:15	impediments	150:13 193:8	102:4 104:22	192:25 203:14
167:18 168:1	210:22 211:1	196:11 228:20	105:19,23 108:22	212:3
186:16 198:25	implement 22:6	include 26:17,23	109:1,4 118:6	institution 7:10
207:18 211:6	implementation	46:17 104:13	119:9 120:1,3	instructed 95:11
212:20 213:5	64:7	109:5 133:2 146:7	121:15 124:2,11	instructional
hopefully 31:6 48:24	implemented 63:24	170:7	124:11,23 140:24	108:19
= :	implementing	included 48:7 56:8 83:11 105:1	146:24 147:5 152:12,14 156:18	instructions 109:3
hospital 184:11	219:11	106:19 118:7	164:25 166:10,16	130:4 166:24
hospitalizations	<b>Implications</b> 3:9	146:4	164:23 166:10,16	insurance 41:1
75:20	30:8		168:5,7 170:18,19	intended 174:25
hospitalized 81:5 hospitals 184:14	imply 167:14	includes 24:3 133:6 145:1	172:5,15 182:12	<b>intent</b> 49:9 135:6 135:13 169:13,14
hour 6:8 40:7	importance 44:19	including 147:23	185:3 194:10	intention 135:15
151:13 206:18	56:15	177:3	195:10 197:16	interacting 158:14
231:2	important 6:21 7:6	increase 154:20	199:17,21 205:7	interacting 138:14 interaction 84:20
hours 23:20 25:10	7:12 14:15 31:2,9	155:17	205:12 206:2,7	99:6,8 224:18
25:10	31:18,20 32:4,8	increased 155:9	207:2 209:25	229:3
house 136:15	41:18,23 43:8,9	156:13 158:4	210:5,19,23 211:7	interest 213:15
houses 12:3	55:22 65:4 66:6	increasing 155:7	210.5,19,25 211.7	214:11 215:4
humiliation 153:18	96:19 108:21,25	independent 163:5	212:6 213:9,12	interested 233:12
hundred 78:19	113:6 115:1 118:2	183:2	214:24 215:10	interim 105:14
165:24	130:19 137:9	indicate 49:8 95:14	218:9 226:11	internally 33:25
hunting 136:5	140:21 143:19	102:23 129:12	informed 85:4 96:7	Internet 119:15,17
husband 23:14	176:9,12 194:5	130:4 148:9	107:25 124:20	Internet 119.13,17 Internet 119.13,17
iiusvaiiu 25.17	205:11,15	170:20 198:2	181:6 184:22	Interrogatory
		170.20 170.2	101.0 107.22	interrugatory

102.2	20 2 24 12	40.00.50.10.55.0	014 15 01 015 10	106 6 10 107 14
102:2	issue 29:2 34:12	49:22 50:1,9 66:8	214:15,21 215:12	186:6,13 187:14
interruption 96:13	94:20,23 120:20	66:23 67:19 68:6	220:14 224:9,17	188:10,20 191:8
intervention 57:22	146:20 148:10	68:21 97:1,13,23	227:19 228:13	191:24 193:5
70:2 71:18,18	180:16 218:5	98:14,16,22 99:11	229:25	198:17,20 199:4,5
74:1 84:14 162:17	issues 11:13,17	99:20 102:1 103:2	Karl's 67:5 108:6	199:9 200:12
179:17	19:3 20:23 35:17	104:13,14 107:25	113:19 120:5	<b>Kevin's</b> 101:4
interventions 74:11	51:10 58:22 59:7	109:10 110:17,22	121:9 122:2,16	151:11,12 165:14
162:17	59:21 75:22 84:20	111:2,12 112:9	123:8 129:12	key 217:17
interview 109:7	140:7,16,18 141:1	113:7,11 115:9	133:23 134:19	kicked 114:21
122:20,23,25	141:4,7,10,14,20	116:14 117:4,17	142:4 143:20	139:7 150:1
123:4,16,21	142:2,4 210:15,17	118:12 119:17	160:15 164:5	<b>kid</b> 80:24 99:13
interviewed 66:20	217:23 228:19	121:19,19 122:13	172:21 173:2	112:10 116:6
123:7 132:14	item 54:2 121:6	125:2,22 128:17	176:21,22 181:22	150:23 152:24
interviews 49:2	122:12,25 124:23	136:17 137:4,14	184:17 186:22	156:8 191:3 193:2
101:25 123:14	135:16 137:25	138:3,11,16 139:1	192:25 212:2,10	kid's 120:24
200:8	150:19 152:2	139:6,17 140:6,10	keep 83:23 97:5	kids 11:10 12:2
introduce 47:3	153:16 157:18	140:16,21,25	109:21 110:1,9,12	17:11 18:25 35:18
introduced 46:2	160:5 193:20	141:7,9,20 142:3	161:9 193:2	91:2,21 92:1
47:4	items 64:7 144:6	142:10,17 143:2,4	keeping 52:8	112:11 116:10
introduction 46:12	J	144:20 145:8	Kelly 37:8,9,14	120:14 148:12
47:6	$\frac{3}{\mathbf{J}}$ 2:3,12	146:3 147:24	105:12 181:20	170:17 181:11
<b>intrude</b> 6:4	JAG 1:1	148:18 149:6,9,19	187:7 224:10	184:11 189:10
investigate 141:19	James 66:25 105:3	150:23 151:2,20	kept 211:8	190:2,2,9,22
investigation 51:15	124:19 165:10	152:1,4,24 154:5	<b>Kevin</b> 50:4 66:17	196:1 202:18
66:19 101:24	194:24	154:11 155:13	66:22,24 67:4,17	kids' 120:18
143:2 204:6 207:6	<b>job</b> 6:16 17:7,21,24	158:13 160:1,20	68:5,16,22 69:6	<b>kill</b> 98:2,15 99:12
investigative	20:21,24 32:18	162:18 163:3	69:15,20 85:3	100:16 136:18
173:23 174:5 205:23	89:11 106:17	165:6,7 166:14 167:11,21 168:9	94:4,6,8 95:23	142:10 148:22
	197:25 198:3,8,14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	96:8,15,20 97:14 97:20 99:10,23	176:10 177:23 178:5 183:24
investigator 200:10 investigators	jobs 222:24	169:3 170:13,23 171:7 174:7,16	100:3 101:9,13	193:1 194:17
204:25 205:20	John 8:17	171.7 174.7,10	100.3 101.9,13	195:10 198:6
204.23 203.20 206:16 207:12,16	Jones 2:19	173.22 177.7,22	102.13,10,22	killed 82:12
involve 34:16	July 16:8 30:11	180:9 183:12,24	103.3,13 104.20	kind 25:3 27:11
involved 22:19	39:4 92:20 216:6	185:16 186:2,14	104.23 103.3	32:19 35:8 42:4
23:14 25:3,24	jump 19:21,23	186:23 187:7,19	107:21 108:5	58:14 71:1,5
44:4 71:12 72:20	55:12 64:14 69:25	187:21 189:2	112:2,6,9,12	90:17 150:23
73:21 75:22 87:14	101:22 197:17	190:4,11,12 191:3	112.2,0,9,12	151:18 152:13,24
87:16,20,23 88:14	217:14	190.4,11,12 191.3	123:20 124:5,11	151.18 152.13,24
88:21 90:3 97:24	June 4:10 10:20	194:16 196:4,12	123.20 124.3,11	194:4 207:12
101:10,13 121:18	215:20	194.10 190.4,12	124.13,10,23	211:10 220:4,7
124:18 164:2	justice 29:9	199:14 200:14,22	151:7 160:10	kinds 48:19
involving 97:1		201:19,24 202:8	162:16 165:5,5,9	Kirk 93:18 217:8
117:10 192:22	K	202:12,18 203:16	165:11,19 166:3	<b>kitchen</b> 136:1
197:4 225:9	<b>Kanan</b> 2:19 23:13	203:21 205:2	166:13 167:10	knew 58:11 69:10
iPad 134:19	<b>Karl</b> 3:18 4:12	206:3,7 209:25	172:20 173:2	104:14 108:16
<b>IQ</b> 189:8 190:3,5	21:11 28:14 36:25	210:5,20,24	175:3 180:21	134:2,10 137:14
190:10,12	37:2 45:4,7 49:21	211:17,19 213:19	181:1 183:4 184:3	139:5 141:11
170.10,12		211.17,17 213.17	101.1 103.7 107.3	137.3 171.11

143:15 150:16	97:23 98:7,13,23	191:17 193:3	Kolasa's 128:23	222:9
158:15 160:20	99:2,3,5,16 100:6	194:5 195:9	166:13	legitimate 213:15
183:17 187:19	100:9 103:3	197:13,13 198:6	<b>Kurt</b> 200:15	214:10 215:4
188:3 192:21	104:20 105:3,6,12	199:5,16 200:21		Lembke 2:17
202:8,18 203:16	105:13,14 106:20	201:4 203:23	L	lend 33:20 91:13
205:11 211:19	107:5,7,7,8 108:2	206:18,19 207:1,1	lack 50:23 51:25	length 98:13
212:4 214:15	108:16,18 109:11	207:2,13,14 208:2	155:16,16,25	<b>lessons</b> 208:21
<b>knives</b> 136:1	111:9,10 112:6,14	208:17 209:2	156:1 219:5	209:3,5,9
know 5:15,19,21	112:15,17,18,20	210:6 211:4,5,6,6	laid 170:25 174:17	let's 8:7 9:9,9,19
6:2 7:6,18 11:23	113:7 114:6	211:7,9,11 212:4	language 35:25	33:16 43:23 55:12
12:19 15:21 18:5	117:20,23 118:2	212:11,22,23	<b>laptop</b> 122:3	64:14 69:25 74:25
18:9,12,21 21:3,9	118:22 119:2,6,22	214:14,20,23	134:19 158:25	92:9 93:4 96:24
21:16,18 22:9,22	119:23 121:3,22	215:5,9 216:5,22	laptops 134:5	113:22 126:4,21
22:23 23:1,23	122:4,15,17 123:7	216:25 217:1,14	large 72:3	132:1 146:2
24:14 25:1 26:20	123:9,23 124:1,8	217:22 218:15,17	late 228:4	174:10 180:8
26:25 27:1 28:1	124:8,10,20 125:6	221:4 222:14,15	Lathrop 2:3	199:23 222:1
29:18,19 30:14,15	125:7,7,13 127:19	222:20 223:1,5,6	launched 131:18	Letter 4:7
31:17,18,19,21,22	127:20 131:10,10	225:2 226:5,20	law 113:3,14 121:7	<b>letters</b> 46:25 144:2
31:25 32:11,14	131:11,18 133:22	227:9 228:2,4,5	121:8 128:25	144:10
33:23 35:11 36:21	134:10,13 135:25	229:15,16	lays 85:12 173:13	level 27:15,16
37:10,13,13 38:16	136:12 137:1,10	<b>knowing</b> 201:19	LCSW 24:16	36:16 47:2 49:18
39:16,17 42:14	137:19 138:8,10	220:5	lead 141:8	57:14 70:16 71:1
43:13 47:5,9,25	138:24 139:1,10	knowledge 98:21	leadership 97:24	71:7,17 73:12,20
47:25 48:2,4 49:6	139:11 140:21	112:2 124:17,25	108:2,3 125:22	75:12 80:17,19
49:14 51:11 52:2	141:2,4,5 142:1,8	138:14 211:24	126:10	81:4 85:14 86:9
52:6 54:7,11 55:1	143:14,20 146:8	known 43:17 45:21	learn 35:5 69:5,19	87:11 129:8
55:10,12 56:6,11	146:24 149:19,21	45:22 135:17	88:3 94:8	146:25,25 154:20
56:21 57:2,3,7,11	149:21,25 150:6	196:9 201:2	learned 73:16 108:6 114:3	155:7,9 156:14,21
57:25 58:2,9,16	150:14,17 152:13	knows 104:10	208:22 209:3,5,9	156:25 158:5
58:19,19,23 59:11	152:19 153:5,6,13	Kolasa 50:4 66:17	208.22 209.3,3,9	160:6 164:12
59:11,20,23 60:1	154:1,2 155:23	66:22,24 67:4,18	215:14	165:7 166:8,14,17
60:2,4 62:25,25	156:4 159:6	68:5,16,22 69:6	learning 209:5	166:22,25 167:11
63:3,5 64:1,2	160:14 161:18	85:3 94:4,6,9 96:8	leave 8:25 14:4	167:21 168:22
65:13,15 66:10,11	162:9,17 164:22	96:15,20 97:20	37:10 105:12	169:6 170:23
66:12 67:7,10,11	164:24,25 165:9 165:11,12,12	98:4 99:10,23	219:16	171:13,19,23
67:14,21,23,23,24 67:25 68:1,2,8,21	165:11,12,12	101:13 102:13,16 102:22 103:3,13	leaving 9:25 14:3	172:4 176:14,15 178:19,22 219:3
69:3,10,13,14	168:2,8,16,19	102.22 103.3,13	142:11 168:18	levels 70:9 174:12
70:19,19,20 71:3	170:16,17 171:23	100.24 107.11,21	led 61:18 86:14,21	174:13
71:24 76:6,22,25	170:10,17 171:23	115:5 118:20	87:3,10 139:20	lie 184:9
77:24 76:6,22,23	171.23 172.13	123:3,7,18 124:6	150:3 205:16	Lieutenant 200:12
79:22 81:16 84:3	176:4,9,13 180:16	128:14 165:5	Lee 15:13 23:13	life 42:5,6 116:11
85:24 87:16,17	182:13 183:7	166:3 167:10	<b>left</b> 8:18 9:4,6	142:5
88:4,19,22 89:24	184:9,20,20	172:20 173:2	10:18 15:24,25	light 145:7
90:4,6,11,14,20	185:11 186:7,18	174:4 175:4 179:4	37:14 108:3	liked 13:5 137:14
90:20 91:4,10,12	187:3,14,16,23,24	179:10 180:5,9	142:14 143:3	196:8
91:14,20 92:4,5,8	188:12,19,20,21	186:13 193:5	165:6,14,25	limit 41:2
93:20 94:1 95:3,4	190:23 191:6,7,17	199:4,9 224:9	legislature 210:15	Lincoln 2:8
			<u> </u>	1
1 1 1 2 2			202	022 5066/000 525 040

			I	
<b>Linda</b> 2:19 23:13	115:15,25 117:2,3	134:25 135:1	lower 19:22 160:23	146:3 148:17
line 47:25 48:1 52:8	117:5 118:9,10	145:10 163:1	220:25	158:8 159:11
61:5 96:1 114:16	139:24 172:23	172:21,21,22	<b>LPS</b> 4:1,9 19:23,24	178:7 200:1
162:11	173:3,4	173:2,3,3,9,12	60:16 61:6 62:4	204:14 209:14
<b>Lines</b> 14:16	logistical 90:7	<b>looking</b> 7:13,14,14	89:1,17 133:3	223:16
lingering 84:20	219:11	7:16 15:8 48:11	180:10 209:16	marking 140:10
169:25 170:4,9,13	logistically 29:5	62:23 70:2 71:25	215:21 216:8	marksmanship
<b>list</b> 9:9 20:19 49:10	logs 124:14 149:1	75:18 78:1 79:17	217:17	137:5 168:18
54:2 55:2 64:19	<b>Lois</b> 2:18	82:23 89:12 98:18	<b>LPSTA</b> 82:24	<b>Marla</b> 23:13
83:24 84:2,5	Lombardi's 195:16	111:22 113:1,23	lunch 114:14,15	<b>Mary</b> 38:20
93:12 101:20	196:12	113:24 114:4,6	lunchtime 56:9	match 20:20
106:6 121:6,16	long 13:1 14:23	115:17 117:2,3		materials 28:15
122:12,25 124:23	23:18 37:19 98:8	119:7 120:2,18	M	119:16,17 206:10
135:16 137:25	98:11 115:10	121:13 127:9	machine 6:17	maternity 8:24
150:18 153:16	151:12 155:8	139:24 141:2	233:7	14:4 37:10 105:12
160:6 181:25	165:17 206:18,19	147:16 154:14,16	<b>Mahe</b> 1:13 233:4	math 111:20
213:9,10 215:24	long-standing	157:7 179:14	main 23:21 90:21	117:10 148:22
217:17 218:23	154:19	182:6 193:23	203:7 206:15	149:13
<b>listed</b> 20:1,12,15	longitudinal 42:4	194:18	<b>major</b> 80:18	matter 27:5 80:7
72:17 86:13	look 8:2 19:15,24	<b>Loptien</b> 50:6 98:1	majority 136:13	95:2
139:15 157:18	28:10,11 29:23	104:21 106:1,25	making 65:25	matters 233:6
159:9 160:17	31:7 35:23 37:18	107:4,12 108:9	89:12 127:10	Mayer 2:12
171:8 215:24	41:24,25 48:14	123:4 124:12	131:20 139:3	McClure 2:18
218:12	53:4 55:14 64:6	loss 153:19	145:12 210:16	McDonald's 143:3
litigation 233:13	64:20 71:23 72:1	<b>lot</b> 5:20 19:12 29:1	218:19	<b>MD</b> 229:1
little 35:22 44:24	72:9,13 74:25	33:6,24 34:21	management 10:1	mean 18:20,25
90:15 142:20	80:9,22 82:10	54:8 91:11,14,20	11:1,12,15 228:13	21:14 23:12 24:12
153:15 179:16	92:9,23 93:4	91:22 93:25 98:1	manager 42:20	30:15 31:24 33:4
197:17 205:9	111:10 113:22	99:5,8 135:25	52:2 74:2	36:12 38:23 40:4
206:19 222:6	115:1,14 116:24	146:21 148:12	Managing 3:11	41:13,14 50:8
<b>Littleton</b> 1:8 3:22	117:7 118:11	152:14 176:2	39:7	56:23 57:1,5 58:8
4:4,9 5:18 15:15	119:16,19,21	190:9,21,21 196:1	mandatory 22:14	68:1 70:21,22
16:4 22:10 23:4	120:1,3,12 121:13	203:13 204:22	22:20,24 23:3,4	71:13,21,25 78:10
24:6 37:20 59:2	133:23 134:22,25	208:24 209:2	manifested 142:4	88:9 90:1,10 91:9
93:6,9 101:21	142:25 144:6	220:2 226:8	mannerisms 108:6	91:10,13 93:23
102:6 219:19	146:21 152:21	<b>Lou</b> 36:15,17	<b>Manor</b> 9:3 15:2,3	104:22 106:4,21
221:3,5,8,12,14	154:14 156:20	<b>loud</b> 13:16	16:25	109:23 110:14
Littleton's 220:21	158:18 168:13	<b>low</b> 70:11,16 71:1,7	marathon 6:7	112:18,24 114:5
lives 11:14 153:25	193:14 196:1	73:12,20 166:17	March 117:24	118:10 119:20
<b>LLP</b> 2:3	213:6,8 215:16	171:12,19,23	margins 140:5	120:17 124:7
locate 127:14	217:16,19 225:1,8	172:3 176:14	155:12	130:16 131:9,12
locations 91:3	227:22	178:22	mark 29:6 50:6	131:13,19 137:17
lockdown 203:10	looked 19:11 65:3	low-level 27:17	106:1,25 107:3,12	139:10 141:2,11
locked 196:12	79:11 86:24	73:25 83:8,10	108:9 123:4	141:16 145:11
locker 76:23 77:5	109:11,12 110:10	85:8 86:14,22	130:17 223:14	148:11 151:16
78:3 121:18,20	111:6 114:8	87:4 179:4 180:10	marked 3:19 30:5,6	152:11,13 153:5
log 4:11 80:9,11,12	115:15 118:17	192:8 199:14	38:25 72:4 80:23	154:13,14 155:3
110:3,3 113:20	119:12 124:13	205:2	133:18 135:9,10	155:21 159:14,18
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Page 248

	1	•	•	
159:19 162:8	35:3,4,8,19 42:8,9	mentally 212:23	minutes 6:12 40:7	189:17,19 194:6
163:13 164:23	42:10,16 47:2	mention 20:22	206:18	monthly 221:4
165:23 166:7,9,15	67:12 70:23 71:10	37:15	mis 228:18	months 14:8,8
166:20 167:13	71:12,13 73:6	mentioned 17:22	mischecked 145:21	54:14 59:5 82:12
168:1,15 171:24	80:16 84:19 91:25	28:18 29:20 32:16	misconduct 146:5	91:13,19 138:18
176:5 177:10	107:24 125:11,16	33:19 40:11 58:7	misleading 205:9	138:18 189:14,15
179:12 181:10	127:4,8 128:18	60:11 63:8,14	misread 128:11	197:5
183:9 184:10,20	151:2,9,15 162:23	81:14 89:15 100:3	missed 149:17	morning 5:10,11
185:4 186:16	163:25 164:1	102:12 106:24	missing 152:19	<b>mother</b> 97:23
187:13 188:2	165:15,18 166:3	107:10 113:19	156:17	107:25 141:20
189:4 190:2 191:5	178:8,9 179:22,23	117:9 157:5	mistake 132:12,25	183:12
191:16 192:10	180:8 183:6,8	160:19 162:19	145:5	mother's 136:15
195:4,25 196:1,2	184:24 185:7,8,9	167:19 172:14	mistakes 208:21	<b>motive</b> 137:25
197:12 199:19	185:11,13,15,24	192:11 193:17	misunderstandin	138:7,7 169:17
202:9,14 203:22	187:12,15,16	197:20 220:11,16	212:15	move 15:6 53:8
203:22,23 205:8	188:4,7,9,10,16	222:6	mobilize 71:19	83:14 85:11
207:7,9,10,13,14	188:18 191:2,20	mentions 108:21	<b>modeled</b> 146:13,18	moved 13:23
208:2,12,23 211:3	191:23 209:18,21	<b>mentor</b> 15:13	moderate 169:25	movement 15:12
214:1,6 217:1,7	209:23 212:21,24	Meredith 74:2	170:4,8,12 172:1	moving 114:15
218:20 220:3,24	213:6 224:4	93:18 132:21	modified 96:14	multiple 25:2
220:25 221:2	225:25 226:2,3	194:23	mom 82:4 125:3	115:25 201:23
222:12 223:3	230:2,12	messages 58:8	140:1,5,15 141:7	202:7
225:2 227:22	<b>meetings</b> 33:8,13	158:19 159:1	141:12,22 142:3	murdered 54:15
meaning 167:14	34:2,5,10,15 46:1	met 5:12 6:2 97:23	142:12,17 154:8	<b>Murphy</b> 50:1 66:23
181:9	73:5 81:22 221:4	148:1,6 183:4	154:25 158:16	67:6,19 68:7 97:2
means 36:21,22	<b>Melissa</b> 91:9,17,24	208:9	161:9,16,21 162:1	97:13 98:15 99:11
66:11,14 70:20	member 43:14	<b>Michael</b> 1:5 2:3,16	182:14,15 183:8	99:12 104:21
105:22 181:12	44:12,19,23 45:11	2:19 232:25	184:1,3,4,19	106:25 107:3,14
meant 8:4 13:18	47:22 63:4 153:19	middle 9:6,15,18	186:8 189:5,17,18	118:23 123:4
41:23 106:7	176:11 215:8	14:6 16:3,6,13	189:21 190:8	125:24 133:9
measure 32:1	members 51:7	17:2 30:25 39:21	226:4 227:10	136:18 138:11,17
161:20 176:17	108:23 131:2	147:1,1	228:22	139:6 142:10
measures 31:3,10	211:20	midst 209:4	Mom's 139:23	148:22 160:2
31:16,21 57:22	memories 38:22,24	Mike 5:15	moment 86:5	175:4 176:25
175:11 177:20	memory 101:5	mind 7:20 28:6	145:19 154:14	177:23 178:5
media 158:24	227:19	68:9 95:20 96:21	moments 212:21	190:18 193:1
medical 174:19	mental 14:16 17:24	99:12 166:13,13	money 7:7 29:2	197:19 203:15
medication 147:20	18:3,18 19:7 22:5	201:1 202:16	monitor 70:18	206:4
medium 27:15	22:15,16 23:4	mindset 173:24	83:24 84:2,5 158:13	Murphy's 149:4
70:11 71:17 168:22 169:6	24:13 25:15 41:20 61:18 62:9 66:14	174:6 <b>mine</b> 48:24 100:14		155:15 198:19
170:3 171:12,25	74:13 75:21,22		<b>monitoring</b> 70:16 71:1,5 73:4 80:19	N
medium-level 87:4	82:1 83:21 89:13	171:22,22 228:9 228:10	80:24 81:3,9	$\overline{N}$ 2:1 3:1
169:24 170:6	175:8 184:12	minimize 198:9	157:1 158:9	name 4:12 93:14
171:17	186:3 219:4,6,7	minute 7:24 31:14	171:14,18 178:1	149:4
meet 71:10 74:4	219:24 220:18,19	39:21 54:2 64:16	180:4	named 200:15
179:25	220:21 221:10,11	72:9 89:15 94:11	month 18:23 59:5	names 35:19
meeting 3:16 17:9	222:16	217:19	90:10 189:16,16	narcissism 148:8
	222.10	211.17	70.10 107.10,10	

narcissist 147:25	need-to-know 85:3	110:4 130:6,11,16	occurred 32:19	7:18,22 8:7,9 9:11
189:25	needed 17:24 18:6	130:17 131:3,11	72:17 92:22 151:2	9:16,19 10:6,11
narcissistic 148:1,4	18:10 53:21 71:14	131:20 138:2	162:5 181:7	10:16 12:5,12
148:5,7,12	92:4 99:7 104:3	160:22 162:12	188:15 197:4	13:20 15:18 16:9
<b>Natalie</b> 69:5,13	106:22 128:22	188:24 227:3,5,22	203:25 227:25	16:13 17:6,18
88:22 89:5,22	141:13 190:22	NOTICE 1:11	occurrence 19:6	18:14 19:5,10
124:21 133:6	191:3 192:18	noticed 35:13	occurring 53:22	20:15,18 21:2,7
165:11 180:21	206:14 209:5	notifications 128:6	68:16	21:25 23:2,5,8
181:4,17 198:21	213:12	180:8	occurs 80:19	24:2,5 25:11 27:9
Nate 24:9,14 52:20	needs 17:17 147:20	<b>notified</b> 129:1,13	171:14	27:18 28:4,13,18
52:23 53:11 57:25	163:8	180:10 181:17,23	<b>October</b> 1:2,13 3:2	29:2,6,16,22
82:19,20 85:17	negative 158:1	192:6	10:18 13:2 231:3	30:19,24 32:2
86:13,19 87:13	negatively 131:6	<b>notify</b> 214:8 218:4	233:16	34:1 35:21 36:11
88:12 89:22 91:17	negligent 7:10	notifying 218:18	<b>odd</b> 189:24 190:4,7	36:20 37:6,12,15
91:24 121:16	<b>Network</b> 74:15,16	November 116:5	190:8	38:8,18 39:14,18
130:24 167:1	never 68:17 76:8	195:16	offense 227:7	39:23 41:4,9,17
171:1	88:10 89:10 99:1	number 18:21	offer 58:21 224:25	42:9,22 43:20,23
<b>Nathan</b> 2:12,12 6:2	103:3 108:15	19:11 37:2 43:20	225:20	45:1,5,9,19 46:6
31:11 70:6 86:16	112:5,5,6 125:4	48:11 63:15 83:11	offered 59:4	46:11,15 47:8,20
94:16 95:1 99:25	133:6,9 162:3	115:21 127:12	<b>office</b> 12:4 90:21,22	48:5,10,20,21,25
106:10 132:16	182:23 221:7	130:10 131:19	98:6,6 99:4	49:1,20 50:19
167:23 168:11	225:20 230:16	139:15 167:2	128:22 133:11	52:5,9,13,16,19
169:11,20 172:8	new 220:9	172:14 173:14	151:10,11,12	53:5,8,10 54:1,13
191:14 194:12,19	Nicoletti 8:17,19	193:8 197:2	165:14 167:18	55:2,17 56:12
195:2,12 202:1	9:20,20,24 10:7	207:22 220:25	175:15 190:17	57:10,13,24 58:4
208:13 215:17	10:17,23 11:19	numbered 35:25	200:7 203:7 204:2	58:12,25 59:9,22
218:13 223:9	12:15,16 13:9,23	48:17 70:4 193:20	204:5,25 206:15	60:7,22 61:2,8
230:21,24	<b>night</b> 150:5	numbers 19:22	<b>officer</b> 104:2,5	62:24 63:2,5,22
nationals 138:18	no-showed 150:4	220:23,24	105:1	64:5,14,19 65:18
138:21 139:3	<b>nodded</b> 51:13	0	officers 10:5 11:1	66:16,19,25 67:1
natural 152:11	nods 13:17		128:21	67:4 69:12,19,25
230:7	nonverbal 133:14	<b>object</b> 95:9 106:10	official 216:5	70:25 71:5,17
<b>nature</b> 148:13	normally 148:9	167:23 168:11	officials 213:15,24	72:12,14 73:9,24
necessarily 11:15	159:17	169:11,20	officing 90:18	74:5,7,25 76:5
28:1 67:10 74:24	Notary 1:14 232:20	<b>objection</b> 94:14 191:14 194:12,19	oftentimes 42:20	77:24 78:14,21
120:2 131:9	233:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52:2 146:23	80:14 81:14,18
148:11 211:4	notation 85:2	195:2,12 <b>observations</b> 109:6	159:21	82:14,21 83:4
necessary 70:17	note 74:13 82:3	observed 52:9	<b>Oh</b> 10:14 13:18	84:4,9,24 85:1
105:24 188:11	129:17 131:14		31:6 45:5 48:20	86:7 87:20 88:6
need 8:4 31:11 41:3	149:2,9 161:4	91:16	55:19 60:20 92:13	88:25 89:14 90:6
41:6 47:19 54:24	182:6,10	observing 17:11 obstacles 210:22	94:8 111:6 117:6	90:18 91:6,16
61:22 97:3,4	notebook 109:20		117:23 128:11,19	92:9 93:1,4,13
100:7 128:13	noted 142:18,21	<b>obviously</b> 45:7 79:20 89:14	147:15 161:3	94:3,8,23 95:1,19
163:14 172:8	147:13 149:3	130:10 146:14	165:23 173:20	96:2 97:15,20
180:16 197:13	170:15 182:15,25		179:14 189:6,15	98:12,23 99:22
199:23 209:10	217:17 226:4	149:4 180:7 185:2 201:4	189:21 218:16	100:8,15,21
214:14,14,23,24	230:4	occur 78:9 152:9	221:20,22,24	102:10,11,21
222:22 225:1	<b>notes</b> 74:18 109:16	occur 10.7 132.7	okay 6:11,13,14	104:16,25 105:8
	•	•	. '	

				<del>_</del>
105:16 106:24	191:1,5 192:2,4	outburst 117:18	34:14 59:3 122:23	140:9,21 144:5
107:10 108:19	193:13 196:3,18	147:13 154:1	159:23 163:14	166:23 169:17
109:20 110:6,25	197:1,17 198:18	outbursts 154:7	parental 213:10,11	170:22 177:21
111:22 113:14	198:22 199:8	outcome 233:12	213:20	184:24 187:15,16
114:9 116:5 117:9	200:6 201:4,8	outline 20:10	parents 17:19 18:1	188:4,6,10,12,13
118:1 121:12	202:11 203:14	outside 17:20,22,23	18:4,8 19:1,7 34:6	204:5 205:19
122:2 123:16	204:4,24 205:10	17:25 18:13 29:1	34:14,16 36:2,3	208:20 209:16
124:22 125:5,12	206:9,11,21,23	82:1 91:23 94:12	37:1 41:5 46:22	215:12 220:16
125:19 126:16	207:15,22 208:5	153:24 175:20	47:20,21 48:2	226:11
127:7,11 128:2	208:11,19 209:6	176:2 191:7 225:9	65:15 71:11 74:12	participants 119:9
129:4,11,16	210:3,8 211:1	230:18	74:19,22 77:12	215:24
130:10,18 131:16	212:8 217:10	overheard 98:1	104:15,16 121:9	participate 25:13
131:25 132:20,23	218:7,17 219:8	overkill 102:19	122:1 129:12	26:12 34:9 38:2
133:11 134:12,17	220:8 221:17	<b>Overland</b> 9:1,2,3	135:21,22 136:4	104:6 185:24
134:22 135:2,16	222:5 223:2,4	14:21,23 15:1	137:13,19,22	192:2
135:22 136:3,7,11	224:23 225:19	16:23 21:14	140:18 141:1	participated 62:22
136:16 137:1,22	226:19 227:2,15	Overview 4:1	146:18 151:5,20	93:14 103:24
138:16 139:5,13	old 114:14		154:5,24 158:8,13	participating 88:13
139:25 140:4,25	once 57:6 59:4,5	P	158:25 159:5	89:23,25 106:3
141:13,18 142:3	177:6 182:4	<b>P</b> 2:1,1	160:10,16 165:6	particular 42:15
142:20,24 143:19	once-a-week	<b>P.C</b> 2:8,12	175:1 176:3	58:4 86:10 94:1
143:25 144:19	230:12	<b>p.m</b> 3:16,16 94:25	181:11 182:1,11	192:23 217:11
145:17,25 146:2	one-and-a-half	126:24,24 172:11	184:9 195:1	particularly 28:7
146:11 147:7,18	17:3	172:11 199:25,25	202:22,23 205:15	parties 233:7,11
148:8,15,17	one-minute 222:1	222:4,4 231:2	217:24 218:3	partnership 59:3
149:23 150:18	ones 32:22 76:2	page 3:2 19:22,24	219:5 225:9 226:8	parts 126:5 152:19
151:1,14,18	85:23 155:7	20:6,7,22 30:22	226:10,12	204:16
152:23 153:2,12	171:25 179:10	31:1 35:24 39:25	parking 98:1	partway 39:18
153:16 154:4,19	212:22 218:14,21	42:24 43:2 48:24	part 7:6,16 11:6,20	pass 99:7
156:5,6,7,13	218:24 222:25	77:7 80:2 86:12	17:21,24 20:24	path 13:14
157:5 159:4,8	ongoing 58:13,14	93:11 101:22	26:18 27:19 29:8	pattern 109:14
160:7,13,19 161:8	70:16,20 80:23	120:13,25 127:14	33:5,18 37:21,23	<b>PBIS</b> 4:3
161:22 162:4,15	81:3,9,22 176:11	129:16 157:7	48:12 49:15,22	peer 123:13 153:19
162:19 166:23	open 173:7	158:18 164:9	51:14,15 52:24	peers 123:1,5,8
167:8 168:21	opened 173:17	173:17 193:19	53:20 55:4,5,22	153:20
169:16 170:22	openings 14:18	200:9 205:22	61:8 64:20 65:13	<b>penis</b> 150:10
171:11,21 172:2,7	15:12	213:8 215:23	73:15 76:5,18	<b>people</b> 12:17 22:18
172:25 173:6,13	<b>opinion</b> 27:11 66:3	217:16 227:1	77:25 78:25 80:7	22:24,25 23:12
174:10 175:21,25	66:9,10,13	228:13 229:9	84:6,22 88:17	25:2,23 26:12
176:7 177:15	opportunity 28:25	pages 42:23 70:5	89:1 94:22 101:21	27:16 40:19,25
178:14,24 179:9	230:22	paid 216:5	101:25 105:14,17	41:2 44:8 46:5
179:23 180:23	oppositional 146:4	paperwork 75:19	106:9 107:23	54:8 58:22 61:1
181:5,22,25	options 219:5	184:13	108:1 109:9	74:7 88:16 91:11
183:11 184:7,16	order 183:19	paragraph 35:25	110:25 111:3,24	92:6 93:22 106:19
185:5,23 186:9,12	organization 40:15	parapro 73:2	112:4,5 113:1	118:19 124:1,10
186:19,21 187:20	oriented 220:22	paraprofessionals	115:6,11 118:2,11	125:8,8 135:25
188:1,17,22 189:2	ought 81:9 141:9	106:7	123:8 125:16,16	153:8,15 157:15
189:23 190:11,16	197:9	parent 18:16,25	125:21 137:6	173:17 183:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

191:9,9 192:6,20	47:17,19 66:16	177:6,22 179:7	47:14 55:21 56:12	87:9 88:5 107:25
195:10 196:25	68:12 75:9 102:3	186:2,14 187:8	56:14 58:12 59:14	113:17 130:16
203:12 209:2	104:8,10,14 124:9	189:2 194:17	61:12 101:18	134:18
214:23 217:2	148:1,5 154:16	197:4 198:15	102:7,8 112:13	practices 3:22
221:7 222:22,24	169:24 170:7,21	200:14 209:25	123:25 124:3,4	22:21 23:7
percent 78:20	177:11 179:10	210:5,20,24	126:3 143:20	practicing 76:2
165:24	215:9	211:17,19 220:14	164:14 185:11	practitioner 229:11
perception 145:2	personal 54:20	223:20 224:9,10	195:9 199:18	practitioners 162:2
perceptions 149:6	226:11,11	224:12,15,25	208:16 209:3	<b>Prairie</b> 9:6,15,18
218:20	personally 219:23	225:20,23 226:16	212:1 215:2,6	16:3,6,13 17:2
Perfect 7:5	221:6	229:24	226:22	praise 139:2
<b>perform</b> 20:13 23:9	<b>persons</b> 214:13	Pierson's 36:25	pointed 46:9	Pramenko 54:13
28:7 62:9 71:6,7	perspective 3:7	66:8 97:1 109:10	pointing 144:16	54:21,24 63:11
89:11 96:9 102:14	42:4	111:12 121:19	<b>police</b> 11:1 143:4	69:6 89:5,22
131:8	<b>phone</b> 77:5 122:2	170:13 174:16	174:22	133:6 181:2,18
performance 88:8	134:19 158:24	213:19 214:15,21	<b>poor</b> 34:23 156:8	preparation 110:25
89:16,18	167:2 195:8	215:13 223:22	portion 30:25	111:3
performed 21:4,9	phones 134:4	<b>Piersons</b> 165:14,17	95:18	prepare 85:20
23:8 24:23 25:11	photographs 79:18	165:18 166:4	<b>pose</b> 164:16	119:3 172:19
25:22 27:3 28:13	79:24 80:4 201:24	pistols 137:6	<b>posed</b> 167:12,21	188:18 217:16
32:10 41:19 42:12	<b>physical</b> 31:3,10,16	<b>place</b> 15:11 58:5	170:23 198:9	prepared 85:18
71:22 72:7,9,12	31:21 32:1 108:7	83:21 160:11	poses 129:24	preparing 113:2
73:10 81:23 82:18	picture 75:8,24	177:22 179:6,11	Posing 65:25	173:1
85:21 86:25	95:13	180:4 233:7	<b>position</b> 15:9,16	<b>presence</b> 90:4,13
101:25 102:1	pictures 78:15	<b>places</b> 147:23	16:11 138:4 216:3	90:17
111:5 115:12,22	<b>piece</b> 10:24 14:15	221:10	positions 21:13	<b>present</b> 2:15 25:8
117:17 174:6	24:4 32:1	<b>plan</b> 3:13 4:14 64:7	positive 158:2	61:22 62:13
175:6,8 194:6	<b>pieces</b> 95:15 124:9	81:3 83:12 84:10	possession 29:21	119:11 168:25
205:1 206:2	156:17,21 166:18	108:20 129:17	possible 43:15	212:23
211:17	185:1 199:19,21	136:18 137:4	44:13,21 45:13,24	presentation 37:22
performing 26:16	<b>Pierson</b> 3:17,18	152:5 163:5	47:23 68:15,19	38:17 52:23
31:8 48:12 49:16	4:12 21:11 28:14	169:13,14 174:17	135:1 136:19,22	209:17 212:13
64:25 68:20 115:1	49:21,22 50:1,10	177:21 185:7	138:2,7 195:6,11	presentations
116:14,20,24	66:23 67:19 68:6	187:12 219:12	222:15 227:10	17:16 27:25 196:5
118:3 140:22	68:21 97:13 98:14	planner 122:3	228:22	presented 100:10
169:24 170:8	98:16 99:11 102:1	195:8	<b>possibly</b> 88:8 138:8	121:17 207:20
173:15,18 216:15	103:3 104:13	<b>plans</b> 195:10 219:9	<b>post</b> 36:18 51:23	209:17 210:14
217:18	110:18,23 111:2	plausible 136:25	120:22 170:20	presenting 60:8
<b>period</b> 10:6 187:11	112:9 116:14	169:7,10,19	potential 28:11	pretend 155:25
187:22	117:4,17 118:12	playing 56:9	53:18,18 64:19	<b>pretty</b> 21:8 30:17
permission 182:11	125:2 128:17	please 167:1	65:21 157:22	60:5 89:5 90:3
permitted 211:18	136:8,8 139:6	193:19 223:15	170:1,9,13 211:9	97:25 155:3
212:9 213:3	143:2 149:7,20	<b>poem</b> 119:25	<b>power</b> 134:25	165:24 187:17,23
214:22	161:25 162:20	<b>point</b> 8:15 12:16	PowerPoint 37:19	189:20 192:3
person 6:18 24:23	163:2,18,24 164:5	30:17 33:9 35:12	37:22 52:23	<b>prevent</b> 31:19 32:4
24:24 25:9 29:5	164:7 165:8	35:20 37:9 43:3,9	209:17 213:6	53:22 222:10
37:6 42:19,21	166:14 167:11	43:13,15,16 44:13	practice 27:10	preventing 3:24
44:15 45:23 47:10	168:9 169:3 174:7	44:20 45:12,20	49:15 76:17,24	31:3,9 32:8,19
	I	I	I .	ı

ESTHER SONG

0.0.4.
92:17
Prevention 3:9
30:9
previous 19:24
21:12,13 101:1
111:12 161:19
233:5
previously 3:19
- v
5:25 81:5 100:17
138:18,19 194:7
200:3
primarily 11:5
principal 33:6
38:21 48:3 54:14
60:24,25 162:14
principle 173:21
principles 173:14
print 30:21
printout 79:9
<b>prior</b> 9:25 12:16
14:3 21:3 29:13
30:12 32:9,23,23
33:6 39:15 51:24
69:9 75:20,23
92:21 98:14,19
100:22 105:4
106:25 107:4,13
107:21 114:18
116:4 118:19
124:18 128:17
129:13 194:1
200:15,22 201:9
201:22 202:7
220:6
private 211:8 212:7
225:17 226:13
<b>privilege</b> 94:17,20
95:2,10,14
<b>proactive</b> 197:9,11
<b>probably</b> 10:8 21:8
52:21 71:23 73:6
74:19 149:14
156:22 165:25
166:19 168:13
194:22 195:4
222:17
<b>probe</b> 140:15,17,25
probing 142:16
2

problem 35:10 36:9 51:21 230:11 problem-solving 33:8,13 34:5,15 problems 33:24 52:7 Procedure 5:3 procedures 3:22 55:24 56:5,22 proceedings 5:1 231:1 233:9 process 6:3 7:6 22:19 25:20 26:18 40:1 41:11 50:22 53:12,24 54:1 55:4 57:14 71:16 77:2 89:2 101:14 102:23 109:10 112:4 115:7 125:22 128:5 129:21,23 130:20 131:21 153:6 156:11 157:1 163:6 164:19,23 172:6 177:17,18 179:12 215:3
217:4,21 218:11 220:3
produced 37:20
Production 4:6 professional 1:13
18:18 24:15 27:5
27:20 141:9,14
233:4
<b>professionals</b> 22:16 25:7 82:2 106:6
program 12:10,11
12:22 14:7 15:2
24:7 59:25 61:9
93:20 <b>programs</b> 28:4,16
28:19 58:5,10
<b>progress</b> 3:14 4:13
200:6
prohibited 213:4 promise 19:12
230:12
230.12

prompted 14:2

15:6 125:24 219:15 220:8
prompts 32:7
proper 102:20
property 78:3
proposed 63:10
protect 157:13,15
157:15 211:15
protection 211:14 protective 154:23
157:6,10,18 160:6
229:10
protocol 84:6
protocols 4:10
215:21 216:9
prove 7:9
provide 40:18
58:15 62:4 66:9 130:6 131:3 206:6
provided 93:6
154:5 157:2 171:2
194:10 202:18
206:1
provider 62:9
66:14 230:18
providers 61:18
provides 40:15 proximity 30:16
<b>psych</b> 8:12,14 73:5
175:14,15,23
227:10 228:22,25
psychiatric 148:10
psychiatric/medi
147:19
psychiatrist 82:5,7
160:24 psychological
176:11
psychologist 11:24
16:20,24,25 17:2
17:8 20:2,11 21:3
22:2 41:5 103:15
146:17 155:24
161:1,2,3,12,16
162:2 179:18

```
psychologists 16:21
 17:4 27:24 91:24
PsyD 8:14 10:12
public 1:8,14 3:22
 4:4,9 5:18 15:15
 16:5 24:7 37:20
 93:6,9 101:21
 102:6 232:20
 233:4
pull 109:10 111:1
 113:3 207:12
pulled 113:19
punished 150:13
purpose 53:12,15
 129:22 185:14
purposes 61:25
 216:17,18,20
pursuant 1:11 5:2
push 220:4
put 28:19 31:12
 67:10 160:11
 177:22 179:11
 180:4
        0
qualify 195:22
question 6:24 7:1
 13:21 29:7 31:7
 32:25 37:21 43:8
 44:22 45:19 51:9
 51:20 56:21 59:23
 77:12 90:7 94:15
 95:14 99:25 100:5
 100:12 102:15
 106:5,11 112:12
 133:21 136:17
 145:24 146:16
 159:8,14,16
 164:15,21 166:12
 171:7 176:6
 184:16 185:25
 189:19 191:11
 192:24 194:13
 195:18,19 202:5
 205:8 207:25
 209:8 212:25
 214:19 220:20
```

230:11,14

```
222:7 230:8
questioning 142:17
questions 7:18
 20:18 30:20 32:6
 43:20 49:10 83:7
  101:20 127:12,23
  151:17 152:18,21
  158:12 165:4
  170:25,25 188:5
  193:14 202:2
 206:17,24,25
 207:3,15,19,20,21
 220:9 223:6 233:9
quite 13:7 14:9
  16:10 18:13
quitting 197:25
  198:3,8,14
        R
R 2:1
radio 203:13
radios 203:12
rage 142:11,15
 143:21 168:19
raise 171:8 202:16
raised 38:13
ran 151:15 203:18
Rangeview 221:20
rap 75:5
ratios 222:15
Raytheon 12:13,20
reach 143:20
 156:25 204:5
reached 27:12 86:8
react 131:11
reaction 102:14
  149:12 155:13
  198:7
reactions 148:18
reacts 131:15
read 29:8,13 30:12
 30:14 31:11 39:10
 39:13,15,16 41:14
 70:4 147:22
```

182:4 197:2

200:13 216:15

229:1,4,8,12,18

204:21 207:4

155:25 173:10,11

189:23 201:11,15

201:15 204:16,19

LOILEN	POMG	

214:17,17 227:5	79:23 81:18,25	45:15 48:12 177:9	reflection 96:18	59:4,10,12 60:2
232:2	82:6 87:13 93:20	222:14	refresh 101:5 150:3	64:1 78:17,24
reading 21:25	93:21 94:3 97:15	record 6:21 39:4	refresher 22:8	79:7,16 81:16,17
106:12 160:22,24	97:22 98:8,17	82:22 111:23,23	23:11,23	81:24 85:25 92:25
161:13 204:22,23	100:14 101:17	146:23 222:6	regard 40:23 58:15	98:5,5 110:15
228:12 233:21,23	107:6 108:8	226:12,25 227:18	regarding 123:15	111:8 114:18
233:25	109:13,15 111:12	records 109:6,10	206:7 211:7	118:23 124:21
real 55:4	111:16,21 112:15	109:13 111:2	Registered 1:13	126:18,19 128:1
realistic 136:25	114:3 126:2 127:7	113:3 117:14	233:4	138:14 139:12
168:24	136:7,13 137:16	118:7 119:11,12	regular 17:23	142:7,14 148:6
realize 179:14	137:22 139:20	121:7,8 214:23,25	49:15 91:7,10	153:1 162:7,8,10
really 6:16 14:11	148:1,4,6 160:15	recovering 7:7	227:20	165:10 167:8,15
15:8,10 18:19,20	163:9 166:2,12	red 115:18 171:8	regularity 26:8	167:16,17,18
20:20 33:5 41:15	186:11,15,17	reduced 233:8	90:11	186:24,25 187:13
55:7 59:13 88:10	187:6,20 188:23	reenter 183:16	reins 90:17	188:19 189:19
102:8 124:3 126:3	189:1 190:6 192:3	reentry 67:12	rejected 160:2	198:21,24,25
140:17 141:4	198:5 200:19	128:18 178:8	rejection 153:18	199:3,6 207:8
145:22 153:10	201:20 207:18	183:14 184:8,12	related 11:16 37:23	213:1,5 217:11
167:17 177:17	216:13 217:5	184:17,24 185:5	107:11 124:6	225:4
203:22 204:21	224:23 226:18	185:19 186:3	129:4 149:19	remorse 155:16
209:3 218:5	227:21	refer 17:24 41:5	233:11	156:1,8
219:23,24 222:3	recalled 81:15	57:22 83:20	relates 43:24 72:17	remorseful 155:14
reason 6:10 36:7	197:21	101:19 111:10	175:3	remotely 20:20
67:18 68:15 77:10	recalling 168:16	162:15	relating 200:7	removed 125:22
95:3 100:1 105:15	receive 230:17	reference 3:5 59:23	relation 233:6	126:9
113:23 114:9	received 22:1 24:21	72:1 102:7 117:4	relationship 73:7	repeat 13:20 47:12
119:7 126:17	26:15 28:15 48:6	128:14 138:3	95:8 139:6	51:9 86:11 102:15
134:18 142:24	69:15 94:5,9	148:21 171:3	relationships	172:24 202:5
158:23 159:2,15	96:16,20,22 98:18	175:17 178:8	159:10	214:19
172:2 177:5	172:6 209:15	179:20,21 205:24	relatively 99:13	repeatedly 51:11
178:20 188:16	receiving 36:2,4	224:20,25 228:2	relay 211:25	report 3:8,14 4:12
190:16,24 193:7	Recess 40:9 94:25	referenced 82:7	relayed 58:9 60:1	4:13 30:7,11 39:6
196:18 204:19	126:24 172:11	142:12	78:5 146:24	43:7 45:24 57:5
207:7 226:21	199:25 222:4	referral 57:6	211:11,12	80:3,10 83:18
reasonable 134:14	recognize 39:1	referrals 17:22	release 82:4 141:23	113:20,25 114:4
reasoning 140:9	52:22 61:14 62:5	18:16 41:8	162:1,24 182:14	114:10 139:23
reasons 35:7 85:13	63:6 64:11 97:7	referred 41:1 79:1	182:18,24	140:1 145:9
126:19 138:2	223:17	95:24 112:9	releases 162:22	147:23 172:22
139:11,12 204:25	recollection 89:8	147:24 201:5	relevant 28:7,9	189:24 191:22
reassure 198:11	95:17 127:3 150:4	223:18	reliability 131:7	192:21 193:4
recall 22:12 23:21	183:3 196:17	referring 66:12	remark 196:4	196:22 200:6
24:20 26:4,9	recommend 19:6	84:5 97:6 110:8	remember 13:16	201:12,17,20
37:22 38:8,12	43:3 176:1	143:7,11 149:22	21:15,22 22:11	204:14,23 205:23
39:14 48:5,9	recommendations	161:4 214:2 225:2	23:20 24:8,11	210:12
50:15 55:5 63:21	18:4,8,9,16 49:1	225:14 226:23	26:19,25 34:3	reported 66:21
64:10 72:25 73:1	176:4 210:16	refers 36:11 58:13	38:1,4,6,6 39:11	76:3 136:19 140:2
			1 ' ' '	
1 75.14 19 76.13	1 222:10 223:2	7  •   /   174•74	1 4/.7 201.7 17	1 140/12 141/70
75:14,19 76:13 78:14 25 79:6 13	222:10 223:2 recommended	121:17 124:24 169:23	47:5 50:7,17 52:25 53:1 55:7	140:18 141:20 143:2 161:16 20
75:14,19 76:13 78:14,25 79:6,13	recommended	121:17 124:24 169:23	52:25 53:1 55:7	143:2 161:16,20

	1		Ì	I
194:21,23 201:19	response 56:15,19	54:15,20 61:4,15	168:25 169:1	82:24 83:1 86:18
202:12,19,22,23	56:25 57:8 63:17	61:19 63:16,17	170:10,11 171:14	94:14,19,23 95:19
reportedly 141:12	70:13 99:20 224:6	65:6,9,10 66:17	171:15,19 172:18	95:21 100:1
154:24	224:13	70:9,10 72:16	172:23 173:4,5,20	106:16 126:25
reporter 1:13	responses 4:5	73:13 75:9 77:15	173:21 174:14,15	132:18 161:3
13:17 233:4	157:23	79:12 80:4 82:13	174:20,21,23,24	168:7 169:16,23
REPORTER'S	responsibilities	83:12 86:22 87:6	175:1,2,4,5,7,9,10	172:10,12 191:19
233:1	17:7 20:7,11,14	92:18 93:16,17	175:13,16 176:19	194:15,25 195:6
reporting 55:24	20:15,20 190:23	99:14 100:20	176:20,22,23,25	195:15 200:2
56:5	responsibility	101:1 103:7,8,10	177:1,3,4,23,24	202:4,6 208:19
reports 35:16 65:3	106:13 124:5	103:22,25 104:1,4	178:2,3,5,6,10,12	215:18,19 218:16
80:13 109:5 140:6	responsible 37:1	105:20 106:5	178:13,18 179:7	222:1,5 226:22
147:17	restrictions 210:19	107:1,2 112:24,25	179:11,21 180:2,5	227:2,6,12,15
represented 95:6	result 38:13 174:13	114:16,17 115:4	180:6,12,14,17,18	228:7,11 230:20
representing 5:16	209:24 210:1	115:17,18,23	180:24 181:18	role 14:17 38:21
reputation 98:23	results 183:13	116:3,7,25 117:11	182:2,3,5,6,20,22	60:7
request 77:16 78:2	229:25 230:10	118:20,21 119:11	183:21,22,23	role-playing 26:18
134:19	<b>rethink</b> 199:13	119:13,14 121:6	184:1 185:11,12	roles 54:4
requested 233:21	retired 11:24	121:10 122:8,13	185:14,21 189:6	<b>Ron</b> 15:13 23:13
requesting 76:17	retrospect 205:3	122:14,16,17,21	189:13 191:21	33:19
Requests 4:6	return 183:20	123:1,2,19 125:14	192:8,10,14,15	room 18:7 65:12
required 178:24	184:6 220:17	127:22 128:6,11	193:9,24,25	79:16 122:13,16
183:18 233:25	returned 8:25	129:6,7,14,15,18	196:21 197:21	165:6 203:11,11
requirement	109:23 125:4	129:19,22,24	198:1 201:22	206:15
213:11,20	162:3	130:12,13,20	202:14,14 204:17	rule 6:15 121:3
requiring 183:11	Reverbnation	132:3,4 133:16,19	204:18 205:4,13	rules 5:2 6:5
resentments	79:10	133:20 134:4,8,14	205:14,17,18,20	ruling 66:2
150:20,24 152:25	review 4:9 28:15	135:17,18 136:21	205:21,24,25	run 12:4 99:7
resided 122:13	38:2 48:7 72:14	139:9,10,18	206:4,5 208:15	143:21
resiliency 157:12	80:7 111:24 119:4	141:24 143:17	209:8 213:16,17	running 143:6
resolve 84:20	128:13 129:18	144:6,14 145:3,4	214:16 215:9,10	
resource 28:20	164:14 185:7	146:5,6,9,15	215:11 216:1	S
40:20,21 41:4	187:12 215:20	147:10,20,21	220:15 221:18,25	S 2:1
92:16 104:2,5,25	216:8 218:14	148:19,20 149:10	222:2,8 223:3,25	safe 3:8,12 28:19
128:21	230:22	149:11 151:3,4,6	224:2,5 225:21	30:8 39:8 58:6
resources 29:3	reviewed 27:4	151:8,23,24	228:22 229:2,12	127:13 184:6
71:19 74:11,20	74:12 102:3	152:16 154:7,16	229:20,23 230:9	<b>Safe2Tell</b> 59:23,25
91:23	164:11 206:9	155:5,10,11 156:2	right-hand 19:22	60:9,20,23
respect 80:19 89:18	reviewing 129:20	157:4,7,8,16,17	227:1	<b>safer</b> 210:16
93:21 102:1 150:1	rifles 137:6	157:20,21,24,25	<b>rights</b> 211:15	222:11
Respective 29:11	<b>right</b> 6:1 9:7,11	158:2,3,6,10	risk 4:3 40:16	safety 20:23 22:25
respond 64:12	10:18,22 11:11,18	159:10,22,24	53:18 87:4,4,5,11	27:22,23,25 28:20
191:18	16:17 20:25 21:9	160:3,20,21,23,25	167:21 175:6	92:16 93:24
Respondent 1:9 2:6	21:14 23:25 30:23	161:13 163:1,4,8	211:9 219:3	129:24 164:16
responders 128:21	31:1,24 34:21	163:19 164:3,4,12	road 6:5,15 7:21	175:12 176:18,21
responding 91:21	37:3 38:5 43:1	164:13,17,18	<b>Roche</b> 2:3 3:3 5:9	176:22,24 177:20
102:2	44:21 45:7 46:14	165:2,15 167:2,3	5:15 13:18 30:2,6	183:17,22 184:2
responds 174:22	49:10,11 50:2	167:22 168:15,19	31:15 40:10 70:7	210:15 213:25
		100.12,17		
scheduling@huntergei		HINTER + GEIST INC	202	832-5966/800-525-8490

	•	•	i	
214:7 219:12	27:21,24 28:19,20	schools 3:11,22 4:9	39:18,20,25 40:2	216:11
230:15	29:10,17 30:8,9	9:10 14:5 15:15	42:23 43:1,5,12	select 136:22 160:5
sat 124:7 206:17	30:13 32:4,8,10	16:5,12,20,21	43:17 48:10,16	self-control 160:6
207:19	32:20 33:2,17	21:23,24 24:7	49:2 53:13 54:5	160:16
saw 57:4 85:24	37:20,23 38:3	26:2 30:18 31:22	55:20,24 56:12,16	semester 37:3
203:16	39:8,20 41:5	32:18 39:6 60:12	60:14 61:10 64:8	52:17 90:2,8,19
saying 21:18 37:2	43:10,24 44:1,7	93:6,9 101:21	64:15 66:1,3	91:8 209:1 217:13
98:2 106:17	44:14,22 45:11,22	102:6 210:16	71:10,19,24 72:18	seminar 60:3
120:21 135:13	46:25 47:9 48:6	219:21,25 220:6	75:2 77:25 78:1	seminars 17:17
138:14 142:14	49:2,17 51:6,8,21	220:25 221:3,19	79:2,3,8,20,20	Semple 2:8
148:2,4,6,7 152:1	52:11 53:24 54:10	221:23 222:11,20	80:1,3,23,25 82:3	send 41:5 65:16
174:25 190:6	55:23 56:3,4,13	<b>Scott</b> 93:19 133:9	83:7,24 84:16,21	177:6
207:18	57:15 59:24 60:25	217:8	85:14 86:12,19	sense 6:24 7:1
says 31:1 36:1	62:17,21 69:7	<b>Scout</b> 137:4 168:17	92:14 93:7,13	120:4 206:19
43:13 49:5 55:14	70:21 72:8,23	<b>Scouts</b> 137:6	101:23 102:5	sensitivity 149:10
55:21 61:12 66:1	73:5,18 75:23	screaming 108:13	104:10 108:23	sent 163:20,22
70:18 73:5 74:10	76:7,23 77:20	screener 53:24	109:7 110:11	separate 109:21
74:13 78:2 85:3	84:7 85:22 87:18	screening 175:6	114:1,23 117:8	110:1,2,4
93:18 101:23	88:20 90:9 91:8	search 65:12,17	120:12,24 126:21	September 102:1
104:2 106:14,22	92:15,16,17,22	76:7,10,18 77:4	127:16 130:8	111:14 125:2
122:25 128:5	93:12 97:19	77:13,16 78:2,9	133:24 134:23	163:25 164:7
129:19 131:1,17	103:15 104:2,5,25	121:17,19,25	135:7 136:20	166:4 185:7,24,25
160:23 161:19	105:8 109:23	122:2,9,12,16	140:4 142:18	186:1,14,14 189:3
162:12 164:10	110:7 117:14	134:4,8,15,19	144:9 150:20	190:13 191:2,20
166:24 170:6	119:11,12 120:11	195:7	152:21 156:20	223:23 224:7
173:14,20,23	128:12,21 129:9	searched 76:8	158:19,25 161:4	227:25 233:18
174:23 175:14	134:5,8,10,11,14	seat 139:8 160:3	161:11 163:9	serious 35:10 68:8
177:7 206:1,6,8	146:4,16 150:4	second 6:15 13:15	170:2 173:21,25	152:5 205:4
227:12,13 228:17	153:9,24 155:4	18:9 27:11 40:12	182:4 185:16,17	seriously 67:20
229:17	164:16 176:3	52:17 66:3,9,10	185:18 186:6,22	68:5 197:24 198:8
<b>scared</b> 207:9	177:2,12,16,21	66:13 90:2,8,19	190:11 193:20	serve 43:14 44:20
schedule 5:22	179:1 181:12	91:7 93:11 96:12	200:16,17 205:23	45:12
scheduled 188:13	183:14,16 184:23	162:5 207:11	206:11 209:3,16	served 44:12
<b>school</b> 1:8 3:6,8,9	185:18 186:3	215:23	209:19 213:12	<b>Service</b> 30:10 31:1
3:12,15,20,24,25	192:20 195:11	<b>Secret</b> 30:10 31:1	214:11 215:19,21	39:5 41:9 43:3
4:4 5:18 8:13,20	196:15 197:2	39:5 41:9 43:2	217:16	44:18 45:15
8:23 9:1,2,3,4,6	200:7,13 202:8,13	44:17 45:15	<b>seeded</b> 141:7,19	services 18:3 40:16
9:12,15,18 10:10	202:17,20,22	section 20:4 153:11	<b>seeded'</b> 140:6	182:17 225:1,6,7
13:10,24 14:6,18	203:3 204:8	178:1	seeing 37:22 38:1	226:1,24 227:11
14:20,21,24 15:1	206:13 207:24	security 31:10	92:25 184:8,17	228:23
15:7,22,25 16:3,6	208:20 210:15,24	83:24 84:1,5	201:20 227:19	sessions 40:18
16:14,14,17,19,21	211:21 213:3,14	93:25 128:22	seeking 7:8 74:20	set 4:5 12:9,21 33:5
16:23,25 17:1,2,2	216:15 219:17	129:1 180:10	seen 29:8,12,16,18	33:20 71:9 188:9
17:4,7 18:14	221:10,18 225:9	192:6	30:14 51:14 53:7	setting 10:24
19:17 20:1,11,13	226:12	see 12:17 14:17	87:1 93:1 100:21	seven 21:12 217:17
21:2,24 22:2,14	school's 173:8	19:13,17,21 20:1	140:5 161:17	<b>severe</b> 153:20
23:9 24:22 25:12	school-related	20:8 31:4 34:7	183:19 193:23	<b>share</b> 109:1 112:12
25:23 26:16 27:10	11:16	35:24 36:5 37:18	200:3,4 201:8,18	126:16 167:9
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			I	1
182:11 183:13	201:19,24	<b>Sisler</b> 93:19	<b>somewhat</b> 169:6,9	speaking 49:13
197:16 211:19	shotguns 137:7	sit 111:11 145:15	169:19	99:13
212:2,5,9 213:12	<b>show</b> 29:7 70:1	188:23 206:22	song 1:2,12 2:11	special 17:11,12
217:24,24 218:8	87:8 88:20,20	site 78:15 79:1,5,6	3:2,17 5:5,10 75:5	42:19 52:1 73:3
228:10	228:8	79:9,17 119:25	79:10 102:3	189:9 190:8,22
<b>shared</b> 32:14	<b>showed</b> 109:14	sitting 34:16	200:14 223:13	specialized 11:9
107:14 108:22	150:6	114:23 207:10	232:1,8,13 233:5	specialty 8:15
112:23 118:7	shown 201:24	situation 100:16	songs 79:4 144:2	<b>specific</b> 46:9,12
209:25 213:9	shows 56:6 114:10	148:19 165:8	soon 203:15 204:4	47:16 58:10,22
217:21 218:22,25	114:13,20 115:25	situations 3:12	sorry 7:25 8:22 9:5	73:1 76:13 89:24
219:1	156:8	39:7 55:5 76:21	9:7,7,8 13:18,20	116:23 139:12
<b>sharing</b> 50:24 51:6	side 31:1 43:1	150:15 157:13	24:1 28:24 31:5	140:2 142:2
51:17 210:5	96:17 138:25,25	170:7 197:15	42:25 44:25 47:12	164:22 167:15
shed 145:7	173:20	six 69:25 106:6,8	48:15 51:9 55:8	217:11
<b>sheriff</b> 203:25	sideways 52:22	106:18,20 138:18	55:16 60:20 64:13	specifically 45:6
sheriff's 10:3,5	sign 35:9 75:9	skeptical 173:24	65:12 70:3 86:11	48:2 59:11 76:25
51:14 66:19	78:15 127:10	174:5	86:18 88:5 92:13	222:22 224:20
133:11 143:1	143:6,21,25	<b>slate</b> 99:13	99:24 102:15	225:14
147:23 189:23	144:24 145:1	<b>slide</b> 37:19 53:9,10	121:13 124:13	specifics 166:5
200:7,11 201:11	162:22 182:17	55:18,21 56:6	126:12,22 128:7	167:16 217:1
201:17 204:1,5,14	183:12 230:24	58:7,13 61:8 64:6	128:11 145:16	specified 43:25
204:25 205:12,19	signature 232:13	64:14,16 65:25	146:1 148:16	specify 46:7
205:23 206:10	233:16	70:1,5,8,12,15	149:17 161:7	speech 97:24 108:1
207:16	signed 125:14	121:16 214:9	172:1,24 197:17	125:23 187:18
shift 13:13	166:21	slides 53:3 55:13	202:5 210:7 213:6	192:2
shocking 203:24	significant 68:3	59:22 65:24 70:1	218:16 229:17	spend 158:15
207:13	96:16 139:17,22	77:25 130:24	sort 30:22 108:19	spent 136:13 137:5
shook 138:25	140:12 147:19	slot 99:7	151:14 189:24	<b>split</b> 15:10
<b>shoot</b> 137:14,14	148:10 153:25	smaller 221:15	208:20	<b>spoke</b> 50:1 82:6
<b>Shooter</b> 3:6 29:10	170:14 221:1	smart 210:10	sound 108:10	102:4 104:20
shooting 29:17	significantly	<b>Smith</b> 131:17	145:12	105:13 106:25
30:12,16 51:4	220:24,24	snapshot 41:20	sounded 162:7	107:5,6 118:19
52:10,11,14 66:20	signing 182:14	42:13	sounding 108:15	131:14 173:1
76:3 87:21 88:14	233:21,23,25	social 16:24 17:3	sounds 10:23 63:13	175:4
91:19 92:22 93:2	signs 55:24 56:5,7	103:15	source 95:12 96:5	<b>spoken</b> 99:1 124:19
94:4 118:6 137:6	61:10,14 62:2,5	Society 27:24	120:1 121:15	195:1
137:15 194:2	62:19,23 63:7	sociopath 156:2,9	208:5	<b>spot</b> 90:23
196:16 200:22	64:12 139:14	solidified 220:7	sources 64:15,20	spread 168:3
203:6,25 204:4	170:24,25 171:9	solutions 34:7	64:24 65:21	Spring 3:23
205:17 207:24	172:13	<b>solve</b> 36:10	105:19 109:5	SRO 26:6,11
216:14 220:6	similar 35:15 85:20	<b>somebody</b> 7:9 8:24	119:8 124:23	194:22
<b>short</b> 40:11 63:15	87:1	23:15 36:12 65:16		ss 233:2
181:16 199:23	simple 8:8	76:6,18 77:4,16	spark 34:24	stack 72:3
shorthand 233:7	simply 102:7	78:23 79:10 84:19	speak 31:16,25	staff 3:20 12:10
shortly 127:5	210:21	106:1 119:24	49:22 51:25 82:4	19:17 36:1 46:1,3
197:19	single 48:22 158:2	120:20,23 143:6	101:12 107:3	46:17 58:9 61:13
shot 203:15	single-spaced	151:14 183:19	128:16 165:13	61:25 62:5,16,20
shotgun 200:15,22	85:12	195:4 203:9	190:12 205:1	62:22 63:4,6,25
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	Ī	İ	I	Í
64:11 84:15 85:2	95:17 226:22	109:7 114:21,22	144:20 157:3	22:20 24:3 25:5
90:15 91:4,18	stomach 114:21	121:17 122:20	subjected 178:21	27:7,8 28:2 30:17
93:12 106:15	stood 64:2 116:13	124:18 127:15	subscribed 232:14	37:8,25 40:8
123:1,5 134:3	150:9	129:23 134:4	subsequent 212:22	42:11 47:13 51:10
149:3,6 168:2	<b>stop</b> 7:17 94:11	135:6 147:4,5,6	substance 59:6	55:23 56:3,10,24
179:17 180:13	143:6,21	149:4,18 150:19	167:9	59:21 60:5 65:5
204:10 207:11	stopped 10:21	153:17 154:21	successful 173:15	65:11 72:2 76:12
211:13,20 215:8	59:14,19	157:2,19 158:13	173:25	89:5,12 92:24
217:18 218:19,19	stopping 7:21	159:10 160:12	succession 64:3	94:24 102:16
220:19 222:15	stories 144:2,9	164:16,16 169:17	sudden 203:8	109:11,12 111:6
staff/parent 109:6	strange 112:20	171:12 176:10	suffering 218:14	112:18 113:9
staffing 221:14	193:3	183:18 192:23	suggestions 176:1	116:16 124:15
222:13	strangest 112:10	198:4 200:8 201:5	suicidal 40:16	126:18 127:10,13
stand 28:6 59:12	112:11	211:12 215:8,10	75:20 81:5	132:20 136:9
205:6 223:9	strategies 228:14	218:20	suicide 17:15 18:5	139:8 140:4 141:3
standard 27:10	Street 1:12 2:4,8	student's 34:6	20:23 24:4 40:17	142:6 145:15
77:3,10,12 87:9	stress 10:1 11:1,11	41:20,25 76:7,18	56:7 61:14 62:23	147:16 149:16
88:5 159:16	11:15,16	77:5,17 78:3 80:2	63:13,24 75:21	155:2 156:3,12
start 8:7 9:19 16:1	stressful 143:17	83:17 85:4 134:9	175:6 212:12	165:20,23,24,24
47:1 163:6	157:13	134:15 144:18	<b>suicides</b> 62:6,21	166:7 171:24
started 8:20 10:9	strike 41:22 49:12	170:1,9 211:15	63:7,15,22	172:10,25 173:12
14:19 16:2,6,16	171:16 190:4	students 17:9,24	<b>Suite</b> 1:12 2:4,9,13	173:20 182:8
27:20 58:18 59:2	192:4 203:2	18:2,10 36:2,4	summaries 184:14	187:4,17,23,24
starts 53:9 82:24	strong 177:17	41:6 46:18,24	summarized 87:2	191:4,12 194:25
164:10	219:24 220:18,19	47:9,13 49:5,13	summarizes 200:8	195:6,25 196:10
state 5:6 41:20	220:22	49:22 51:1,8,19	summary 85:13	202:6 210:1
186:4 232:15	struck 189:24	52:1 53:17,21	86:8,13,17,19,20	212:17 214:20
233:2,4	structurally 45:10	56:10 57:3 58:9	summer 16:5	217:7 226:25
statement 33:22	struggling 91:21	59:8 60:4,6 71:7	summers 137:5	227:16 228:11,17
43:21 67:14 130:7	stuck 201:1	84:5 91:18,25	supervision 127:15	228:18
131:4 201:8,9	<b>student</b> 17:16 18:6	114:14 127:13	157:2 158:8	surprised 69:5
206:11	18:17,24 19:8	196:5 201:19,23	174:13 219:12	81:11 203:21
statements 73:17	33:12 34:6,14,25	202:7,10,12,18,21	<b>support</b> 12:14 35:2	surprises 32:25
112:3 147:24	35:2,13 42:1,5,10	211:8 219:6	58:14,15,21 71:19	surrounding 31:12
<b>States</b> 3:10 10:20	42:17,18,20 44:3	221:11	84:15 88:10,10	survey 4:3 38:3,10
12:11 30:10	44:4 48:18,18	students' 76:8,11	89:9,13 91:4,13	38:14,19
statewide 27:23	49:6,9,14 53:25	77:13	91:17,23 179:17	surveys 4:2,2,3
40:21	59:24 71:10,11	studied 30:12	220:2,19	37:24 38:6
stays 12:19	72:20 73:2,3,6,8	217:15	supported 62:13	suspect 200:3
step 84:18 102:18	73:16,21,22,25	<b>studying</b> 210:15	supportive 61:22	suspended 52:3
127:13 128:5	75:4,22 76:6	stuff 8:8 92:7	154:25 159:10	73:22 80:24
164:10 166:24	77:11 78:18 79:21	150:16 196:22	220:22	111:17,18 117:18
steps 48:12 53:25	80:20 81:4,8,15	subcontracting	supports 160:7	117:20 146:9
83:11 84:10 85:8	81:19 82:2 83:20	12:6	<b>suppose</b> 151:16	178:4
180:4 182:2	83:23 84:11 85:7	subcontractor 8:19	supposed 84:14	suspension 117:4
196:24	86:10,21 87:3,11	9:21	131:10 150:5	146:8
<b>Steve</b> 2:7 69:24	89:10 99:4,6,8	<b>subject</b> 3:18 25:6	185:13 208:3,7	suspensions 111:9
93:19 95:7,12,16	100:16 104:10	49:6,14 81:19	sure 13:22 19:10	suspicion 134:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			I	1
switched 13:9	84:19 94:12 96:24	198:2	72:5,13 74:16	themes 144:1
<b>Swomley's</b> 117:10	119:5 133:3	teachers 17:13,13	81:2 84:1 86:2	therapist 82:5,7
117:19 147:15	141:23 144:12	34:3,13,17 35:4	93:5 95:21 97:20	141:23 184:8,18
sworn 5:6 232:14	146:2 158:7 162:1	35:12,16 36:1	104:19 106:9	184:19 225:16,17
233:6	162:14 163:24	50:16,23,25 57:2	107:20 108:5,9,12	227:19 228:14,17
symbolic 133:14	165:7 174:10	83:18 85:2,4 92:4	113:10,24 116:18	228:19 229:4,8
system 12:3 60:17	180:8 189:2 196:1	120:6 131:15	122:6 141:18	therapists 40:17
61:3	198:12,16,18	144:13,16,19	146:19 152:8	161:17 176:2
systematic 57:14	204:1 205:20	180:13 181:22	159:11 162:4	therapy 10:4 11:7
systems 60:13	206:14 208:18	186:22 187:1	164:19 180:19	140:19 154:23
	218:4 227:24	192:6,19,25	181:1,9 182:10	158:16 160:20
T	talked 50:17 53:11	196:19,22 197:14	186:12 188:6	161:21 162:4
<b>tab</b> 19:16,17	65:14 74:19 105:3	211:13 212:3,10	191:25 199:8	175:20 176:16
table 26:21 96:17	119:12 123:3	215:13 218:18	209:6,8 210:3	177:7 183:13,19
210:10	124:12 132:11	team 22:6,25 24:13	212:18 213:1	they'd 59:3
tablet 122:3 134:19	149:5 160:18	25:15 27:12 43:13	216:23 218:24	thin 168:3
195:8	162:12 165:25	43:14,25 44:2,7,9	219:15 230:2	thing 6:22 7:5
tabletop 26:20	166:18 168:17	44:12,20 45:12	telling 94:4 187:13	23:19 106:4,12
tag 25:3	172:20 184:25	47:22 54:4,9,18	215:13	107:22,23 139:5
take 6:7,9,10,17	191:19 192:1,5	54:25 64:7 77:1,2	tells 120:24	177:10 194:4
7:24 19:15 31:14	198:20,21 199:2,5	79:15,16 83:24	template 57:18	204:20
33:7 40:7 41:12	199:6	84:2,5 97:25	103:7	things 19:14 25:21
41:23 55:2 62:8	talking 6:18 16:22	103:10,19,22	ten 6:12 21:6,18	28:11 32:17 33:18
62:12 64:16 69:10	26:1 34:16,17	104:9 105:1,9,18	39:12	48:19 50:21 52:6
72:9 82:10 84:4	35:21 36:15,16	105:19,22 106:3,8	tends 150:19	53:11 55:3 60:11
90:15 92:9 93:4	44:6 58:1,2 86:16	106:9,15,19,21	tequila 195:17	66:21 67:22 72:1
94:21 103:1 112:3	93:15 102:17	108:22 119:10	term 46:16	76:3 77:8 110:12
116:19 119:16	112:19 127:1	124:1 125:22,23	terms 71:21 76:17	122:10 124:8
126:22 145:5	135:12 150:25	126:9 130:5 131:2		130:5,11 131:19
156:13 176:3	162:5 174:12	138:4 139:8	185:16	136:5 148:2 150:2
190:22 193:13	195:17 197:21	148:23 150:2	terrible 227:9	156:24 157:11,13
196:24 199:23	208:7 222:8,15	164:11,20 177:17	terribly 67:20	185:18 190:17,18
215:16 222:1	talks 19:25 20:7	219:24 220:3,18	test 189:10 190:5	195:9 197:18,23
taken 1:12 5:2	40:1 61:9 119:8	220:18,22 221:2,2	190:12	208:24 209:12
12:13 33:25 40:9	130:19 162:12	221:8	testified 5:7	210:9,13 215:7
69:7,8,13 85:8	179:16 182:11	teaming 25:3	testify 233:6	220:4 222:12
94:25 126:24	214:13	<b>Teams</b> 56:13	testifying 223:5	223:1
145:14 172:11	tangent 40:11	technology 133:15	testimony 95:18	think 5:12,14 7:5
174:23 178:8	targeted 3:24 31:3	133:22	96:14,15 103:1	8:23 10:8 11:13
184:4 199:25	31:9 92:17 123:17	teenager 148:13	232:4 233:9	14:17 16:7,7
206:15 222:4	138:1	teenagers 11:9	testing 17:11 189:5	22:24 23:6,17
233:7	tasks 54:4	telephone 36:2,3	189:8,8 190:3,9	25:8 26:7 27:14
<b>Talen</b> 37:9 105:12	taught 23:15 24:9	Telephonically	190:10 224:19	28:9 29:3,20 32:3
181:20 187:7	<b>tbd</b> 229:13	2:19	text 158:19,25	33:4,24 35:11,13
224:10	teacher 35:6 78:18	tell 6:10,22 7:2 8:5	thank 5:19 223:4,7	45:17 46:7,8
talk 6:19 18:1	78:18 100:17	8:10 17:6 21:7,25	227:15 230:24	47:16,18 50:20
33:16 50:6,9	104:9,13,16	50:21 56:2,18	<b>Thanks</b> 8:6 223:8	51:24 52:7,12
52:19 64:6 65:4	183:25 194:17	57:13 68:4 70:4	230:20	56:8,14 57:1,16
				,,
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

58:17,17,20 62:7	199:11,17,18,20	31:2,8,18 32:3,7	113:2,18 115:2,6	183:24 193:1
63:17 67:11,15	202:9 203:9 204:3	32:12,21,24 33:1	115:11,23 116:14	194:17
68:9,24 69:1	204:7,8,10,15	33:21 39:6,20	116:20,24 117:17	threatening 3:11
70:23 71:14 72:1	205:5 206:12	40:1 41:11,19	118:3,12,20 119:4	39:7 47:15 142:10
72:6,11 75:25	207:10 208:2,9,17	42:2,10,12 43:10	119:9 121:14,19	178:5
76:1,20 77:19	208:18,23,25	43:13,25 44:8,12	123:22 124:6,12	threats 28:12 33:9
78:12,17 79:3,3	209:1,2,9 211:8,9	44:15,19 45:4,6	126:6,8 127:1	56:16,20 62:6
82:19 84:23 85:25	211:13 212:20	45:12 46:12 47:11	128:13,17 129:1,9	63:7 70:16 71:2
86:1,4 88:15 89:7	213:22 214:1,4	47:22 48:7,13	129:13,17,18,20	71:17 77:21
90:12,12,16,21,22	216:4,6,25 217:1	49:6,14,16,21,23	129:24 130:5,20	134:21 174:13
94:16 97:17,18,18	217:3 218:2,5	50:25 51:7 52:19	131:2,7,23 132:1	three 21:12 22:9
97:19 98:10,18	219:9,13,21,22	52:24 53:12,16,23	132:3,7,8,8	25:10,25 26:12
100:7,19,20	220:6 221:13	54:4,9,17,25	133:13,14,19,25	32:23 70:8 74:6,7
102:18,20 104:22	222:9,23 224:22	55:14,22 56:8,13	135:11,12 138:1	80:25 128:10
105:4 108:3 111:7	226:4,5,6,8,12,20	56:25 57:16 61:14	140:22 144:20	136:18 157:23
111:8,8,18,19	230:23	64:20,25 65:5,14	147:12,13 148:22	158:1 161:10
112:19 114:5,6	thinking 21:11	65:25 66:2,8,22	153:7 154:11,16	165:21 189:18
117:21,25 118:15	58:1 59:12 60:21	66:25 67:5,13,16	157:3,7 159:7,9	220:9
121:7 124:7	67:15,25 71:25	67:18 68:3,6,10	161:15 162:21	threshold 77:17
125:18,20 126:11	114:7 191:2 198:3	68:16,21,23 69:8	163:18 164:9,16	threw 131:17
126:14 131:6,22	198:8,14	69:21 70:2,9,12	164:20 166:14	Thurnau 37:9
131:24 133:22	third 6:22 72:11	71:8,22,24 72:3,6	167:11 168:9,22	224:10
138:12,13 139:23	217:22	72:16 73:9,15	169:5,9,18,24,25	<b>Thursday</b> 204:10
140:2,20,23	Thompson 24:9	74:25 76:5,19	170:8,23 171:1,17	204:11
141:13,16 142:12	52:20,23 53:11	77:23 78:11,13,25	170.3,23 171.1,17	ticket 14:13
142:13,18 145:23	82:20 85:17 86:13	79:9,19 80:2,7,18	173:8,15,25 174:6	ticketing 105:5
148:3,11,13	86:20 87:13 88:12	80:22 81:23 82:11	174:11 178:1,18	time 6:8,18,23 9:2
149:15 150:15	89:22 90:18 91:17	82:17 83:2,8,11	179:4 180:10,11	9:18,19 10:6,22
153:5,14 154:22	121:17 130:24	84:6 85:8,13,14	180:24 181:6,15	11:20 12:13 13:8
156:10,17 160:22	167:1 171:2	85:21 86:9,14,22	181:17 184:25	13:22 14:17,25
161:5 162:25	thorough 131:23	86:24 87:14,18,21	185:20 187:12,14	15:11,13 21:2
163:12,13,21,23	153:15 171:18	88:13,16,24 89:2	189:11 191:2	24:24 29:3,5,17
165:9 166:7	thoroughly 39:16	89:18,23 90:1	192:7,9 193:2,10	37:23 38:21 41:7
167:25,25 168:1,3	thought 42:25	92:17 93:7 94:5,9	194:6 195:24	41:20 42:13 57:8
168:4 171:10,22	67:14 68:1,3	96:9,25 97:1,13	197:5,10,20 198:4	57:8 59:16 63:16
173:9 176:13,14	102:20 104:21	99:19,21 100:3,12	197.5,10,20 198.4	67:23 78:13 90:5
178:19 179:21	156:7 166:8	100:22 101:6,10	205:2,3,4,6 206:3	90:12 95:15 96:3
181:12 182:15	176:17 203:17,18	101:14,15,25	206:3 211:17,22	96:12 98:13,17
183:4,5,7,8,9,16	219:21	101:14,13,23	212:5,9 213:19	99:10 100:18,20
184:15 185:6	threat 3:6,11,13,22	103:2,6,9,18,21	214:16,21 215:13	100:20 117:7,16
186:5,16,25	3:24 4:1,9,14	103:22,25 104:6,9	215:14,21 216:8	124:18 134:7
187:15 188:11,14	17:15 20:23 21:4	104:12 105:1,2,9	216:15 217:18,21	136:14 141:22
188:15,25 189:1	21:10 22:1,7,11	105:9,17 106:7,15	218:3,11 224:4,23	145:15 158:11,15
189:18,20,21	22:19 23:10 24:6	107:1,4,13,15,21	226:3 230:1	159:6 163:25
190:1,2,7,14,20	24:21 25:11,22,24	107.1,4,13,13,21	threatened 98:15	168:2,5 174:9
190:1,2,7,14,20	26:13,16,23 27:3	109:24 110:10,17	99:12 100:16	181:6 187:7,11
190:21 191:24	27:12,13,17,19	110:19,20,23	155:16,23 161:10	189:4,20 190:13
197:12 198:20	28:1,7,14 29:10	110.19,20,23	176:10 177:22	206:19 211:16
171.14 170.4U	40.1,7,14 47.10	111.1,4,24 112.4	1/0.10 1//.22	200.19 211.10

				3
212:1 213:18	143:2,5	202:17	21:9,15,16,17,22	41:15 49:25 53:15
214:15,20 218:17	totally 27:9	trainings 22:5 29:1	25:18 26:6 32:24	56:21 64:23 65:20
220:12,12 227:20	touched 186:8	53:7 93:25 221:5	36:4 59:5 63:20	123:3 131:22
228:25 229:1	tournament 150:9	transcript 37:2	63:23 64:2 72:8	132:6,9 135:10
233:7	Tracy 50:1 66:23	233:8	72:10 90:11	151:1 185:23
timeline 38:7 57:12	67:6,19 68:7 97:2	transcription 232:3	100:25 114:7,13	210:6 212:2,25
107:8	97:13,22 98:15	transition 147:5	115:5 117:14	214:5
timely 56:15,19,25	99:11,12 104:21	transmissions	138:9 156:1 162:6	understanding
times 17:17 25:1	106:25 107:3,6,14	203:13	179:25 189:18	24:5 36:22 59:18
34:21 52:8 135:25	107:16,24 118:22	trap 86:2 87:8	196:16 197:5	61:2 90:24 155:15
146:21 160:19	123:4 124:13	102:8	206:16	183:15 202:11,15
162:20 172:14	125:24 126:1,17	trauma 153:18	two-and-a-half	203:1 211:18
176:2 219:20	136:18 138:11,14	travel 14:14	14:8 114:8	215:3
226:8	138:20 139:2,6,7	traveling 14:12	two-hour 24:7	understands
tiny 30:21	142:10 155:15,22	treatment 8:17	93:20	155:13
<b>tip</b> 60:13,16 61:3	160:2 161:11	18:18 19:8	two-page 85:12	understood 41:13
titled 29:10 30:7	175:4 176:25	trend 42:5 109:14	86:17,19	130:1 185:10,15
39:6 49:2 64:6,15	177:23 185:17	trick 86:2	two-week 183:6	204:24 211:2
65:25 70:2 92:16	186:7 193:1	tried 219:20	187:22	undetermined
215:20	197:19,21 198:7	trigger 35:8	<b>type</b> 86:6 175:14	166:1
today 40:11 41:24	198:10,13,19,23	triggering 38:22,23	<b>types</b> 106:6 197:10	<b>unhappy</b> 138:17
53:9 55:3 65:4	199:11,20 203:15	trouble 13:17	typewritten 87:1	139:1
100:2 111:11	206:4	168:16	233:8	<b>Union</b> 2:13
202:7	<b>Tracy's</b> 199:9	true 200:18 232:3	<b>typical</b> 18:14 207:9	<b>United</b> 3:9 12:11
told 47:6,13 48:3	tragedy 7:15	233:8	typically 25:13	30:9
50:25 60:6 66:22	208:22	<b>truth</b> 5:6 233:6	26:1 27:17 34:12	University 210:11
68:5 69:20,23	trained 25:1,20	<b>try</b> 6:7 7:2 12:21	34:22 41:2 42:11	<b>unsure</b> 192:24
88:11,12,15,18	64:11 68:17,22	19:5 35:1 36:9	71:6,9 86:4,7	<b>upset</b> 97:25 98:20
96:21 97:14 98:14	69:21 95:24 96:8	37:17 41:2,17	87:20,23 120:17	108:4,16 138:20
99:3,10 107:12,14	103:4	53:25 113:15	159:19 189:9	use 33:21,23 77:22
107:16,22 116:6	<b>training</b> 4:1 11:7	170:24 171:7	192:15 212:11	131:13 226:1
123:11 126:17	22:1,10,11,13,22	198:11 213:7		<b>useful</b> 112:17
138:13 143:4	23:8,10,18,22	<b>trying</b> 7:9,15,16	U	uses 53:24
144:8,19 163:14	24:4,6,21,23 25:3	8:22 12:9 14:9	<b>Uh-huh</b> 13:12 36:6	<b>usually</b> 11:8,10
193:1 194:16	26:15,17,22 27:19	25:21 32:15 34:17	46:19 54:16 57:20	25:25,25 26:6,7,7
197:23 198:7,14	28:3,15 29:19	70:3 76:16 77:15	57:20 108:24	42:7,16 76:12
200:13,14 203:9,9	48:6 52:20,24	86:2 87:8 91:3	130:21 179:19	103:10 104:8
203:10 229:24	53:2 54:3 55:6,7	102:8 110:16	uh-huhs 13:17	119:21 137:17,18
tone 108:6	59:25 61:9,10,13	125:15 155:6	ultimate 172:16	144:15,16 147:4
tool 32:4,8 53:24	62:4,10,13,22,22	205:10 222:24	ultimately 182:21	159:18 184:12
57:17	63:1,1,6,8,14,24	Tuesday 204:8	<b>uncommon</b> 19:6,9	187:1 196:24
top 21:5,15,22	63:24 69:8,15	223:23 224:7	underlined 130:6	<b>T</b> 7
23:23 54:11 55:13	78:8 93:8,13,15	<b>Tufts</b> 8:13	131:2	V
168:20	93:22 94:5,10	turn 19:16 42:22	underlying 35:10	vague 38:5 68:1
topic 8:2	96:16,20,22	52:21 64:5,6	underneath 161:19	valuable 59:13
topics 59:6	121:16 123:21,24	205:22	165:1	various 23:12
total 21:18	130:24 136:20	Twitter 120:13,18	understand 7:2,10	veiled 132:7
totaled 142:10	137:15 171:1	<b>two</b> 6:4 14:8 17:4	8:3 36:12 40:4	<b>verbal</b> 10:2 132:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

Davis v. Littleton P	ublic School Distri
133:14,18 134:21	172:12
135:11 170:18	wall 114:23
verify 113:15	want 6:4,9 7:24
175:22,24 177:8	19:16 33:18 40:7
versus 62:1 65:25	48:21 52:19,21
victim 174:25	94:15 96:11 100:4
victimization	102:10 112:20
153:19	118:16 134:22
victimized 145:3	145:12 173:6
<b>video</b> 56:7	208:11 219:6
<b>videos</b> 144:3	226:10,12
videotaping 206:16	wanted 12:21,23
view 31:23 197:8	14:10,11 15:11
<b>violence</b> 3:25 31:3	19:14 41:12 65:19
31:10,19 32:5,8	88:9 90:13,14,16
32:19 53:19,22	127:10 132:20
92:18 114:10	146:12 151:19
115:5,10,20	182:16,17 192:17
146:17,22	195:7 197:18
violence/abuse	198:11 204:1
146:12	205:1 209:12
<b>violent</b> 67:16 75:5	220:5 226:5,6
116:2 139:16,18	227:11 228:23
139:21,25 140:12	wanting 73:17
violent/threateni	wants 95:18
144:1	warned 174:25
violently 170:2,10	warning 55:24 56:5
170:14,21	56:7 61:10,14

## **visions** 72:22 **voice** 108:6 voiced 97:13

voicemails 60:13 volume 204:15

volunteer 150:16 volunteered 12:22 vouch 38:19

## W

Wadsworth 93:19 217:8 waived 233:23 waiver 183:12 Walcher 4:7 walk 48:21 132:1 151:19 188:23 218:23 walked 130:18 **walking** 151:25

62:1,5,19,23 63:7 64:12 139:13 143:25 144:24 145:1 170:24,25 171:8 172:13 warranted 32:12 wasn't 15:12 19:6,9 23:3 30:15 33:5 33:10 46:12 58:10 67:18,25 68:2,16 77:22 78:22 87:20 104:25 105:4,8 113:17 117:21 118:6 124:3 132:21 134:17,20 150:14 159:2 165:15 178:20 180:10 181:5 187:5,9 188:8,12

188:15 208:3,16

214:14 216:6 water 150:5,15 waving 108:12,17 way 14:14 19:5 32:20 55:19 112:22 152:15 167:7 197:6 222:20 ways 115:10 we'll 6:10 9:10 10:24 48:22 54:1 100:2 120:1 174:10 209:11 we're 6:7 16:22 19:12 35:21,25 45:7 48:11 97:5 154:14,14,15 155:5 157:7 180:7 we've 19:10,11 26:25 40:6 56:14 72:2 78:1 93:15 93:20 106:5 119:12 130:18 160:18 166:18 192:5 198:24 222:21 weapon 75:23,24 77:21,22 169:15 **weapons** 65:15 75:25 77:12,20 122:1 135:17,23 135:24 137:3,12 137:20 152:2,18 169:15 Web 78:15 79:1,5,6 79:9,17 119:25 Wednesday 3:16 196:16 204:10,11 week 18:22 175:14 177:6 182:5 228:25 229:1 weekend 184:5 weekly 73:5 74:2,4 84:11 175:23 178:14,21 weeks 92:3 142:9

ESTHER SONG

**welcome** 142:25 went 8:13,18,24 9:1 9:4,6,18 12:25 15:14 66:24 79:5 79:6,10 90:12 131:21 153:3 156:10 164:19 177:7 204:7,8,10 219:18 227:20 weren't 15:11 33:14 50:25 62:13 62:18 84:4 106:9 106:19 114:15 139:8 144:19 188:6 191:20 192:6 193:11 203:21 208:7 218:21 whatsoever 96:18 WHEREOF 233:15 white 64:16 wildly 108:13 William 2:18 Wilson 8:16 Wind 18:9 40:12 window 63:15 204:12 winter 12:17 13:3,4 wish 72:21 153:3,3 191:18 withholding 167:13 witness 6:6,23 96:12 147:24 233:15 **witnesses** 123:1.5 203:14 207:23 Wolfsohn 11:21,22 13:9,23 Woodward 2:18 words 50:25 67:7.9 108:17 145:9 190:6 work 9:10,23 10:7 11:18,19,25 14:12 52:14 142:11 worked 8:16,18,23 9:1,20 10:17 14:4

143:4 191:9 worker 16:24 103:15 workers 17:3 working 5:21 8:20 10:19,21,23,25 11:21 13:10,22 14:6 17:14,19 27:21 44:3 46:9 98:21 124:17 133:2 158:10 works 6:3 210:10 workshop 11:6 workshops 10:1,2 11:4 world 145:15 **worried** 198:10 wouldn't 52:2 81:8 105:17 108:25 113:6 123:10 134:22 154:21 176:9 207:7 wrap 227:13 wraparound 182:16 224:25 225:6 226:24 227:11,12 228:23 wrapping 225:11 wreck 143:23 write 83:1 86:5,5,7 87:9 130:16,17 131:12 writes 200:11 **writing** 101:20 144:18 210:12 229:16 writings 133:23 written 75:5 82:19 86:20 112:3 119:15,17,22,25 120:6,21,22 133:15,21 140:5 149:5 155:13 193:14 229:9 230:6 wrong 5:24

179:25 189:18

weird 150:8

wrote 163:7

X	<b>young</b> 11:9,9	215:19	<b>2015</b> 1:2,13 3:2	<b>40</b> 3:14 200:1,2,10
	youth 4:3 11:25	17th 1:12 2:4	231:3 232:16	<b>4049</b> 217:16
<b>X</b> 3:1 233:21	12:2	204:12	233:16	<b>41</b> 3:15 209:14,15
Y	youthful 114:25	<b>18</b> 54:14	2015-0665A 1:1	<b>42</b> 3:17 223:16,18
yeah 13:7 16:7 30:2	youthful 114.23	<b>18th</b> 204:12	<b>2016</b> 233:18	<b>4222</b> 213:8
31:24 39:16 50:13	$\mathbf{Z}$	<b>19</b> 4:11 115:14	<b>2010</b> 233.10 <b>204</b> 4:7	<b>45</b> 206:18
69:14 79:22 80:5		<b>19th</b> 204:12	<b>209</b> 3:15	<b>47</b> 42:24
81:10 92:19 98:6	0	17th 204.12	<b>2100</b> 177:12	<b>4</b> 1 72.27
106:4 121:11	<b>00017</b> 82:25	2	<b>2100</b> 177.12 <b>215</b> 4:9	5
123:12 132:19	<b>01</b> 10:16,17 13:11	<b>2</b> 48:18 86:12 229:9	<b>223</b> 3:17	<b>5</b> 3:3,24 30:22
	<b>013</b> 19:23	<b>2:30</b> 3:16	<b>23rd</b> 233:16	39:19 82:25 87:15
134:24 135:3,25	<b>01397</b> 19:24	<b>2:58</b> 172:11	<b>24</b> 4:10,12 113:23	92:9,11,15
139:11 141:16	<b>05</b> 15:3	<b>200</b> 3:14	117:6 215:20	<b>5:01</b> 231:2
143:13 145:13,20	<b>08</b> 15:4,18	<b>2000</b> 8:24 9:7 10:18	233:18	<b>513</b> 70:5
147:3,3,16 149:16		<b>2001</b> 8:21 9:1 10:8	<b>233.16 2400</b> 1:12 2:4	<b>52</b> 3:22 48:24
150:21 156:20	1	10:20 13:2,24		
160:4,4 161:5	<b>1</b> 30:21 48:17	14:25	<b>26</b> 185:7,24 186:1	6
162:25 163:11	127:13 195:16	<b>2003</b> 114:13,21	186:14 191:20	<b>6</b> 14:7 227:25
165:16 167:25	200:9 216:6	170:17,20	<b>28</b> 72:18	<b>6:01</b> 224:1
169:22 174:9	<b>1:32</b> 126:24	<b>2004</b> 30:11 39:5	3	<b>600</b> 2:13
176:5 177:16	<b>1:49</b> 126:24	<b>2005</b> 14:25 15:1	<b>3</b> 3:20 19:16,17	
179:15 185:1,22	<b>10</b> 4:2 35:25 37:18	<b>2008</b> 9:4,5,18 15:1	35:23 173:21	7
195:4 199:6,19	59:17 223:23	15:7 21:10 33:2	227:1 228:13	<b>7:40</b> 224:7
203:24 204:22	224:7	219:19	<b>3:08</b> 172:11	<b>72</b> 3:13
210:1,1,25 212:11	<b>10/9/15</b> 232:25	<b>20084033353</b> 233:4	<b>3:15</b> 3:16	<b>7900</b> 2:13
214:1,4,7 219:14	<b>10:12</b> 40:9	<b>2009</b> 59:17	<b>3:51</b> 199:25	
227:13 229:17	<b>101</b> 4:4	<b>2010</b> 38:20 59:17	<b>30</b> 3:6,8 17:1	8
year 9:14 10:9	<b>11</b> 4:4 101:19 143:3	<b>2010</b> 36.26 35.17 <b>2011</b> 3:23 63:20	<b>33</b> 4:13 143:1	<b>80202</b> 1:12 2:5
12:14,20 15:15,22	193:14,20 196:11	72:10,18 93:16	<b>35</b> 3:20 4:14 97:5,7	<b>80203</b> 2:9
15:25 16:14,17	196:15	116:5	97:10 103:7	<b>80237</b> 2:14
18:15 19:18 21:20	<b>11:40</b> 94:25	<b>2012</b> 72:11 75:1	109:18 125:9	
22:9 38:16 45:25	<b>1120</b> 2:8	<b>2012</b> 72.11 73.1 <b>2013</b> 9:5,7 21:3	139:14 224:24	9
46:8 47:1 60:6	<b>113</b> 4:12	29:14 30:13 32:9	226:23 228:7	<b>9</b> 1:2,13 3:2 4:1
70:22 90:9 91:8	<b>115</b> 4:11	32:20 33:3 37:3	<b>36</b> 3:6 30:4	14:7 93:4,5
97:25 98:18	<b>12</b> 3:16 4:12 209:18	39:15 44:1,14	<b>37</b> 3:8 4:2 30:4,7	163:25 164:7
100:22 111:20	<b>12:42</b> 94:25	45:22 51:5,23	<b>38</b> 3:11 38:25 39:2	166:4 185:25
187:18 209:11	<b>13</b> 7:15 9:5 21:3	56:3,19 57:15	39:4,10 42:23,25	186:14 189:3
219:17	29:14 32:9 200:16	58:5 59:24 69:9	48:10 173:7	190:13 191:2
years 9:2 15:9 22:9	203:3 205:22	72:12 92:20 102:2	<b>39</b> 3:11,13 72:4,5	193:19
32:22,23 39:12	<b>13-'14</b> 15:22	111:14 117:24	J, J.11,13 /2.4,J	<b>9/10/13</b> 3:18
58:20,25 63:23	<b>1308</b> 2:9	125:2 134:3,13	4	<b>9/6</b> 160:24 229:13
72:8 101:1 114:7	<b>1397</b> 20:6	195:16 224:1	<b>4</b> 3:22 52:21 77:25	229:19 230:12
114:8,14 153:14	<b>14</b> 4:7 101:22	<b>2013-2014</b> 3:21	119:8 124:22	<b>9:01</b> 1:13
162:6	204:15 219:16	19:18 90:8 91:8	130:19 164:10	<b>9:58</b> 40:9
yelling 108:13	<b>1404</b> 35:24	<b>2014</b> 3:16 4:10 9:5	166:24	<b>92</b> 3:24
<b>Yep</b> 7:23 39:22,24	<b>143</b> 4:13	9:7 15:20 16:2,5	<b>4:04</b> 199:25	<b>93</b> 4:1
64:18 114:24	<b>15-year</b> 16:22	82:11,25 209:18	<b>4:44</b> 222:4	<b>950</b> 1:12 2:4
156:15 193:16,21	<b>17</b> 4:9 72:6 215:16	212:14	<b>4:49</b> 222:4	<b>97</b> 4:14
197:22 209:20		414.1 <del>4</del>		<b>98</b> 10:9,17
				922 5066/900 525 9400

Page 263

99 8:14 10:8,13,14 10:16,18 9th 231:3		